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ABSTRACT

In wireless sensor network cluster architecture is useful because of its inherent suitability for data fusion. In this paper we represent a new approach called Multiple Parameter based Clustering (MPC) embedded with the traditional k-means algorithm which takes different parameters (Node energy level, Euclidian distance from the base station, RSSI, Latency of data to reach base station) into consideration to form clusters. Then the effectiveness of the clusters is evaluated based on the uniformity of the node distribution, Node range per cluster, Intra and Inter cluster distance and required energy level of each centroid. Our result shows that by varying multiple parameters we can create clusters with more uniformly distributed nodes, minimize intra and maximize inter cluster distance and elect less power consuming centroid.
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1. Introduction

Wireless sensor networks (WSN) are highly distributed networks of autonomous small, lightweight sensors (nodes) in large numbers to monitor physical or environmental conditions by the measurement of, temperature, sound, vibration, pressure, motion or pollutants and to cooperatively pass their data through the network to a main location (often called a sink).

It has already made its way in military object, habitat monitoring [1] and object tracking because of the characteristics such as feasibility of rapid deployment, self-organization (different from Infrastructure Mode or ad hoc network [2]) and fault tolerance. But limited computation capability, limited power and small memory size has made designing the WSNs is very difficult [3]. The energy consumption is the most important factor among these three factors, because the battery is not changeable if once the sensor nodes are deployed. The energy is also the major consideration in designing the routing of the WSNs. Hierarchical protocols reduce energy consumption in the networks by clustering. Clustering algorithms partition data objects (patterns, entities, instances, observations, units) into a certain number of clusters (groups, subsets, or categories).

Several available operational definitions [4] summarized by Everitt (1980) are as follows:

“A cluster is a set of entities which are alike, and entities from different clusters are not alike.”

A cluster is “an aggregate of points in the test space such that the distance between any two points in the cluster is less than the distance between any point in the cluster and any point not in it.”

“Clusters may be described as continuous regions of this space (d-dimensional feature space) containing a relatively high density of points, separated from other such regions by regions containing a relatively low density of points.”

In these protocols, nodes are divided into some clusters and some nodes based on some parameter are the selected as cluster heads (CH). These cluster heads exchange data with the Base station (BS) which costs the most energy of the nodes. Even though this concept has similarity with the Basic Service set (BSS) of Infrastructure mode where there is an Access point (AP) [5] (here the CH) and few cells communicate via this access point, the method in WSN is much more dynamic and energy efficient. Due to these advantages, sensor nodes can remarkably save their own energy.

In this paper by we have proposed a new method called MPC (Multiple Parameter based Clustering) using k-means clustering algorithm and variation of parameter and thus made four contributions:

1) We can have control over the random node distribu-
tion by considering various parameter combinations. Thus we can avoid clusters with poor distribution of node or highly dense clusters. The base station can centrally design the network with Good clusters by our given criteria.

2) A good cluster can be defined in a new way with the following property:
   a. Node distribution is approximate uniform
   b. Inter-cluster distance is high
   c. The intra-cluster distance is low and thus
   d. The ratio of intra and inter cluster distance called validity is low

3) Minimum and maximum range of nodes in each cluster varies with parameters.

4) By adding valid parameter we can choose low energy consuming centroid and thus make a low energy consumed network.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In the following section, related work is discussed. We, then briefly describe k-means algorithm in Section 3. Implementation of scheme is described in Section 5 followed by the experimental results in Section 6. Section 7 present our conclusion and direction for future works.

2. Related Work

For clustering various parameters have been taken into consideration. The most popular clustering mechanism LEACH [6,7] along with LEACH-C has taken residual energy level of the nodes for cluster head selection for creating clusters. It has been achieved by setting the probability $P_i(t)$ of a node, becoming a cluster-head as a function of nodes energy level relative to the aggregate energy remaining in the network rather than purely as a function of the number of times the node has been cluster-head:

$$P_i(t) = \frac{E_i(t)}{E_{total}(t)}^k$$

Where $E_i(t)$ is the current energy of node i, and

$$E_{total}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i(t)$$

The main drawback of LEACH is that the number of cluster heads is uncertain and there is a chance of poor clustering while LEACH-C requires the position of the entire sensors to avoid this problem. On the Other hand instead of considering node energy level ACE [8] has considered node degree for clustering by using an exponentially decreasing function for $f_{min}$:

$$f_{min} = \left\{ e^{-k_1 \frac{x}{\alpha} - k_2} \right\} d$$

In this formula, $t$ is the time passed since the protocol began and $c_l$ is the duration of the protocol as described earlier. $d$ is the estimated average degree (number of neighbors) of a node in the network, and is pre-calculated prior to deployment. $k_1$ and $k_2$ are chosen constants that determine the shape of the exponential graph.

Clustering using Genetic Algorithm [9] distance between nodes and number of cluster heads has been taken into account While Gupta [10] in their method selected residual energy of the nodes, the number of neighbor nodes and centrality for clustering.

Scott Fazackerley [11] proposed a cluster head selection algorithm that uses RF signal strength for head selection which is widely implemented in many commercial radios. A cluster head is selected based on its perceived RF signal strength of its neighbors. This approach has several advantages. First, unlike relying on Euclidean distance which requires localization or network knowledge, using RF signal strength compensates for the network properties. Nodes closed by distance may not be closed by signal requiring more power for transmissions. This also compensates for dead spots, uneven propagation, and changing RF propagation characteristics. Additionally, cluster heads located in areas of higher node density are expected to have a lower average distance between end nodes and the cluster head. So, it is clear that various parameters are chosen for different clustering algorithm.

Based on above studies we wanted to investigate the effect of taking all these parameters into consideration together while clustering.

3. A Brief Description of K-Means Algorithm

K-Means clustering is an algorithm to classify or to group given objects based on attributes or parameters, into K number of groups. K is a positive integer number. The K-Means clustering algorithm was developed by J. MacQueen (1967) and then by J. A. Hartigan and M. A. Wong around 1975. The grouping is done by minimizing the sum of squares of distances between data and the corresponding cluster centroid.

Given k, the k-means algorithm is implemented [12] in 4 steps:

1. Partition objects into k nonempty subsets and Compute seed points as the centroids $z_1(1), z_2(1), z_3(1), ..., z_k(1)$ of the clusters of the current partition.

2. At the $k$-th iterative step, distribute the object \{x\} among the K clusters using the relation,

$$x \in C_j(k) \text{ if } \|x - z_j(k)\| < \|x - z_i(k)\|$$

For all $i = 1, 2, ..., K; i \neq j$, where $C(k)$ denotes the set of samples whose centre is $z(k)$.

3. Compute the new cluster centers $z(k+1), j = 1, 2, ..., K$ such that the sum of the squared distances from all points in $C(k)$ to the new cluster centre is minimized.
The measure which minimizes this is simply the sample mean of $C_j(k)$. Therefore, the new cluster centre is given by

$$Z_j(k+1)= \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{x \in C_j(k)} x$$

where $j=1, 2, 3...K$ and $N_j$ is the number of samples in $C_j(k)$.

4. Go back to Step 2, stop if $z(k+1) = z(k)$ for $j=1, 2, ..., K$ then the algorithm has converged and the procedure is terminated. Otherwise go to Step 2.

4. Parameters

Selecting appropriate parameter for any given WSN is another challenge. But here we wanted to put forward those parameters which are common for almost all WSN.

For that most of the parameters we have chosen are related to basic hardware level. They are [13] residual energy level of the nodes, Transmission range (often characterized by RSSI), Modulation type, Distance (among the nodes, from the BS etc), Node density, Node angel, Centrality, bit rate, Turn on & wake up time, Processing power, Latency. We have selected Nodes energy. Distance from the BS, RSSI and Latency for our experiment which we think cover most of the real life scenarios and application.

4.1. Nodes Energy

W. heinzelmann et al. [3,4] used node energy level $2J$ where other research has used range varying from $1J$ to $5J$ [14]. In our experiment the energy of node is varied between $1J$ to $3J$.

4.2. Distance

One of the most significant factors for WSN is distance. The position of the base station in the wireless sensor network has great impact on the transmission and the receiving signal strength.

For our experiment we have considered the Euclidian distance from the base station (BS) to each node. It varied from 85 m to 175 m. The higher the distance more energy is required to data interchange

$$\text{Euclidian distance} = \sqrt{(x_i - x_c)^2 + (y_i - y_c)^2}$$

4.3. Received Signal Strength Indication (RSSI)

The relationship between RSSI (Received Signal Strength Indication) values and distance is the foundation and the key of ranging and positioning technologies in wireless sensor networks [15].

As the use of RSSI ranging need less communication overhead, lower implementation complexity, and lower cost, so it is very suitable for the nodes in wireless sensor network which have limited power.

The principle of RSSI ranging describes the relationship between transmitted power and received power of wireless signals and the distance among nodes. This relationship is:

$$P_r = P_t \cdot \frac{(1/d)^n}{10 \lg d}$$

Where $P_r$ is the received power of wireless signals, $P_t$ is the transmitted power of wireless signal, $d$ is the distance between the sending nodes and $n$ is the transmission factor whose value depends on the propagation environment.

Take 10 times the logarithm of both sides on (1) then Equation (1) is transformed to Equation (2).

$$10 \lg P_r = 10 \lg P_t - 10n \log d$$

$P_t$, the transmitted power of nodes, is given $10 \log P$ is the expression of the power converted to dBm. Equation (2) can be directly written as Equation (3).

$$P_r\text{ (dBm)} = A - 10n \log d$$

By Equation (3), we can see that the values of parameter $A$ and parameter $n$ determine the relationship between the strength of received signals and the distance of signal transmission.

For our experiment we have taken the RSSI value from $0$ to $100$ dB converting the $dbm$ value collected by the nodes by the BS [14].

$$\text{RSSI (dB)} = 10 \cdot \log \frac{P_r}{P_t}$$

4.4. Latency

Clustering in WSN should take into account the latency [16]. Latency is an important factor for system reliability such as the case of emergency response, and accuracy of data reporting in case of high frequency periodical data updates. On the other hand, energy consumption is essential to ensure survivability of sensor nodes and hence the lifetime of the system. In a Multihop network, significant delay occurs at each hop due to contention for the wireless channel, packets processing and queuing delay. The latency is therefore a function of the number of communication hops between the source and the gateway (CH). Latency is also dependent on distance. For our experiment our networks latency is a function of distance. As the distance increases the latency increases and vice versa.

5. Implementation of Scheme

Assumptions:

1) All nodes have same and adequate amount initial energy.
2) Each node or sink has ability to transmit message to any other node and sink directly.
3) Each sensor node has radio power control; node can tune the magnitude according to the transmission distance.
4) Each sensor node has location information and fixed after deployment.

Then the effectiveness of the clusters is evaluated based on the uniformity of the node distribution, Node range per cluster, Intra and Inter cluster distance and required energy level of each centroid.

5.1. Average Node Distribution

Assume that there are N nodes distributed uniformly in an M × M region. If there are k clusters there are on average N/k nodes per cluster (one cluster head and (N/k)—1 non cluster head nodes). As we have tested with 21 nodes, Table 1 shows the required nodes per cluster below.

5.2. Intra-Cluster Distance

This is the distance between a point and its cluster centre [9] to determine whether the clusters are compact. We take the average of all of these distances, defined as

\[ \text{intra} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{x \in C_i} \| x - z_i \| \]

where N is the number of nodes in the network, K is the number of clusters, and z_i is the cluster centre of cluster C_i.

We obviously want to minimize this measure.

5.3. Inter-Cluster Distance

This is the distance between clusters [9]. We calculate this as the distance between cluster centers, and take the minimum of this value, defined as

\[ \text{inter} = \min \left( \| z_i - z_j \| \right) ; \]

\[ i = 1, 2, \ldots, K-1 \text{ and } j = i+1, \ldots, K \]

we take only the minimum of this value as we want the smallest of this distance to be maximized, and the other larger values will automatically be bigger than this value.

5.4. Distribution Policies:

For the use in simulation the generated random numbers must be transformed to random variables using suitable distribution method.

**Uniform Process Generator:**

Let X be a uniformly distributed random variable with probability density function

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{b-a}, \quad a < x < b \]

\[ f(X) = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{dy}{b-a} = \frac{x-a}{b-a}, \quad a < x < b \]

and

\[ X = a + \left( \frac{b-a}{b-a} \right) r \]

Is the required process generator

**Exponential Process Generator:**

\[ f(x) = ae^{-ax} \quad a > 0, \quad x > 0, \text{ otherwise} \]

\[ f(x) = \int_{0}^{x} ae^{-ax} dt = 1 - e^{-ax} \]

\[ E(x) = \frac{1}{a} \quad \text{Var}(x) = \frac{1}{a^2} = \left[ E(x)^2 \right] \]

By inverse technique, \( R = 1 - e^{-ax} \) or \( 1-R = 1-e^{-ax} X = -(1/a) \ln R \), since R is likely to occur as \( 1-R = -E(x) \ln R \) where R is IID (Independent Identically Distributed).

For our experiment we have chosen Uniform Process Generator but future work can investigate more with negative Exponential Process Generator.

6. Experimental Results

In our work we carry out our simulation with 21 sensors deployed randomly assuming that they are deployed in clusters with inter-clusters communication will happen only through cluster heads of the respective clusters. Table 2 shows the simulation parameters.

6.1. Uniform Distribution

When we compare the effect of multiple parameters in two clustered network, we see that (Figure 1) about 83% of the clusters based on the various combinations of two parameters are uniformly distributed to the theoretical value while 50% of clusters are uniform for one parameter.

When we observe the node distribution in the three clustered network, we find that a combination of two parameters (Node energy level & Euclidian distance from BS) results 100% uniform node distribution in each cluster which reveals a significant intersection point of three lines on the theoretical value base line (Figure 2).

6.2. Maximum and Minimum Range of Node

We see in the four clustered network that change in the parameter combination results in an alternation in the range (maximum to minimum) of nodes per cluster. Our experiments show that (Figure 3) by increasing or decreasing we can alter node range in any specific cluster.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of clusters</th>
<th>Average nodes/cluster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Average nodes per cluster for our experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Node energy (B)</td>
<td>1J - 3J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from the Base Station (D)</td>
<td>85 m - 175 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSSI (R)</td>
<td>0 to 100 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency (L)</td>
<td>Depends on the distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of nodes</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Parameters used in simulation study.
Figure 1. Comparison of node distribution in two clustered network based on multiple parameter.

Figure 2. Achieving uniform node distribution in three clustered network based on multiple parameter.

Figure 3. Variation in the range of node distribution in four clustered network based on multiple parameters.
6.3. Intra Cluster Distance

One of the significant observations of our experiment is that the goal of minimizing the intra cluster distance can be achieved by choosing the right combination of the parameters. For example, in two clustered network combination of three parameters results in minimum intra cluster distance (0.253125 m) while for five clustered network two parameters results the least (0.350227 m). Table 3 represents the results we got from the experiments below:

6.4. Inter Cluster Distance

We can see that minimizing the inter cluster distance results maximization in the intra cluster distance (Figure 4). High Inter cluster distance reduces the cross talk or interference and high power consumption [17].

6.5. Centroids with Low Power Requirement

If the clusters that we choose have centroids with high energy that will results in a less energy efficient. From our experiment we see that the increase in the number of parameter in five clustered network (Figure 5) results in centroids with low power requirement for the same cluster.

Table 3. List of intra cluster distance for multiple parameters for different cluster size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster size</th>
<th>Parameter 1</th>
<th>Parameter 1 + 2</th>
<th>Parameter 1 + 2 + 3</th>
<th>Parameter 1 + 2 + 3 + 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.599375</td>
<td>0.363571</td>
<td>0.253125</td>
<td>0.3375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.356653</td>
<td>0.40558</td>
<td>0.463931</td>
<td>0.466716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.322795</td>
<td>0.358161</td>
<td>0.735257</td>
<td>0.344215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.504306</td>
<td>0.350227</td>
<td>0.746725</td>
<td>0.437796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Relationship between intra and inter cluster distance.

Figure 5. Decrease in the energy requirement for the same five clustered network for increasing number of parameter.
7. Conclusions and Future Works

7.1. Parameter Combination

For our experiment we have chosen 4 parameters which leads to a variation of $2^4 = 16$. Now if the number of parameters increases the number of combination will increase exponentially, which will eventually lead to NP complete problem. Various techniques can be applied to solve this kind of problems. For instance, in LEACH-C [6] the BS finds clusters using the simulated annealing algorithm to solve the NP-hard problem of finding $k$ optimal clusters.

7.2. Which Parameter to Choose?

Different WSN is made up for different purposes. So the effect of parameters will vary from network to network. In our experiment we tried to work with those parameters which are common for almost all the sensors. But finding the right combination of parameters and their optimum value for specific WSN is a great challenge.

7.3. Data Type

For our experiment we have worked with uniform distributed data type. Further experiment can be done by choosing exponential, Negative exponential distribution.

7.4. Platform

We have used python for our experiment because of its robustness and efficiency to deal with huge number of data. But while conducting this experiment we were not aware of any tools that can perform the same functionality. Experiments our concept using different programming language and compare our data with the output can pave the way to further research field.
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