Risk Factors Associated with the Abuse of Video Games in Adolescents

Ricardo A. Tejeiro¹, Jorge L. Gómez-Vallecillo¹, Manuel Pelegrina², Agustín Wallace², Enrique Emberley³

¹Departamento de Psicología, Facultad de Ciencias de la Educación, Universidad de Cádiz, Campus Río San Pedro, Cádiz, Spain
²Departamento de Metodología, Facultad de Psicología, Universidad de Málaga, Málaga, Spain
³Centro de Profesorado del Campo de Gibraltar, La Línea de la Concepción, Spain

Email: {ricardo.tejeiro, jorge.gomez}@uca.es, {pelegrina, awallace}@uma.es, emberley@cop.es

Received January 4th, 2012; revised February 10th, 2012; accepted March 16th, 2012

Several studies have revealed the existence of a maladaptive pattern of video game use whose symptoms are quite similar to those of the disorder referred to as “abuse” in the DSM-IV-TR (APA, 2000). The aim of this paper is to examine the psychosocial characteristics of the adolescent video game abusers and to compare them with the risk factors that the literature associates with substance dependence. For this purpose, two groups (“problem” and “social” players) were formed with 236 Spanish adolescents aged between 12 and 17 years, by means of the Problem Video Game Playing questionnaire (PVP; Tejeiro & Bersabe, 2002), and their psychosocial characteristics were then analyzed by means of a wide battery of tests. Only 37.3% of the problem players showed a psychosocial pattern similar to the risk factors for dependence; an integral model of maladaptive behavior is discussed as explanation for these persons’ video game abuse. The other 69.6% of “problem players” only differed from the “social players” in their over-use of video games (but not in other psychosocial factors); the social learning approach is suggested for these adolescents’ behavior.
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Introduction

Over the last 30 years, addiction has been one of the problems most commonly associated with the use of video games (Tejeiro, Pelegrina, & Gómez-Vallecillo, 2009). As with the presumed behavioral addictions to Internet (Ferraro, Caci, D’Amico, & Di Blasi, 2007), cell phone (Beranuy, Chamarro, Graner, & Carbonell, 2009), sex (Goodman, 1989) and others, the adaptation of the diagnostic criteria for substance dependence or pathological gambling from the American Psychiatric Association’s Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) has been the methodological choice of a majority of diagnostic or screening studies on video game “addiction”. Results range from 6 to 19 percent of apparently “addicted” video game players, as shown in Table 1.

One of the scales developed from this perspective is the PVP (Problem Video Game Playing Questionnaire; Tejeiro & Bersabe, 2002). Its 9 items are based on the DSM-IV (APA, 1994) criteria for substance dependence and for pathological gambling, as well as the literature on addictions. Psychometric analyses show that the PVP is one-dimensional and has acceptable internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha) at .69. The pattern of associations between the scale scores and alternative measures of problem play supports its construct validity (higher total scores in the scale were associated with higher frequency of play, mean and longest times per session, self and parents perception of playing to excess, and scores in the Severity of Dependence Scale).

However, while it is true that the PVP and other DSM adaptations make it possible to detect and to assess the presence of an apparently addictive disorder, it remains unclear if this disorder can be referred to as an “addiction”. The PVP authors presented their instrument as a quantitative measure, not as a tool for the diagnosis of addiction, and different studies in the

Table 1. “Addiction” to video games: prevalence studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percentage of “addicted”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fisher (1994, 1995)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffiths &amp; Dancaster (1995)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillis, Rolls, Rouse, &amp; Griffiths (1995)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haugue &amp; Gentile (2003)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>10% - 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grussler, Thalemann, &amp; Griffiths (2007)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ko et al. (2007)</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moussa (2008)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentile (2009)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peng &amp; Li (2009)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter, Starcevic, Berle, &amp; Fenech (2010)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentile et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
United States of America (Lyles, 2007; Langley, 2010; Elliott, Golub, Ream, & Dunlap, 2011; Ream, Elliott, & Dunlap, 2011a, 2011b; Tolchinsky & Jefferson, 2011), France (Bioulac, Arfi, & Bouvard, 2008, 2010), United Kingdom (Collins, Freeman, & Chamarro-Premuzic, 2012), Canada (Adlafl, Paglia-Boak, Betchman, & Wolfe, 2008; Parker et al., 2008; Taylor, 2008; Lafrenière, Vallerand, Donahue, & Lavigne, 2009), Iceland (Hróarsson, 2004; Einarsdóttir, 2008; Skarphédinsson, Pálsdóttir, & Ólason, 2008), China (De-Lin Sun et al., 2008), Australia (Loton, 2007), Brazil (Icassati-Suzuki, Vieira, Araujo, & Magallaes, 2009), Thailand (Supaket, Munsawaengsub, Nanthamongkolchai, & Apiuntavetch, 2008) and Peru (Vallejos & Capa, 2010) have followed this suggestion in using the PVP as a quantitative approach. Contrariwise, Arab et al. (2006, 2007) in Chile used the instrument to diagnose “video game addiction” when respondents answered affirmatively 2 or more items.

Tejeiro (2002) suggested that the pattern of problems associated with high PVP scores can be best referred to as “abuse”, since it is quite similar to the DSM-IV-TR (APA, 2000) criteria for substance abuse: a maladaptive pattern (of use) leading to clinically significant impairment or distress as manifested by a failure to fulfill major role obligations at school or home, or continued use despite having persistent or recurrent social or interpersonal problems caused or exacerbated by the behavior (arguments, physical fights). Similarly, Sánchez-Carbonell, Bersabe, & Chamarro-Premuzic, 2012, third self-administered version of the Socialization Battery (Bateria de Socialización BAS-3; Silva & Martorell, 1984). A-D Anti-social Illegal Behaviors Questionnaire (Cuestionario A-D de Conductas Anti-sociales-Ilegales; Seisdedos, 1988), General Intelligence Test Domino series Form 2 (Test General de Inteligencia TIG-2; TEA, 1990) and Situation-1 Spatial-perceptive Test (Test Espacial-Perceptivo Situation-1; Seisdedos, 1990). Analyses were conducted using the SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Method

Participants

Seven hundred and thirty seven secondary school students participated in the study. Students were recruited from ten urban public schools in the Spanish cities of La Linea, Algeciras and Puerto Real. The mean age of respondents was 14 years (SD = 1.12). Forty-eight percent of respondents were female. Participants were treated in accordance with the “Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct” (American Psychological Association, 1992).

Materials

Data were collected by means of a questionnaire designed for the present study and several self-report instruments. Our questionnaire was divided into two parts. The first part was to be fulfilled by the student and contained 12 questions regarding his or her video game beliefs and behaviors; it also included a classical sociometric procedure to measure popularity and status in the class-group. The second part, to be fulfilled by his or her teacher, included 6 questions regarding the students’ behavior and achievement. The other instruments were the PVP (Tejeiro & Bersabe, 2002), Eysenck Personality Questionnaire-Junior (EPQ-J; Eysenck & Eysenck, 1975), third self-administered version of the Socialization Battery (Bateria de Socialización BAS-3; Silva & Martorell, 1984), Self-concept Questionnaire, form A (Cuestionario de Autoconcepto AFA; Musitu, García & Gutiérrez, 1991), Impulsiveness, Venturesomeness and Empathy Scale (IVE-J; Eysenck, Easting, & Pearson, 1984), Anti-social Illegal Behaviors Questionnaire (Cuestionario A-D de Conductas Anti-sociales-Ilegales; Seisdedos, 1988), General Intelligence Test Domino series Form 2 (Test General de Inteligencia TIG-2; TEA, 1990) and Situation-1 Spatial-perceptive Test (Test Espacial-Perceptivo Situation-1; Seisdedos, 1990). Analyses were conducted using the SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

A cluster analysis was performed on the data from EPQ-J, BAS-3, AFA, A-D, TIG-2, Situation-1 and IVE-J, which identified two groups of 44 and 192 persons. All the players in the first group were problem players, whereas the second group included problem and social players. Three groups should therefore be distinguished: C1, formed by the 44 participants from the first original cluster, this is, 37.3% of the problem players; C2, formed by the 74 problem players not included in C1; and C3, formed by the 118 social players.

The male-female ratio is higher in C1 (6:1) than in C2 (1.46:1) and C3 (2.07:1), but differences are not significant ($\chi^2(2) = 3.08; p = .215$). The years of experience with the video games in C1 (M = 8.00, SD = 1.30) are similar to those in C2 (M = 7.91; SD = 1.15) and both higher than in C3 (M = 4.70; SD = 2.52) ($F = 5.91; p = .004$). Differences between groups...
were evaluated by one-factor ANOVAS with post hoc Tamhane’s T2, Pearson’s chi-square and Student’s t tests. C1 and C3 members were significantly different in most of the variables, whereas C2 were similar to C1 in all the variables directly related to the use of video games, but similar to C3 in the other variables. Results are resumed in Table 2.

C1 members are different to the others in the following variables: they score higher in introversion, hardness and tendency to anti-social and illegal behaviors, and they score lower in sincerity, empathy, consideration for the others and self-concept. Their teachers tend to consider them as conflictive and maladapted. These players think that their video game play is a normal behavior, but they believe that video games may lead to addiction, displacement of educational and social activities, aggressiveness and poor school performance. They perceive a low parental knowledge and control of their use of video games, as well as low control of their general behavior. Poor communication and affective relationships are also found in these families. C1 members report low shared play with their parents, few conversations with them about this topic, lower family satisfaction, less positive family context and less perceived proximity to their parents. They also report higher gambling, tobacco and alcohol use among their friends. Finally, these players show higher absenteeism, lower satisfaction with their school and teachers, worse relationships with their teachers, and lower satisfaction with their city and neighborhood.

C1 and C2 members, but not C3 members, share the following characteristics: they play video games frequently (“daily” or “almost daily”) and in long sessions (1.5 to 2 hours). They tend to have friends who also play video games, they like to talk to them about this activity and they prefer and practice shared play. TV console and computer are their preferred systems, while sports, platforms and adventures are their favorite types of play. C1 and C2 members own more games and more game systems, and they tend to play at home or in the homes of friends.

No inter-cluster differences were found in the following variables: intelligence, perceptive and spatial skills, entertainment as first reason for playing, family structure and composition, socio-economic status, frequency and satisfaction with peer relations, and school grades.

Discussion

All those who scored 4 or more in the PVP seem to present a problem of video game abuse, although only one third of them (included in C1) revealed a pattern of psychosocial characteristics similar to the pattern of risk factors consistently associated with addictions in the literature. The other problem players simply have a high involvement with video games and show a number of problem behaviors associated with this activity. Therefore, our hypothesis was only confirmed for C1 members.

The integrated models of maladaptive behavior can account for the abuse of video games in C1. Research has consistently demonstrated the importance of the family with regards to the development of behavioral problems in children and adolescents (Dekovic, Janssens, & Van As, 2003). Characteristics such as conflict, lack of warmth and communication, and negligent discipline have been identified as leading factors in adolescents developing behavior problems that include maladaptation (less satisfaction, poor personal well-being and worse relationships with the figures of authority), low self-esteem and the use of drugs as alternative gratification source (e.g., Brook, Brook, Gordon, Whiteman, & Cohen, 1990). These children tend to seek peer support and guide (López, 1986). If their personality is as found in C1 (low consideration, low empathy, high neuroticism, high hardness, tendency to anti-social and illegal behaviors), their odds of joining maladapted groups increase. The model offered by these groups includes substance abuse, gambling and anti-social behaviors. Some characteristics of the video games are particularly relevant to this pattern of relationships. Video games represent a world that is seldom or never visited by adults. Parents hardly know about the games or control their children’s use of games (Colwell & Pain, 2000). Video games are also a challenging experience (Lepper & Malone, 1987), a chance for these adolescents to test their skills and thus to perceive a feeling of control and competence that helps overcoming their low self-esteem. Video games help the teenagers to identify themselves with the group and to find a place in its hierarchy (Suess et al., 1998). Finally, they provide a chance to put into practice the adolescent’s fantasies of de-
striction and aggression with a high degree of realism but without possibility of harm (Turkle, 1984). Therefore, maladapted adolescents, as compared with other groups, will tend to play more with the video games and to show higher levels of problem behaviors (absenteeism, lies, fights, etc.) not only associated with the games but also with other realms of their lives.

As for C2 members, the social learning approach seems to provide the best explanation for their abuse of video games. Higher involvement in this activity (and higher exposure to its effects) can be seen as a consequence of the vicinity of peers who play. C2 members were found to own more video games and more game systems, as compared with the “non abuse” group; it is difficult to state whether higher ownership is the cause or a consequence of a higher involvement, but probably the effects are bidirectional. Finally, higher involvement is probably associated with lower parental control.

It can be concluded that adolescents tend to practice pleasant activities without moderation, and that they find higher attractiveness in the video games than in most alternative activities. A poor parent involvement in video game habits is expected to result in adolescents’ involvement in this activity (and in its effects) can be seen as a consequence of the vicinity of peers who play. C2 members were found to own more video games and more game systems, as compared with the “non abuse” group; it is difficult to state whether higher ownership is the cause or a consequence of a higher involvement, but probably the effects are bidirectional. Finally, higher involvement is probably associated with lower parental control.

A number of caveats need to be noted regarding the present study. First, it included a small sample of a specific age group from a determined geographical area. This study is also based on the PVP and therefore might be affected by any mistake in this instrument’s design or validation. Finally, the strict observance of research ethics excluded the analysis of some potentially relevant variables, such as aggressive behaviors in parents.
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