Chapter One: Introduction

Publishing academic articles in scholarly peer reviewed journals is very important for many interrelated reasons. By the second decade of the twenty-first century in the United States in particular, to get a tenure track teaching position in the social sciences and other fields at a college or university, one's chances are a lot higher if she or he has two or more scholarly journal articles already published. These articles are so important or influential that they can get more recognition than a book. One need not have a book to get tenure or promotion. Once one gets a teaching position at a college or university, he or she also needs to publish more articles to get tenure and promotion to associate or full professor (Barbezat, 2006; Boyes et al., 1984; De Rond and Miller, 2005; Gomez-Mejia and Balkin, 1992; Hamermesh, 1992; Hamermesh et al., 1982; Siegfried and White, 1973; Taylor et al., 2006). "A major reason for denying tenure at most schools is lack of publications resulting from the researcher's slow start" (Hamermesh, 1992, p.169). Barbezat (2006) notes that: "For faculty members, publications (particularly articles in refereed and prestigious journals) have been shown to influence academic salary, tenure status, and the probability of employment at a top economics department" (p.360).

Publishing an article can also get you a bonus or financial award apart from your salary. For example, Hamermesh (2009, June 3) notes of a university in the United States that: "... just started offering a bonus of \$23,000 for a sole-authored publication in one of five top [Economics] journals. But if you are one of two authors, you get \$16,250."

Publishing a scholarly article helps your department, college or university gain fame or positive reputation and get substantial grants from state or federal governments, corporations, and other funding entities (such as banks and non-governmental organizations). This gives the state and region (within the United States), country and continent where such a college or university is located prestige because those institutions tend to be ranked among the top 200 universities in the world (Brusa et al., 2006, p.82; Hesli and Lee, 2011, p.393; Hutchinson and Zivney, 1995, p.59; Kaba, 2012a; Stephan, 2012). Publishing scholarly articles can also increase the prestige of the family of the scholar within her or his local community. Within these communities, these scholars are usually selected to be on important Boards such as banks, non-governmental organizations, etc. Publishing scholarly articles also gets a scholar to be cited in future studies which leads to positive academic reputation and fame (Bodenhorn, 2003; Johnson, 1997; Liner, 2001; Price, 2008; Schweser, 1983; van Ours and Vermeulen, 2007). Schweser (1983) writes that: "The returns on publishing are shown to range from psychic rewards such as increased reputation and status, to monetary and professional rewards such as salary increases, promotion and tenure, expanded career opportunities, and increased mobility" (p.60). Johnson (1997) points out that: "All academics want to be cited. There are many reasons for this desire, including the quests for truth, fame or financial rewards.... Fame in academic is synonymous with citation..." (p.43). According to Aggarwal et al. (2007): "The professsional behavior of prolific scholars is of interest for a number of reasons. These professionals are the focus of much organizational and professional attention and often have a disproportional impact on their employing institutions and on our profession. ... prolific scholars are viewed as role models, and often elected to leadership positions in our professional organizations and help determine promotion, tenure, and other performance criteria for others in the profession" (p.80).

It has also been noted that publishing scholarly articles can make one a better teacher or professor in the classroom (Siegfried and White, 1973, pp.95-96; Stanton et al., 2009, p.38). Stanton et al. (2009) point out that:

"One stream of research in this area purports the notion that research and teaching are complementary and mutually supporting... that teaching and research involve common and reciprocal values, ...that

¹Hamermesh, Daniel S. 2009. June 3. "Co-Compensation," *New York Times*/Freakonomics. Retrieved on December 2, 2012 from: http://www.freakonomics.com/2009/06/03/co-compensation/.

academic administrators believed in the idea of a teaching-research nexus, or, in other words, the idea that teaching and research are interrelated functions through which the process of teaching is enriched by research and that research can be initiated through ideas generated in the classroom. Many academics support the idea that those who are the most active in research also are the most effective teachers... It is often argued that faculty who are research active are more likely to be up-to-date in discipline-specific knowledge and can use their research findings in preparing teaching materials... good researchers can make good teachers through their love of the subject area and a passion for contributing to that knowledge. Faculty who are active researchers are perceived to be more passionate about what they teach which translates into a heightened sense of excitement and engagement among students... Students also appear to value faculty research... college students' perceptions of a faculty member's knowledge currency, credibility and enthusiasm were enhanced through that faculty member's research activities" (p.38).

Publishing scholarly articles, especially in the field of economics increases a scholar's chances of winning a Nobel Prize in Economics. For example, I identified the following four winners of the Nobel Memorial Prize in Economics in this study: Gary S. Becker, the University of Chicago (1992); Joseph E. Stiglitz, Columbia University (2001); the late Elinor Ostrom, Indiana University (2009); and Dale T. Mortensen, Northwestern University, (2010) (2also see Mixon, Jr. and Upadhyaya, 2012).

Finally, publishing scholarly articles in a journal especially in the *American Economic Review* (*AER*) by a professor inspires or motivates her or his students. Furthermore, the younger a scholar is who publishes in the *AER*, the more likely she or he will impress young students. Indeed, I found that at least two of the scholars in this study earned their doctorates at age 24 or younger. For example, Susan C. Athey, a professor at Harvard University is reported to have earned her Ph.D. in Economics from Stanford University at age 24 in 1995, and also earned her Bachelor of Arts in Economics, Mathematics and Computer Science from Duke University in 1991. Colin Camerer, a professor at the California Institute of Technology, notes on his Curriculum Vitae that he earned his Ph.D. from the University of Chicago in 1981 at the age of 22. This could inspire very young undergraduate students to aspire to earn a doctorate in their early to mid twenties. In 2011, within their categories, 1.4% of those categorized as Two or More Races, 0.7% of Asians, 0.6% of Whites, 0.5% of Blacks, 0.4% of Hispanics who earned doctorates in the United States were 25 years and younger.³ Kaba (2009a,⁴ 2011a) expands the definition of a genius to include one with an earned doctorate by age 24.

In fields in the social sciences, the examples presented above are even more typical in economics and other related academic areas such as business, finance and management. For example, Hartley et al. (2001) present a table showing the publication data of scholars and professors at different types of higher education institutions and they note that: "Not surprisingly, economists at research institutions publish the most. Moreover, 40% of the publication at research institutions is in refereed journals, while all other institutional types publish only 25% of their output in such journals" (pp.81-82).

This study presents the profile of scholars and professors who published full-length articles in all five issues of the *American Economic Review* in 2010. Why the *American Economic Review*? The first answer is that I intended earlier to compare the profiles of contributors to the 2010 issues of the *AER*, the *American Political Science Review*, and the *American Sociological Review*, but as noted in the Methodology section, the study became too large, so I decided to do each separately. The *AER* is considered not only one of the leading economics journals in the world, but also one of the leading social science journals in the world. Scholars who publish in it tend to be employed as professors or scholars or enrolled as students at the most highly ranked institutions in the world. Examining eighty years (1911-1990) of data focusing on

²"All Prizes in Economic Sciences," Nobelprize.org. Retrieved on December 4, 2012 from: http://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/economics/laureates/.

³"Table 27. Median Age of Doctorate Recipients, by Broad Field of Study, Sex, Citizenship, and Race/Ethnicity: 2011," 2012. 2012. Doctorate Recipients from U.S. Universities: 2011. Retrieved on December 15, 2012 from: http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/sed/2011/data_table.cfm.

⁴Kaba, Amadu Jacky. 2009, November 17. "Gender and Economic Progress: The Contributions of Female Geniuses in the United States" (3 pages). *Holler Africa!* Magazine. Posted at: http://www.hollerafrica.com/. Published by Adonis-Abbey Press, London.

contributors to the *AER*, Heck (1993) notes of the fact that the American Economic Association had over 20,000 members makes it even more competitive to have an article published in the *AER*. So for those scholars who do so, they join a very small group of people to have such an accomplishment: "Many distinguished names, including several Nobel Laureates, can be found on this list. The small number of appearances in the AER by many of the great economists of the 20th century is testament to the difficulty of gaining acceptance to the journal and the stature of those who do appear" (p.164).

Other studies examining the impact of economics journals have included the *American Economic Review* (Chan and Liano, 2008; Hudson, 1996; Hinshaw and Siegfried, 1995; Sun, 1975). Pertaining to the difficulty of publishing an article in the *AER*, in 2010, of 1,477 manuscripts submitted, 84 (6%) were accepted, 20 (1%) were pending, 875 (59%) were rejected, 452 (31%) were Summary rejected, 43 (3%) were revise and resubmit, and 3 (0%) were withdrawn ("Report of the Editor," 2012, p.655).

Some might wonder why include Issue Two (the Papers and Proceedings) with the four regular issues in this study, since the articles in that particular issue do not go through the same rigorous review process. Heck's (1993) study of the AER, for example, excluded the Papers and Proceedings and presents this explanation, "Proceedings issues were excluded because those articles do not undergo the same review process as the articles in other issues" (p.163). However, I included this particular issue because by the second decade of the twenty-first century, these papers do go through some serious review process, especially when they are presented at the annual conference, where scholars make comments on them and important questions are asked. Moreover, the same scholars from the same institutions who publish in the regular issues are the ones whose articles are published in the *Papers and Proceedings*. For example, Hinshaw and Siegfried (1995) present a detailed explanation of the process that leads to the publication of the AER Papers and Proceedings and note that even though they do not go through the same peer review process as the articles in the regular issues, they still do go through a careful review process. They point out that the president-elect of the American Economic Association is given the authority to select 20 to 25 sessions from between 100 to 150 sessions. These Papers and Proceedings are reported to play an important role in the profession because they show: "...the current state of economic research and thinking. In most cases they are... exploratory and discursive rather than formal presentations of original research.... They sometimes summarize research findings that are defended more rigorously elsewhere. They are intended to serve the 'readers' in the profession more than the publishers, the teachers more than the researchers" (p. 154). Hinshaw and Siegfried (1995) continue by providing this important explanation of the influence of the *Papers and Proceedings*:

"Given that the papers are not refereed or even seen by the president-elect prior to publication and given the visibility of these published papers in the profession, it is important for the program chair to recruit reliable authors.... To persuade economists who are known to be dependable to write the kind of paper desired often requires the promise of publication; at a minimum, the promise is a useful incentive. It should come as no surprise that presidents-elect often turn to those whose previous work has demonstrated the qualities sought—to those economists with whose work they are familiar. Less well-known economists at less prestigious institutions have a smaller chance of being invited to join this part of the president-elect's program" (pp.154-155).

Hinshaw and Siegfried (1995) also add that:

"Despite the fact that articles in the *Papers and Proceedings* are not refereed, it has maintained a reputation competitive with the best refereed journals. The *Papers and Proceedings* ranked eleventh among 50 economic journals in terms of 'impact adjusted citations' to articles published in it from 1965 to 1969, after adjusting for size of journal.... Impact is considered by weighting a citation more heavily if it is from a journal that is, itself, highly cited. The *Papers and Proceedings'* relative ranking was I5th out of 108 journals for the 1970s and l6th out of 130 journals for the I980s" (p.154).

Finally, I included the *Papers and Proceedings* to give an opportunity to women scholars and minorities for their research to be recognized since as we shall soon learn, very few to none of them publish articles in the regular issues of the *American Economic Review*. For example, Appendix A1 shows that of the 194 contributors to the four regular issues of the 2010 *AER*, women accounted for only 12.6 percent.

This study begins by presenting an explanation of the Human Capital Theory and its relation to gender and race. Next, the methodology, data availability and limitations section of the study is presented. Next, the study presents the statistical findings and analysis of the compiled and computed data. Finally, the study presents a discussion section, focusing more attention on the various factors responsible for the wide gender and racial gaps in the statistics presented.