Effects of Land Use Change, Cultivation, and Landscape Position on Prairie Soil Organic Carbon Stocks
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Abstract

Temperate grassland soils are typically a sink for carbon. However, it is estimated that up to 99% of tallgrass prairies in North America have been converted to another land use. These conversions can lead to increased soil erosion and soil organic carbon (SOC) mineralization rates, turning a large carbon sink into a source. The purpose of this study was to compare by land use the retention of SOC, TSN, and fly ash on sloping landscapes with an emphasis on measuring the subsoil in addition to the surface soil. Eight paired plots were established on adjacent, sloping landscape profiles in western Iowa; one site a cropland and the other a remnant tallgrass prairie. The prairie landscape had a baseline SOC stock of 232 Mg-C ha⁻¹. After roughly 150 years of agriculture the cropland had 52% less SOC, 39% less TSN, and 22% less fly ash which equates to annual losses of 0.55 Mg-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, 0.04 Mg-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, and 0.0002 Mg-fly ash ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.
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1. Introduction

Temperate grasslands are one of the most converted and least-protected biomes worldwide and the tall-grass prairies of North America are no exception, with estimated loss of 82% - 99% since the time of settlement [1] [2]. When a prairie is converted to agricultural use the accompanying changes in vegetative cover and management practices can have severe negative impacts on soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks, largely through increases in soil erosion and SOC minera-
lization [3] [4]. While the effects of land use conversion to agriculture have been widely studied, the results of such studies have been quite variable and far too often these studies soil sampling depth are limited to the upper 10 - 20 cm of the soil. As demand for arable land increases, the conversion of marginal lands becomes common place; this makes it critical that we continue to improve our understanding of the effects of cultivation on SOC stock in sloping landscapes.

Temperate grasslands are typically found to be a sink for carbon and soils in North American grasslands have been shown to sequester anywhere from 0.20 to 0.58 Mg-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ [5] [6] [7]. The dense surface cover found in grasslands protects the soil from wind and water erosion while contributing to belowground carbon through root turnover and exudates. Additionally, studies have found that areas with high species diversity, such as temperate grasslands, also experience higher SOC storage via increased microbial activity [8]. Following cultivation, species composition tends to be much more homogenous and surface cover is often greatly reduced during the non-growing season leaving areas susceptible to physical erosion. Tillage can exacerbate the effects of reduced surface cover by breaking down soil aggregates [3] and it can also increase SOC mineralization rates [4] [9]. Following conversion to cropland, estimates of SOC stock losses range from no change at all [10] to losses of 60% [11]. This suggests there is still uncertainty regarding changes in SOC stocks and soil erosion after land use conversion.

The goal of this research is to measure the differences in surface and subsoil SOC, total soil nitrogen (TSN), and soil erosion between soil catena’s at a remnant-tallgrass prairie and an adjacent cropland. Fly ash mass will be measured to assess soil erosion. Fly ash is spherical magnetic particles previously emitted from coal burning power plants, trains, and farm equipment. Fly ash have previously been used as tracers in several soil erosion studies because it is persistent in the soil and its spherical shape and magnetic susceptibility make fly ash easily identifiable and separable [12] [13] [14]. Our hypothesis is that conversion of prairie to cropland, and the associated change in vegetative cover and management practices would result in significantly less SOC, TSN, and fly ash for both the surface soil and the subsoil. The one exception to this would be the subsoil for depositional areas which could have increased levels of SOC, TSN, and fly ash resulting from sediment deposition from up slope areas. The results of this research will help improve global C models and contribute baseline SOC data for use in other land use change studies or restoration efforts.

2. Materials and Methods

The study site is located at the Dinesen Prairie in Shelby County, Iowa approximately 7 km northeast of the city of Harlan (Figure 1; 41.708919°, −95.280461°). The soils in the area fine-silty, mixed, superactive, mesic Typic Hapludolls derived from loess such as the Marshall or Exira soil series. The mean annual precipitation for the area is 84.7 cm (33.4 in) and mean annual temperature is 8.6°C (47.6°F). Two land use types were used for this study, prairie and agriculture, both on
Figure 1. A map of the crop and prairie treatments used at the Dinesen Prairie study site in Shelby County, Iowa near the town of Harlan (41.708919°, −95.280461°). In July of 2015 three paired transects were established on two adjacent, south-facing sites; one being a cropland and the other a remnant tallgrass prairie. Modified from Olson et al. [20].

adjacent, sloping, south facing landscapes. A monument marker placed at the prairie site in 1946 claims that the area has no history of being plowed. However, the area was seeded with legumes at some point and was likely used for grazing in the past. Presently, controlled burns are used to manage the prairie in years when weather permits and the area is generally mowed in the fall. The vegetation at the prairie site is dominated by smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*) and big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*), which account for over 50% of the surface cover. The crop site utilizes a corn and soybean rotation and no-till management.

Field and Laboratory Methods

Soil samples were collected in July of 2015 using a similar design as used by Ol-
son et al. [15]. This consisted of three parallel transects spaced 10 m apart running down a soil catena for each land use type. Each transect was sampled at the summit (SU), shoulder (SH), upper backslope (UBS), lower backslope (LBS), footslope (FS), and toeslope (TS). Figure 2 shows the landscape cross-sections and the plot locations for each site. A soil core was collected at each landscape position to a depth of 1 m which was segmented into intervals of: 0 - 15 cm, 15 - 30 cm, 30 - 50 cm, 50 - 75 cm, and 75 - 100 cm. For each land use type, a second soil core was collected to a depth of 50 cm at each landscape position along the middle transect before being segments into increments of 0 -15 cm, 15 - 30 cm, and 30 - 50 cm for fly ash testing. The samples were air-dried and crushed to pass a 2-mm sieve prior to lab testing. Bulk density samples were also collected at each sampling position and depth using a Model 200 soil core sampler (Soil Moisture Equipment Corp).

Fly ash testing was completed at Moscow State University (Russia) using a modified Gouy balance and methods developed by Olson et al. [16] [17]. SOC stocks were determined using a modified version of the Walkley-Black wet oxidation method [18]. TSN was measured using a diffusion modified semi-micro Kjeldahl method, based on procedure number [18]. In both cases each sample was analyzed in duplicate and the data averaged.

3. Statistical Methods

Statistical analysis was done using R version 3.4.4. Linear mixed models (LMMs,
lmer function in R) were used to determine the effects of land use type and landscape position on SOC and TSN stocks in the surface and subsoil for the two land use types. To account for the paired design and any potential spatial dependencies, plot pairs as well as landscape position nested in land use type were included as random effects in the model. The same random effects were used to determine how SOC is affected by land use type and the interaction of soil depth and landscape position. LMMs were also used to compare the levels of fly ash between land use types using plot pairs as a random effect. Multiple comparisons with a Tukey adjustment were used to determine significant difference between landscape positions. In all instances an alpha of 0.05 was used to declare significance and 0.10 for marginal significance.

4. Results

SOC mass was determined by scaling the SOC concentrations by soil bulk density. **Figure 3(a)** and **Figure 3(b)** show the results for surface and subsoil SOC mass by land use type and landscape position. The prairie site had significantly higher SOC levels than the cropland site for both the surface and subsoils (p-value = 0.0003 and 0.0161, $R^2 = 0.88$ and 0.93 respectively) regardless of landscape position, with the exception of the subsoil of the TS [19]. Within a land use type there were no significant differences seen between landscape positions although the TS had marginally higher SOC and TSN (p-value = 0.0617 and 0.0961, respectively) than the other positions for both sites. The average proportion of surface SOC to total SOC to 1 m depth was 31% (SE ± 5%) and did not significantly vary by land use type or by landscape position, although there was a trend for both land use types to have the highest proportion of surface SOC in erosional areas and the lowest proportion in the TS [20].

**Figure 4** shows the relationship between SOC and depth for the two land use types. SOC was strongly correlated with land use type (p-value = 0.0140) and the interaction of soil depth and landscape position (p-value ≤ 0.0001, $R^2 = 0.87$). The prairie site maintained higher levels of SOC than the cropland throughout the entire 1 m sampling depth with the exception of the TS where the cropland had increasing SOC stock with depth.

TSN mass was highly correlated with SOC for both land use types, ($R^2 = 0.99$ for cropland and 0.78 for the prairie) and as a result TSN followed similar trends as SOC. The prairie site had significantly greater levels of TSN across the entire landscape in both the surface and subsoils (p-value = 0.0009 and 0.0498, $R^2 = 0.87$ and 0.91 respectively) (**Figure 3(c)** and **Figure 3(d)**). All landscape positions at the prairie site had greater TSN in the surface soil; however, subsoil TSN was only marginally higher than the cropland when viewed by landscape position. Within a land use type TSN mass was the same at all landscape positions except for the TS which again had marginally higher TSN in the subsoil (p-value = 0.0757). C:N ratios between treatments were also significantly different regardless of landscape position, with an average ratio of 12.2:1 for the prairie site and 8.8:1 for the crop site (p-value = 0.0029, $R^2 = 0.92$).
Figure 3. SOC and TSN mass as a function of land use type and landscape position for the surface soil (a and c, 0 - 15 cm) and subsoil (b and d, 15 - 100 cm) at the Dinesen study sites. Fly ash mass (e) by land use type for the surface soil (0 - 15 cm) and subsoil (15 - 50 cm). All figures are mean ± standard error. The prairie site had significantly greater levels of SOC and TSN in the surface and subsoil across all landscape position with the exception of subsoil TSN which was only marginally higher for the prairie site. Within a land use type there were no significant differences seen between landscape positions except for the subsoil in the TS which had marginally higher SOC and TSN (p-value = 0.0617 and 0.0757, respectively) than the other positions. Fly ash, a tracer for physical soil erosion, was significantly higher in the prairie subsoil (p-value = 0.0181, R^2 = 0.852), but the surface soils retained similar levels (p-value = 0.5828).

Figure 4. For a given landscape position, SOC mass was highly correlated with land use type and soil depth. The prairie site maintained higher levels of SOC than the cropland throughout the entire 1 m sampling depth with the exception of the TS where the cropland had increasing SOC with depth. This is likely due to the erosion of SOC rich topsoil from upslope being deposited in the depositional areas. There was an interactive effect between land use type and depth for the FS and TS, for the other landscape positions there was an additive effect. *, **, and *** signify statistical difference at p-values of 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 respectively. The shaded areas represent mean standard error.
Fly ash could only be statistically analyzed at the landscape level since there was only one transect used per land use type. Both sites had similar levels of fly ash in the surface soil, 0.036 Mg ha$^{-1}$ (p-value = 0.5828). However, the prairie site did have 31% higher fly ash mass in the subsoil (0.064 vs. 0.043 Mg ha$^{-1}$, p-value = 0.0181, R$^2$ = 0.852).

In order to compare the differences in SOC, TSN, and fly ash retention for the entire landscape profile, which had slightly different slope lengths, the mass data was weighted by landscape segment length (Table 1). After 150 years of cultivation, the cropland retained about 48% of the SOC that is currently found in the grassland, with upslope areas losing an average of 60% SOC and depositional areas losing 44% SOC. This equates to a loss of 120.91 Mg-C ha$^{-1}$ overall or 0.55 Mg-C ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$. For TSN, cultivation resulted in losses of 39%, which is equal to 7.40 Mg-N ha$^{-1}$ overall or 0.04 Mg-N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$. The fly ash data can provide insight on changes since roughly 1910, when coal powered trains and farm equipment became commonplace; and in that time the cropland lost 22% of the fly ash mass compared to the prairie site. Upslope landscape positions accounted for fly ash losses of 39% while the TS saw an increase of 21%.

**Table 1.** SOC mass (mean ± standard deviation) at the Dinesen Prairie site for the entire landscape profile weighted by segment length (Modified from Salemme [19]; Olson et al. [20]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape Position</th>
<th>Mass of SOC to 1 m depth (Mg-C/ha)</th>
<th>Landscape Sediment Length (m) of Transect</th>
<th>Landscape Segment % weighted SOC (Mg-C/ha)</th>
<th>Loss from conversion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prairie Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summit</td>
<td>222.94 (8.79)a</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>33.96 (1.34)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>219.91 (11.42)a</td>
<td>30.60</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>38.39 (1.99)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. Backslope</td>
<td>200.58 (7.51)a</td>
<td>31.30</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>35.81 (1.34)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Backslope</td>
<td>199.75 (16.64)a</td>
<td>26.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>29.74 (2.48)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footslope</td>
<td>215.47 (27.11)a</td>
<td>27.20</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>33.43 (4.21)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toeslope</td>
<td>320.59 (21.62)a</td>
<td>33.40</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>61.08 (4.12)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1379.24 (23.84)</td>
<td>175.30</td>
<td>232.41 (6.22)</td>
<td>−0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summit</td>
<td>100.14 (6.18)b</td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>13.56 (0.84)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>99.11 (22.40)b</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>15.51 (3.58)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. Backslope</td>
<td>77.77 (6.55)b</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>13.80 (1.16)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Backslope</td>
<td>76.95 (2.85)b</td>
<td>26.90</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>12.24 (0.45)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footslope</td>
<td>92.67 (33.00)b</td>
<td>26.50</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>14.52 (5.17)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toeslope</td>
<td>197.78 (10.76)b</td>
<td>35.80</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>41.87 (2.28)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>642.42 (73.66)</td>
<td>169.10</td>
<td>111.50 (16.44)</td>
<td>−0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values with the same letter within a column are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level.
5. Discussion

As expected, the prairie site had greater levels of SOC in both the surface soil and subsoil across all landscape positions. Overall the prairie site contained 232 Mg-C ha\(^{-1}\) to a 1 m depth compared to 111 Mg-C ha\(^{-1}\) for the cropland. The 52% difference in SOC is consistent with a 2001 study that compared the same prairie site with a cropland in an adjacent county which found SOC in the upper 20 cm of the soil to be 50% less in the cropland regardless of landscape position [21]. Our study shows that the 50% estimate continues well into the subsoil and that measuring SOC stocks to a depth of 1 m, rather than just the surface soil, resulted in SOC estimates that were roughly 13 times higher for the entire landscape profile.

**Figure 4** shows that the lowest sampling depth, 75 – 100 cm, is nearing the range where the cropland soil contains only negligible amounts of SOC; with the exception of the TS which actually increased with depth and likely contains SOC beyond a depth of 2 m. For the grassland soils the data suggest that for all landscape positions there is ample SOC even beyond 1 m, suggesting that the 52% difference in SOC is on the conservative side.

Following conversion to agriculture, it is estimated that natural areas lose roughly 20% of the SOC present to the atmosphere via SOC oxidation and mineralization and 10% to surface waters via erosion with the majority of the changes occurring within the first 20 years of conversion [4] [22] [23]. By these estimates, if the Dinesen Prairie site was cultivated there would be a loss of 23 Mg-C ha\(^{-1}\) to surface waters and 46 Mg-C ha\(^{-1}\) to the atmosphere, which has the CO\(_2\)-equivalent of 170 Mg-CO\(_2\) ha\(^{-1}\). Using the SOC sequestration estimates of 0.2 to 0.58 Mg-C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) for North American temperate grasslands, if the cropland was returned to a grassland it would take anywhere from 209 to 605 years to return the cropland SOC stocks to 232 Mg-C ha\(^{-1}\).

TSN and fly ash followed very similar trends as SOC with the exception of fly ash in the upper 15 cm being not significantly different between land use types. The rate of loss for SOC was correlated with the change in TSN and fly ash (\(R^2 = 0.95\) and \(0.47\) respectively). Our data suggest that even in the absence of soil erosion the conversion of prairie to cropland would result in a loss of 25% of TSN and 40% SOC, likely from leaching, microbial activity, and oxidation. This means that SOC is being lost faster than TSN or fly ash and is supported by the lower C:N for the cropland compared to the grassland. This trend has been seen in other studies utilizing a corn-soybean rotation as N-fixation from legumes can reduce root-derived C inputs [8] and N fertilizer additions can increase the rates of microbial activity and SOC decomposition [24].

This research provides a baseline figure for remnant grassland SOC stocks of 232 Mg-C ha\(^{-1}\) and found that the conversion to agriculture resulted in a loss of 0.55 Mg-C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) for roughly the last 150 years. Had our soil sampling depth been limited to the 20 cm surface layer with the assumption that the subsoil was unaffected, we would have greatly underestimated the level of SOC stocks in the
grassland which stresses the importance of sampling deeper in the soil profile. To continue improving our understanding of land use change effects on SOC stocks future research should focus on how fast and slow pools of SOC are impacted by land use conversion. Also, isotopic natural abundance methods could potentially shed light on the underlying mechanisms driving the changes seen in SOC stock by allowing for comparisons of d13C levels of SOC to those of plant- and microbial-derived carbon. Given that demand for arable land will likely lead to an increase in the conversion of marginal lands it is important that we have a thorough understanding of land use change effects so we can make informed land management decisions.
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