Analysis of the Bacterial Communities in Lime Concretion Black Soil upon the Incorporation of Crop Residues

Shao-Qiang Tao, Qiang Xia, Lin Zhu, Jing-Jing Chen, Ya-Nan Wang, Bing Qin

College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China.
Email: zhulin_2002.163@163.com

Received June 15th, 2012; revised July 20th, 2012; accepted July 31st, 2012

ABSTRACT
To analyze the bacterial communities in lime concretion black soil upon the incorporation of crop residues for two years in wheat-maize system, total DNA was directly extracted and PCR-amplified with the F357GC and R518 primers targeting the 16S rRNA genes of V3 region. The amplified fragments were analyzed by perpendicular DGGE. Analyzing of species richness index S and Shannon diversity index H revealed that there was a high diversity of soil bacterial community compositions among all treatments after incorporation of crop residues and fertilizing under field conditions. Eleven DGGE bands recovered were re-amplified, sequenced. Phylogenetic analysis of the representative DGGE fingerprints identified four groups of the prokaryotic communities in the soil by returning wheat residues and fertilizing under field conditions. The bacterial communities belonged to gamma proteobacterium, Cupriavidus sp, halophilic eubacterium, Acidobacterium sp, Sorangium sp, delta proteobacterium, Streptococcus sp and Streptococcus agalactiae were main bacterial communities. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) showed that there were the differences in DNA profiles among the six treatments. It showed that wheat residue returning, maize residue returning and fertilizing all can improve bacterial diversity in varying degrees. As far as improvement of bacterial diversity was concerned, wheat residue returning was higher than fertilizing, and fertilizing higher than maize residue returning.
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1. Introduction
Plant residues are an important source of nutrients in both natural and agricultural ecosystems, where synchronous plant growth and residue decomposition are essential for soil fertility. Fresh plant material (e.g. litter) represents a readily available substrate for both soil fauna and soil microorganisms. The main mineralization activity is performed by soil microbial communities, and the composition of the organic residues controls the decomposition rate and the related release of nutrients [1].

It has been reported that only a small fraction of microorganisms in nature are culturable. Therefore, to study the total microbial communities involved in organic matter decomposition, culture-independent and culture-dependent are needed.

Molecular techniques offer new opportunities for analysis of the structure of a microbial community [2]. In particular, sequence variation in rRNA genes has been exploited for inferring phylogenetic relationships among microorganisms [3] and may be used to estimate the genetic diversity of complex microbial communities in natural ecosystems [4-7]. All prokaryotes have 16S rRNA genes whose average length is about 1500 bp. There are both conserved and variable regions (the V1-V9 regions), and sufficient information has been compiled with which to conduct for reliable phylogenetic analyses [8,9]. Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) allows one to directly determine the richness and evenness of the dominant microbial species using 16S rRNA gene amplicons and, thus, to profile the corresponding microbial populations in both a qualitative way and a semiquantitative way [10-13]. The diversity can be estimated from the number of 16SrRNA gene sequence similarity groups (i.e. the number of DNA bands on the DGGE gel [4] and the intensity of DGGE bands. Each band is assumed to represent an operational taxonomic unit, which is called a species for simplicity [14].

It is important for the typical wheat-maize cropping system of two crops a year in the Huaihe Plain (HP) to the food security of Anhui, China. The crop residues produced by the systems used to burn and bring about environment pollution, which seriously affect the sustainable development of agriculture. A field experiment was conducted to study the diversity of soil bacteria.
communities in the Wheat-maize system under incorporation of crop residues.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Experimental Site and Soil

A field experiment was conducted in rice-wheat cropping systems for two years (2008-2010) in Mengcheng, Anhui, China. The climate is semi-tropical monsoon with hot and rainy summers and cold winters. The area receives 850 mm annual rainfall, about 80% of which occurs from June to September. The mean annual temperature is between 14.3°C to 16.7°C.

The alluvial soil of experimental site was a typical lime concretion black soil, well drained with pH 6.5 and silty clay loam in texture. The soil had soil organic matter 1.25%, and available N, P and K 80.2, 15.4 and 100.3 mg·kg⁻¹, respectively. Soils were collected from the top layer (0 - 20 cm) of the field at mid filling stage of maize. The soil was homogenized by sieving (2-mm mesh), and stored at 4°C before use.

2.2. Treatments and Crop Management

Treatments were arranged according to a completely randomized design with three replicates (Table 1).

Take the quantity of corn straw returning by grinding and burying. The amount of maize residue was about 12,000 kg·ha⁻¹. Wheat straw was returned to the field by grinding and bestrowing. The amount of wheat residue was about 7500 kg·ha⁻¹. Wheat (cultivars Yan Nong 19) was transplanted in the plots at row spacing of 20 cm. Planting density reach to 2.7 × 10⁶ ha⁻¹ individual plant. Maize (cultivars Zheng Dan 958 ) at row spacing of 60 cm and planting density reach to 6.75 × 10⁴ ha⁻¹. During wheat growth period, Nitrogen as urea was applied at 300 kg·N·ha⁻¹ were applied in shooting period of wheat. During maize growth period, Nitrogen as additional fertilizer was applied at 450 kg·N·ha⁻¹ compound fertilizer and 240 kg·N·ha⁻¹ were applied in related plot. Other 45% Nitrogen as additional fertilizer was applied in shooting period of wheat. During maize growth period, Nitrogen as urea was applied at 300 kg·N·ha⁻¹, 450 kg·N·ha⁻¹ and 240 kg·N·ha⁻¹ were applied respectively at six and twelve leaves expanded.

2.3. DNA Extraction of the Soil

Total community DNA extraction of the soil was carried out by the method of SDS-based DNA extraction as described by Zhou et al. (1996) with some modification [15]. Extraction buffer for removing humic acids (5 ml of 100 mmol·L⁻¹ Tris-HCl, 100 mmol/L Na₂HPO₄, 100 mmol·L⁻¹ sodium EDTA, 100 mmol·L⁻¹ NaCl, 4% de-grease milk powder, pH 10.0) was added with 0.5 g (wet weight) of soil, and mixed by vortexing for 3 min. The mixture was incubated at 65°C for 10 min in a water-bath, with intermittent vortexing. The mixture was centrifuged at 3000 g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded and pellet was washed with the removing humic acids extraction buffer once more up to the color of the supernatant almost same as the buffer. The pellet was mixed with 0.54 ml DNA extraction buffer (100 mmol·L⁻¹ Tris-HCl, 100 mmol·L⁻¹ Na₂HPO₄, 100 mmol·L⁻¹ sodium EDTA, 1.5 mol·L⁻¹ NaCl, 1% CTAB, pH 8.0) and added with 20 μl proteinase K (10 mg·mL⁻¹). The mixture was shaked at 225 rpm·min⁻¹ for 0.5 h at 37°C in table concentrator. Then, 60 μl 20% SDS was added to the mixture, incubated at 65°C for 2 h in a water-bath, with intermittent gently mixing. The sample was centrifuged at 6000 g for 10 min at room temperature. The supernatant was collected and the soil pellet re-extracted with further extraction buffer (90 μl), incubated at 65°C for 10 min and centrifuged as above. The supernatant fluid was transferred to centrifuge tubes (2 ml) and added with an equal-volume of phenol:chloroform:Isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, v/v/v), mixed, freezing in ice-bath for 10 min. Mixtures were centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The aqueous phase was extracted with hydroxybenzene:chloroform:Isoamyl alcohol two times. After then, the upper aqueous phase was added equal volume of hydroxybenzene:chloroform:Isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, v/v/v), centrifuging at 16,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The partially purified nucleic acid pellet resuspended in 20 ml of TE (10 mmol·L⁻¹ Tris-HCl, 1 mmol·L⁻¹ sodium EDTA, pH 8.0). Potassium acetate (7.5 mol·L⁻¹) was added to a final concentration of 0-5 mol·L⁻¹. The sample was transferred to ice for 5 min, then centrifuged (16,000 g, 30 min) at 4°C to precipitate proteins and polysaccharides, and DNA was precipitated by adding 0.6 volume isopropanol. After 1 h at room temperature, DNA was precipitated by centrifugation (16,000 g for 30 min) and resuspended in TE (20 μL).

2.4. PCR

The total DNA preparation of each sample was amplified.
by PCR with a 2720 Thermal Cycler (Applied Bio-systems, USA). The variable V3 region of 16S rRNA gene sequences from nucleotide 8 to nucleotide 1512 (Escherichia coli numbering) was amplified by PCR by using eubacterial primers 27 F (5′-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3′) and 1492 R (5′-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3′) at first, and then nested PCR was performed by using primers F357(5′-GC-CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3′) together with a GC clamp (5′ CgCCCGgCCgC-gCgCggCgggCgggggCAgggg-g-3′) and R518 (5′-ATT ACC GGC GCT GCT GG-3′) and the hot-start touchdown protocol described by Muyzer et al [2]. DNA extracted from the soil was amplified with a PCR mixture (25 μl) containing 2.5 μl of Mg-containing 10 × buffer, 2 μl of a 2.5 mmol·L⁻¹ de-oxyribonucleoside triphosphate mixture, 5 pmol of forward and reverse primers respectively, 1 μl of the DNA solution (1:10 dilution of DNA extraction), and 0.75 μl of Expand High Fidelity DNA polymerase ((TaKaRa). The polymerase was added after a hot-start procedure (5 min at 94 °C, followed by 5 min at 80 °C). PCR was performed with a 2720 Thermal Cycler by using the following protocol: 1 min at 94 °C (denaturation), 45 s at 65 °C (annealing), and 3 min at 72 °C (elongation) with a 0.5 °C touchdown every cycle during annealing for 20 cycles, followed by 10 cycles with an annealing temperature of 55 °C and a final cycle consisting of 10 min at 72 °C.

The absorbances of the DNA at wavelengths of 230, 260, 280 and 320 nm were determined with a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop® ND-1000, Thermo, USA) and 1% agarose gels were run to visualize the integrity of the DNA.

2.5. DGGE

DGGE was performed using Dcode™ Universal Muta-tion Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). For each sample, 400 ng of PCR product was loaded onto a poly-acrylamide gel (8%, wt/vol) with a 45% to 65% denaturant gradient. A denaturing gradient consisting of 100% denaturant is defined as 7 M urea with 40% (v/v) Electrophoresis was carried out at 100 v for 16 h (with an initial 30 min at 40 v ) at 60°C in 0.5 × TAE buffer (4.84 g of Tris base per liter, 11.42 ml of acetic acid per liter, 20 ml of 0.5 M EDTA per liter; pH 8.0). Gels were stained in 1:10,000 (v/v) SYBR® Green I for 30 min and visualized under UV irradiance. Gel images were captured with a Gel Doc-it™ documentation system. DGGE bands migrating to the same position in different lanes in the gel were considered to have the same sequence. The scanned gels containing DNA band profiles were analyzed to determine the intensity of each band using Quantity One 4.6 software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Selected bands were cut from DGGE gels and transferred to 1.5 ml micro tubes and purified by using Agarose Gel DNA purification Kit (TaKaRa), and were sub cloned into the pMD18-T vector, then transformed into E. coli strain DH5α and sequenced.

2.6. Data Analyses

DNA sequence analyses were edited and reformatted using the ChromasPro software. A similarity search for the nucleotide sequences of 16S rRNA genes of the test isolates was carried out online at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov using the BLAST search program for the nucleotide database maintained in Gen-Bank. Finally, the test sequences were compared with those of selected related species and the phylogenetic relationship of these sequences was determined by MEGA4 software. Bacterial diversity in the samples was estimated in two different ways: as S, species richness (the number of DGGE fragments detected disregarding their relative intensities), and H, the Shannon index of bacterial diversity. The Shannon diversity index was calculated as (H) = −ΣniPi lnPi = −Σ(Ni/N ln(Ni/N) based on the relative band intensities, as formulated by Eichner et al [16]. Pi was defined as ni/N, where Ni is the area of a peak in intensity and N the sum of all peak areas in the lane profiles.

To estimate the diversity of bacterial communities in lime concretion black soil after the incorporation of crop residues in wheat-maize system, the data obtained from the DGGE patterns, based on band intensity and position, were analyzed by principal component analysis. Principal component analysis was performed using SPSS (version 16.0).

3. Results

3.1. DNA Extraction from Soil Samples and Specific PCR Amplification of 16S rRNA Genes

DNA extracted from each soil sample of 6 treatments has much high integrity. The DNA contents of the crude extracts were measured. The A260/A230 absorbance ratio indicates polysaccharide or polyphenolic contamination, and the A260/A280 ratio indicates protein contamination [17]. The A260/A280 ratios of DNA extraction from soil samples were all about 1.4, but the A260/A280 ratios were all about 0.7. It suggested that humic acid had yet not been wiped out fully from the DNA extraction. The target gene for the bacterial communities could successfully be amplified in all extracts Using primers 27 F and 1492 R, a special DNA fragment amplified by first round PCR was gained in each soil samples. The length of the special DNA fragment was about 1533 bp. At second round PCR Using primers F357 and R518, a special DNA fragment (234 bp) was obtained in each soil samples by diluting the product of the first round PCR 1:100 as template.
Equal quantities of amplified DNA (234 bp) were loaded into the slots of the different lanes on the gel for comparison of the diversity of soil bacteria in different treatment by DGGE.

3.2. DGGE

The banding profile of 16S rDNA DGGE analysis revealed that the populations of bacteria varied among all soils of different treatments (Figure 1).

The different DNA fragments were the same length but had different base sequences (operational taxonomic units or species). Between 28 and 38 bands were distinguished in the soils of all treatments (Table 2). The lowest number of bands was in the control treatment CK with no wheat and maize residue returning, no fertilizing, and the highest number was obtained from the treatment W-F with wheat residue returning and fertilizing, but no maize residue returning. Dominant bands were present particularly WM-NF, WM-NF and W-F, in contrast to CK, CK-F, M-F, where the bands were more similar and had higher intensity. Disregarding the relative intensities of detected fragments, S (species richness) among WM-NF, WM-NF and W-F were higher than CK, CK-F and M-F. It indicated that band number depended on wheat residue returning, in addition, fertilizing can also add the band number in some degree. Considering to the soil samples we got at maize growth period, it was wheat residues but maize residues that had more effects on the bacterial diversity and quantity. Shannon index H of CK treatment was the lowest as 2.69, where no crop residue returning and no fertilizing, and the one of CK-F, WM-NF, WM-NF and W-F treatment was higher than CK treatment. The index H of CK-F treatment was the highest to 3.06 (Figure 2).

![Figure 1. DGGE patterns of 16S rRNA gene sequences of soil samples in lime concretion black soil after the incorporation of crop residues in wheat-maize system.](image1)

![Figure 2. Soil bacteria communities of different species diversity index.](image2)

Table 2. Number of DGGE bands in DGGE profiles of bacteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>CK</th>
<th>CK-F</th>
<th>M-F</th>
<th>WM-NF</th>
<th>WM-NF</th>
<th>W-F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bands</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since we were just considering the technique to describe the genetic diversity associated with the effect of wheat residues inoculation to soil and fertilizing under field conditions, we decided to use the most representative banding profile to construct phylogenetic relationship. In lane W-F of Figure 1, eleven selected bands were cut from DGGE gels and sequenced. The phylogenetic relationship of these sequences was showed as Figure 3. Using the program BLAST, sequences with most similarity to reference strains were found in the GenBank database. The result showed that the bacterial communities belonged to Cupriavidus sp, gamma proteobacterium, Streptococcus sp, Sorangium sp, Acidobacterium sp, delta proteobacterium during the incorporation of crop residues in wheat-maize system, thereinto, Streptococcus sp and Acidobacterium sp were main bacterial communities.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) showed that there were the (qualitative) differences in DNA profiles among the six treatments (Figure 4). The crop residue returning and fertilizing showed divergent development of bacterial communities which was associated with different diversity indices. PC1 contributed much more than PC2 to bacterial community. PC1 and PC2 constitute 63.15% of total variation. There were much similarity between CK-F and W-F treatment. Though there was no incorporation of crop residue in CK-F treatment, it had been applied by fertilizer. This indicates that bacterial communities developed in the process of crop litter returning or fertilizing.

4. Discussion

Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) is a gel electrophoresis method used to separate DNA fragments.
of the same length, but containing different base-pair sequences; it is used to determine the presence and abundance of different microbial species in a mixed population [18]. It was put forward first by Fischer and Lerman to detect single base substitutions within long DNA sequences [19]. Muzyer et al. apply this approach to analyzing the genetic diversity of complex microbial populations, which is based on the separation of polymerase chain reaction-amplified fragments of genes coding for 16S rRNA ribosomes, all the same length, by DGGE [6]. DGGE exploits base-pair sequence difference to separate amplified DNA on a high-resolution polyacrylamide gel along a denaturing gradient. Sequences differing in base composition denature at different locations on this gel. The number of bands on the gel is therefore indicative of the gene diversity in the original sample, such as a DNA
Crop residues have complex structure and are combinations of many different ingredients such as hemicellulose, cellulose, lignin and water-soluble polysaccharide. The degradation of these substances in the soil demand associative action of multi-microorganisms. Kimura’s study showed that there existed complicated microflora in the process of stalk decomposition [20]. Only an estimated 0.1% - 1% of the naturally occurring bacteria have been isolated and characterized so far [21]. Selective enrichment cultures fail to mimic the conditions that particular microorganisms require for proliferation in their natural habitat. Furthermore, many microorganisms are bound to sediment particles and are thus not detected by conventional microscopy [2].

Molecular biological techniques offer new approach for the analysis of the structure and species composition of microbial communities. In particular, sequence variation rRNA has been exploited for inferring phylogenetic relationships among microorganisms [3]. These techniques have also been applied to determining the genetic diversity of microbial communities and to identifying several uncultured microorganisms [22,23]. They constitute the cloning of ribosomal copy DNA or polymerase chain reaction (PCR amplified ribosomal DNA (rDNA) followed by sequence analysis of the resulting clones. By using 16S rDNA in this community DNA for analysis, similarly it is possible to reveal the soil microbial community after the incorporation of crop residues in wheat-maize system.

Extraction of DNA from soils always results in coextraction of humic substances which interfere with DNA detection and measurement. This contamination can inhibit Taq DNA polymerase in PCR interfere with restriction enzyme digestion [24,25], and reduce transformation efficiency [26] and DNA hybridization specificity [27]. Since humic substances are difficult to remove, DNA purification is a critical step following direct extraction to obtain DNA of sufficient purity. Zhou et al. indicated that both CTAB and PVPP can effectively remove humic materials [15]. In our study, we had also made use of the de-humic effect of sodium pyrophos-phate and degrease milk powder for removing humic acids, besides adding CTAB and PVPP. Finally, the bacterial variable V3 region of 16S rDNA was amplified by diluted template and nested PCR. This simple and practicable method can eliminate inhibition of humic substances to Taq DNA polymerase on the whole.

The DNA band pattern obtained by DGGE is an attractive way to study complex communities in environmental samples because most of the bacterial genotypes (species) gave one band [11]. The intensities of the DNA bands reflected the relative levels of the bacterial strains. Bacterial DNA profiles obtained by DGGE can be used as a semiquantitative measure of bacterial diversity, and we therefore used this method to study bacterial diversity after incorporation of crop residues and fertilizing under field conditions in agricultural soils.

Analyzing of DGGE profiles reveal that either crop residue returning to soil or fertilizing can increase species richness index, S and the Shannon index of bacterial diversity, H. Moreover, Shannon index H was consistent with species richness index S as a whole among all treatments (exception for CK-F treatment). The disappearance of dominating bands and the subsequent development of a more uniform band pattern of CK-F treatment can be interpreted as follows: r strategists (opportunists) that prevailed in soil of fertilizing were replaced by a variety of K strategists (persisters). This resulted in a special high H value of CK-F treatment.

The DNA band patterns obtained from amplified 16S rDNA V3 region gene sequences and DGGE indicated that the structure and diversity of bacterial communities changed significantly by crop residue returning or fertilizing. The numbers of distinct DGGE bands for all treatments were between 28 and 38. The lowest number was in the control treatment CK.

The number of DGGE bands was taken as an indicator of species in each sample. The relative intensity of each DGGE band and the sum of all the surfaces for all bands in a sample was used to estimate species abundance [28,29]. The Shannon index of diversity (H) was used to determine the complexity of the DGGE bands from soils of different treatments. A higher diversity was observed in the soil of CK-F treatment than others. By using the Shannon index of diversity in combination with the cluster analysis of the DGGE banding patterns based on the similarity coefficient, we were able to monitor a whole range of community responses from all the treatment soils. Genetic diversity of soil samples was mea-
sured as bands on denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) of amplified 16S rDNA sequences from the soil community DNAs. When analysed by Shannon index (H), the highest genetic biodiversity (H = 3.061) was found in CK-F treatment with no wheat and maize residue returning but fertilizing; the poorest biodiversity (H = 2.692) in control treatment (CK) with no wheat and maize residue returning, no fertilizing. The Shannon-Weaver diversity index (H) was calculated from the DGGE profiles for each treatment. High H indicates a high diversity in the microbial community. In the present study, considerable qualitative differences in the community structure were found in the six treatments. With CK-F and W-F (wheat residue returning and no maize residue returning, fertilizing) treatments, the bacterial diversity was higher than with the other treatments, indicating that the fertilizing and wheat residue returning possibly enhanced the microbial community.

Simultaneously, the bacterial diversity of CK treatment was lower than the one of other treatments according to Shannon index of diversity. We can infer that the bacterial diversity be closely related to the crop residue returning and fertilizing which add nutrient for bacteria. The number and intensity of bands on denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis among all treatments were different. It showed that the predominant bacteria in each treatment were different.

Wheat residue returning, maize residue returning and fertilizing all can improve bacterial diversity in varying degrees. Contribution of PC1 was about 37.1%, and the one of PC2 was about 26.1% (Figure 4). The result of principal component analysis (PCA) showed that bacterial community of CK and M-F treatment was different from the one of the others. The difference of them lied with that there was no wheat residue returning in CK and M-F treatment. On the other hand, CK-F treatment had the highest index H to 3.06 (Figure 2). It suggested that fertilizing have much effect on bacterial community under no crop residue returning. In conclusion, as far as improvement of bacterial diversity is concerned, wheat residue returning was higher than fertilizing, and fertilizing higher than maize residue returning.

The results of this study clearly demonstrate the impacts of crop residue returning and fertilizing on the bacterial community composition of soils. The extensive cloning and sequencing of PCR-DGGE bands proved to be a powerful tool in assessing community structure differences in soils. Our future objectives in studying the impacts of management on microbial populations are aimed at attempting to understand the relationships which may exist between microbial community structure and function.

In conclusion, microbial diversity increased during the course of crop residue returning to soil or fertilizing. Not only crop residue returning to soil but also fertilizing affected the development of bacterial community. The effect of interaction between crop residue returning to soil and fertilizing on soil bacterial community need making a further investigation.
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