Taichi Softball as a Novel Chinese Health-Promoting Exercise for Physical Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Abstract

Background: Taichi softball was voted as one of the most popular health-promoting exercises in the category of ball games, which is attributed to that Taichi softball is not only beneficial for lower extremity-related physical health (e.g., balance, leg strength, and flexibility), but can also develop manipulative skill and hand-eye coordination (eating, bathing, dressing, bathing required manipulative skills, grips movement and strength). However, the positive effects of Taichi softball on physical health have rarely been investigated. Therefore, the purpose of this systematic review was to evaluate the effect of Taichi softball on physical health. Methods: Five electronic databases were used to conduct literature searches. Two review authors independently extracted data in a standardized manner. The methodological quality of studies included was independently evaluated according to the Cochrane Collaboration’s for Assessing Risk of Bias from the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review Interventions. The standard mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI) using more conservative random effects model were calculated. Results: The sample size of 411 participants ranged from 32 to 150 in the RCTs, along with a wide age range from 18 to 75. The length of Taichi softball intervention periods in the eligible studies ranged from 12 weeks to 12 months. The participants in the studies consisted of healthy college students, patients with Type 2 diabetes, and older adults from community centers. Six randomized controlled trials were used for the meta-analysis. The aggregated results are in favor of Taichi softball on improving physical health in participants with healthy status and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. The improvement on the primary components of the physical health consisted of handgrip strength...
(SMD, −0.6, 95% CI −0.84 to 0.36, p < 0.00001), trunk flexibility (SMD, −0.4, 95% CI −0.74 to −0.05, p = 0.03), static (SMD, −0.73, 95% CI −0.94 to −0.51, p < 0.00001) and dynamic balance (SMD, −0.68, 95% CI −1.2 to −0.17, p = 0.009). Conclusions: Taichi softball appears to be beneficial for improving physical health (hand strength, physical balance, flexibility, aerobic endurance, resting heart rate, diastolic and systolic pressures) among healthy adults and patients with Type 2 Diabetes. However, because of the low methodological quality of assessment, ill-designed experimental designs, and small study size, a definite conclusion of Taichi softball improving physical health can be confirmed along with high-quality studies with long follow-up.
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1. Introduction
Physical health is defined as a state of physical well-being in which an individual is physically fit to perform their activities without restriction [1]. It is closely associated with components of physical fitness (e.g., cardiovascular endurance, muscular strength, muscular endurance, flexibility, body composition, balance, and coordination) [2]. However, with the scientific and technological progress, physical health in adults was reported to become worse, which may be attributed to their sedentary lifestyles through spending a great amount of time on the digital devices [3] [4] [5] [6]. For example, a long-term sedentary lifestyle was associated with degeneration of trunk flexibility [7] [8] [9] and balance [8] [9] [10]. Individuals with weak trunk flexibility and balance are significantly restricted to perform voluntary movements (e.g. trunk bending to pick up something on the floor). Individuals with trunk mobility limitation are able to complete the voluntary actions, but compensation usually emerges which can distort correct movement patterns leading to musculoskeletal injuries. In addition, adults with the sedentary lifestyle may be more likely to have chronic diseases (e.g., Type 2 with Diabetes mellitus) [11] [12] [13].

Taichi Quan was extensively approved to promote physical health in adults [14] [15] [16] [17] [18].

Taichi softball is a novel Chinese health-enhancing exercise invented based on the principle of Taichi Quan (practice slow, gentle, graceful movements along with deep breathing and relaxation for cultivating internal energy) [19]. Taichi softball was also voted as one of the most popular health-promoting exercises in the category of ball games [20]. Practitioners are required to hold a racquet controlling a light softball while performing Taijiquan movements [19]. Therefore, practicing Taichi softball is not only beneficial for lower extremity-related physical health (balance, leg strength, and flexibility) [21] [22], but can also develop manipulative skill and hand-eye coordination (eating, bathing, dressing, bathing required manipulative skills, grips movement and strength) [23] [24]. These
components of physical health are critical for people to independently perform daily activities. Physical health benefits can be obtained from practicing Taichi softball and TaijiQuan, but when compared to TaijiQuan, Taichi softball is more enjoyable because it is an interactive exercise [19]. Regardless of these characteristics of Taichi softball contributing to physical health, a comprehensive systematic review investigating Taichi softball for physical health has not been done. The authors of the present study carried out a systematic review and meta-analysis to examine Taichi softball as an intervention to improve physical health.

2. Methods

2.1. Registration

This research protocol was registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) on 15 March 2016 (CRD42016036469) [25]. In addition, The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement was consulted and provided the structure for this review [26].

2.2. Data Sources and Searches

Five electronic databases (PubMed, GoogleScholar, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, Chinese Scientific Journal Database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database [CBM]) were used to conduct literature searches, along with the combination strategy of: Taichi ball, Taichi softball, Taiji ball, or Taichi softball. The studies were included if they met the following criteria: 1) only peer-reviewed studies in English or Chinese because reviewer authors are proficient in both languages; 2) original randomized controlled studies published from September 2000 to February 2016; 3) Taichi softball as the main intervention in the studies; 4) a minimum of one component relating to handgrip strength, balance, or trunk flexibility as primary outcomes. Except the primary outcomes, other components of the physical health (e.g., systolic and diastolic pressures, resting heart rate, aerobic endurance, shoulder mobility, and bone mineral density and metabolism) were also reported. In addition, because of the limited number of randomized controlled trials carried out, additional study designs investigating the effectiveness of Taichi softball were also displayed to obtain a more comprehensive understanding (Table 1), including non-randomized controlled studies [23] [27] [28], non-controlled observational studies [29] [30], and cross-sectional studies [31].

2.3. Data Sources and Searches

For each included study, two reviewer authors (xx and xx) independently extracted data in a standardized way. The data extraction included the following: the first author, year of publication, sample size, intervention duration and frequency, and details of the control group, sex and age of study participants, study design, and components of the physical health consisting of primary outcome measures (hand-grip strength, balance, or trunk flexibility), secondary outcomes
Table 1. Summary of Taiji softball studies in the systematic review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Sample (F/M)</th>
<th>Age, mean ± SD years</th>
<th>Study groups (n)</th>
<th>Frequency and duration</th>
<th>Outcomes measured and results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cui et al., 2012</td>
<td>CSS</td>
<td>29 (29/0) Healthy</td>
<td>66.8 ± 4.36 (T) 65.6 ± 3.92 (C)</td>
<td>T (15)</td>
<td>Three 60-minute Taiji softball sessions for more than one year</td>
<td>Primary outcome (handgrip strength test, p &lt; 0.05) Additional outcomes (reaction time for red and green lights, p &lt; 0.01 and p &lt; 0.05, respectively; right hand stability, p &lt; 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lam et al., 2011a, b</td>
<td>NRCT</td>
<td>66 (39/27) Sedentary</td>
<td>Mean age between 65 and 75</td>
<td>T (32) Routine activities (32)</td>
<td>Two 60-minute Taiji softball sessions per week for 10 weeks</td>
<td>Primary outcomes (flexibility using sit-and-reach test, p &lt; 0.01; handgrip strength test, p &lt; 0.05) Secondary outcomes (2-minute step test, p &lt; 0.01; time up and go, p &lt; 0.01) Additional outcomes (arm curls test for arm muscle strength, p &lt; 0.01; AAPERD Soda Po test for hand eye coordination, p &lt; .01; chair sand test for lower body strength, p &lt; 0.01; back scratch test, p &lt; 0.01; BMI, p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al., 2010</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>64 (32/32) Healthy college students</td>
<td>19.5 ± 2.6 (T) 19.5 ± 2.3 (C)</td>
<td>T (32) C (32) no training</td>
<td>Six 120-minute sessions per week for 12 weeks</td>
<td>Primary outcomes (handgrip strength, p &lt; 0.05; flexibility using sit-and-reach test, p &lt; 0.05; and stock balance test, p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lai, 2010</td>
<td>NOT</td>
<td>17 (9/8) Healthy older adults</td>
<td>Aged between 60 and 69</td>
<td>T (17)</td>
<td>Seven 60-to-90-minute tajji softball sessions for three and half months</td>
<td>Primary outcomes (flexibility using sit-and-reach test, p &lt; 0.05; handgrip strength, p &lt; 0.01; static balance, &lt; 0.01) Additional outcomes (BMI, p &lt; 0.01; waist-and-hip ratio, p &lt; 0.0; shoulder skinfold, p &lt; 0.05 abdomen skinfold, p &lt; 0.01, waist skinfold, p &lt; 0.5; reaction time p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qiu et al., 2014</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>150 (150/0) Healthy college students</td>
<td>Aged between 18 and 25</td>
<td>T (50) BaDuanJin (50) C (50)</td>
<td>Five 50-minute Taiji softball sessions per week for 18 weeks</td>
<td>Primary outcomes (handgrip strength, p &lt; 0.01; flexibility using sit-and-reach test, p &lt; 0.5; stock balance test, p &lt; 0.01) Secondary outcomes (systolic and diastolic pressures, p &gt; 0.05; resting heart rate, p &lt; 0.05; step test, p &lt; 0.01) Additional outcomes (weight, p &lt; 0.05; waist and abdomen circumferences, p &lt; 0.05; arm and shoulder skinfolds, p &lt; 0.01; abdomen skinfold, p &lt; 0.01; broad long jump, p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2007</td>
<td>NRCT</td>
<td>40 (40/0) Postmenopausal women</td>
<td>60 ± 8 (T) 61 ± 5 (C)</td>
<td>T (20); Walking (20)</td>
<td>Three 50-minute Taiji softball sessions per week for 24 weeks</td>
<td>Additional outcomes (bone mineral density on lumbar 2-4, p &lt; 0.05); bone mineral content on lumbar 2 and femoral neck, p &lt; 0.001), and bone metabolism index (bone glaprolein [BGP] and serum alkaline phosphatase [ALP], p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>Mean Age</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wei, 2012</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>52 (22/30)</td>
<td>Patients with Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>56.0 ± 7.2</td>
<td>T (26)</td>
<td>Routine drug treatment (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiao et al., 2015</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Patients with Type 2 diabetes Mellitus</td>
<td>Mean age 65.5</td>
<td>T (16)</td>
<td>No intervention (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiao, 2014</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>72 (unclear)</td>
<td>Healthy community dwellers</td>
<td>65.4 ± 6.9</td>
<td>T (36)</td>
<td>Other exercise program (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yao, 2008</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>41 (41/0)</td>
<td>Healthy older adults</td>
<td>64.2 ± 3.58 (T) 65.4 ± 3.60 (C)</td>
<td>T (21)</td>
<td>C (20) no training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al., 2012</td>
<td>NOT</td>
<td>19 (19/0)</td>
<td>Patients with arteriosclerosis</td>
<td>57.4 ± 3.78 (T)</td>
<td>T (19)</td>
<td>Seven 30-minute Taiji softball sessions for 24 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T = Taiji softball group; C = control group; RCT = Randomized controlled trial; CSS = Cross-sectional trial; NRCT = non-randomized controlled trial; NOT = non-controlled observational trial.

(systolic and diastolic pressures, resting heart rate, aerobic endurance, and mobility), and additional physical health-related components. A third party appeared and had dealt with disagreements between the two reviewers.

With respect to the change scores and standard deviations for the primary and secondary outcomes, if authors did not report the change score data, reviewer (xxx) first tried to contact the authors via email or phone call to obtain the data. In cases where the data was not obtainable, reviewers used one of the following methods: a) if baseline scores between two groups were not significantly different, scores from posttest were used for data analysis; b) if baseline scores were different, reviewers attempted to estimate the change scores and standard devia-
tion through standard formulas provided by Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [32]. If reviewers were unable to find the relevant information for estimating the change scores and standard deviations, the study was excluded. In addition, the study [33] with two interventions and one control groups, review author combined two group (non-Taichi softball intervention and control group) to create a single pair-wise comparison. The method is recommended by Crochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions 16.5.4. (how to include multiple groups from one study).

2.4. Assessment of Risk Bias
The methodological quality of each study was independently evaluated according to the Cochrane Collaboration’s for Assessing Risk of Bias from the Cochran Handbook for Systematic Review Interventions [32]. The type of bias is classified as the following domains: 1) selection bias (sequence generation and allocation concealment); 2) performance bias (blinding of participants and personnel); 3) detection bias (blinding of outcome assessment); 4) attrition bias (incomplete outcome data); 5) reporting bias (selective outcome reporting), and other biases. Judgment of the reviewers on each of seven domains of each included study was based on three categories (low risk of bias, high risk of bias, or unclear risk of bias). The specific requirements of the judgment for each category can be found from Table 8.5. d. of the Cochrane Handbook (criteria for judging risk of bias in the risk of bias assessment tool). A method was used for summary assessment of the risk of bias for each important outcome and across studies on basis of: a) low risk of bias for all key domains (“Low” indicating low risk of bias); b) unclear risk of bias for one or more key domains (“unclear” indicating unclear risk of bias); c) high risk of bias for one or more key domains (high indicating high risk of bias).

2.5. Statistical Analysis
Revman 5.3 software within the Cochrane Collaboration for data analysis was used to synthesize a subset of the most commonly reported outcomes from the six randomized controlled trials. The standard mean difference (SMD), along with 95% confidence interval (CI) using more conservative random effects model, was used to calculate heterogeneity for the primary and secondary continuous outcomes [34]. The value of I^2 was used to test heterogeneity across the included studies. Studies with I^2 ranging between 25% and 50% for low heterogeneity, I^2 ranging between 50% and 75% for moderate heterogeneity, and I^2 > 75% for high heterogeneity were considered respectively [35]. A funnel plot for the primary outcomes (handgrip strength, trunk flexibility, static and dynamic balance) was used to explore publication bias.

3. Results
3.1. Description of Included Trial
As displayed in Figure 1, the flow chart reflects the search strategies (search
process and study selection). A total of 70 titles were identified using the following databases: PubMed (n = 2), Google Scholar (n = 19), Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (n = 15), Chinese Scientific Journal Database (n = 16), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (n = 12), and other sources (n = 6). After removing 38 duplicates, 32 articles were screened. 15 articles were excluded based on two reasons (no relevance = 10; not a full text article = 5). Full texts of 17 articles were retrieved, and 11 articles were excluded with the following reasons: no components of the physical health reported (n = 4), no Taichi softball intervention (n = 3), and incomplete data extraction (n = 4). The final number of six RCTs were included for meta-analysis, including two studies in English [24] [36] and four studies in Chinese [21] [33] [37] [38].

The sample size of 411 participants ranged from 32 to 150 in the RCTs, along with a wide age range from 18 to 75. The participants in the studies consisted of healthy college students [33] [38], patients with Type 2 diabetes [24] [37], and community dwellers [21] [26]. The control groups either involved no training, [21] [24] [38] jogging and aerobic exercises [26], regular drug treatment [37] or Baduanjin [33], and the participants in the experimental group experienced the Taichi softball program [21] [24] [33] [36] [37] [38]; with an exercise time range from 50- to-120 minute; three-to-six sessions weekly; intervention period ranging from 12 weeks to 12 months. The characteristics of the included articles along with additional relevant non-RCTs [23] [27] [29] [30] [31] [39] were shown in Table 1.

### 3.2. Methodological Quality of Included Trials

As shown in Figure 2, according to the quality assessment criteria (the Cochrane Collaboration’s for Assessing Risk of Bias from the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review Interventions) [32], the majority of the six RCTs were consi-
Figure 2. Risk of bias graph: review authors’ judgements about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies.

Figure 2. Risk of bias graph: review authors’ judgements about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies.

dered to be poor methodological quality [21] [24] [33] [36] [37] [38]. Although the randomized allocation of participants in all studies was described, none of the studies included methods of random sequence generation (e.g. referring to a random number table, using a computer random number generator, or coin tossing). Except two items (incomplete outcome data for attrition bias and selective reporting for reporting bias) of all studies with low risk bias [21] [24] [33] [36] [37] [38], the rest of items (allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, and other bias) for all studies remained unclear. In order to obtain the original methods about the five items, one of researchers of the present study (HRW) contacted the authors via email that was found on from the contact information listed on the authors publication, but did not receive any response. Six follow-up phone calls via Skype were made. Only two authors answered but stated that they lost the original data.

3.3. Meta-Analysis of Outcomes Measured

Outcomes related to physical health were mainly comprised of three primary components with the six RTCs (hand strength, flexibility using sit-and-reach, and balance), along with secondary components (aerobic endurance, resting heart rate, systolic and diastolic pressures) [21] [24] [33] [36] [37] [38]. In order to obtain a more comprehensive understanding, additional components of physical health from non-RCTS [23] [27] [29] [30] [31] [39] were also depicted in Table 1 (e.g., BMI, leg strength, agility, reaction time, aerobic endurance, shoulder mobility, pulmonary function, and bone mineral density).

Four RTCs (a total of participants = 298) reported handgrip strength with handgrip dynamometer [24] [33] [37] [38]. Meta-analyses were performed to compare the Taichi softball program with non-intervention controls [24] [38], Baduanjin (one of the Chinese traditional health-promoting exercises) and non-intervention controls [33], and routine drug treatment [37]. The overall results of these studies suggest that Taichi softball is associated with significantly improved handgrip strength (SMD = −0.6, 95% CI [−0.84, −0.36], P value of
0.55 for heterogeneity with $I^2 = 0\%$, $P$ value of test for overall effect $< 0.00001$; **Figure 3**.

Four RCTs (a total of participants = 298) reported flexibility with sit-and-reach test [24] [33] [37] [38]. Meta-analyses were performed to compare Taichi softball program with non-intervention controls [24] [38], Baduanjin (one of Chinese traditional health-promoting exercises) and non-intervention controls [33], and routine drug treatment [37]. The overall results of these studies suggest that Taichi softball is associated with significantly improved trunk flexibility (SMD = −0.4, 95% CI [−0.74, −0.05], $P$ value of 0.13 for heterogeneity with $I^2 = 47\%$, $P$ value of test for overall effect $= 0.03$; **Figure 3**).

![Forest plot showing the effect of Taiji softball on handgrip strength (handgrip dynamometer), flexibility (sit-and-reach test), static (stock standing test) and dynamic balance (timed up and go).](image-url)

**Figure 3.** Forest plot showing the effect of Taiji softball on handgrip strength (handgrip dynamometer), flexibility (sit-and-reach test), static (stock standing test) and dynamic balance (timed up and go).
Five RCTs (a total of participants = 359) reported static balance [21] [24] [33] [36] [38]. Meta-analyses were performed to compare the Taichi softball program with non-intervention controls [21] [24] [38], Baduanjin (one of the Chinese traditional health-promoting exercises) and non-intervention controls [33], and other physical exercise (but the authors did not mention the extract name of the exercise) [36]. The overall results of these studies suggest that Taichi softball is associated with significantly improved balance performance (SMD = −0.73, 95% CI [−0.94, −0.51], P value of 0.79 for heterogeneity with I² = 0%, P value of test for overall effect < 0.00001; Figure 3).

Two RCTs (a total of participants = 104) reported mobility (dynamic balance) with Timed Up and Go test [24] [36]. Meta-analyses were performed to compare the Taichi softball program with non-intervention controls [24], and exercise (but the authors did not mention the extract name of the exercise) [36]. The overall results of these studies suggest that Taichi softball is associated with significantly improved balance performance (SMD = −0.68, 95% CI [−1.2, −0.17], P value of 0.21 for heterogeneity with I² = 35%, P value of test for overall effect = 0.009).

Two RCTs (a total of participants = 182) reported aerobic endurance [24] [33]. Meta-analyses were performed to compare the Taichi softball program with non-intervention controls [24], Baduanjin (one of the Chinese traditional health-promoting exercises) and non-intervention controls [33]. The overall results of these studies suggest that Taichi softball is associated with significantly improved aerobic endurance performance (SMD = −0.69, 95% CI [−1.04, −0.34], P value of 0.30 for heterogeneity with I² = 9%, P value of test for overall effect < 0.0001; Figure 4).

Two RCTs (a total of participants = 202) reported resting heart rate [33] [37]. Meta-analyses were performed to compare the Taichi softball program with non-intervention controls [33], and routine drug treatment [37]. The overall results of these studies suggest that Taichi softball is associated with significantly improved cardiovascular function (SMD = −1.45, 95% CI [−1.77, −1.12], P value of 1 for heterogeneity with I² = 0%, P value of test for overall effect < 0.00001; Figure 5).

Two RCTs (a total of participants = 202) reported systolic pressure [33] [37]. Meta-analyses were performed to compare the Taichi softball program with non-intervention controls [33], and routine drug treatment [37]. The overall results of these studies suggest that Taichi softball is associated with significantly decreased systolic pressure (SMD = −0.24, 95% CI [−0.53, −0.05], P value of 0.35 for heterogeneity with I² = 0%, P value of test for overall effect = 0.10; Figure 6). Two RCTs (a total of participants = 202) reported diastolic pressure [33] [37]. Meta-analyses were performed to compare the Taichi softball program with non-intervention controls [31], and routine drug treatment [37]. The overall results of these studies suggest that Taichi softball is associated with significantly decreased diastolic pressure (SMD = −0.20, 95% CI [−0.49, −0.08], P value of 0.43 for heterogeneity with I² = 0%, P value of test for overall effect = 0.17; Figure 6).
Figure 4. Aerobic endurance was measured using step test and 6-minute walking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>Baduanjin</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI</th>
<th>Difference IV, Random, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qiu et al., 2014</td>
<td>-4.61</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>50 -0.45 4.1831 100 79.4% -0.60 [-0.95, -0.26]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiao et al., 2015</td>
<td>-49.8</td>
<td>52.11</td>
<td>16 -2 36 16 20.6% -1.04 [-1.78, -0.30]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (95% CI)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>100.0% -0.69 [-1.04, -0.34]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.01; Chi² = 1.10, df = 1 (P = 0.30); I² = 9%
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.90 (P < 0.0001) Favours [Baduanjin] Favour [control]

Figure 5. A forest plot of the meta-analysis of RCTs comparing Taiji softball group with control group for change in resting heart rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>Baduanjin</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI</th>
<th>Difference IV, Random, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qiu et al., 2014</td>
<td>-9.84</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>50 2.96 8.4314 100 72.6% -1.45 [-1.82, -1.07]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wei, 2012</td>
<td>-3.55</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>26 -0.18 2.32 26 27.4% -1.45 [-2.06, -0.83]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (95% CI)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>100.0% -1.45 [-1.77, -1.12]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.00, df = 1 (P = 1.00); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 8.80 (P < 0.00001) Favour [Baduanjin] Favour [control]

Figure 6. A forest plot of the meta-analysis of RCTs comparing Taiji softball with control group for change in systolic and diastolic pressures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>1.93 Systolic pressure</th>
<th>Baduanjin</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI</th>
<th>Difference IV, Random, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qiu et al., 2014</td>
<td>-3.28</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>50 -1.43 11.69 100 36.2% -0.16 [-0.50, 0.18]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wei, 2012</td>
<td>-6.22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26 -0.66 12.34 26 13.7% -0.47 [-1.02, 0.08]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (95% CI)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>49.9% -0.24 [-0.53, 0.05]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.88, df = 1 (P = 0.35); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.65 (P = 0.10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>1.94 Diastolic pressure</th>
<th>Baduanjin</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI</th>
<th>Difference IV, Random, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qiu et al., 2014</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>50 -0.71 9.51 100 36.2% -0.13 [-0.47, 0.21]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wei, 2012</td>
<td>-4.18</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>26 -0.12 10.95 26 13.9% -0.39 [-0.94, 0.16]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (95% CI)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>50.1% -0.20 [-0.49, 0.08]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.61, df = 1 (P = 0.43); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.39 (P = 0.17)
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 0.03, df = 1 (P = 0.85); I² = 0%

| Total (95% CI)    | 152                     | 252       | 100.0% -0.22 [-0.43, 0.02] |                             |

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 1.53, df = 3 (P = 0.68); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.15 (P = 0.03)
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 0.03, df = 1 (P = 0.85); I² = 0%

Favour [Baduanjin] Favour [control]

3.4. Adverse Events

None of RTCs mentioned adverse effects (e.g. fall, hospitalization, side effects of medication, or death) resulting from participating in Taichi softball program.
4. Results

The purpose of the present meta-analysis was to evaluate the effect of Tai chi softball training on physical health in adults. The meta-analysis suggests that Tai chi softball training is beneficial for improving physical health (hand strength, physical balance, flexibility, aerobic endurance, resting heart rate, diastolic and diastolic pressures). Tai chi softball, as a novel health-enhancing exercise, should be recommended to practice in order to receive physical health benefits, which helps healthy adults to maintain high quality of life [21] [33] [36] [38]. Tai chi softball should be available in a rehabilitation program as a non-pharmaceutical alternative method for patients with Type 2 Diabetes [24] [37].

However, although meta-analysis verified that Tai chi softball had a positive impact on physical health, two main factors contained the small sample size and poor methodological qualities of included trials that potentially weakened positive findings. The authors therefore should conservatively interpret the positive findings. The methodological quality of the included randomized controlled trials was evaluated based on five main aspects with seven items [32]. Overall result of judgment for each included trial was considered to be high risk of bias, which is mainly attributed to inadequate information in the following: 1) process of random sequence generation (e.g., although the authors described that participants were randomly allocated into two groups, but none of random components were mentioned such as computer random number generator, coin tossing, or throwing dice; 2) allocation concealment (selection bias) 3) blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) 4) blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias). Only two studies included conducted a pre-experimental estimation of sample size and power [24] [36]. Therefore, results from poor experimental design are not as convincible as a well-designed experiment produced results [40].

When compared to TaijiQuan as a low-limb dominant exercise (e.g., balance,
and leg strength) [17] [40] [41] [42], Taichi softball does not only require low extremity-related abilities, but also the abilities (strong handgrip strength holding the racket to control the softball, shoulder mobility, and eye-hand coordination as two main abilities are essential) are needed [19]. In this way, Taichi softball may relatively require practitioners to have better abilities. Therefore, researchers should pay more attention to safety of participating in Taichi softball. As a novel Chinese health-enhancing exercise, occurrence of adverse events during Taichi softball intervention period should be recorded such as death, hospitalization required, participants forced to leave because of a permanent adverse outcome, or a fall leading to bone fracture. In addition, minor adverse events should also be documented with a diary such as muscle soreness or pain lasting three days after a Taichi softball session, and dizziness or faintness during a Taichi softball session. Nevertheless, none of the included trials mentioned any adverse events. Therefore, the present systematic review is unable to draw a conclusion regarding the safety of Taichi softball, suggesting that future studies examining the efficacy of Taichi softball should take into account documentation of adverse events.

Although no withdrawals were found in the included studies, weekly session attendances were not reported at all [21] [24] [33] [36] [37] [38]. Participants adhering to the Taichi softball program throughout the entire intervention period would produce different results when compared to participants with the absence of Taichi softball sessions [43] [44] [45]. Strategy dealing with missing Taichi softball sessions (e.g. participants missing more than six consecutive sessions should be contacted and asked to make up) was not reported [21] [24] [33] [36] [37] [38]. In addition, with respect to post-intervention follow-up, it should be used to investigate duration of Taichi softball maintaining physical health [46] [47], but was not reported in all RCTs [21] [24] [33] [36] [37] [38].

5. Conclusion

The statistical significance in the meta-analysis indicates that Taichi softball participants with healthy status and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus can obtain physical health benefits. However, because of low methodological quality of assessment and ill-designed experimental designs, definite conclusion of Taichi softball improving physical health can be confirmed along with high-quality studies with long follow-up.
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