Unusual Eye Trauma Caused by Hula Hoop: A Case Report
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Abstract

Introduction: Eye injuries are common in sports and have the potential for major morbidity with sight threatening consequences. However, a small number of sports, such as soccer, rugby or hockey are responsible for most injuries. To our knowledge, hula hoop penetrating eye injury is not described in literature. In this report, we present a case of an unusual eye injury caused by a hula hoop. Case presentation: A 17-year-old female was admitted as an urgent penetrating right eye injury caused by a hula hoop. Penetrating wound covered 2/3 of the cornea and was associated with iris prolapses and incarceration; iridodialysis of more than half of the circumference, and traumatic cataract. Her best corrected visual acuity of the right eye was “hand motion”. The second corneal wound in parallel with the first one was noticed while she was being treated surgically under general anesthesia. Iris was repositioned, anterior chamber was formed with the viscoelastic and wounds were repaired using 10-0 nylon sutures. Iridopexy was performed using straight needle 10-0 prolene, while standard cataract surgery with phacoemulsification and IOL implantation were done. The postoperative recovery was uneventful beside elevated intraocular pressure (TOD = 24 mmHg) that was treated successfully by topical beta blockers. Six months later, her best corrected visual acuity was 0.6 stenopeic, with evident linear corneal opacification and traumatic mydriasis. Conclusions: Although seemingly impossible, hula hooping can be dangerous to the eyes if practiced in incommodious areas and close to other people.
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1. Background

The incidence of eye injuries due to sports has shown an increasing trend, ac-
counting for up to 40% of all eye injuries requiring hospital admission [1] [2] [3]. These injuries have the potential for major morbidity with sight threatening consequences, however, about 90% of all these injuries could be prevented with proper education of athletes [4] [5]. The mechanisms of sport-related eye injuries most commonly involve blunt trauma, followed by penetrating or radiation injuries, whereas sports that are most commonly associated to eye injuries include team sports such as soccer, basketball, rugby, baseball, or hockey [4] [5].

Hula-hooping is a popular sport, particularly among school children, around the globe. This sport requires complex skills by which a hoop with its circular shape, is kept in steady oscillation parallel to the ground or other planes by means of coordinate oscillations of different parts of the body [6]. This sport is mostly performed without any protective measures and there are only a few hula-hooping-related injuries reported in the literature, mainly due to irresponsible use of the hula-hoop or the existence of previous diseases [6]. A search of the literature produced no evidence of any eye injury caused by hula-hooping. To our knowledge, here we report a case of an unusual penetrating eye injury caused by a hula-hoop that has not been previously described.

2. Case Presentation

A 17-year-old female was admitted to our clinic as an urgent case due to penetrating right eye injury caused by a hula hoop. The patient’s sister lost control while hula hooping in the dining room, and after the hula hoop hit the wall it broke down causing a penetrating eye injury to the admitted patient. On examination, best corrected visual acuity of patient’s right eye was “hand motion”. A slit lamp examination revealed: a penetrating wound covering 2/3 of the cornea between 11 o’clock and 4 o’clock associated with iris prolaps and incarceration; iridodialysis on more than a half of the circumference between 10 o’clock and 5 o’clock; and a traumatic cataract that made impossible the examination of the posterior segment (Figure 1).

She was given 1 g of cefazolin intravenously and a tetanus shot, given the concern of an open globe injury. She was brought to the operation theatre immediately where the surgical repair under general anesthesia was performed. After we repositioned the iris, we noticed the second corneal wound in parallel with the first one between 9 o’clock and 5 o’clock. Wounds were repaired using single 10-0 nylon sutures, whereas for iridopexy repairment, straight 10-0 pro-

![Penetrating corneal wound with iris prolaps and iridodislysis.](image)
lene needle was used. Standard cataract surgery and IOL implantation was also performed. The postoperative recovery was uneventful beside elevated intraocular pressure (TOD = 24 mmHg) that was treated successfully by topical beta blockers (Timolol). Dilated funds examination revealed no retinal whitening, tear or detachment. Six months later, her best corrected visual acuity was 0.6 stenopeic, with evident linear corneal opacification and traumatic mydriasis (Figure 2).

3. Discussion and Conclusions

The number of people participating in sports activities has been increasing over recent years due to better availability and improved socioeconomic status [7] [8]. With such activities, sport-related eye injuries have become a concern around the world as the incidence has been steadily increasing [2] [8]. These injuries can be fatal for sight, being responsible for up to one-third of all cases of blindness in the United States [9]. However, studies on the epidemiology, risk factors, mechanism of injuries and treatment of sport-related eye injuries are lacking, particularly for some recreative sports such as hula-hooping. We could not identify, through literature search, any single study that reported hooping-related eye injuries. Hula-hooping has to be performed in a spacious area as it is based on natural laws and principles of nature, therefore, its risk under safe condition is very little or absent [6]. Hooping related injuries, described in literature, include: myositis, bruises, oedemas, allergies, dermatitis, dizziness and headaches [10] [11] [12]. Penetration injuries by hula hooping have not been previously recognized.

In the present case, we describe the case of a young girl who sustained penetrating eye injury by a close distance brooked hula hoop ring that required surgical intervention. Owing to the hooping in dining room and the small distance between the two individuals, the broken hula hoop stroked the eye with a great velocity cumulating in a severe penetrating eye injury. This mechanism of injury highlights the importance of players to be aware of the risk of hula hooping in incommodious areas and close to other people. We reported immediate consequences of the eye injury that included penetrating corneal wound; iris prolaps and incarceration; iridodialysis and traumatic cataract. The management of the eye injury involved conservative therapy for infection prophylaxis and surgical intervention for the repairment of the wounds and...
cataract removal. However, six months later, our patient had a BCVA of 6.0 stenopeic, evident linear corneal opacifications, traumatic mydriasis and elevated intraocular pressure. Although seemingly impossible, this case impressively demonstrates the mis-belief that hula hooping is harmless and relatively safe, especially if practiced in inadequate places and close to other people.
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