The Importance of Extensor Mechanism in the Success of Patello-Femoral Arthroplasty
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Abstract
Anterior knee pain is a common symptom in general orthopedic practice and is reported in 8% of women and 2% of men over the age of 55 years. The treatment of isolated patellofemoral arthritis is controversial. Many patients can be effectively managed conservatively. Analysis was done on 14 patients (17 knees) with symptomatic isolated patellofemoral osteoarthritis between 2001 and 2007. 3 patients had additional procedures like tibial tubercle osteotomy and lateral release, tibial tubercle osteotomy with medical plication and iliotibial band lengthening and lateral retinacular release. The operation should be viewed as a soft-tissue procedure involving resurfacing of the femoral trochlea and the articular surface of the patella. Proper balance of the extensor mechanism must be done at the time of surgery to ensure correct tracking of the patella which in turn results in the best outcome for the replacement.

Keywords
Patellofemoral Arthroplasty, Patellar Maltracking, Patello Femoral Pain Lateral Retinacular Release, Tibial Tubercle Osteotomy

1. Introduction
Anterior knee pain is a common symptom in general orthopaedic practice and is reported in 8% of women and 2% of men over the age of 55 years. The treatment of isolated patellofemoral arthritis is controversial [1]. The etiology of the disease can be traumatic, secondary to malalignment, degenerative, idiopathic, or a combination of these. Many patients can be treated effectively with nonoperative means, including strengthening exercises, bracing, medications, and activity modifications. However, there are a group of patients with severe disease who are quite disabled and may require surgery.

Surgical treatment may be necessary in patients with chronic patellar subluxation, osteochondritis dissecans

of the patella, excessive lateral pressure syndrome, or patellofemoral osteoarthritis [2].

Several surgical procedures have been used to treat the severely degenerated patellofemoral joint [3]. Osteotomy [4] [5], which realigns and transfers load across the patellofemoral articulation, debridement of osteophytes and chondrectomy combined with drilling of the subchondral bone, facetectomy, patellar reconstruction with periosseal grafts, patellectomy, or surface replacement of the patella alone, has been suggested as surgical options [5]-[15]. Total knee arthroplasty [16] [17] is another option for elderly, less active patients with severe, isolated patellofemoral arthritis. Each of these procedures, however, has its own limitations and may not be the treatment of choice for young active patients.

Patellofemoral arthroplasty was introduced in the 1950s [13]. There are many advantages of this procedure. It has had some favorable long-term results [18] [19]. It preserves the structural integrity of the joint [20]; and in one study [21], it was associated with a lower rate of deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolus compared with total knee arthroplasty. A patellofemoral arthroplasty can be revised to a total knee arthroplasty, and good results of such revisions have been reported [22].

The objective of the study is to assess the importance of extensor mechanism balancing for the success of patellofemoral arthroplasty. We report the short-term results of patients with patellofemoral osteoarthritis who have been treated with a patellofemoral replacement.

2. Method

We studied the outcome of patellofemoral arthroplasty in 14 consecutive patients (17 knees) with isolated patellofemoral osteoarthritis treated between 2001 and 2007. No patient was lost to follow-up.

The inclusion criteria were patients who had objective evidence by radiographs of severe patellofemoral arthritis with normal or nearly normal femorotibial compartments leading to severe discomfort in daily living, such as pain at rest with failed non-operative management. The exclusion criteria were infection, inflammatory arthritis, reflex sympathetic dystrophy, and psychogenic pain.

All patients had undergone a detailed history and physical examination along with any other tests considered appropriate by the operating surgeon (RR). The following radiographs were used: a full weight-bearing anteroposterior view, a lateral view, and a 45° axial patellofemoral view [23] [24]. The patellar tracking was checked during pre op, intra op and assessed post op clinically.

2.1. Surgery

All patients were positioned in a supine position with a lateral leg post and leg holder. All operations were performed under prophylactic antibiotic cover. A medial parapatellar approach was performed, the patella being everted laterally. Any osteophytes were removed from the femoral notch to prevent impingement of the cruciate ligaments.

Tracking of the patella was meticulously assessed after cementation of the prosthesis using the “no thumb technique”. If there was any tendency to medial liftoff, a formal lateral retinacular release was performed. This was required in 7 of 17 operations (41.1%), one patient had an osteotomy of the tibial tuberosity and one patient had bilateral iliobial band lengthening. The patellar tracking was judged to be satisfactory at the end of all the procedures.

Drainage was removed within 24 hours. The patients were mobilized the first day after surgery supervised by a physiotherapist. Patients were allowed full weight bearing on the operated leg from the first postoperative day.

2.2. Clinical Evaluation

All patients were independently assessed clinically using the Oxford Knee score [25], the Knee Society score [26], and the Womac scoring system [27]. Patellar tracking was assessed clinically during follow up period.

3. Results

There were 14 patients (17 knees) in this group. Patient demographics are shown in Table 1. The implant which were used for the surgery are Link patellofemoral prosthesis and Low Contact Stress Patello-Femoral Joint, DePuy Orthopedics, Warsaw, IN and all-polyethylene dome shaped patella with three peg were used for the pa-
Table 1. Patient demographics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers of Knees (N)</th>
<th>17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender M:F</td>
<td>2:12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>69.9 (54 - 88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side Rt:Lt</td>
<td>7:4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>17-Osteoarthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Link-8 LCS-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

tella. Both of the femoral components are resurfacing types resecting minimal bone.

3.1. Clinical Results

The average arc of flexion was 110˚ (range 85˚ to 135˚) preoperatively and 122˚ (range 110˚ to 130˚) at the time of final follow up. All the clinical scores were found to improve post operatively (Table 2).

There were no incidence of infection and no clinical findings of patellar maltracking, subluxation or dislocation.

All fourteen patients (seventeen knees) reported no anterior pain during normal walking. No patient reported weakness, instability, or night pain. No patient required an assistive device to ascend or descend stairs.

3.2. Radiographic Results

At the time of final radiographic evaluation, no patient had evidence of patellar dislocation, component subsidence or pathological radiolucencies to suggest loosening.

Postoperative anteroposterior, lateral radiographs reveal proper component position and no evidence of patellar subluxation or dislocation.

4. Discussion

Patellofemoral pain is a common symptom in degenerate patellofemoral joints. Most patients can be treated conservatively by modification of activities, isometric quadriceps strengthening, and analgesics.

The symptoms may be modest and rarely produce severe disability. The natural history of the disease can extend over many years. Symptoms of sufficient severity to justify patellofemoral arthroplasty occur infrequently. Success depends upon many factors including the critical selection of the patient, balancing the extensor mechanism, and the choice of the patellofemoral implant. The results in the literature have varied. Blazina et al. [28] reported poor results with patellofemoral arthroplasty in a patient population with a mean age of 39 years. More than 50% of their patients required additional surgery within two years. They concluded, however, that patellofemoral arthroplasty was an acceptable alternative for older women with isolated arthritis. Arciero et al. [9] reported satisfactory results in 72% of their patients with a mean follow-up period of 5.2 years. Argenson, Guillaume and Aubaniac [21] had a success rate of 84% at 5.6 years.

Many studies have been conducted into numerous prosthesis that are currently on the market, all having varying degrees of success [15] [18] [19] [29]-[32]. These studies showed that failures were related to poor surgical technique, especially in relation to soft tissue balance, component alignment, persistent or recurrent patellar maltracking, the choice of implant or radiological progression of arthritis.

Patellofemoral replacement should always be carried out in conjunction with measures to obtain satisfactory alignment of the extensor mechanism [19] since persistent lateral malalignment, if not corrected, will cause lateral tilt, subluxation and early wear of the patellar button.

Lateral retinacular release was carried out in 41% in our series. This compares with 70% of the cases in the series of Cartier et al. [19] and 87.5% of the series of Krajca-Radcliffe and Coker [31].

From our study, and in agreement with other studies, we feel that a patellectomy should only be considered as a salvage procedure in patellofemoral osteoarthritis or trauma [12] [33] [34]. Patellectomy is commonly asso-
Table 2. Knee scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperative scores</th>
<th>Last follow up scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (range)</td>
<td>Mean (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC</td>
<td>45.8 (35 - 54)</td>
<td>24.3 (21 - 27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee society score</td>
<td>136.5 (64 - 167)</td>
<td>195 (190 - 200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford knee score</td>
<td>24 (20 - 28)</td>
<td>11.2 (10 - 12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

associated with weakness of extension and patients often do not achieve complete relief of pain with this procedure [34]-[36].

The purpose of this paper is not to determine long term survival of implants used in patella-femoral replacement. This has been shown in previous published literature. This paper is written to emphasize the need for correction of patella maltracking at the time of surgery. This is particularly important where the patellofemoral arthritis is secondary to dysplasia and the patella may be maltracking for the patient’s lifetime. Correction at the time of surgery of the tibial tubercle malposition or an overly tight iliotibial band is important to reduce the need for reoperation. Medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction may be required if deficient.

The limitations of the study are no cohort to compare the outcome and small number of patient group.

5. Conclusion

We conclude that patellofemoral arthroplasty can give satisfactory results in suitably selected patients. The operation should be viewed as a combined soft-tissue procedure involving resurfacing of the femoral trochlea and the articular surface of the patella. Proper balance of the extensor mechanism must be done at the time of surgery to ensure correct tracking of the patella.
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