Preparation and Characterization of Oligomer from Recycled PET and Evaluated as a Corrosion Inhibitor for C-Steel Material in 0.1 M HCl
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Abstract
Polyethylene terephthalate waste (PET) was depolymerized by thiodiglycol into thioglycolyzed product. The product was characterized by FTIR and 1HNMR spectroscopy and the thermal properties (TGA, DTA) showed that the prepared compound was thermally stable until 250°C. The efficiency of the prepared corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel was measured by using acid media (0.1 HCl) as corrosive environment and the inhibitor concentration was (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 ppm). The electrochemical technique used Tafel plot to measure the efficiency of inhibitor. Factors effect on the rate of corrosion like temperature (298, 308, 318, 328 K) and concentration (10, 20, 30, 40, 50 ppm) of inhibitor were studied. From the obtained results many factors were calculated that determined the efficiency of the inhibitor like corrosion rate, charge transfer resistance and inhibitor efficiency. It was observed that the corrosion rate and charge transfer of the carbon steel for the inhibitor increase with increase of temperature and decrease with increase of the inhibitor concentration in the same temperature. The results showed that the inhibitor had high inhibition in reducing the corrosion rate. The inhibition efficiency (% IE) reached 97.1% for the 40 ppm concentration at 308 K.
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1. Introduction
PET introduced to consumers as the plastic soft drink bottle in the 1970s, then PET quickly gained acceptance among bottlers and consumers [1][2][3]. Because
PET have lightweight, economical and shatter proof, PET plastic offered unique marketing and lifestyle benefits. The chemical nature of polyethylene terephthalate permits easy recyclability by all known recycling methods. Recycling of PET has become an important process from the environmental point of view and it has given commercial opportunity due to wide spread use and availability of PET bottles, packages and fibers [4] [5] [6] [7]. Corrosion is the destructive attack of a material by reaction with its environment [8]. Corrosion is a chemical or electrochemical oxidation process, in which the metal transfer electrons to the environment and undergoes a valance change from zero to a positive value. The serious consequences of the corrosion process have become a problem of worldwide significance [9] [10] [11]. Corrosion control is achieved by recognizing and understanding corrosion mechanisms, using corrosion-resistant materials and altering designs, also by using protective systems, devices, and treatments [12]. Organic inhibitor is applied extensively to protect metals from corrosion in many aggressive acidic media. In the present study waste PET-bottles were depolarized using ethylene glycol to produce (Bis(2-((2-hydroxyethyl)thio)ethyl) terephthalate, the produce compound was tested as corrosion inhibitor.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

C-steel (C1010) was obtained from Metal Samples (USA) was used with the following composition by percentage weight: C = 0.13, Mn = 0.3, Si = 0.37, P = 0.04, S = 0.05, Cr = 0.1, Ni = 0.3, Cu = 0.3, AS = 0.08 and the remainder is Fe. Poly(ethyleneterphthalate) (PET) waste is collected from beverage bottles. Thiodiglycol, Zinc acetate and HCl were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co.

2.2. Experimental Methods

2.2.1. Electrochemical Measurements

The electrochemical measurements were performed using a potentiostat/galvanostat (ACM) connected to a computer. A three electrode cell assembly, consisting of a C-steel rod embedded in araldite as the working electrode (WE), a platinum sheet as the counter electrode (CE) and a saturated calomel electrode as the reference electrode (RE), was used for the electrochemical measurements. The temperature of the electrolyte was maintained at the required temperature using a water bath. Before immersion in the test solutions, the WE was polished with a polishing machine using emery paper from 600 to 1200 grade until a mirror image was obtained. Then, the WE was washed with distilled water then immersed in acetone for 1 minute in an ultrasonic cleaner. The WE electrode was prepared directly before electrochemical measurements then immersed in the test solution at open circuit potential for one hour until a steady state potential was obtained before impedance and polarization measurements were performed. All experiments were performed in aerated solutions. From the polarization data, were calculated like the degree of surface coverage (θ), the percen-
tage inhibition efficiency (% IE), corrosion rate and charge transfer resistance [13].

2.2.2. Recycling Process
The reaction of PET waste was depolymerized with Thiodiglycol, at weight ratio of PET to Thiodiglycol 1:8 (wt% of PET: wt% of Thiodiglycol) using 0.5% of Zinc acetate as catalyst (by weight based on weight of PET). The reaction mixtures were heated under vigorous stirring in nitrogen atmosphere at temperature about 160˚C - 180˚C for 10 h and at 140˚C for 2 h. The temperature of the reaction was then lowered to 100˚C for 1 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature. at the end of the reaction, distilled water was added in excess to the reaction mixture with vigorous agitation to precipitate oligomer of Bis(2-((2-hydroxyethyl)thio)ethyl terephthalate (BHET) out of the product, black liquid viscous of (BHET) was obtained [14] [15], the suggested mechanism was shown in (Figure 1), The chemical structure of (BHET) was confirmed from their FTIR (Figure 2) and 1HNMR (Figure 3) spectroscopy.

2.2.3. Characterization for Inhibitor
Figure 2 shows the FTIR spectra of (BHET). From the FTIR spectra a strong peak at wavelength (3396 cm⁻¹) attributable to group (O-H), while the peak at (1718 cm⁻¹) is attributed to the ester carbonyl groups [16] and the strong peak at (1105 cm⁻¹) attributable to bond (C-O) ester association. The peak at 810 cm⁻¹ for (BHET) is assigned to −CH out of plane bending of substituted phenyl.

Figure 3 shows the ¹HNMR of (BHET), the peak at (8.11 ppm) is singlet for proton aromatic ring (a). The OH group (b) show a singlet peak at (4.87 ppm), and peak triple (c) which is attributed to the group of methylene nearest carbonyl ester at (4.53 ppm), and peak (d) powerful Triplet attributable to the

![Figure 1. Mechanism for preparation of BHET.](image-url)
Figure 2. FTIR spectrum of compound BHET.

Figure 3. $^1$HNMR-Spectroscopy for compound BHET.

The group of methylene near oxygen atom at (3.66 ppm), and the Triplet (e) peak attributed to the symmetric methylene group nearest to the sulfur atom at (3.02 ppm), while the peak (f) at (2.84 ppm) back to the methylene group asymmetric nearest sulfur atom, and the peak at 8.5 ppm is triplet for proton of amide. The peak (g) at (2.59 ppm) is quintet for solvent (d$_6$-DMSO) [17] [18].
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Electrochemical Measurements

3.1.1. Polarization Measurements (Tafel Method)

Typical potentiodynamic polarization curves for the C-steel in 0.1 M HCl in the presence and absence of different concentrations of BHET are shown in Figures 4-11. The respective Tafel parameters, inhibition efficiency (% IE), surface coverage (θ), corrosion rate and charge transfer resistance are provided in Table 1. It is clear that the shapes of the Tafel plots for the inhibited electrodes are different from those of uninhibited electrodes. The presence of the inhibitor decreases the current density but does not change other aspects of the behavior.

Table 1. Tafel parameters for C-steel 0.1 M HCl in the absence and presence of different concentrations of BHET at different Temp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>conc. ppm</th>
<th>T K</th>
<th>Ecorr mv</th>
<th>βa A/V</th>
<th>βc A/V</th>
<th>Icorr mA/cm²</th>
<th>Rct Ω</th>
<th>CR mpy</th>
<th>%IE</th>
<th>θ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>−337</td>
<td>3.934</td>
<td>−6.073</td>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>18.69</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>−465</td>
<td>17.58</td>
<td>−5.597</td>
<td>1.019 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>252.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>−458</td>
<td>14.09</td>
<td>−5.528</td>
<td>7.276 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>353.1</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>−471</td>
<td>10.59</td>
<td>−5.636</td>
<td>5.811 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>442.1</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>−468</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>−5.340</td>
<td>7.514 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>341.9</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>−471</td>
<td>9.924</td>
<td>−5.315</td>
<td>6.42 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>400.2</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>−523</td>
<td>4.574</td>
<td>−5.954</td>
<td>2.117</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>114.41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>−477</td>
<td>12.69</td>
<td>−5.361</td>
<td>1.961 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>−480</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>−4.732</td>
<td>2.141 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>11.57</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>−477</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>−5.185</td>
<td>9.72 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>264.4</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>−468</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>−5.465</td>
<td>6.024 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>426.5</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>−472</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>−5.005</td>
<td>1.042 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>246.5</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>−527</td>
<td>8.233 × 10⁻¹⁶</td>
<td>−5.606</td>
<td>3.344</td>
<td>7.684</td>
<td>180.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>−494</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>−5.372</td>
<td>2.276 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>112.9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>−498</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>−5.880</td>
<td>3.458 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>18.69</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>−494</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>−5.798</td>
<td>3.244 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>79.21</td>
<td>17.53</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>−479</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>−5.280</td>
<td>2.349 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>109.4</td>
<td>12.69</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>−487</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>−5.315</td>
<td>2.595 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>14.02</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>−535</td>
<td>8.233 × 10⁻¹⁶</td>
<td>−5.668 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>4.709</td>
<td>5.456</td>
<td>254.52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>−523</td>
<td>9.531</td>
<td>−7.472</td>
<td>8.090 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>31.76</td>
<td>43.73</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>−524</td>
<td>9.525</td>
<td>−7.518</td>
<td>8.512 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>30.19</td>
<td>46.01</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>−324</td>
<td>8.782</td>
<td>−6.486</td>
<td>1.132</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>61.18</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>−523</td>
<td>9.208</td>
<td>−7.372</td>
<td>7.677 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>33.47</td>
<td>41.48</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>−515</td>
<td>9.727</td>
<td>−8.367</td>
<td>6.554 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>39.21</td>
<td>35.42</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Tafel plots for C-steel at 25°C in 0.1 M HCl (blank).

Figure 5. Tafel plots for C-steel at 25°C in 30 ppm BHET.

Figure 6. Tafel plots for C-steel at 35°C in 0.1 M HCl (blank).
**Figure 7.** Tafel plots for C-steel at 35˚C in 40 ppm BHET.

**Figure 8.** Tafel plots for C-steel at 45˚C in 0.1 M HCl (blank).

**Figure 9.** Tafel plots for C-steel at 45˚C in 10 ppm BHET.
It is evident from Table 1 that the adsorption of the inhibitor shifted the corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$) in the negative direction. The addition of BHET decreases both of the Tafel slopes ($\beta_a$ and $\beta_c$), the anodic and cathodic Tafel slopes. This indicates that BHET is a mixed-type inhibitor affecting the iron dissolution and hydrogen evolution [19] [20]. The reduction of the positive and negative currents in the presence of BHET can be explained by the blocking of active sites by the formation of a protective film on the surface of the electrode [21] [22]. The values of the surface coverage and inhibition efficiency reached its maximum at a BHET concentration of 40 PPM at 298 k. As can be seen from Table 1, BHET inhibitor greatly reduces corrosion current with a slight shift in the corrosion potential. If the displacement in the corrosion potential is more than 85 mV, with respect to the corrosion potential of the blank solution, the inhibitor can be designated as a cathodic or anodic type [22]. In the present study, and through the difference corrosion potential values of corrosion inhibitor in the presence
or absence of the inhibitor, which indicated that the studied inhibitor is a mixed-type inhibitor which is in agreement with some other studies [20].

Table 1 shows the values of \((R_{ct})\) increases with inhibitor, and the value of \(R_{ct}\) indicates that of the efficiency inhibitor to preventing the erosion of carbon-steel in the acidic media. The highest resistance to charge transfer \((R_{ct\text{(inh)}})\) value at \((298 \text{ K})\) and was \((442.1 \Omega)\) at a concentration \((30 \text{ ppm})\) of the inhibitor. Using BHET inhibitor at \((328 \text{ K})\) gave the highest value of \((R_{ct\text{(inh)}})\) of \(39.21 \Omega\) at a concentration \((50 \text{ ppm})\), while the value reached to \(5.456 \Omega\) in the absence of inhibitor at the same conditions [23].

3.1.2. Effect of Temperature

Temperature is an important parameter when studying metal dissolution. It is known that the effect of temperature on the acid-metal reaction is highly complex. The corrosion rate in acid solutions, for example, increases exponentially with an increasing temperature because hydrogen evolution decreases. Many changes may occur on the metal surface, such as adsorption, desorption, rearrangement or decomposition of the inhibitor [24], Only a few inhibitors are effective at high temperature as they are at low temperature [25].

The effect of increasing temperature (from 298 - 328 K) on the corrosion rate of C-steel in 0.1 M HCl, and its effects on inhibition action of 30 ppm BHET are shown in Table 2. The inhibitory effect (% IE) decreased from 97.1% at 308 K to 75.9% at 328 K.

The values of the activation energy \(E_a\) of the corrosion process in 0.1 M HCl in the presence and absence of BHET was calculated using the Arrhenius equation: [26]

\[
\ln W = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{RT}
\]

where \(W\) is the corrosion rate \((\text{mpy})\), \(A\) is the Arrhenius constant, \(E_a\) is the activation energy, \(R\) is the gas constant and \(T\) is the absolute temperature.

Figure 12 shows Arrhenius plots of the \(\ln CR\) vs \(1/T\) for carbon steel in the corrosive medium with and without addition of 30 ppm of BHET. Straight lines are obtained with a slope of \((-E_a/R)\). The value of \(E_a\) can be obtained from the slope of the straight line which was found to be \(0.0337 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\) and \(0.0619 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\) in the absence and presence of 30 ppm of BHET, respectively. The

Table 2. The effect of temperature on the corrosion rates of C-steel in the absence and presence of 30 ppm of BHET.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T(˚C)</th>
<th>0.1 M HCl CR (mpy)</th>
<th>BHET CR (mpy)</th>
<th>% IE</th>
<th>(\Theta)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>114.41</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>0.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>180.72</td>
<td>17.53</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>0.903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>254.52</td>
<td>61.18</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
higher value of $E_a$ in the presence of BHET than its absence indicates a strong inhibitive action of the BHET by increasing the energy barrier for the corrosion process [27]. And the higher $E_a$ value in the inhibited solution can be correlated with the increased thickness of the double layer.

A plot of $\ln(W)$ against $1/T$ shown in Figure 13 which gives straight lines with a slope of $(-\Delta H/R)$ and an intercept of $[(\ln(R/Nh)) + (\Delta S/R)]$ to which the values of $\Delta H$ and $\Delta S$ are calculated and are given in Table 3.

The enthalpy and entropy of activation ($\Delta H$ and $\Delta S$) can be calculated by given equation:

$$\ln \frac{W}{T} = \ln A - \frac{R}{Nh} \frac{\Delta S}{R} - \frac{\Delta H}{RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where h is Planck constant and N is Avogadro’s number.

---

**Figure 12.** Arrhenius plots for C-steel in 0.1 MHCl in the presence and absence of BHET (30 ppm).

**Figure 13.** Transition-state plots of $\ln(W/T)$ versus $1/T$ in 0.1 M HCl in absence and presence of various concentrations of BHET.

**Table 3.** Thermodynamic parameters for mild steel in 0.1 M HCl in absence and presence of BHET.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor con. (ppm)</th>
<th>$E_a$ (kJmol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H$ (kJmol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S$ (KJmol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (BLANK)</td>
<td>0.0337</td>
<td>0.0705</td>
<td>-0.19685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0619</td>
<td>0.1818</td>
<td>-0.19636</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The positive signs of enthalpies (ΔH) reflect the endothermic nature of dissolution process [28] [29]. Large and negative values of entropies (ΔS) show that the activated complex in the rate determining step represents an association rather than a dissociation step, meaning that a decrease in disordering takes place on going from reactants to the activated complex.

3.2. Adsorption Isotherm

Adsorption isotherms are very important to understand the mechanism of inhibition corrosion reactions. The electrochemical processes on the metal surface which related to the adsorption of the inhibitor [30] and the adsorption depend on the chemical structure of the inhibitor. The adsorption of the inhibitor molecules from aqueous solutions can be regarded as quasi substitution process [31] [32] [33] between the organic compound in the aqueous phase and water molecules at the electrode surface. By examining the degree of surface coverage (θ) which determined by potentiodynamic polarization technique to various isotherms. The best isotherm obtained was Langmuir’s adsorption isotherm.

The Langmuir adsorption isotherm may be written in the following form:

\[ K_{ads} = \frac{\theta}{(1 - \theta)C} \]  

(3)

where C is the concentration of inhibitor, \( K_{ads} \) the adsorptive equilibrium constant, and \( \theta \) is the fraction of the surface covered calculated as follows:

\[ \theta = \frac{E}{100} \]  

(4)

The adsorptive equilibrium constant \( (K_{ads}) \) is related to the standard free energy of adsorption reaction \( (\Delta G_{ads}^0) \) as shown the following equation [34]:

\[ \Delta G_{ads}^0 = -RT \ln 55.5 K_{ads} \]  

(5)

R is the universal gas constant, T is the absolute temperature (K) and the value of 55.5 is the concentration of water in the solution in mol/L. These parameters are shown the Table 4. The obtained results are clearly shows that the data fit well with Langmuir adsorption isotherm.

Large values of \( K_{ads} \) mean good inhibition efficiency of the inhibitor and strong electrical interaction between the adsorbate and the adsorbent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>conc. ppm</th>
<th>T. k</th>
<th>θ</th>
<th>( \Delta G_{ads}^0 ) (KJ/mol)</th>
<th>( K_{ads} ) L/g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>−27.537</td>
<td>1.233</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>−26.740</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>−26.340</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>−24.926</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>−24.785</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Equilibrium constant \( (K_{ads}) \), adsorption free energy \( (\Delta G_{ads}^0) \) for the adsorption of inhibitors on C-steel in 0.1 M HCl at (298 k).
The negative values of $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^0$ indicate that the adsorption of inhibitor molecule on steel surface is spontaneous and also the strong interaction between inhibitor molecules and the metal surface [35] [36] [37]. Generally, an adsorption process suggests either physisorption or chemisorption. $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^0$ value lower than ($-40 \text{ kJ/mol}$) are related to the chemisorption between charged molecule and charged metal [38] [39].

In the present work, the value of $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}$ is found to be lower than ($-40 \text{ kJ/mol}$); means that the adsorption mechanism of BHET on carbon steel surface is mainly the chemisorption.

4. Study Thermal Analysis TGA & DTA for Inhibitor BHET

Was conducted thermal analysis of inhibitor above the rate of heating (10˚C/min) and the presence of an inert atmosphere of nitrogen has been extracting some thermal functions of analysis, thermal analysis curve TGA & DTA (Figure 14) and note the Table 5 inhibitor polymer BHET find that inhibitors polymer mentioned stable thermally and up to a temperature (250˚C), and also that we find ($T_{\text{max}}$) (Temperature Decomposition) at (341˚C) which is a relatively high degree of heat, while Rate Decomposition is 4.22 When you reach the degree of dissociation temperature 50 wt% loss at (336˚C), While the (Chair yield) at (600˚C) where the compound residual rate of up to 6%, also notes the remaining ratio the compound at a temperature of disintegration of the initial temperature ($T_i = 276˚C$) was 95%, and when the final disintegration temperature ($T_f = 406˚C$) was 9.9%, while the value of the difference between the thermal two degrees $\Delta T$ is

$$\Delta T = T_f - T_i = (406 - 276)˚C = 130˚C$$

![Figure 14](image_url)  
**Figure 14.** The thermal analysis, TGA and DTA inhibitor BHET.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decomposition temperature ($T_{\text{max}}$)</td>
<td>341˚C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Decomposition</td>
<td>4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 wt% loss ($T_{1/2}$)</td>
<td>336˚C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair yield</td>
<td>6% at 600˚C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T = T_f - T_i = (406 - 276)˚C$</td>
<td>130˚C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.** The functions of thermal analysis TGA and DTA of BHET.
130˚C, Note note from the form of peak of the technology curve DTA find that kind of interaction Endo thermal [40] [41] [42].

5. Conclusion

(Bis(2-((2-hydroxyethyl)thio)ethyl) terephthalate (BHET) act as corrosion inhibitors of carbon steel in 0.1 M HCl solutions. The inhibition efficiency increases with increase in inhibitors concentrations and decreases with raising temperature. The adsorption of the investigated compounds follows the Langmuir’s adsorption isotherm. The investigated compounds were mixed type inhibitors. The adsorption of the investigated compound on carbon steel surface in HCl solution follows Langmuir adsorption isotherm. The negative values of $\Delta G^{\text{ads}}_{0}$ show the spontaneity of the adsorption process. The parameter of adsorption free activation energy $\Delta G^{\text{ads}}_{0}$ indicates that the adsorption of inhibitor involves chemisorption.
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