Excessive Weight Gain during Pregnancy and Prognosis of Childbirth in Douala (Cameroun)
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Abstract

The objective was to describe the maternofetal outcome of childbirth in women with excessive weight gain during pregnancy. We conducted a cross-sectional analytical study over a period of 03 months in the Obstetrics Department of Laquintinie Hospital in Douala (HLD). Our study population consisted of any pregnant in labor or waiting for a caesarean section. We compared two groups of pregnant women with excessive weight gain during pregnancy (exposed) and those without excessive weight gain during pregnancy (unexposed). We recorded 240 pregnant women who gave birth at the HLD maternity, 59 of whom had excessive weight gain during pregnancy, which gave us a proportion of 24.6%. The only sociodemographic characteristic associated with excessive weight gain during pregnancy was the married marital status of the pregnant women (OR: 2.0 (1.1 - 3.8) P = 0.023). Pregnant women with maternal complications associated with excessive weight gain had an average elevated uterine height of 35.4 (P = 0.007). The increase in caesarean section rate (P = 0.094) and the onset of pregnancy-related hypertension (HTA) showed differences close to significance (P = 0.063). Mean birth weight was higher (P = 0.023) in pregnant women with excessive weight gain during pregnancy. Ultimately, excessive weight gain during pregnancy has deleterious effects on the course of pregnancy and childbirth. It promotes the onset of pregnancy HTA and macrosomia.
1. Introduction

Pregnancy is the essential and natural process by which the survival of a species is ensured. Because of its importance, pregnancy deserves special attention and must be accompanied by all the necessary measures to ensure that the future mother and the fetus have a healthy outcome at the end of this phenomenon [1] [2]. Maternal nutritional status before and during pregnancy exerts a considerable influence on fetal development and its fate at birth [3]. Weight gain during pregnancy is a physiological event; it is linked on one hand to the growth of fetal tissues and maternal metabolic changes with buildup of adipose tissue. Excessive weight gain during pregnancy has become a major public health problem globally; in addition to the cardiovascular risk and the persistence of this excess weight after pregnancy, she is exposed to obstetric complications during childbirth, high risk of fetal macrosomia, shoulder dystocia and cesarean delivery. In 1990 and 2009, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) proposed recommendations for optimal weight gain during pregnancy [4]. They are conditioned by the starting weight, with a minimum weight gain of 7 kg and a maximum weight gain of 18 kgs [5] [6]. This issue of weight gain during pregnancy has been the subject of several Western studies. Zonana-Nacach, Mexico, found excessive weight gain in 38% of pregnant women; this rate is higher for Park, Crane and Chung, who report rates of 51% in the United States, 52% in Canada and 74% in Great Britain [7] [8] respectively. In Africa, a study conducted in Ghana by Abubakarir shows that 7.40% of pregnant women have excessive weight gain [9]. In Yaounde, a 2014 study at the Gynecob-Obstetrics Hospital 42.7% of women had an excessive weight gain in a population having delivered by cesarean section [10] [11]. In the city of Douala there are no data on excessive weight gain in pregnancy and its consequences. It is with the aim of sensitizing the pregnant women and to prevent the possible consequences related to this phenomenon we proposed ourselves to realize this study in a hospital structure with large affluence: the hospital Laquintinie of Douala (HLD).

2. Methods

This study was an analytical cross-sectional study conducted at Laquintinie Hospital in Douala over a period of about 3 months; from February to May 2017. For the sample size calculation, we used the formula of Schulz and Grimes [12]: 

\[ n = \frac{10.51 \left[ (R + 1) - P_2 (R^2 + 1) \right]}{P_2 (1 - R)^2} \] 

\( n \) = the sample size in each of the groups, \( P_1 \) = event rate in the treatment group (not in formula but, implied when \( R \) and \( P_2 \) are estimated), \( P_2 \) = event rate in the control group, \( R \) = risk ratio \( (P_1/P_2) \). In our study, we chose as a criterion of judgment the rate of cesarean section in women which is 18.64% in a study made in Yaoundé in
So, the value of $P_2 = 0.1864$. By estimating that excessive weight gain during pregnancy would double this rate by two, we obtain a value $P_1 = 0.3728$ and the value of $R = 2$. After digital application, we obtained a minimum sample size $n = 116$ pregnant for each group. All pregnant women in labor in the maternity ward were included except for those with multiple pregnancy, scarred uterus, malformation of the pelvis and those who started antenatal visits after 16 weeks of amenorrhea. Two groups were then formed, one having an excessive weight gain during pregnancy and the other having none. This distribution was made using the IOM weight gain recommendation table. Information on anthropometric, sociodemographic, medical and gynecological obstetrical history, childbirth and newborn outcomes was collected through a standardized questionnaire. Pregnant women were seen and interviewed between the lulls of labor for some and postpartum or caesarean for others when their consent obtained. It was noted in their prenatal checkbooks the weight before the 16th SA as well as the weight in the third trimester which allowed us to obtain the total weight gain by making the difference between the weights at the end and at the beginning of the pregnancy. The height was noted on their national identity cards and the rest of the information in the medical file. Statistical analyzes were done by SPSS software version 20.0. The search for associated factors was performed using the logistic regression method in uni and multivariate analysis. Odds Ratio (OR) and Relative Risk (RR) were used to measure the degree of association. The threshold of significance was set at a value $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

During our study period, we found 282 pregnant women in labor, after looking for exclusion criteria 240 were selected, 59 with excessive weight gain, a prevalence of 24.6% ($N = 240$) (Table 1). Pregnant women with a BMI $> 30$ kg/m$^2$ before 16 weeks had a statistically significant risk of excessive weight gain during pregnancy (OR = 2.40, CI 1.3 - 4.5, $P = 0.004$) (Table 2).

Sociodemographic data: Sociodemographic variables analyzed, as shown in Table 3, only married marital status was a factor in excess weight gain in pregnancy (OR = 2.0, CI: 1.1 - 3.8, $P = 0.026$).

Maternal complications during labor and post-partum: Regarding the uterine height, the average in the exposed was 35.4 against 34.03 in the unexposed with a significant difference ($P = 0.007$).

Complications during labor and in post-partum: Concerning the pathologies that occurred during the pregnancy, the pregnancy-related HTA was found in exposed to 3.4% with a difference close to the significance (Table 4). Malaria was the most common pathology with 11.9% in the exposed and 5.0% in the unexposed. It is important to point out that none of our patients had gestational diabetes.

Fetal Complications: Regarding the weight of neonates, the average exposure was 3472 compared to 3306 in unexposed patients with a significant difference (Table 5).
Table 1. Prevalence of excessive weight gain in pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI before 16 WA</th>
<th>Exposed</th>
<th>Not exposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18.5</td>
<td>1 (20.0)</td>
<td>4 (80.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18 - 25]</td>
<td>15 (15.3)</td>
<td>83 (84.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25 - 30]</td>
<td>18 (25.7)</td>
<td>52 (74.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>25 (37.3)</td>
<td>42 (62.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All the population</td>
<td>59 (24.6)</td>
<td>181 (75.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WA: Week of Amenorrhea.

Table 2. Breakdown of exposure by BMI before 16 WA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMC avant 16 WA</th>
<th>Exposed N = 59</th>
<th>Non exposed N = 181</th>
<th>OR (IC 95%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18.5</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>4 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.1 - 6.9)</td>
<td>0.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18 - 25]</td>
<td>15 (25.4)</td>
<td>83 (45.9)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.2 - 0.8)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25 - 30]</td>
<td>18 (30.5)</td>
<td>52 (28.7)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6 - 2.1)</td>
<td>0.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>25 (42.4)</td>
<td>42 (23.2)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.3 - 4.5)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Distribution of exposed and unexposed by marital status, occupational status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Exposed N = 59</th>
<th>Non exposed N = 181</th>
<th>OR (IC à 95%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>22 (37.3)</td>
<td>41 (22.7)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.1 - 3.8)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>37 (62.7)</td>
<td>140 (77.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Official</td>
<td>4 (6.8)</td>
<td>9 (5)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.4 - 4.7)</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private sector employee</td>
<td>7 (11.9)</td>
<td>25 (13.8)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.3 - 2.1)</td>
<td>0.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employment</td>
<td>17 (28.8)</td>
<td>53 (29.3)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.5 - 1.9)</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>21 (35.6)</td>
<td>43 (23.8)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.9 - 3.3)</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>10 (16.9)</td>
<td>51 (28.2)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2 - 1.1)</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Distribution according to pathologies occurring in pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathologies on pregnancy</th>
<th>Exposed N = 59</th>
<th>Not exposed N = 181</th>
<th>RR (IC à 95%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTA¹</td>
<td>2 (3.4)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIH²</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>6 (3.3)</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>7 (11.9)</td>
<td>9 (5.0)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.03 - 3.4)</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UI³</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IST⁴</td>
<td>2 (3.4)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>2.8 (0.4 - 6.4)</td>
<td>0.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT⁵</td>
<td>2 (3.4)</td>
<td>9 (5.0)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.2 - 2.6)</td>
<td>0.936</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HTA¹: Hypertension; HIV²: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; UI³: Urinary infection; STI⁴: Sexually Transmitted Infection; PCT⁵: Premature Childbirth Threat.
Table 5. Distribution of exposed and unexposed by birth weight of newborns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight at birth</th>
<th>Exposed ( N = 59 ) ( n (%) )</th>
<th>Not exposed ( N = 181 ) ( n (%) )</th>
<th>RR (IC 95%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4000</td>
<td>50 (84.7)</td>
<td>165 (91.2)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4 - 1.2)</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4000</td>
<td>9 (15.3)</td>
<td>16 (8.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moyenne ± ET</td>
<td>3472 ± 580.8</td>
<td>3 306 ± 461.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min - Max</td>
<td>2100 - 5500</td>
<td>1 800 - 4550</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

In our study, we recorded a total of 240 pregnant women, 59 of whom were overweight during pregnancy (exposed), or 24.6% of the total pregnant population.

This result differs from the 42.7% found by Foumane et al. in a study conducted at the Gyneco-Obstetrics Hospital of Yaounde on a population of pregnant women who had given birth by caesarean section [11]. In Ghana, Abubakari et al. found that 7% of pregnant women had excessive weight gain during pregnancy [9].

Among the exposed, we found women with all classes of BMI, nevertheless we were able to highlight the frequency of pre-gestational obesity which was 42.4%. N’Guessan et al. report a frequency of pre-gestational obesity at 11.3% [14]. This percentage differs from the 16% found by Ahoukeng at the Gyneco-Obstetrics and Pediatric Hospital of Yaoundé and the 7.5% found by Ducarme [15] [16].

The socio-demographic data studied were age, marital status, region of origin, employment status, level of education, area of residence and type of neighborhood. Only marital status was significantly associated (OR: 2.0; 95%; CI = 1.1 - 3.8; \( P = 0.026 \)). The exposed were 37.3% married with a statistically significant difference. This result is consistent with that of Mochhoury et al. in Morocco, who found that 38% of pregnant pregnancies had excessive weight gain during pregnancy [17].

This can be explained by the fact that the status of married women brings a psychological balance and a higher number of pregnancies, which can lead to excessive weight gain during pregnancy.

Maternal complications associated with excessive weight gain during pregnancy were elevated blood pressure at delivery, onset of labor, maternal complications during labor and postpartum, hours of labor, mode of delivery. Only the uterine height had a higher average in the exposed 35.4 versus 34.03 in the unexposed ones.

The occurrence of gestational hypertension in exposed patients had a difference that was close to the significance \( (P = 0.063) \).

In the exposed, 3.4% were found with pregnancy-related HTA versus 0.0% in the unexposed with a difference close to the significance \( (P = 0.06) \). This joins Grossetti et al., who found with a statistically significant difference the presence...
of HTA 7.7% in unexposed patients versus 0.5% in exposed patients [18]. This could be explained by the fact that pregnancy-related HTA is a maternal complication associated with excessive weight gain during pregnancy.

In our data we had cesarean section in 32.2% in exposed patients versus 21.5% in unexposed patients, although the difference was not significant. In 2014, Foumane et al. conducted a study on excessive weight gain in pregnancy and the outcome of delivery to the Gynec-Ot-betrics and Pediatric Hospital of Yaounde on a population of pregnant women having delivered by cesarean section where they found 42.7% exposed against 57.3% unexposed [11].

These results are in stark contrast to most other data in the literature that have shown with significant difference that excessive weight gain in pregnancy is a risk factor for cesarean delivery [11] [15] [19]. N’Guessan, meanwhile, found the mode of cesarean delivery in equal proportion in both groups of pregnant [14].

In our study, we had a mean uterine height in the exposed of 35.4 versus an average of 34.03 in the unexposed with a statistically significant difference. Mouchhoury reports a significant difference between exposures with greater uterine height than unexposed ones [17]. The presence of a large adipose tissue in the exposed can lengthen the uterine height which would explain this difference.

The fetal complications associated with excessive weight gain during pregnancy were fetal presentation, fetal complications during labor, birth defects, Apgar score in the 1st and 5th minute, and weight of birth. Only the mean birth weight in neonates exposed was significant (P = 0.023). Our results are in line with those of the other studies with a weight average of 3472 g exposed compared with 3306 g of unexposed patients with a statistically significant difference (P = 0.023).

The literature data unanimously and significantly show a higher mean weight in neonates exposed [11] [15] [17] [20] [21].

Abrams et al. showed that there was a linear relationship between birth weight and maternal weight gain with a slope that was greater as the weight was normal before pregnancy. The mechanisms of this phenomenon are still poorly understood. Glucose is one of the most important substrates of energy metabolism and fetal growth. There is also a linear relationship between maternal glucose and glucose umbilical flow, thus fetal blood glucose [21].

This would support the hypothesis that excess maternal weight gain would promote fetal growth via increased blood glucose. Two elements, however, contradict this hypothesis. On the one hand, excess maternal weight gain does not appear to be related to the onset of gestational diabetes [21] [22] [23], and this is rather influenced by pre-existing obesity or rapid weight gain before or at the beginning of pregnancy [24].

Several limitations are identified in our study such as its single center cohort. Most of our patients were of African descent and this might skew the findings. This study presents novel data from Cameroon, but the sample size is relatively
small compared to similar series from other countries.

5. Conclusions

Excessive weight gain during pregnancy is responsible for a significant increase in the risk of onset of gestational hypertension, uterine height, and mean birth weight of newborns.

Recommendations for optimal weight gain during pregnancy have been documented and reported by WHO. They are conditioned by the starting weight, with a minimum weight gain of 7 kg and a maximum weight gain of 18 kgs. Several studies have been done on the subject in different parts of the world and their data are known.

None on the subject has been made in the Cameroonian economic capital. Our study adds to the data by showing that 24.6% of pregnant women had an excessive weight, that pregnant women who had maternal complications associated with excessive weighting had on average a high uterine height 35.4; the occurrence of gestational hypertension showed differences that were close to the significance and the mean birth weight was higher in those with excessive weight gain.
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