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Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this review was to discuss the status of evidence related to the assessment of readiness of healthcare facilities for e-health initiatives implementation, specifically the common Electronic Health Record (EHR) systems.

Methods: An integrative review approach was utilized. The databases of Google Scholar, Scopus, Science Direct, PubMed, Medline, CINAHL, Ovid, ProQuest, and EBSCO host were searched for related evidence published between 2000 and 2018.

Results: Seventeen studies were included in the current review. In terms of methodological approach, the included studies were 7 correlational studies, 5 review papers, 4 qualitative papers, and one mixed methods study. At the current integrative review, the themes of complex healthcare change, and the main theme of e-health readiness assessment were identified.

Conclusion: Assessing and reporting the levels of readiness for EHRs implementation are highly recommended as it has a high impact on the critically-needed adoption and usage of the implemented system. Selecting the right tool for the right audience to address readiness is essential in the assessment process which is recommended to be conducted early at the road map of the project implementation. Future research is recommended to address readiness for e-health initiatives at the different settings and different target populations including communities where the healthcare facilities functions and service receivers’ readiness.
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1. Introduction

With the rapid development of technology, healthcare industry stakeholders are continuously looking into its utilization to aid in optimizing healthcare short-
comings [1]. This challenge is concurrent with the steadily increasing demand on healthcare services which is related to the global growth of populations, longer life expectancies, and increased complexity of health conditions, and coupled with an increased attention to provide better quality of healthcare [2]. The implementation of Electronic Health Record (EHR) systems came into the front as a one of the commonly used solutions to promote healthcare delivery and overcome challenges and the core of any e-health systems [3]. International Organization for Standardization (ISO) defined EHRs as “a repository of patient data in digital form, stored and exchanged securely, and accessible by multiple authorized users. It contains retrospective, concurrent, and prospective information and its primary purpose is to support continuous, efficient and quality integrated health” [4].

Implementing EHRs system set the promise that it will help in providing better evidence-based healthcare [2], higher levels of completeness, accuracy, validity, access and coordinated communication of patient information, safer prescribing, and utility of electronic health records for clinical diagnoses [5] [6], better clinical decision support [7] [8], and increased medical practice efficiency [9].

On the other hand, EHRs implementation represents a disruptive change in the healthcare workplace. It affects healthcare providers, service receivers, administrators, organizational culture, policies and systems of healthcare delivery [2] [3]. In order to harvest the promised benefits of EHRs system implementation, it is important to address organizational and staff readiness for EHRs systems implementation and usage [10]. Readiness assessment, as a part of pre-implementation preparations, becomes an essential requirement prior to the actual implementation of EHRs [11]. Being not ready for EHRs implementation at the healthcare settings may lead to many obstacles during the implementation process. It may also lead to low adoption of healthcare professionals to use the EHRs system. The ultimate result can be the EHRs implementation failure which may have negative impacts on patient care due to the loss of important clinical and administrative data [10]. This paper attempts to summarize the efforts of scholars in studying the healthcare organizations readiness for introducing e-health solutions.

2. Materials and Methods

The databases of Google Scholar, Scopus, Science Direct, PubMed, Medline, CINAHL, Ovid, ProQuest, and EBSCO host were searched for related evidence published between 2000 and 2018. The search was conducted using different combinations of keywords and abbreviations relevant to the current study including “electronic health records, electronic medical records, EHR, EMR, e-health, information systems, implementation, assessment, and readiness”. The search was done initially using the combination of the keywords “Electronic Health Records readiness assessment”. Then, separate search attempts were
conducted where the rest of the keywords were added incrementally to the basic keywords separated by commas until all keywords were included in the federated search bar. The inclusion criteria for articles considered in this review were 1) published in English language, 2) published between the year 2000 and 2018, 3) related to readiness for e-health solutions at healthcare facilities, and 4) no restrictions were applied on the article type. Incomplete reports in the form of conference proceedings, abstracts, or posters, editorial papers, or opinion papers were excluded from this review.

As shown in Figure 1, the initial search results executed at the different search engines came back with 1456 articles the list of articles titles were exported from the federated search results into MS excel sheet and compiled together in one comprehensive list. Then, upon removing the duplicates from the list, 654 article titles left. Then, the primary researcher went through the 654 titles and excluded 540 articles as the titles were not relevant to focus of this integrative review; 114 articles left. The sifting procedure continued with reading the abstracts of 114 articles by the primary researcher, 90 articles were then excluded and 24 were included. 24 articles were printed in full text and reviewed carefully. Upon reviewing the full text, 7 articles were excluded as it does not relate to main focus of this review as set in the inclusion criteria and the purpose of this review. 17 articles were included at the current review. Based on the included studies methodologies, the 17 articles included were 7 correlational studies, 5 review papers, 4 qualitative papers, and one mixed methods study.

**Figure 1.** Literature review flow chart.
3. Results

Seventeen articles were reviewed and included. As shown in Table 1, for each included article, the data of authors, year of publication, purpose of the study, sample size, design, and main findings were extracted and presented. Authors of this review examined the studies to identify the themes discussed. All of the included studies identified readiness for e-health as an essential step before implementing such a change in any given healthcare facility.

Table 1. Summary of studies included in the review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Authors (Year)</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Main Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Holt, Armenakis, Field, and Harris (2007) [13]</td>
<td>To develop an instrument to measure the individual level of readiness for organizational change</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Correlational</td>
<td>The development of 26-item organizational readiness scale that measure its four main constructs: change-specific efficacy, appropriateness, management support, and personal valence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kgasi and Kalema (2014) [2]</td>
<td>To investigate the determinants of e-health readiness in rural areas of South Africa</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Correlational</td>
<td>The need for change was identified as the most influential determinant of e-health readiness, while societal readiness was the least important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Weiner (2009) [14]</td>
<td>To define organizational readiness for change conceptually setting its determinants and outcomes</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Readiness for change was defined conceptually and consisted of two main constructs: change commitment, and change efficacy. Three key determinants of change implementation were identified: task demands, resource availability, and the situational factors. The needs of healthcare institutions in terms of e-health ware categorized into the groups: provision of care needs (lack of human resources, restricting government policies, difficulties in referral systems, issues with service utilization); learning needs (i.e. lack of continuous education, and lack of information and research); and information management needs (issues with paper-based records, and communication of information). Eight areas of EMR related research were identified: design and implementation, evaluation, adoption, impacts, medical research, integration, EMR data design and management, and policy and standards. EMR is used to improve the quality of healthcare and enhances efficiency, on the other side, healthcare providers and health service managers reported negative perceptions. The majority of the study participants (71.3%) did have good knowledge and attitudes toward EMR systems. Factors that are associated with EMR readiness are: gender, attitudes toward EMR, knowledge about EMR, and computer literacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Durrani, Khoja, Naseem, Scott, Gul, and Jan (2012) [16]</td>
<td>To assess the need and readiness for e-health at healthcare facilities in Pakistan</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mixed Qualitative Methods: Interviews and Focus groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Najaftorkaman, Ghapsanchi, Talaei-Khoei, and Ray (2013) [17]</td>
<td>To categorize the areas of research related to the field of Electronic Medical Records (EMR)</td>
<td>155 papers published between 2011 and 2012</td>
<td>Literature Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Biruk, Yilma, Andualem, and Tilahun (2014) [18]</td>
<td>To assess health professionals' readiness and to acceptance and usage of electronic medical records in Ethiopia</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>Correlational</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Study Details</td>
<td>Summary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Li, Land, Chattopadhyay, Land, and Ray (2008) [3]</td>
<td>To presents the development of E-Health Readiness Assessment Framework (EHRAF) as a result of integrating components of previously published frameworks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Jennett, Gagnon, and Brandstadt (2005) [20]</td>
<td>To review published studies that addresses readiness for e-health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Wickramasinghe, Fadlalla, Geisler, and Schaffer (2005) [21]</td>
<td>To develops a framework to assess readiness for e-health at the national level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Khoja, Scott, Casebeer, Mohsin, Ishaq, and Gilani (2007) [22]</td>
<td>To present and discuss published tools to measure readiness for e-health in developing countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Al-Rawajfah and Tubaishat (2017) [24]</td>
<td>To explore the views of nurses in Jordan regarding the barriers and facilitators for e-health implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E-health readiness has four main constructs: core readiness (i.e. realization of problems, and providers satisfaction), engagement readiness (i.e. potential negative impacts, willingness to accept training, and recognition of benefits), technological readiness (i.e. Hardware, software, network, IT support, providers past IT experiences), and societal readiness (i.e. internal communications among healthcare providers, communication links with other institutions).

Four readiness models resulted from the search process. They varied across settings, such as rural outpatient practices, hospice programs, rural communities, as well as government agencies, national associations, and organizations. Common themes across models included: an appreciation of practice context, strong leadership, and a perceived need to improve practice.

The e-health readiness framework consists of four main pre-requisites (technology architecture, standardization policies and protocols, user accessibility, and governmental policies), four main impacts (IT education, economic standing, morbidity, and culture), and the implications of these pre-requisites and impacts to the goals of e-health.

Two main tools were presented: one targeting healthcare managers and the second one targeting healthcare providers. Both tools were developed using participatory action research, reviewing existing tools and reviewing literature examine determinants of e-health readiness.

The facilitating factors for e-health in Jordan as perceived by nurses were: incentives to buy e-health systems, the availability of technical support, and additional incentives to use the e-health systems. The barriers were: the cost of buying e-health solutions, lack of information technology staff, and disruption to clinical workflows.

E-health readiness assessment model was developed that consists of five dimensions: cultural, leadership and management, technical infrastructure, governance, and operational.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13</th>
<th>Stadelmann (2012) [12]</th>
<th>To assess e-health readiness at university hospitals in Egypt</th>
<th>6 interviews</th>
<th>Qualitative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Cherry (2011) [23]</td>
<td>To evaluate the psychometric properties of E-health readiness assessment tools at long Term Care (LTC) facilities in the unites States</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Correlational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ajami, Ketabi, Isfahani, and Heidari (2011) [15]</td>
<td>To present the e-health readiness assessment in the roadmap of e-health solutions implementation and discuss the main areas in readiness assessment</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Literature Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Saleh, Khodor, Alameddine, and Baroud (2016) [10]</td>
<td>To assess the e-health readiness at primary health centers in Lebanon</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>Correlational</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implementing e-health solutions will lead to the improvement of the following areas: Human resources management, governance, and finance. E-health readiness areas to be targeted are: culture, leadership, strategy, information management, clinical and administrative staff, training, workflows, accountability, finance, patient involvement, IT infrastructure and IT management.

The LTC Readiness Assessment Tool for EHR Implementation is supported to be used for readiness assessment. Internal reliability was supported with a Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.95.

Six e-readiness themes were identified: Technological readiness, Core/Need/Motivational readiness, acceptance and usage readiness, organizational readiness, IT skills/training/learning readiness, engagement Readiness, and societal readiness.

E-health implementation road map consists of 6 stages: assessment, planning, selection, implementation, evaluation, and improvement. The first stage of readiness assessment is critical for successful e-health solution. The readiness assessment should target infrastructure readiness, technical architecture readiness, and process readiness.

Majority of care providers at primary centers in Lebanon are ready for implementation of e-health solutions.

Factors that affect e-health readiness are: personal beneficence, management support, change efficacy, and level of comfort using computers.

Healthcare industry has its complexity in the move toward e-health solutions, mainly the implementation of EHR systems to replace the traditional paper-based records. It is imperative that healthcare stakeholders should address the readiness for this change. While, EHRs vendors tend to present the different promised benefits for such systems and direct the attention of “customers” toward the urgent need for its implementation, readiness is overlooked throughout the whole process. Stadelmann concluded that implementing e-health solutions will lead to the improvement of human resources management, governance, and finance. E-health readiness areas to be targeted are: culture, leadership, strategy, information management, clinical and administrative staff, training, workflows, accountability, finance, patient involvement, IT infrastructure and IT management.
In the race of EHRs implementation and the huge literature discussing the EHRs, readiness for implementation is just recently addressed in literature. Healthcare industry should be “ready” for this “disruptive” change before they actually move toward it, paying the attention to the argument that traditional change theories, may not be sufficient to address this change in the complex healthcare settings [1] [13] [14]. Ajami, Ketabi, Isfahani, and Heidari presented an e-health implementation road map that consists of 6 stages: assessment, planning, selection, implementation, evaluation, and improvement. The first stage of readiness assessment is critical for successful e-health solution. The readiness assessment should target infrastructure readiness, technical architecture readiness, and process readiness [15].

Studies addressed many factors that may affect the readiness for technology implementation, i.e. EHR system, in healthcare. At the organizational level, factors such as training and education for the implemented technology solution, use of familiar terminologies within the system, involving the end users early during the development and the implementation process, workload considerations, access to computers at the point of care, and the availability of business continuity support after completing the implementation process [16] [17]. At the staff level, perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, resources availability, the actual usage of the implemented technology, and computer literacy were reported as key factors in being ready to adopt, accept, use and being satisfied in using the implemented technology [18]. Yusif, Hafeez-Baig, and Soar identified six e-readiness themes: technological readiness, core/need/motivational readiness, acceptance and usage readiness, organizational readiness, IT skills/training/learning readiness, engagement readiness, and societal readiness [19].

To assess the readiness for technology implementation, many scholars worked on exploring the readiness construct, where multiple dimensions of the construct were identified and defined aiming to reach the full picture of readiness dynamics, scope, boundaries, and indicators [3]. Efforts started from a broad worldview of change, readiness to change, then focused on readiness for technology innovations including e-health and EHRs systems [3]. Moreover, multiple efforts were put there to develop a comprehensive tool to assess the factors contained at the construct of readiness for technology, quantitatively, qualitatively, and using mixed methods [3]. Some efforts focused on the patients’ readiness in addition the staff readiness [20], others went to assess the public readiness for change in introducing technology at the healthcare in addition the staff readiness [20] [21], but the huge effort and weight of attention was directed toward the organizational readiness including the staff readiness as it was considered the core readiness construct that determine the success or the failure of a change initiative [14] [20] [21] [22]. Cherry presented the Long-Term Care (LTC) facilities Readiness Assessment Tool for EHR Implementation [23]. Gha-

Despite the diversity in exploring readiness, developed tools looked at the staff readiness, as the most important element of an organization, as the core of assessment for readiness and the other targets where the add-on areas to examine [3] [13] [20] [21] [22]. If the staffs are not ready for the technology implementation, the system will not be adopted and it can be abandoned [3]. An example of studies that addressed staff is the one conducted by Al-Rawajfah and Tubaishat. In sample of 2793 nurses form 26 hospitals; they explored the barriers and facilitators of EHRs system usage. The results showed that the positive reinforcement in the form of reimbursement, the availability of effective technical assistance and the incentives to buy the EHRs systems were the facilitators to use the EHRs system among nurses, while the financial burden of getting an EHRs system, deficiency in information technology staff, and the disruption the clinical workflows were the barriers to use the EHRs system by nurses [24]. Saleh, Khodor, Alameddine, and Baroud concluded that majority of care providers at primary centers in Lebanon are ready for implementation of e-health solutions. Factors that affect e-health readiness are: personal beneficence, management support, change efficacy, and level of comfort using computers [10].

4. Discussion

At the current integrative review, the themes of complex healthcare change, and the main theme of e-health readiness assessment were identified.

It is important to explore the level of readiness among healthcare workers early in the roadmap of e-health implementation [10]. Consequently, and based on the readiness assessment results, healthcare stakeholders should intervene to ensure smooth transition to the new era of e-health usage at the healthcare facilities. The interventions should address the perceptions of healthcare workers toward e-health solutions in terms of its “appropriateness” to be implemented, and the presence of a solid, long lasting “management support”. Such administrative interventions may start from developing policies and procedures that supports and facilitates the introduction of e-health solutions [13].

Despite that most of studies recommends to assess the readiness before the intended change, some other studies still measured the readiness after the change and revealed interesting findings. In a study conducted by Coopasami, Knight, and Pete where they assessed the readiness of undergraduate nursing students for the change of education method from the traditional classroom education to e-learning through online classes. They assessed the readiness for the introduction of technology pre and post an intervention that includes a trial of the introduced technology. Guided by the adopted framework, Weiner (2009), they measured three dimensions of readiness: psychological, technological and equipment readiness. Psychological readiness refers to the state of mind for being ready to the introduced change of e-learning, while technological readiness measures whether the students have the appropriate technical skills to pursue
e-learning, and equipment readiness addresses the availability and appropriateness of the equipment needed for e-learning. Regardless of the resulted level of readiness of nursing students, the reported results showed an increase in the psychological and technological readiness and a decrease in the equipment readiness at the post intervention assessment. The results show that after actually using the technology, perceptions of being ready to introduction of technology may change to be “more realistic” [25].

Provided the complexity of healthcare delivery system, and the disruptive change of introducing e-health initiatives like the EHRs, a comprehensive and thorough e-health readiness assessment shall be conducted. It is imperative that readiness assessment should be addressed per the different healthcare providers with different strategies and interventions for each segment. Adopting the right tool for the right target audience to assess readiness will greatly impact the direction and acceptance of e-health initiative implementation.

5. Conclusions

Assessing and reporting the levels of readiness for EHRs implementation and its impact on the critically needed adoption and usage of the implemented system. Academicians and continuous education departments at the healthcare facilities are invited to develop educational programs that may lead to the presence of more ready healthcare workers to adopt the EHRs systems focusing on maximizing its benefits realization and overcoming any implementation and usage challenges. Educational programs, whether during the academic preparation, in-service, or at the continuous education efforts, may enhance healthcare workers’ perception of “change efficacy” which is the perception of being able to handle the daily work using the EHRs system in skillful and competent manner [13].

Success of the implementation of e-health solutions is a mandatory quest to avoid the waste of the resources invested [26]. In implementing e-health initiatives, i.e. EHRs systems to replace the traditional paper-based documentation, a big change is inflicted on healthcare providers, patients, organizations, and the whole healthcare delivery system. Change occurs not simply due to the introduction of a technology, but because it also includes the design of interconnected health. It imposes the need to re-engineer the routine workflows to effectively and efficiently accommodate the new implemented technology [2]. Therefore, all healthcare workers need to be ready for the change of using advanced technology on their daily work [27]. Otherwise, the promised benefits of EHRs technology may not be harvested, and system will not be adopted, then; many problems can be inflected including system failure and negative patient care outcomes [10].

This study may envision future research in the fields of health informatics with the focus of EHRs introduction to healthcare. Investigating the readiness for EHRs implementation may guide other researchers to include different populations and settings. Exploring the readiness of patients, communities, different
healthcare disciplines workers, and administrators at the different healthcare sectors may reveal different factors and areas to work on to optimize the understanding of the total picture in terms of readiness for EHRs system implementation, better perception of its benefits and then enhanced adoption.
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