Cardiovagal Tone: A Predictor of Heart Rate Adjusted Augmentation Index in Men but Not in Women
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Abstract

Purpose: Aortic augmentation index (AIx) and cardiovagal tone (CVT) are indicators of cardiovascular health. Associations between these variables provide information about their roles in cardiovascular disease. However, evaluating these associations from a gender perspective and gaining an understanding of the relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness and AIx based on gender can provide additional information. Therefore, we examined the relationships between CVT, measured as the log transformed high-frequency power of R-R intervals from electrocardiogram measurements (lnHF R-R); cardiorespiratory fitness, measured as maximum oxygen consumption (VO2max); and AIx at a heart rate of 75 beats∙min⁻¹ (AIx@75). We hypothesized that the relationships between CVT, cardiorespiratory fitness, and AIx@75 would differ based on gender.

Methods: We examined the associations between lnHF R-R, VO2max, and AIx@75 in 41 women and 39 men. Power spectral density analysis of heart rate variability determined lnHF R-R, radial tonometry determined AIx@75 and the Bruce Treadmill Protocol determined VO2max.

Results: In men, lnHF R-R positively correlated with and significantly predicted AIx@75 (P = 0.005) but not in women (P = 0.49). For every unit increase in lnHF R-R, there was a 4.6 unit increase in AIx@75 in men versus a 1.0 unit increase in women. There was a significant inverse relationship between VO2max and AIx@75, with VO2max being a significant predictor of AIx@75 in men (P = 0.01). For every unit increase in VO2max, there was a 0.60 unit decrease in AIx@75 in men versus a 0.2 unit decrease in women.

Conclusions: The associations be-
tween CVT and AIx@75 and between cardiorespiratory fitness and AIx@75 differ by gender. CVT and cardiorespiratory fitness are significant predictors of AIx@75 in men but not in women.
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1. Introduction

Aortic pressure augmentation and cardiovagal tone (CVT) are indicators of cardiovascular health. Aortic pressure augmentation can be expressed as a percentage of pulse pressure [1], referred to as the augmentation index (AIx). When adjusted to a standard heart rate of 75 beats-min⁻¹, AIx is denoted as AIx@75. AIx is used as a measure of arterial stiffness [2] [3]. Arterial stiffness is considered to be a marker of subclinical vascular disease and an independent predictor of coronary heart disease and stroke in apparently healthy individuals [4] and a predictor of mortality in symptomatic individuals [5]. Additionally, AIx values have been found to strongly correlate with the risk of developing coronary artery disease in asymptomatic individuals with no prior history of coronary artery disease [6], and AIx along with augmentation pressure are considered to be strong independent risk markers for premature disease of the coronary arteries [1] and all causes of cardiovascular death [7].

Heart rate variability (HRV) as a measure of autonomic modulation can be used in the establishment of CVT. More specifically, the log transformed high-frequency (HF) power of R-R intervals from electrocardiogram (ECG) measurements (lnHFR-R) can be used to determine CVT. There is an association between reduced HRV and mortality [8] [9] [10] and HRV has been demonstrated to be significantly associated with the development of coronary heart disease in diabetics [11].

Since AIx and CVT are important determinants of cardiovascular health, it is important to comprehend the relationship between these measures, in an effort to elucidate the roles these determinants play in the cardiovascular health mosaic. This understanding could be significantly increased by gaining knowledge of how other factors, such as gender, cardiorespiratory fitness, age and ethnicity, affect this relationship. Gender is of particular importance as it has been shown to influence the development of coronary heart disease [12] and readmission to the hospital within the first year after myocardial infarction [13]. Cardiorespiratory fitness can be determined by maximum oxygen consumption (VO₂max). Regarding cardiorespiratory fitness, increased cardiorespiratory fitness has been shown to have an inverse association with AIx in men [14] [15] and a positive impact on coronary heart disease [16] and heart failure [17]. However, there is need for further research to corroborate the association between cardiorespira-
tory fitness and AIx.

Despite the increased knowledge to be gained from examining the relationship between AIx and CVT from a gender perspective, there is a paucity of research in this area. Such research could lead to clearer concepts of the pathophysiological involvement of AIx and CVT in cardiovascular disease.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine the association between AIx@75 and CVT in men and women. Additionally, since cardiorespiratory fitness affects AIx and cardiovascular health, the secondary purpose of this study was to determine the association between cardiorespiratory fitness and AIx@75 in men and women. We hypothesized that the associations between CVT, cardiorespiratory fitness, and AIx@75 would differ based on gender.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects and Test Procedures

The total sample recruited for the study included 82 participants from the Southern Connecticut State University student population. Two participants demonstrated ECG abnormality and were not included in the study. Eighty participants completed the study. Inclusion criteria included no history of smoking; having no clinical indications of cardiovascular, pulmonary, or metabolic disease; medication free and having normal ECG and blood pressure patterns. Descriptive indicators are listed in Table 1(a), and statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Women (n = 41)</th>
<th>Men (n = 39)</th>
<th>P*</th>
<th>Total (n = 80)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>22.0 ± 3.1</td>
<td>22.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>164.8 ± 6.7</td>
<td>176.9 ± 6.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>64.2 ± 10.9</td>
<td>78.6 ± 10.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg∙m⁻²)</td>
<td>23.6 ± 3.7</td>
<td>25.1 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>104 ± 7.1</td>
<td>111 ± 7.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>63 ± 4.8</td>
<td>67 ± 7.2</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± standard deviation; *P values from an independent t-test; bBody mass index; cSystolic blood pressure; dDiastolic blood pressure; eP < 0.005.

Table 1. (a) Descriptive characteristics based on gender; (b) Values of augmentation index at 75 beats-min⁻¹, heart rate variability and maximum oxygen consumption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Women (n = 41)</th>
<th>Men (n = 39)</th>
<th>P*</th>
<th>Total (n = 80)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIx@75 (%)</td>
<td>10.4 ± 9.7</td>
<td>0.4 ± 11.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnHFR-R (ms²)</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂max (mL∙kg⁻¹∙min⁻¹)</td>
<td>39.5 ± 7.1</td>
<td>50.9 ± 7.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± standard deviation; *P values from an independent t-test; bThe augmentation index at a heart rate of 75 beats-min⁻¹; cThe high-frequency power of R-R intervals; dP < 0.005.
for the variables of the log transformed HF power of R-R intervals from ECG measurements as lnHF_{R,R}, used as an indicator of CVT; maximal oxygen consumption as VO_{2max}, used as an indicator of cardiorespiratory fitness along with AIx@75 are in Table 1(b). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Southern Connecticut State University, and all participants gave informed consent.

Participants arrived for testing between 7:00 and 10:00 a.m. Prior to arrival they were advised not to exercise or consume food for 48 and 12 hours, respectively, prior to testing but were allowed to drink water. Women were tested within the first five days after menstruation to standardize and minimize the influence of hormonal levels on autonomic function [18]. The assessments were performed in a temperature controlled room with an ambient temperature of 21˚C. Anthropometric measurements were taken, and after 5 minutes of seated rest, two to three blood pressure measurements were taken; the mean value was used to indicate systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP).

2.2. Assessment of AIx

The SphygmoCor system (AtCor Medical Pty Ltd., West Ryde, Australia) used pulse wave analysis [19] to determine AIx. A high-fidelity transducer applanation tonometer was placed over the left radial pulse. The system then analyzed the radial waveform via the use of a generalized transfer function that was validated intra-arterially [20] [21] to determine AIx, which was established as the difference between the main outgoing wave and the reflected wave of the central waveform, expressed as a percent of the central pulse pressure. Since heart rate has an effect on pressure augmentation [3] [19], AIx values were adjusted to a standard heart rate of 75 beats∙min^{-1}, denoted AIx@75. Measurements were taken from the left radial pulse after 6 minutes of rest in a seated position. The SphygmoCor system automatically captured the data when the incorporated quality control component determined that the data were accurate. All collected data conformed to a research imposed ≥90% threshold of accuracy, determined by the system.

2.3. Assessment of HRV

Participants were then instrumented with a Nexfin monitor (BMEYE, Netherlands), which used ECG to determine continuous R-R interval measurements, which were taken for 8 minutes in a seated position at a sampling frequency of 1000 Hz. Participants were asked to breathe at 12 breaths∙min^{-1} (0.2 Hz) guided by a light moving up and down on a computer screen. This breathing protocol was implemented to avoid the effect of a varied respiratory rate on spectral distributions [22].

Power spectral density analysis of HRV via the fast Fourier transform was used to determine measures of HRV. A priori power spectra of R-R intervals
within the 0.15 - 0.4 Hz range were defined as the HF component of HRV, of which vagal activity is a main contributor. The HF component is commonly used as an index of efferent vagal activity [23] and was used in this study as a measure of CVT. The absolute value of the HF component was log transformed to remove skewness and minimize the large standard deviation customarily present in these data and was indicated as lnHFR-R.

2.4. Determination of VO2\text{max}

VO2\text{max} was used as a measure of cardiorespiratory fitness; values were derived using a ParvoMedics TrueOne 2400 metabolic measuring system (ParvoMedics, Sandy, UT). VO2\text{max} testing was performed on a computer-controlled, motorized Trackmaster Treadmill (Full Vision Inc., Newton, KS) using the Bruce Treadmill Protocol [24]. The fatigue level of participants was assessed via the Borg rating of perceived exertion scale; this assessment was taken at the end of each stage of the protocol. The stage progressed from the previous one every 3 minutes by increasing the work rate (speed and grade), until VO2\text{max} was reached. VO2\text{max} was confirmed in all subjects by obtaining a combination of at least two of the four following criteria: a plateau in oxygen consumption despite an increased work rate; a respiratory exchange ratio (RER) > 1.10; a heart rate within 10 beats-min\textsuperscript{-1} of the age-predicted maximum (220-age); or volitional fatigue. Data from any participants who did not meet two of these criteria were not retained for the analyses.

3. Statistical Analysis

Means and standard deviations are presented for the descriptive characteristics variables of age (years), height (centimeters), body mass (kilograms), body mass index (kg·m\textsuperscript{-2}), SBP (millimeter of mercury) and DBP (millimeter of mercury) for men and women and for the total sample. Statistics are also presented for AIx@75, lnHFR and VO2\text{max}. Independent sample t-tests were performed to determine if differences in the aforementioned variables exist by gender. Levene’s test was also performed on each pair-wise comparison to test for homogeneity of variance, followed by a calculation for the Bonferroni correction. To assess the association between the predictor variables of lnHFR, VO2\text{max}, and gender and the outcome variable AIx@75, multiple regression analyses were performed. Correlations were determined by Pearson correlation. Significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \) for all statistics except those derived from the t-tests. Statistics and analyses were obtained using SPSS for Windows, Version 23 (IBM Corporation 2015, Armonk, NY).

4. Results

Only 80 of the 82 participants completed the study protocol (41 women, 39 men). Table 1(a) shows the sample descriptive statistics (mean ± standard deviation) for the participants in this study, by gender and combined. Table 1(b)
shows the sample statistics for the variables of AIx@75, lnHF_{R,R}, and VO_{2,max}, by gender and combined. Independent sample t-tests indicated significant differences in the means between men and women for the descriptive variables of height, with men having greater height ($P < 0.001$) and greater body mass ($P < 0.001$); SBP and DBP were also significantly higher in men ($P < 0.001$) and ($P < 0.004$, respectively; Table 1(a)) versus women. Gender differences were also found for VO_{2,max}, with men having greater VO_{2,max} ($P < 0.001$) and women having greater AIx@75 ($P < 0.001$; Table 1(b)). The $P$ values for all the significant differences were less than the family-wise Bonferroni corrected $P$ value of 0.005.

Table 2 shows significant correlations between AIx@75, lnHF_{R,R}, and VO_{2,max} in men but not women. A stepwise multiple linear regression was performed with AIx@75 as the outcome and lnHF_{R,R}, VO_{2,max}, and gender (dummy variable) as the predictors. Table 3 shows the model summary for this analysis. Here it was demonstrated that the variable entered at each stage of the model’s development accounted for a significant increase in the overall robustness of the model, which accounted for 29.6% of the variation in AIx@75. In this model VO_{2,max} accounts for 19.7% of the variation in AIx@75, while lnHF_{R,R} and gender account for 6.1 and 3.8%, respectively. Table 4 shows that when the main

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lnHF_{R,R} (ms^2)</th>
<th>VO_{2,max}(mL·kg^{-1}·min^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIx@75 (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>0.38 (0.02)^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>0.10 (0.52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a$P < 0.05.

Table 3. Model summary for the stepwise linear regression with AIx@75 as outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Variable Entered</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Change Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$ Change</td>
<td>$F$ Change</td>
<td>Sig. $F$ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>VO_{2,max}</td>
<td>0.444 0.197 0.197 19.193 &lt;0.001^a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>lnHF_{R,R}</td>
<td>0.508 0.258 0.061 6.325 0.014^a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.544 0.296 0.038 4.059 0.047^a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a$P < 0.05.

Table 4. Model summary of linear regression by gender with AIx@75 as outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Change Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$ Change</td>
<td>$F$ Change</td>
<td>Sig. $F$ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>0.192^a 0.037 0.037 0.730 0.488</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>0.535^b 0.287 0.287 7.229 0.002^a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a$P < 0.05.
group was divided by gender with AIx@75 as the outcome variable and lnHF\(_{R.R}\) and VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) as predictors, only the model for men was found to be significant. Table 5 shows that the variables of VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) and lnHF\(_{R.R}\) account for an approximately equal percentage of the variation in AIx@75. Table 6 shows the results of a linear regression analysis with AIx@75 as the outcome and VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) and lnHF\(_{R.R}\) as predictors, for men and women. For women, no predictor was significant, indicating that AIx@75 was not a function of VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) or lnHF\(_{R.R}\) for women. Table 6 shows that for men the variables of VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) and lnHF\(_{R.R}\) were significant predictors of AIx@75, accounting for approximately 28.7\% of the variation in AIx@75.

5. Discussion

The main finding of this study was that the associations between lnHF\(_{R.R}\) and AIx@75 and between VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) and AIx@75 were not the same in men and women. Additionally, VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) was found to be a more robust indicator of AIx@75 versus lnHF\(_{R.R}\) in a combined group of men and women, while in the men’s group, VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) and lnHF\(_{R.R}\) accounted for approximately the same amount of variation in AIx@75.

In the current study, lnHF\(_{R.R}\) and VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) were correlated and were significant predictors of AIx@75 in men but not women. In men there was a positive correlation between lnHF\(_{R.R}\) and AIx@75; for every unit increase in lnHF\(_{R.R}\) there was a 4.6 unit increase AIx@75. This finding was particularly interesting since increased sympathetic tone has been found to be associated with increased AIx in men [25], while parasympathetic tone is considered to impose tonic restrain.

Table 5. Model summary for the stepwise linear regression with AIx@75 as outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R(^2)</th>
<th>Change Statistics</th>
<th>R(^2) Change</th>
<th>F Change</th>
<th>Sig. F Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>VO(_{2}\text{max})</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>6.072</td>
<td>0.019*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lnHF(_{R.R})</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>7.345</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*P < 0.05.

Table 6. Model coefficients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>11.825</td>
<td>13.693</td>
<td>0.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lnHF(_{R.R})</td>
<td>1.034</td>
<td>1.504</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VO(_{2}\text{max})</td>
<td>−0.221</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>−0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>−2.360</td>
<td>14.919</td>
<td>−0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>lnHF(_{R.R})</td>
<td>4.640</td>
<td>1.537</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VO(_{2}\text{max})</td>
<td>−0.607</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>−0.385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*P < 0.05.
on sympathetic tone. Because of this tonic restrain or the somewhat opposite nature of parasympathetic and sympathetic tone, one could speculate that increased lnHF_R_R should be associated with an attenuation in AIx@75; however, this study did not support this assumption. The positive association between lnHF_R_R and AIx@75 suggests that both parasympathetic and sympathetic tone could have a positive association with AIx@75. However, the mechanisms through which these branches of the autonomic nervous system act to increase AIx@75 might be different. The concept of a positive association between lnHF_R_R and AIx is plausible, since lnHF_R_R could result in the slowing of the heart rate [26]. This slowing of the heart could result in a greater ventricular ejection period of the cardiac cycle, which could lead to summation of both the incident and reflected wave [19] and thus increase AIx. Though this could be an explanation of how lnHF_R_R could increase AIx, in the current study, heart rate was controlled at 75 beats∙min⁻¹; therefore, reduced heart rate was not a contributing factor. Since both increased lnHF_R_R and reduced AIx@75 are considered to be positive indicators of cardiovascular health, their positive association was not expected and underscores the need for further research examining this association.

VO₂max was inversely correlated with and was a significant predictor of AIx@75 in men but not women; for every unit increase in VO₂max, AIx@75 decreased by 0.60 unit, suggesting that cardiorespiratory fitness is very important in reducing AIx@75 in men. The stepwise multiple linear regression model demonstrated that in the combined group of men and women, VO₂max accounted for 19.7% of the variation in AIx@75, with lnHF_R_R and gender accounting for 6.1 and 3.8%, respectively. In the combined group, VO₂max was the most significant predictor of AIx@75 in the model, but when this association was examined in men, VO₂max and lnHF_R_R accounted for approximately equal portions of the variation in AIx@75, as observed when the change in R² was approximately 14% for each predictor. Therefore, VO₂max explained more of the variation in AIx@75 in the combined group of men and women.

Women demonstrated a significantly higher mean AIx@75 versus men, which corroborated with prior work [27]. This greater AIx@75 can be explained in part by women being significantly shorter than men, therefore having smaller aortas, giving rise to reflection sites that are closer to the heart and therefore earlier wave reflections [28]. Although our finding of women having significantly higher mean AIx@75 values than men corroborated with the findings of one prior study [25], women in the current study had a mean AIx@75 value that was greater than the value observed in the prior study. One possible explanation is that there could have been a difference in the phase of the menstrual cycle during which AIx@75 was measured in the current study versus the prior study. The phase of the menstrual cycle during which measures of arterial stiffness are taken is very important as arterial stiffness (of which AIx is an indicator) has been shown to differ during different phases of the menstrual cycle [29].
There was no significant mean difference in lnHF_R-R between men and women, although it has also been suggested that women have higher HRV versus men [30].

6. Conclusion

The association between AIx@75, CVT, and cardiorespiratory fitness is not the same in men and women. In men, as CVT increased, so did AIx@75, and increased cardiorespiratory fitness was associated with reduced AIx@75; these associations were not observed in women. Additionally, in a combined group of men and women, cardiorespiratory fitness was a more robust predictor of AIx@75 versus CVT. Findings from the present study suggest that the association between pertinent cardiovascular health indices differ by gender. Additionally, these findings underscore the need to consider factors that could influence the relationship between known indicators of cardiovascular health when assessing the efficacy of protocols geared toward improving cardiovascular health. While findings from the present study are intriguing, more research is needed in this area using larger sample size and different ethnic groups.
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