The Effect of Bleomycin Embolization on Symptomatic Improvement and Hemangioma Size among Patients with Giant Liver Hemangiomas
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Abstract

Objective: The optimal treatment of giant liver hemangiomas is controversial. Although surgery is the usual treatment for symptomatic giant hemangiomas, minimally invasive techniques have been recently recommended as an alternative therapy. Aim: we aimed to assess the effect of bleomycin embolization with lipiodol on symptomatic improvement and hemangioma size among patients with symptomatic giant liver hemangiomas. Methods: This study retrospectively involved 17 patients [10 women, 7 men; Age range 35 - 67 years (mean 46.41 ± 2.6 years)] with giant liver hemangioma who presented to our clinic between August 2014 and October 2016. Bleomycin mixed with lipiodol was administered using selective arterial embolization. The patients were followed by clinical, laboratory, ultrasonography and abdominal tomographic examination (mean 14.47 ± 2.21 months). All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 15.0; a p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Results: The mean volume of hemangiomas was 1799.3 cm³ (range 480 - 9925.1 cm³) before the procedure and 405.7 cm³ (range 38.7 - 3856 cm³) after the procedure. No treatment-related death was observed. All patients demonstrated symptomatic improvement and significant volume reduction (p = 0.001). Conclusions: Minimally invasive bleomycin embolization is an effective alternative to surgery for patients with giant liver hemangiomas.
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1. Introduction

Hemangiomas are benign mesenchymal liver tumors with a prevalence of 3% - 20% in autopsy series [1]. Hemangiomas most commonly affect women in their fourth and fifth decades of life. Liver hemangiomas are usually asymptomatic, and liver function tests are typically within normal limits. Hence, they mostly detected incidentally. Hemangiomas greater than 5 cm are referred to as giant hemangiomas, which can be symptomatic and require treatment [2]. Although surgery is the usual treatment method for symptomatic giant hemangiomas, surgical resection continues to be the classical paradigm [3]. Minimally invasive techniques have recently been introduced as alternatives to surgery. In this retrospective study, we aimed to determine the effects of the minimally invasive embolization with bleomycin mixed with lipiodol on mass size and symptoms of patients with giant liver hemangiomas.

2. Methods

In the present study 17 patients [10 women, 7 men; Age range 35 - 67 years (mean 46.41 ± 2.6 years)] with giant liver hemangiomas who presented to our clinic between August 2014 and October 2016 were treated with minimally invasive approach using hemangioma embolization with bleomycin mixed with lipiodol. Two patients underwent a second session of embolization one month later while three patients underwent simultaneous treatment of hemangiomas in two different lobes of the liver. Tumor characterization (length, lesion number) was performed with ultrasonography, computerized tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging. The diagnosis was confirmed by noninvasive examination and the typical angiographic characteristics noted during the Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) procedure.

Following the placement of a 4F introducer into the right femoral artery under standard sterile conditions, the celiac root was selectively cannulized using a 4F shepherd hook catheter, and images were taken after contrast material injection. The acquired cine films were used to assess large caliber hypervascular mass lesions supplied by the hepatic artery branch at the side of a liver hemangioma. Following the acquisition of diagnostic images, superselective catheterization of the above-described mass lesions was carried out using a microcatheter and a 0.016-inch guide wire. Bleomycin and lipiodol mixture was injected through microcatheter for the embolization procedure (Figure 1). Fifteen milligrams of bleomycin sulphate [Bleosin-S (in 15 mg vials); Onko/Koc, sel, Turkey] was dissolved in 5 ml saline solution and admixed with 10 ml lipiodol (Laboratoire Guerbet, Fransa) at 1:2 ratio. Lipiodol and bleomycin (10 - 20 mg/ml) dosages were determined by tumor diameter (cm), and a maximum of 30 mg bleomycin and 20 ml lipiodol were used for tumors greater than 10 cm.

During the follow-up, all patients were considered symptomatic due to an increase in hemangioma size. Additionally, eleven patients were considered symptomatic owing to a sense of fullness in the right upper quadrant; five owing to
pain; and one owing to liver failure. All patients were informed about therapeutic and surgical treatment methods currently available for giant liver hemangiomas. All patients gave written informed consent, and the study was approved by the local ethics committee of Başkent University. The main portal vein was patent in all patients. Ascintigraphic examination proved the absence of an arterioportal or arteriovenous shunt. All patients had an INR of less than 1.5 and a thrombocyte count above 50,000/ml. Complete blood count a liver function tests were evaluated before and 1 day after the procedure. All patients were administered analgesics and sedatives prior to the procedure. All patients were administered a single dose (cephazolin 1 gram I.V) prophylactic antibiotic immediately before the procedure. Analgesics and antiemetics were repeated as needed after the procedure. All patients were hospitalized for the embolization procedure. The range of hospital stay was 24 - 72 hours (24 hours in 15 patients; 48 hours in 1 patient; and 72 hours in 1 patient) and the mean duration of hospital stay was 28 hours.

Bleomycin-lipiodol mixture was prepared as below:

Tumor size after embolization with bleomycin was assessed by computerized tomography at 1, 6, and 12 months. Hemangioma volume was calculated by multiplying its height, length, and width. The range of the duration of post-procedural clinical and radiological follow-up was 12 to 16 months (mean 14.47 ± 2.21 months).

Treatment response was analyzed using SPSS 15.0 (Chicago, USA) software package. Wilcoxon test was used to test whether the distributions of two variables were equal, and the Fried man ANOVA test to compare the median values. Patients age and tumor size was expressed as mean ± SD; statistical significance was set at p = 0.05.

3. Results

Seven patients had hemangiomas in the right lobe; 2 in the left lobe, and 8 in both lobes. The diameter of the largest hemangioma was 28 cm. The volumes of the hemangiomas ranged between 480 and 9925.1 cm³. Eleven (64.8%) patients were diagnosed with ultrasonography (USG), and 6 (35.2%) with CT. A total of

Figure 1. Selective angiographic transarterial chemoembolization procedure.
22 embolization sessions using the bleomycin lipiodol mixture were carried out for 19 hemangiomas of 17 patients. Radiological examination revealed a marked reduction in the mean hemangioma size after embolization with bleomycin. The largest diameter of hemangiomas was reduced from $14.72 \pm 12.8 \text{ cm}^3$ to $7.63 \pm 4.76 \text{ cm}^3$. The mean volume of the hemangiomas was $3716.276 \text{ cm}^3$ (480.0 - 9925.1 cm$^3$) prior to the procedure and $746.012 \text{ cm}^3$ (38.7 - 3856 cm$^3$) at 12 months. The pre-procedural hemangioma volumes and diameters were significantly different from one-, six-, and twelve-month values. The mean tumor volume was $746 \pm 405.7 \text{ cm}^3$ at 14 months of follow-up.

The mean volume of giant liver hemangiomas was reduced by 54.9% at 1 month after the procedure compared to the pre-procedural volume ($p < 0.001$). The volume reduction reached 79.6% at 6 and 12 months. The non-intervened hemangiomas, on the other hand, were reduced in volume by 50.8% at 1 month and 75.7% at 12 months, which was radiologically significant but not statistically significant owing to the low number of hemangiomas (Figure 2 and Figure 3).

All patients enjoyed a symptomatic improvement following embolization. Hemangioma-associated pain and sense of fullness mostly started to regress from the first month and completely eliminated by 2 months. The regression of

Figure 2. One-year follow-up of a giant liver hemangioma; A: computerized tomographic (CT) examination of the giant liver hemangioma before bleomycin embolization; B: CT examination 1 month after bleomycin embolization; C: CT examination 6 months after bleomycin embolization; D: CT examination 12 months after bleomycin embolization.

Figure 3. (a) Comparison of giant hemangioma size at 1, 6, and 12 months after embolization with bleomycin with pre-procedural size; (b) Comparison of the size of the second non-intervened hemangiomas at 1, 6, and 12 months with pre-procedural size.
the sense of fullness was proportional to a reduction in the size of the mass. No symptom recurrence was observed during the follow-up period.

Seven patients developed post-procedural postembolization syndrome characterized by pain, fever, loss of appetite or nausea. The most prominent symptom of this syndrome was abdominal pain. Pain in the right upper quadrant started immediately following the procedure in all patients, and subsided by 24 hours in 15 patients and 48 hours in the remainders. Ten patients developed mild nausea and loss of appetite accompanied by pain. Four patients developed a subfebrile body temperature not exceeding 37.5°C, which also subsided by 24 hours. No statistically significant increase occurred in liver enzymes (aspartate amino transferase, alanine amino transferase) at 24 hours after the procedure. No clinically meaningful increase occurred in white blood cell count, either. No serious procedural complications developed during follow-up period, such as liver function disorders, liver abscess, or necrosis of normal liver tissue. No patient developed pulmonary symptoms after the procedure. A patient developed a drop in the hemoglobin level that necessitated the infusion of 2 packs of red blood cell suspension. No pathology was detected by a control ultrasonography, and the patient was discharged uneventfully at the end of 72 hours (Table 1).

4. Discussion

Cavernous hemangiomas are the most common type of benign tumors of the liver [1] [4]. Most are small, asymptomatic and detected incidentally by radiological screening [5]. Giant cavernous hemangiomas may cause symptoms such as pain, abdominal sense of fullness, and upper abdominal mass. Thrombosis, infarction, intrallesional bleeding, capsular distention, and compressing of adjacent organs are the possible causes of pain [6]. In some rare occasions, serious complications such as obstructive jaundice, Kasabach-Merritt syndrome, gastric outlet obstruction, or intra-abdominal hemorrhage due to rupture may occur [7]. Literature data suggest that the risk of rupture of liver hemangiomas ranges between 1% and 4%. Giant subcapsular lesions may be considered to confer a greater risk [8]. Although hemangiomas do not typically grow in size during follow-up, spontaneous growth constitutes the main treatment indication. Steroids and estrogen therapy may also increase their size. No malignant transformation of hemangiomas has been reported [9]. In accordance with the literature data, our patients suffered from hemangioma growth, sense of abdominal fullness, and pain. The diagnosis was made by diagnostic USG and tomographic examination. Spontaneous growth occurred in hemangiomas during pre-procedural follow-up. No complication developed in any of our patients during a mean follow-up period of 14 months.

The etiology of hemangiomas is not clear. Structurally, hemangiomas are composed of venous pools lined by vascular endothelial cells separated by fibrous tissue septae. Blood circulation is slow, and hepatic artery is the main source of vascular supply [10]. The treatment options for symptomatic liver hemangiomas
include steroids, radiotherapy, surgical resection, hepatic artery ligation, and transarterial embolization (TACE) [11]. Asymptomatic liver hemangiomas, on the other hand, have no definitive indications for surgery, although they must be closely monitored due to the risk of growth [3].

The decision for performing surgery for liver hemangiomas should be carefully weighed against the complication risk. A number of series have reported serious blood loss (10% - 27%) and death at a rate of 0% - 2% after the enucleation or resection of hepatic hemangiomas [10]. Surgical resection is controversial even in the case of symptomatic hemangiomas owing to the risk of severe complications. The definitive indications for surgery include intraperitoneal bleeding, intratumoral bleeding, and consumption coagulopathy (Kasabach-Merritt syndrome) and spontaneous or traumatic rupture. Nevertheless, spontaneous rupture is an extremely rare complication even with giant heman-
giomas [8]. As reported previously, other definitive indications for surgery, such as Kasabach-Merritt syndrome, can also be corrected by embolization [12]. Surgery should be reserved for patients with giant liver hemangiomas in whom the non-surgical treatment fails. Although our patients had no contraindication for surgery, they were successfully treated in a minimally invasive manner, *i.e.* by embolization with bleomycin. By this way, they were protected against the complications of surgery.

Recent studies have emphasized the importance of the role of TACE to treat symptomatic hemangiomas as an effective treatment method that is less invasive than surgery [4]. To date, several different embolic agents like gelatin sponge, steel coils, polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), ethanol, sodium morphate, and isobutyl-cyano-acrylate have been used to treat hemangiomas [13]. However, liquid embolic agents like PVA and sodium morphate or ethanol may lead to serious complications such as severe pain, and may cause reflux embolization. Gelatin sponge alone does not destroy blood sinuses [14]. Bleomycin is an antifungal and antimicrobial agent used against squamous cell carcinomas, testis cancers, and malignant lymphomas. Its antineoplastic activity stems from its inhibition of DNA biosynthesis [15]. Oikawa *et al.* [16] first reported the antiangiogenic action of bleomycin in 1990. Bleomycin exerts a local sclerosing action on endothelial cells. Bleomycin, alone or mixed with iodized oil (lipiodol), can be used as a sclerosing agent to treat vascular anomalies [17]. The mechanism of the antiangiogenic effects of bleomycin is unknown. Animal studies have suggested that injury starts in capillaries in the form of endothelial cell pyknosis, thrombocyte aggregation, and intraluminal thrombus formation, ultimately inducing fibroblast proliferation and collagen deposition [18]. Pulmonary fibrosis is the most severe pulmonary complication of bleomycin. Bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis has not been reported for doses used to treat hemangiomas, however [18]. Pulmonary fibrosis is seen in 30% of patients in whom the cumulative bleomycin dose exceeds 450 mg [15]. In this study, the maximum bleomycin dose was substantially lower than the toxic dose; we therefore encountered no case of pulmonary fibrosis.

Lipiodol (iodized oil) is used as an embolic agent and an anticancer drug carrier. It is selectively accumulated in vascular sinuses [19]. Lipiodol not only invades small arteries supplying a tumor, but it also carries bleomycin to it. Arteriovenous shunts inside hepatic cavernous hemangiomas are extremely rare [20]. Studies on animals and humans have demonstrated that lipiodol remains in small arteries and sinusoids of a tumor [19]. The mechanism of effect of bleomycin mixed with lipiodol is thought to be the destruction of endothelial cells and formation of microthrombin in sinuses, ultimately resulting in the atrophy and fibrosis [14]. Additionally, bleomycin mixed with lipiodol does not harm normal blood vessels. This study demonstrated a variable reduction of hemangioma size at 3 - 12 months after embolization. The difference between hemangiomas size post-and pre-embolization was statistically significant.
We suggest that bleomycin and lipiodol have a superior effect on embolization than solid embolic agents. Bleomycin mixed with lipiodol acts not only as an embolization agent, but also as a chronic sclerozing agent that induces fibrosis. The fibrotic effect of bleomycin mixed with lipiodol as well as the reduction in hemangioma size and blood supply of hemangiomas were radiologically showed during 12-month follow-up of the patients.

Thanks to its relatively modest embolization effect, bleomycin mixed with lipiodol is safer than liquid embolic agents like sodium morphate and ethanol. The most common side effects of embolization are pain, fever, leucocytosis, and nausea. The postembolization syndrome lasts several days and serious complications are rare [21]. Our patients suffered mild-moderate postembolization syndrome, and none of them developed liver failure. Even a patient with preexisting liver failure tolerated the procedure well. This may be due to lipiodol being not taken by a normal hepatic tissue but rapidly cleared through hemangiomatous sinusoids.

5. Conclusion

This retrospective study showed that the embolization of giant liver hemangiomas with bleomycin and lipiodol mixture eliminated symptoms and reduced lesion size. Embolization with bleomycin mixed with lipiodol is an effective alternative to surgery in these patients.
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