Role of Self-Loop in Cell-Cycle Network of Budding Yeast
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Abstract

Study of network dynamics is very active area in biological and social sciences. However, the relationship between the network structure and the attractors of the dynamics has not been fully understood yet. In this study, we numerically investigated the role of degenerate self-loops on the attractors and its basin size using the budding yeast cell-cycle network model. In the network, all self-loops negatively suppress the node (self-inhibition loops) and the attractors are only fixed points, \textit{i.e.} point attractors. It is found that there is a simple division rule of the state space by removing the self-loops when the attractors consist only of point attractors. The point attractor with largest basin size is robust against the change of the self-inhibition loop. Furthermore, some limit cycles of period 2 appear as new attractor when a self-activation loop is added to the original network. It is also shown that even in that case, the point attractor with largest basin size is robust.
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1. Introduction

Recently, some networks representing metabolic reactions in the cell and gene regulatory responses through transcription factors have been elucidated along with progress of experimental systems and accumulation technology in the database [1]. In addition, researches on characterizing the state of the cells as a complex network utilizing these databases have been actively investigated [2] [3] [4] [5].

Moreover, the deterministic discrete-time dynamics for discrete-state model
with such network structures have been widely studied on the properties of the attractors that represent cellular activity states. This is because the state space is finite, so it is easy to search the fixed points and the periodic solutions using computer power. For example, Kauffman et al. modeled the early cells before differentiation with the dynamics of the network, and made the type of the attractors correspond to the type of cells after the differentiation [6] [7] [8] [9]. On the other hand, Li et al. discovered that in the model of the gene regulatory network related to the cell-cycle, there is a fixed point with a very large basin size, and the transition process to the fixed point corresponds to the expression pattern of the gene in each process of the cell-cycle [10]. It should be noticed that in the network of the Kauffman et al., there is no self-regulating factor (self-loop), but in the model of Li et al. the existence of the self-loops has influence on the attractors. Very recently, in other systems such as fission yeast cell cycle and mammalian cell cycle, the Boolean network models for the regulation have also been studied [11] [12] [13].

In this study, using the same gene regulatory network as Li et al. for the budding yeast, we clarify the relationship between the fixed points (point attractors) with large basin size and the presence of the self-loops in the network. It is found that there is a simple division rule of the state space by removing the self-loops, and the point attractors with largest basin size (BS) is robust against the changing the self-loops. The similar results are obtained for C. elegans early embryonic cell cycles as well [14].

2. Model

Here, we give some basic properties of the Boolean network model of the cell-cycle regulation for the budding yeast. Let us take the binary value \{0,1\} as the state \(s_i\) of each node \(i\) corresponding to the numbered genes as given in Table 1. The states 1 and 0 correspond to expressed and unexpressed genes, respectively and the attractors of the dynamics are associated to cell differentiation. The effect on the node \(i\) from the other node \(j \neq i\) is defined as

\[
B_j = \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} s_j,
\]

where \(N\) is the total number of the nodes, and \(a_{ij}\) denotes matrix element of the weighted adjacency matrix \(A\) representing the interaction between the genes. We take \(a_{ij} = +1\) when the node \(j\) positively regulates the node \(i\) (positive interaction), and \(a_{ij} = -1\) when the node \(j\) negatively suppresses the node \(i\) (negative interaction).

The node without the self-loop, \(i.e. \ a_{ii} = 0\), follows a threshold dynamics from discrete time \(t\) to \(t+1\) \((t \in \mathbb{N})\) by using the parallel updating scheme as follows:

\[
S_i(t+1) = \begin{cases} 
0 & (B_i(t) < \theta_i) \\
1 & (B_i(t) > \theta_i) \\
S_i(t) & (B_i(t) = \theta_i)
\end{cases}
\]

\[ (2) \]
Table 1. Seven attractors in the original gene regulatory network. (All are point attractors.) The third line shows that there is a degenerate self-loop when mark ○ is present in the node. In the decimal notation, each attractor is displayed as, \( A^{(0)} = 68, A^{(0)} = 384, A^{(0)} = 580, A^{(0)} = 4, A^{(0)} = 0, A^{(0)} = 516, A^{(0)} = 64. \) The last column (BS) represents the basin size of the attractors. Note that Cln1 represents Cln1, 2, Clb5 represents Clb5, 6, and Clb1 represents Clb1, 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Cln3</th>
<th>MBF</th>
<th>SBF</th>
<th>Cln1</th>
<th>Cdh1</th>
<th>Swi5</th>
<th>Cdc20</th>
<th>Clb5</th>
<th>Sic1</th>
<th>Clb1</th>
<th>Mcm1</th>
<th>BS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^{(0)} )</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1764</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^{(0)} )</td>
<td>0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 151</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^{(0)} )</td>
<td>0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^{(0)} )</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^{(0)} )</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^{(0)} )</td>
<td>0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^{(0)} )</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( \theta_i \) denotes the threshold value of the node \( i \). Also, if the self-loop acts inactively when \( B_i(t) = \theta_i \), the effect of the protein degradation called “degeneration”, which is distinguished from a simple inhibition effect, is given as follows;

\[
S_i(t+1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{(} B_i(t) = \theta_i, a_{ii} = -1) \\ 1 & \text{(} B_i(t) = \theta_i, a_{ii} = +1) \end{cases}
\]

(3)

The budding yeast cell-cycle network model (denoted by \( G^{(0)} \)) by Li et al. is a special one in a sense that all nodes of the existing self-loops are given as \( a_{ii} = -1 \). The network is shown in Figure 1. We take the values \( \theta_i = 0 \) for all \( i \) in this report. Each regulatory factor is represented by each numbered node \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 11 \), and the activation effect \( a_{ij} = +1 \) and suppression effect \( a_{ij} = -1 \) are indicated by solid and dashed arrows between the nodes. There are self-degeneration loops on the 5 nodes, Cln3, Cln1-2, Swi5, Cbe/Cdc14, Mcm1/SFF. Note that this rule is the same as that of Refs. [5] and [10], but it differs from that of [15]. In this network, the total state number is \( W = 2^{11} = 2048 \), and all steady states are seven point attractors by numbering as

\[
A^{(0)} = \{ A^{(0)}_1, A^{(0)}_2, A^{(0)}_3, A^{(0)}_4, A^{(0)}_5, A^{(0)}_6, A^{(0)}_7 \}.
\]

The state of the point attractor with the largest basin size among these is \( A^{(0)}_1 = 00001000100 = 68 \), where the last number is in decimal. According to the study of Li et al. the following facts are known. 1) The attractor with the largest basin \( A^{(0)}_1 = 68 \) corresponds to the stationary \( G_1 \) state in the cell-cycle of the budding yeast. 2) When creating the random network model of the same system size \( N = 11 \), there is no attractor that corresponds to \( A^{(0)}_1 \) with a very large...
Each circle represents a protein (cyclin or transcription factor) involved in the gene regulation. For the links connecting the respective proteins, the blue-solid lines represent the effect of the activation control, and the red-dashed lines represent the effect of the suppression control. In addition, the self-loops by green-dotted lines represent the effect (ubiquitin-proteasome system) of protein degradation in the absence of external input.

basin size. 3) One of the trajectories to reach the attractor \( A_1^{(0)} \) coincides with the trajectory of the actual biological cell-cycle. 4) The trajectory corresponding to the biological cell-cycle leading to \( A_1^{(0)} \) is stable against external perturbation.

In addition, the result for the basin size of the attractors in the similar random networks with same conditions of the structure as the \( G^{(0)} \) is given in Appendix A. We confirmed that the occurrence probability of the point attractors with the large basin size (≥1700) is less than 20 percent. This result is consistent with those in Ref. [4].

These results may be due to all self-loops being degenerate and threshold values being zero, and all the attractors are point attractors only. Generally, the threshold values are related to adding the active self-loops at each node. Note that for fission yeast cell-cycle model with similar network structure some limit cycles of period two appear as the attractor because some of the threshold value are not zero [15] [16]. Further, notice that when an active self-loop is attached to the node the state update rule becomes different from those of Tran et al. due to the existence of rule (3).

3. Numerical Result

In this section, we investigate the effect of the degenerate self-loops on the attractors of the original network \( G^{(0)} \). Therefore, we write the network from which the degenerate self-loop of the \( k \)th node is removed from \( G^{(0)} \) as \( G^{(-k)} \), and the network with self-activating loop is added to the \( m \)th node of \( G^{(0)} \) as
Here, $k$ selects from the nodes with the self-loop, and $m$ selects from the nodes without the self-loop. The attractor sets are indicated as

$$A^{(k)} = \{ A_1^{(k)}, A_2^{(k)}, \ldots, A_{n_k}^{(k)} \}, \quad A^{(m)} = \{ A_1^{(m)}, A_2^{(m)}, \ldots, A_{n_m}^{(m)} \},$$

and so on, respectively, where $n_k$ and $n_m$ means the number of attractors in the networks $G^{(k)}$ and $G^{(m)}$, respectively. We can numerically decide the all attractors and the basin size because the network has a state space of $2^{11} = 2048$ states.

### 3.1. Case of Removing Degenerate Self-Loop

In Figure 1 of the original network, degenerate self-loops are included in five control factors of Cln3, Cln1-2, Swi5, Cbc20/Cdc14, Mcm1/SFF, and Table 1 shows the 7 attractors. We show in Table 2 the 11 attractors of the gene regulatory network $G^{(-1)}$ which removed the degenerate self-loop of Cln3 (the first node).

We compare the attractors of the network $G^{(-1)}$ with those of $G^{(0)}$. It is found that $A_1^{(-1)} = A_1^{(0)}$, $A_2^{(-1)} = A_2^{(0)}$, $A_3^{(-1)} = A_3^{(0)}$, $A_4^{(-1)} = A_4^{(0)}$, $A_5^{(-1)} = A_5^{(0)}$, $A_6^{(-1)} = A_6^{(0)}$, $A_7^{(-1)} = A_7^{(0)}$. That is, all of the attractor sets $A^{(0)}$ of the original network $G^{(0)}$ is included the attractor set of $A^{(-1)}$ of the network $G^{(-1)}$.

Next, we focus on the change of the basin size. It follows that the basin size of the attractor $A_1^{(0)}$ with the largest basin size is reduced by the elimination of the degenerate self-loop. Also, the basin size of the other attractors are also reduced from those of $A^{(0)}$. Figure 2 shows the basin structure of the 2048 initial states.

**Table 2.** Eleven attractors in the gene regulatory network $G^{(-1)}$ which removed the degenerate self-loop of Cln3 (the first node). (All are point attractors.) The last column (BS) represents the basin size of the attractors. In the decimal notation, each attractor is displayed as, $A_1^{(-1)} = 1979$, $A_2^{(-1)} = 68$, $A_3^{(-1)} = 1459$, $A_4^{(-1)} = 580$, $A_5^{(-1)} = 1595$, $A_6^{(-1)} = 1971$, $A_7^{(-1)} = 4$, $A_8^{(-1)} = 0$, $A_9^{(-1)} = 516$, $A_{10}^{(-1)} = 64$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>BS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_1^{(-1)}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_2^{(-1)}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_3^{(-1)}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_4^{(-1)}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_5^{(-1)}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_6^{(-1)}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_7^{(-1)}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_8^{(-1)}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_9^{(-1)}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{10}^{(-1)}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{11}^{(-1)}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. (Color online) The point attractors and the basin structures of the network $G^{(i)}$. The 7 red circles present the common point attractors to $G^{(0)}$ and $G^{(i)}$. The blue and green circles present attractors newly added by the network becoming $G^{(i)}$. The point attractor with the largest basin of $G^{(i)}$ is indicated by green circle.

flowing to the fixed points given in Table 2. The red circles are the point attractors of $G^{(0)}$, and the blue circles indicate the four point attractors newly added by the network becoming $G^{(i)}$. Obviously, the basin size of the same attractor of $G^{(i)}$ to those of attractor of $G^{(0)}$ is smaller than those of $G^{(0)}$, and they are caused by branching from the basin of $G^{(0)}$. Accordingly, it is also easy to understand that all attractors (attractor sets) of the original network $G^{(0)}$ are included in the attractor set of $G^{(i)}$. The attractor of the large BS of $G^{(0)}$ corresponds to the attractor of the relatively large BS of $G^{(i)}$.

In Figure 3, we show the coloring basin structure of $G^{(0)}$ depending on each basin of the attractors of $G^{(i)}$. (Figure 4 shows the one that removed the color-coded state other than red from the attractor of the largest basin.) It is found that the newly appearing attractors of $G^{(i)}$ are created by connecting the the leaf states to the other leaf states in the original gene state in the transition diagram.

Although above results are for the specific case which the degenerate self-loop of Cln3 has been removed, but also it is found that the similar results are also true for the cases removing the other degenerate self-loops. Furthermore, if we apply this rule repeatedly in the process of removing the self-loops, we can see that in general the above relations of the attractors and the basin size also applies to the relationship before and after removing the self-loops.

3.2. Case of Adding Active Self-Loop

It is noting that in the general network which both the self-regression loops and self-activation loops exist, limit cycles can appear as the attractors, as shown in case of the fission yeast. In networks which the self-activation loop is added to
Figure 3. (Color online) The basin structure of $G^{(0)}$ classified by colors depending on the basins for each attractor of $G^{(1)}$. The states are color-coded so that we can see basins of the 11 attractors of $G^{(1)}$.

Figure 4. The basin structure that removed the color-coded states other than red in Figure 3 from the attractor with the largest basin of $G^{(0)}$.

As an example, the attractors $A^{(8)}$ of the network $G^{(8)}$ which an active self-loop added to Clb5 (the 8th node) of the $G^{(0)}$ is given in Table 3. It follows that the attractors $A^{(0)}_6 = A^{(8)}_6$, $A^{(0)}_8 = A^{(8)}_8$, exist also in the network $G^{(0)}$, and the limit cycle attractors of period 2, $A^{(8)}_2$, $A^{(8)}_3$, $A^{(8)}_4$, are newly emerging as the attractors of the network $G^{(8)}$. Also, it follows that many attractors of $G^{(0)}$ have disappeared, but the attractor with largest basin size has survived. The basin structure of the attractors in the Table 3 is shown in Figure 5. It is found
Table 3. Five attractors present in gene regulatory network $G^{(s)}$ which an active self-loop is added to Clb5 (the 8th node). The three attractors $A_2^{(s)}$, $A_3^{(s)}$, $A_4^{(s)}$ are limit cycle. $LC_{P2}$ means the limit cycle with the period 2. The last column (BS) represents the basin size of the attractors. In the decimal notation, each attractor is displayed as, $A_1^{(s)} = 59$, $A_2^{(s)}=(933,956)$, $A_3^{(s)}=(613,633)$, $A_4^{(s)}=(549,572)$, $A_5^{(s)}=4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>BS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_2^{(s)}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1897</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_3^{(s)}$ (LC_{P2})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_4^{(s)}$ (LC_{P2})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_5^{(s)}$ (LC_{P2})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_6^{(s)}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_7^{(s)}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. The attractors and the basin structures of $G^{(s)}$. The 2 red circles present the point attractors. The 6 blue circles represent the states that belong to the three limit cycles of period 2 two each, respectively.

that the limit cycles are constituted by combining the gene states with the relatively small basin size. In such a case the limit cycles with large basin size do not occur.

These features occur even if the self-activated loop is added to the other nodes without the self-loop. Furthermore, the similar phenomena can also be confirmed by changing any of the degenerate self-loop of the five nodes to the active one.
4. Summary and Discussion

In this short report, we investigated the influence of the degenerate self-loop on attractor of the gene regulatory network model of the cell-cycle of budding yeast.

In the case of networks with degenerate self-loops removed from the original network $G^{(0)}$, only the point attractor appears because all of the self-loops are degenerate. The attractor set of the network without the degenerate self-loops includes all attractors of the original network $G^{(0)}$. In addition, when self-regression loops and self-activation loops coexist, limit cycles with the period more than 2 appear other than point attractor, and many attractors of $G^{(0)}$ are not included in the attractor set, but the attractor with the largest basin size was relatively stable against the deletions and additions of the self-loop. Above result can apply to Boolean genetic network model of *C. elegans* early embryonic cell-cycle network as it is, because the self-loops of network are only self-inhibition loops, and the attractors are only fixed points [4] [14].

Note that necessary and sufficient condition that the network attractors does not become limit cycle but only point attractors is not known yet [5] [15] [17]. However, we expect that the result in Subsec. 3.1 holds when at least the attractors are only fixed points in the random network with only degenerate self-loops.

There is a theorem in the graph theory [15]: Consider a Boolean network such that each gene is governed with a threshold function. Then, if the associated incidence graph, without considering the diagonal elements, is a directed acyclic graph (DAG) and the thresholds are non negative, $\theta_i \geq 0$, then the attractors are only fixed points. The network of the budding yeast satisfies the following sufficient condition for the fixed points. The result of the Subsec. 3.2 seems to contradict above theorem at first glance. However, considering that the update rule (3) is different from one in Ref. [15], we can see that it is not necessarily contradictory to the theorem.
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Appendix A: Case of the Random Network Models

We randomly construct the cell-cycle network with the same number of nodes and links as the budding yeast, and examined the attractors and its basin size \( x \) by re-linking in the network. The number of nodes is 11, the number of active links is 14, number of suppressing links is 15, and (suppressing) self loop number is 5. For each sample, attractors with the largest basin size were examined. In the cases, all are point attractors because the networks satisfy the sufficient condition. Figure 6 shows the probability distribution \( Pr(x_{\text{BS}} \leq x) \) of the random network that the largest basin size \( x_{\text{BS}} \) is smaller than \( x \). It follows that about 20 percent even on a random network maintaining the same structure as the budding yeast have attractor with the similar or the larger basin size (≥1700) than the budding yeast. The result is similar with those for ES cell network of C. elegans in Ref. [4].

![Figure 6. The probability distribution \( Pr(x_{\text{BS}} \leq x) \) of the random network that the largest basin size \( x_{\text{BS}} \) is smaller than \( x \). We used 1000 network samples that the number of nodes is 11, the number of active links is 14, number of suppressing links is 15, and (suppressing) self-loop number is 5.](image)