The Lower Infusion Rate of Glucose to Maintain Ketogenesis within Normal Level during Surgery

Jun Hirokawa, Sayuri Kadowaki, Masanori Tsukamoto, Takashi Hitosugi, Takeshi Yokoyama

Department of Dental Anesthesiology, Faculty of Dental Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
Email: hj8823@dent.kyushu-u.ac.jp, s.koyama@dent.kyushu-u.ac.jp, tsukamoto@dent.kyushu-u.ac.jp,
hitosugi.takashi.724@m.kyushu-u.ac.jp, yokoyama@dent.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Background: Intraoperative low-dose glucose infusions suppress ketogenesis and attenuate postoperative insulin resistance (IR). However, the appropriate rate for intraoperative glucose infusion remains unclear, although a postoperative infusion of 0.08 g/kg/h effectively suppressed ketogenesis at the next morning. Therefore, we investigated the effects of an intraoperative rate of 0.08 g/kg/h on ketogenesis and postoperative IR.

Methods: The present study included 15 patients who were undergoing maxillofacial surgery. The patients received glucose-free Ringer’s solution and a continuous glucose infusion (0.08 g/kg/h) during the surgery. Blood samples were collected to evaluate the concentrations of noradrenaline, cortisol, glucose, insulin, ketone bodies, and free fatty acid before anesthesia induction (T1), at 1 h after induction (T2), at 3 h after induction (T3), and at the end of surgery (T4). The glucose clamp test was performed on the days before and after surgery using the STG-55™ device. IR was quantified using the mean glucose infusion rate (M-value).

Results: All 15 patients exhibited intraoperative blood glucose concentrations of 90 - 130 mg/dL. There was a non-significant trend towards higher plasma concentrations of total ketone bodies at T3 (p = 0.058). The plasma concentrations of acetacetic acid at T3 and T4 were significantly higher than that at T1 (p = 0.0217 and p = 0.0306, respectively). All patients exhibited lower M-values after surgery (mean reduction: 48.0% ± 17.9%). Conclusion: Continuous intraoperative glucose at 0.08 g/kg/h helped maintain blood glucose concentrations, although it may suppress the ketogenesis to increase during surgery.
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1. Introduction

The ideal management of glucose concentrations during the perioperative period remains unclear, and hyperglycemia may worsen surgical outcomes because it results in reperfusion injury and suppresses the immune system [1]-[8]. Moreover, postoperative insulin sensitivity is reduced in proportion to the magnitude of the surgical invasion [9]. The state of reduced insulin sensitivity is called insulin resistance, and postoperative insulin resistance may contribute to hyperglycemia [10]. Therefore, glucose administration has been avoided during surgery [11] [12] [13]. However, patients are typically required to fast for several hours before surgery to avoid vomiting during the induction of anesthesia, and patients may experience nutritional stress during long surgeries. In this context, glucose is necessary for activities of the brain, red blood cells, and kidney medulla, and it is stored as glycogen in the muscle and liver. However, the total amount of stored glycogen is insufficient to support the basal energy expenditure for one day, and gluconeogenesis may be increased in fasting patients [14].

We have previously reported that a 1.5% glucose infusion (average administration rate: 0.15 g/kg/h) during surgery can suppress ketogenesis and attenuate postoperative insulin resistance without causing hyperglycemia [15]. We also found that postoperative glucose infusion (0.08 g/kg/h) effectively suppressed ketogenesis at the next morning [15]. However, there is insufficient evidence regarding the appropriate postoperative glucose dose and administration rate. In addition, overfeeding can result in nutritional stress, such as protein breakdown, edema, and glucotoxicity [16] [17]. Furthermore, refeeding using a high glucose load may result in an abnormal sodium balance, abnormal fluid balance, and even death among patients who have been fasting for a prolonged time [18] [19]. Moreover, rapid variability in blood glucose concentrations may enhance apoptosis in the endothelial cells of the human umbilical vein [20]. Based on our findings regarding the effects of postoperative glucose infusion at a rate of 0.08 g/kg/h, we hypothesized that this rate might suppress intraoperative ketogenesis and attenuate postoperative insulin resistance when the surgical stress is controlled also during surgery. Therefore, the present study prospectively evaluated the serum concentrations of ketone bodies and postoperative insulin resistance among patients who received intraoperative glucose administration at 0.08 g/kg/h during maxillofacial surgery.

2. Methods

This prospective study was performed using methods that were very similar to our previous study [15]. After obtaining approval from the Ethics Committee of Kyushu University Hospital, we obtained written informed consent from patients who were undergoing elective maxillofacial surgery and had an American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status of I–II. We excluded patients with diabetes mellitus and/or obesity (a body mass index [BMI] of >25 kg/m²).
2.1. Anesthetic Management

Patients received no premedication and no oral intake was permitted after 9 PM on the night before surgery. Routine monitoring was performed when the patient arrived at the operating room, which included pulse oximetry, electrocardiography, noninvasive blood pressure measurements, and capnography. After taking the blood sample for the baseline measurements, general anesthesia was induced using 4 µg/kg of fentanyl and 0.1 mg/kg of midazolam, and maintained using sevoflurane (1% - 2%). Intubation was facilitated using 0.1 mg/kg of vecuronium or 0.6 mg/kg of rocuronium. Continuous remifentanil administration and intermittent fentanyl administration were performed to ensure analgesia.

After intubation, the patients were connected to the ventilator, which used the following primary respiratory conditions: frequency of 10/min, inspiratory: expiratory ratio of 1:2, volume of 8 mL/kg, and no positive end-expiratory pressure. During the operation, the settings were adjusted to maintain a PaCO₂ of 35 - 44 mmHg in the blood gas testing.

2.2. Glucose Administration

During the surgery, all patients received acetated Ringer’s solution without glucose, as well as a continuous glucose infusion at 0.08 g/kg/h via a side tube. The solutions were infused at a rate of 20 mL/kg/h for 1 h after the induction of anesthesia, and then the infusion rate was reduced to 5 mL/kg/h. No other fluids (e.g., plasma or colloids) were administered, with the exception of 100 mL of saline for the administration of antibiotics.

2.3. Continuous Blood Glucose Monitoring

After the induction of anesthesia, an intravenous catheter (Insyte™, 20 gauge, 1.16 in; Becton Dickinson Infusion Therapy System, Sandy, UT) was inserted into the antebrachial vein and connected to the STG-55™ system (Nikkiso Company, Tokyo, Japan) for continuous blood glucose monitoring during the operation. A 22-gauge catheter was inserted into the radial arterial for intermittent blood sampling, and glucose concentrations and blood gas levels were evaluated every 2 h.

2.4. Evaluation of Insulin Resistance

Insulin resistance was evaluated using the glucose clamp technique (hyperinsulinemic normoglycemic clamp technique), as this method is considered the golden standard for measuring insulin sensitivity [21] [22]. Insulin was infused at 1.25 mIU/kg/min, and the plasma insulin concentration was maintained at approximately 100 µIU/mL. The plasma glucose concentration was held at 90 mg/dL using a variable-rate glucose infusion, based on the negative feedback principle, after the glucose infusion rate (GIR, mg/kg/min) had reached a steady state. Insulin resistance was quantified using the mean GIR during the steady state period. This technique was performed using data from the STG-55™, based
on our methods with the STG-22™ from our previous study [15], as the STG-55™ is the next generation after the STG-22™ model and has equivalent ability to measure insulin sensitivity [23].

After fasting overnight, the procedure was started at 9 AM on the day before surgery. A 20-gauge intravenous catheter was inserted into the peripheral vein and connected to the STG-55™ for blood glucose monitoring. Another catheter was inserted into the peripheral vein of the opposite arm for infusing the glucose and insulin solutions. The test was repeated at 9 AM on the morning after the surgery.

2.5. Measuring Ketone Bodies, Free Fatty Acid, Plasma Glucose, and Insulin Concentrations

Blood samples were collected before the induction of anesthesia (T1), at 1 h after the induction of anesthesia (T2), at 3 h after the induction of anesthesia (T3), and at the end of surgery (T4) to determine the serum concentrations of noradrenaline, cortisol, plasma glucose, insulin, ketone bodies, and free fatty acid (FFA).

2.6. Statistical Analysis

All data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. The blood sample parameters and hemodynamic data were compared using analysis of variance. When a significant difference was noted, the post-hoc Tukey-Kramer test for time was performed for multiple comparisons. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant, and all analyses were performed using JMP Pro software (version 11; SAS Institute Inc., Raleigh, NC, USA).

3. Results

This study included 15 patients (13 women and 2 men). All patients underwent osteotomy of the maxilla and/or the mandible, because of a jaw deformity, between February 2013 and August 2015. No patients received insulin or other fluid infusions during the surgery, with the exception of scheduled autologous blood transfusions. The patient characteristics and anesthetic procedures are listed in Table 1, and the hemodynamic and bispectral index data are listed in Table 2.

The serum concentrations of noradrenaline and cortisol were significantly lower at T2 - T4, compared to at T1 (p < 0.01). The blood glucose concentrations exhibited minor variations between 90 mg/dL and 130 mg/dL during the surgery. No hyperglycemia was observed in all patients (Figure 1), although the glucose concentrations at T3 and T4 were significantly higher than those at T1 (p < 0.001 and p = 0.0052, respectively). The plasma insulin concentrations at T2 were significantly lower than those at T1 (p = 0.0023), the concentrations at T3 were significantly higher than those at T1 (p = 0.0109), and the concentrations at T4 were similar to the T1 values (Table 3). We observed no significant
Table 1. Patient characteristics and intra-operative variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
<td>2/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>29.6 ± 6.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>161.6 ± 7.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>56.8 ± 9.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m^2)</td>
<td>21.7 ± 2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting time (min)</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesia time (min)</td>
<td>433 ± 81.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time (min)</td>
<td>304 ± 77.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl (μg/kg)</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remifentanil (μg/kg/hr)</td>
<td>110.7 ± 49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infusion (ml/kg/hr)</td>
<td>8.43 ± 2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine output (ml/kg/hr)</td>
<td>1.66 ± 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood loss (ml/kg/hr)</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose dose (g/kg/hr)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as mean ± standard deviation. BMI: body mass index.

Table 2. Hemodynamic status and bispectral index values of the patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>73.3 ± 8.65</td>
<td>65.1 ± 11.2</td>
<td>60.4 ± 7.4</td>
<td>71.9 ± 12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>112.7 ± 10.6</td>
<td>90.0 ± 13.8</td>
<td>86.4 ± 13.6</td>
<td>90.6 ± 14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>66.3 ± 7.8</td>
<td>45.3 ± 7.4</td>
<td>42.9 ± 9.3</td>
<td>45.1 ± 10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS values</td>
<td>97.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>47.8 ± 7.3</td>
<td>47.8 ± 7.3</td>
<td>54.8 ± 7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as mean ± standard deviation. T1: anesthetic induction; T2: 1 h after anesthetic induction; T3: 3 h after anesthetic induction; T4: at the end of surgery; HR: heart rate; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; BIS: bispectral index.

Figure 1. Continuous blood glucose monitoring.
Table 3. Alternations in the levels of noradrenaline, cortisol, plasma glucose, insulin, ketone bodies, and free fatty acid (FFA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T1 (baseline)</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noradrenaline (pg/mL)</td>
<td>452.1 ± 169.0</td>
<td>243.7 ± 120.3</td>
<td>253.3 ± 205.7</td>
<td>282.1 ± 163.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol (μg/mL)</td>
<td>16.8 ± 5.6</td>
<td>7.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>85.1 ± 5.9</td>
<td>91.8 ± 6.0</td>
<td>128.4 ± 17.6</td>
<td>107.3 ± 31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (μIU/mL)</td>
<td>4.92 ± 1.57</td>
<td>2.04 ± 1.22</td>
<td>7.47 ± 3.47</td>
<td>4.24 ± 3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ketone body (μmol/L)</td>
<td>113.2 ± 86.4</td>
<td>112.1 ± 142.0</td>
<td>179.9 ± 112.0</td>
<td>110.1 ± 103.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetoacetic acid (μmol/L)</td>
<td>20.6 ± 15.9</td>
<td>29.5 ± 20.2</td>
<td>39.7 ± 23.3</td>
<td>38.8 ± 31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-hydroxybutyric acid (μmol/L)</td>
<td>92.6 ± 70.8</td>
<td>82.6 ± 52.3</td>
<td>140.2 ± 72.4</td>
<td>71.3 ± 72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA (μEq/L)</td>
<td>640.1 ± 282.8</td>
<td>677.9 ± 292.6</td>
<td>578.4 ± 377.7</td>
<td>447.1 ± 252.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as mean ± standard deviation. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 vs. T1. T1: anesthetic induction; T2: 1 h after anesthetic induction; T3: 3 h after anesthetic induction; T4: at the end of surgery.

In all cases, the glucose infusion rate decreased after surgery. The preoperative glucose infusion rate of 6.62 ± 1.65 mg/kg/min decreased significantly to 3.31 ± 0.98 mg/kg/min (p < 0.001), which corresponded to a 48.0% ± 17.9% reduction.

4. Discussion

Intraoperative glucose administration is necessary for achieving perioperative metabolic homeostasis, and may contribute to better surgical outcomes [15][24]. However, there is insufficient information regarding the appropriate intraoperative glucose dose and infusion rate, and especially regarding the lower limits of these values. We also found that postoperative glucose infusion (0.08 g/kg/h) effectively suppressed ketogenesis at the next morning. Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate the effects of intraoperative continuous glucose administration at 0.08 g/kg/h on blood glucose concentrations, ketogenesis, and postoperative insulin resistance.

Surgical stress affects glucose concentrations and glucose metabolism [25]. In this context, catecholamine and cortisol are secreted in response to various stresses, such as surgical invasion and pain [26]. However, we found that the intraoperative serum concentrations of noradrenaline and cortisol were significantly lower than the baseline concentrations.

Although our previous study revealed that Ringer’s solution with 1.5% glucose
increased the mean blood glucose concentrations from approximately 100 mg/dL to 150 mg/dL at the induction of anesthesia, because of the rapid infusion rate (20 mL/kg/h) [11], no intraoperative hyperglycemia was detected in the present study. In this context, Risso et al. have reported that fluctuating glucose concentrations exert more negative effects on vein endothelial cells, compared to stably high glucose concentrations [20]. However, a sharp increase in the glucose concentrations was not detected in the present study, which was maintained at 90 - 130 mg/dL during the surgery. Similar to the changes in blood glucose concentrations, the insulin concentrations were increased at T3 and subsequently returned to near baseline values at the end of the surgery.

In our previous study, overnight fasting and glucose-free fluid therapy induces ketogenesis [15] [27]. However, intraoperative low-dose glucose (approximately 43% of basal energy expenditure) effectively suppressed ketogenesis during orthopedic surgery [27]. Furthermore, intraoperative glucose administration (0.15 g/kg/h) effectively suppressed serum ketone body concentrations during maxillofacial surgery [15]. Those results suggest that, during periods with no dietary or infused glucose, the necessary glucose is supplied from fat by gluconeogenesis. Serum acetoacetic acid concentrations at T3 and T4 were higher than those at T1. However, there were not significant differences in serum concentration of total ketone bodies during surgery. Therefore, we compared the present study’s patients (G2 group) with patients from our previous study who had only received Ringer’s solution without glucose (R group) or who had received Ringer’s solution with 1.5% glucose (G1 group). Based on this comparison, there is a tendency that the serum ketone bodies concentrations were lower in G2 group than those of R group, although the trend was not statistically significant. On the other hand, the concentrations in G2 group were significantly lower than those in R group at T4 (Figure 2). Therefore, these results may suggest that continuous glucose infusions at a rate of 0.08 g/kg/h are the lower limit for suppressing ketogenesis during surgery.

In the present study, the glucose clamp technique was used for quantifying insulin sensitivity before and after surgery. The homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) index is another inexpensive and simply method for quantifying insulin sensitivity [28] [29] [30], however, the HOMA method provides a crude and potentially inaccurate measure of postoperative insulin resistance [22] [31]. In contrast, the glucose clamp technique uses the glucose infusion rate as the indicator of insulin sensitivity, and its value decreased after surgery for all patients in the present study. Furthermore, in our previous study, postoperative insulin resistance in G1 group was effectively attenuated to a level that was comparable to that in the R group. Moreover, G2 group from the present study exhibited a non-significant trend towards a lower reduction rate compared to R group \((p = 0.197)\), and a trend towards a higher reduction rate compared to G1 group \((p = 0.44)\) (Figure 3). These results suggest that intraoperative glucose infusion at a rate of 0.08 g/kg/h may be insufficient for attenuating postoperative insulin resistance.
In the G2 group, there is a trend towards increasing plasma concentrations of total ketone bodies at T3, although this trend was not statistically significant. *p < 0.05 between the groups using the Mann-Whitney U test. T1: anesthetic induction; T2: 1 h after anesthetic induction; T3: 3 h after anesthetic induction; T4: at the end of surgery; G2: patients from the present study (glucose infusion at 0.08 g/kg/h); G1: patients from our previous study (Ref. 23) who received Ringer’s solution with 1.5% glucose; R: patients from our previous study (Ref. 23) who only received glucose-free Ringer’s solution.

**Figure 2.** Changes in total levels of ketone bodies.

The postoperative reduction in insulin sensitivity (%) was calculated for all patients (post-operative M-value/pre-operative M-value). There were no significant differences in the reduction rates of the G2 and other groups. *p < 0.05 using the Mann-Whitney U test. G2: patients from the present study (glucose infusion at 0.08 g/kg/h); G1: patients from our previous study (Ref. 15) who received Ringer’s solution with 1.5% glucose; R: patients from our previous study (Ref. 15) who only received glucose-free Ringer’s solution.

**Figure 3.** Relative changes in insulin sensitivity.
The present study has several limitations. First, the same protocol as our previous study was used (except the intraoperative infusion rate of glucose) [15], although, we did not perform randomization or use a control group, and there is some possibility of selection bias. Second, a small sample of patients who were undergoing maxillofacial surgery was evaluated, which is also associated with a risk of selection bias. Therefore, larger prospective studies are needed to confirm whether our findings can be extrapolated to other patient populations and other surgical procedures.

Conclusion

The present study revealed that continuous intraoperative glucose administration (0.08 g/kg/h) did not rapidly alter the patients’ blood glucose concentrations. Moreover, serum ketone bodies concentration was maintained within normal range. We think that the rate (0.08 g/kg/h) may be the lower limit for suppressing intraoperative ketogenesis.
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