The Aggregation of Bentonite Using Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) as a Flocculant
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Abstract

Sedimentation tests of bentonite suspension were carried out by adding various concentrations of poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAM) with different molecular weights as flocculant below and above lower critical solution temperature (LCST). Also, the effect of PNIPAM on aggregation of bentonite was investigated by sedimentation rate, turbidity of supernatant. Additionally, XRD patterns and SEM photographs were measured in order to consider aggregation mechanism of PNIPAM. The sedimentation rate and turbidity for the PNIPAM with large molecular weight or PNIPAM solution of high concentration above LCST were faster and clearer than those with small molecular weight or low concentration. From XRD patterns, the peak of bentonite sediment with PNIPAM shifted to the low-angle side, suggesting that a part of PNIPAM chain entered between bentonite layers. Furthermore, it was confirmed by SEM photographs that PNIPAM covered bentonite surface after sedimentation test. It was indicated that PNIPAM adsorbs on the bentonite surface and aggregates each bentonite particle above LCST. From these results, PNIPAM works as a flocculant and the PNIPAM with large molecular weight has a good ability.
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1. Introduction

Bentonite is a layered clay mineral, whose main component is montmorillonite composed of 2:1-layer structure of two tetrahedral silica sheets sandwiching one octahedral alumina sheet [1] [2]. In the tetrahedral silica sheet and octahedral alumina sheet of montmorillonite, some Si⁴⁺ and Al³⁺ are exchanged with Al³⁺.
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As the bentonite is immersed in water, the bentonite is well dispersed in water [8] [9] [10] [11]. The bentonite suspension is used as lubricant at drilling construction site [12] [13] [14], where a large amount of used-bentonite suspension is generated [15] [16]. However, the separation of bentonite from water is very hard due to the strong affinity between bentonite and water. Hence, the used-bentonite suspension must be loaded onto many trucks and carried to water treatment facilities. In other words, it takes enormous cost to carry and treat these suspensions.

To solve this problem, coagulating sedimentation method is generally used to separate bentonite and treated water by adding flocculant [17]. As general flocculants, aluminium- or iron-based inorganic flocculant and polymeric flocculant such as polyacrylamide are used [18] [19]. However, it is necessary to construct an additional dewatering process because these flocculants aggregate soil particles with a large amount of water [20] [21].

Recently, temperature-responsive polymer is expected as a new flocculant. The temperature-responsive polymers, such as poly(N-vinyl-ε-caprolactam) [22] [23], poly(2-ethoxyethylvinylether) (EOVE) [24], poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAM), change their volumes at a certain temperature. In this study, PNIPAM, which has been well known in all the temperature-responsive polymers, was used. PNIPAM has a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) at 32°C. Below its LCST, PNIPAM is soluble in aqueous solution. On the other hand, above its LCST, PNIPAM turns hydrophobic due to strong intermolecular hydrogen bonding. This reversible solubility of PNIPAM is attributed to a coil-globule conformational transition of polymer chains [25] [26] [27]. Hence, PNIPAM is applied to not only a drug delivery system [28] [29] and an actuator [30], but also flocculant. In the case of applying PNIPAM to flocculant, it is expected that PNIPAM adsorbs on the surface of soil particles below 32°C. Above 32°C, PNIPAM aggregates with soil particles and dewateres because PNIPAM shrinks with dehydrating. Some reports about PNIPAM flocculant, such as aggregation behavior of the mixture of silica and alumina with PNIPAM [21] [31] and aggregation of quartz with copolymer of PNIPAM and other polymer [32], have been already published. Moreover, there are reports that the larger molecular weight of thermo-responsive polymer is, the bigger flocs become [31] [32]. However, the reports of bentonite aggregation with PNIPAM as flocculant cannot be found except for our studies. Also, it is not clear how PNIPAM affects the aggregation of bentonite.

In this study, aggregating performance of PNIPAM for bentonite was observed by sedimentation test. Furthermore, the effect of molecular weight of PNIPAM on aggregation behavior in the temperature change was investigated by observation of the change in sedimentation rate and volume and by measur-
ing turbidity of supernatant solution after sedimentation test. In addition, a role of PNIPAM on bentonite aggregation was discussed by evaluating shift of diffraction angle of bentonite sediment, from X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns, and the change in morphology of bentonite surface, from scanning electron microscopy (SEM), with change in molecular weight and concentration of PNIPAM.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Natural bentonite (Raw bent) from Gunma prefecture in Japan was used as a sample in this study. N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM) and ammonium peroxodisulfate (APS) were obtained from Wako Ltd. (Japan). N,N,N’,N’-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) was obtained from Nacalai Tesque Inc. (Japan). APS aqueous solution of 5, 10, 30 mg/mL and TMEDA aqueous solution of 0.02 mg/mL were prepared.

2.2. Characterization of Bentonite

Chemical composition of Raw bent was measured by X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XRF, Shimadzu, XRF-1700 (4 kW)). The Raw bent dried at 100°C for 1 h was pressed at 30 t and used for XRF measurement. The particle size distribution (PSD) of Raw bent suspended in ethanol was measured by laser diffraction scattering method (Microtrac BEL, MT3300EX II). Raw bent was analyzed by XRD (Rigaku, Ultimate IV) with Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 0.154 nm) operating at 40 kV and 40 mA to investigate an interlayer spacing (d_{001}). The sample was scanned from 2° to 25° at intervals of 0.02° at a rate of 2°/min. The d_{001} was calculated by Bragg’s Law as follows:

\[ n\lambda = 2d_{001} \sin \theta \]  

where \( n \) is a positive integer (\( n = 1 \)) and \( \theta \) is a glancing angle. The zeta potential of Raw bent in the suspension prepared by adding Raw bent (0.2 g) into 50 mL of water, was measured by ELSZ-1000ZS (Ohtsuka).

2.3. Synthesis of PNIPAM

The PNIPAM was synthesized by the radical polymerization method \cite{33} \cite{34} \cite{35}. NIPAM (2 g) and distilled water (100 mL) were put into a two-necked separable flask and the solution was stirred for 30 min with N\textsubscript{2} bubbling for removing oxygen. After 30 min, 10 mL of APS aqueous solution (5, 10, 30 mg/mL), which was sonicated for 10 min owing to remove oxygen before use, was added as an initiator and the solution was stirred for 10 min. After that, 10 mL of TMEDA aqueous solution sonicated for 10 min was added as an accelerator and the solution was stirred for 4 h. After the reaction for 4 h, this solution was put into dialysis cellulose membrane (pore size is 50 Å) and dialysis was performed four times for 12 h. Finally, the dialyzed solution was dried at 60°C.
for 2 days.

Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra of PNIPAM were measured by transmission method (Shimadzu, IRAffinity-1). The measurement conditions were a wavenumber range of 4000 - 600 cm$^{-1}$, resolution of 2 cm$^{-1}$, and scan number of 32. Sample pellets were made by dropping 20 μL of PNIPAM aqueous solution (10 g/L) to 99.5 mg of KBr. The molecular weight of PNIPAM was estimated by the viscosity of PNIPAM aqueous solution. The PNIPAM aqueous solution of 0.05, 0.2, 0.5 1.0 g/dL was prepared by dissolving dried PNIPAM in water. These solutions of 10 mL were put into the Ostwald viscometer and the viscosity was measured. The molecular weight ($M_n$) of PNIPAM was calculated by using Mark-Houwink-Sakurada equation shown in the following equation;

$$\eta = K \cdot M_n^a$$

(2)

where $\eta$ is the intrinsic viscosity of PNIPAM aqueous solution, $K$ and $a$ are specific constant of PNIPAM at 0.0145 and 0.5, respectively [36].

2.4. Sedimentation Test

The sedimentation test of bentonite was performed by using a graduated cylinder (50 mL). Raw bent (0.25 g) and distilled water (40 mL) were added in the graduated cylinder and the bentonite particles were shaken vigorously until completely dispersed in distilled water. The graduated cylinder was filled with distilled water to the marked line after 100 - 800 ppm of PNIPAM was added into bentonite suspension. This suspension including PNIPAM was shaken by turning upside down 15 times repeatedly by hand, and the sedimentation test was carried out as follows: The bentonite suspension was heated up to 50°C and kept at the temperature for 15 min in thermostatic chamber. After that, it was re-shaken in 15 times of the same operation as above and the change of sedimentation volume was observed at 50°C for 30 min. As a comparative experiment, the same experiment was carried out at 25°C in order to observe the difference in sedimentation performance of PNIPAM due to temperature difference. The sedimentation test was repeated at least three times and the sediment volume was expressed as the average value. After 30 min, 10 mL of the supernatant solution was collected at the height of 20 mL from the top of graduated cylinder in order to measure turbidity in the supernatant solution. The turbidity was measured by turbidity meter (Thermo Scientific, TN-100) at least three times. The turbidity in this turbidity meter is given by nephelometric turbidity unit (NTU) and can be measured up to 2000 NTU. The measurement of turbidity was carried out after 10 min of cooling because the supernatant containing PNIPAM was slightly cloudy at 50°C. Then, the calibration curve was made by measuring turbidity of bentonite suspension adjusted to a predetermined concentration (100, 500, 1000, 2000 mg-Raw bent/L). The ratio of floating bentonite was calculated from bentonite weight in supernatant based on the calibration curve and expressed as the average value. The suspension after sedimentation test was subsequently filtered with filter paper of 1 μm pore size. The residues
were dried at 60°C for 12 h. After drying, these dried residues were analyzed by XRD under the same conditions as the case of Raw bent. The surface morphologies of the dried residues were also observed using SEM (Hitachi, S-4500) after crushing the residues.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of Raw Bentonite

The composition of Raw bent is as follows, in terms of wt% of the respective oxides, SiO₂ (73.43), Al₂O₃ (13.91), Na₂O (3.45), MgO (2.81), CaO (2.54), Fe₂O₃ (2.43), K₂O (1.12), TiO₂ (0.22), and MnO (0.05). The particle size analysis of Raw bent is shown in Figure 1. The particle size of Raw bent ranged from 1 to 60 μm. Basal spacing of (001) plane for Raw bent (d₀₀₁) was 1.27 nm [12] [37]. Furthermore, the zeta potential of Raw bent was −37 mV.

3.2. Characterization of PNIPAM

PNIPAM molecular weight for adding initiator of 5, 10 and 30 mg/mL was Mn = 700,000, 270,000 and 140,000, respectively. These PNIPAM are referred to PN700, PN270 and PN140.

Figure 2 shows the FT-IR spectra of PNIPAM between 3500 and 1000 cm⁻¹. Six remarkable bands of PNIPAM appeared: C-H stretching vibrations at 2970, 2938, and 2875 cm⁻¹, a C=O stretching vibration of an amide group at 1640 cm⁻¹, an N-H bending vibration of an amide group at 1540 cm⁻¹, and a C-H bending vibration at 1425 cm⁻¹ [38] [39] [40]. These results indicated that PNIPAM was synthesized. The change of spectrum due to the difference of PNIPAM molecular weight was not observed.

Figure 1. Particle size distribution of Raw bent.
3.3. Sedimentation Volume and Turbidity

Figure 3 shows illustration of sedimentation test with 600 ppm of PN700 and PN140 at 25˚C and 50˚C. Immediately after shaking (0 min), the boundary between sediment and supernatant solution could not be distinguished in all cases, as shown in Figures 3(a)-(d). After 30 min, in the case of 25˚C (Figure 3(e) and Figure 3(f)), a part of bentonite particles accumulated, but the other remained dispersed in the suspension [41]. On the other hand, at 50˚C, sediment due to floc formation was observed, and as a result, the boundary between sediment and supernatant appeared, as shown in Figure 3(g) and Figure 3(h). From this result, it can be said that PNIPAM works as a flocculant in response to temperature change.

Figures 4(a)-(c) show the change in sedimentation volume with time for 100 - 800 ppm of three types of PNIPAM at 50˚C. Regardless of the molecular weight of PNIPAM, there was a tendency that the sedimentation rate increased and the sedimentation volume decreased with increasing the addition amount of PNIPAM. However, the boundary between sediment and supernatant solution could not be observed in the case of 100 - 400 ppm of PN140 and 100 - 200 ppm of PN270. Also, as the molecular weight of PNIPAM increased, sediment due to floc formation was observed even with a smaller amount of PNIPAM and sedimentation rate increased for 5 min after start of the measurement. Moreover, it was found that the difference in sedimentation rate and volume was hardly observed in conditions of 100 - 500 ppm of PN700, 400 - 600 ppm of PN270, 500 - 800 ppm of PN140. The boundary between sediment and supernatant solution observed in this study indicates the terminal sedimentation rate of minimum bentonite particles. Therefore, because it is considered that floc size was difficult to grow for PNIPAM of small molecular weight or PNIPAM solution of low concentration, the sedimentation rate did not change. In contrast, the final sedimentation volume in condition of 600 - 800 ppm of PN700 and 800 ppm of...
Figure 3. Illustration of sedimentation test with 600 ppm of (a,e) PN700 and (b,f) PN140 at 25°C, (c,g) PN700 and (d,h) PN140 at 50°C.

PN270 was smaller than that in the other conditions (Table 1), suggesting that the floc size or floc weight increased.

Additionally, ratios of floating bentonite in the supernatant solution for the suspensions including PNIPAM with various molecular weights are shown in Figure 5. The ratio of floating bentonite was calculated by using turbidity shown in Table 1. The turbidity without polymer could not be measured because the turbidity exceeded the detection limits (2000 NTU). The ratio of floating bentonite for 100 ppm of PN700 was about 7 wt%, while that for 400 ppm or more of PN700 was less than 1 wt%. On the other hand, the ratio of floating bentonite for 200 ppm of PN140 was about 24 wt%, indicating that one-fourth bentonite was floating in supernatant solution. Also, the ratio of floating bentonite for 800 ppm of PN140 decreased to 3 wt%. It was found that increasing the molecular weight and the concentration of PNIPAM in solution resulted in not only the increase in sedimentation rate (Figure 4), but also the decrease in the ratio of floating bentonite.

From these results, by using PNIPAM with large molecular weight or PNIPAM solution of high concentration, PNIPAM involves more floating bentonite in supernatant solution and make large and heavy floc. For this reason, sedimentation rate increased and sedimentation volume decreased by gravity of floc.

3.4. Aggregation State with PNIPAM

XRD patterns of bentonite residue after performing sedimentation test with
Figure 4. The change in sedimentation volume at 50°C for 100 - 800 ppm of (a) PN700, (b) PN270 and (c) PN140.

Figure 5. Ratio of floating bentonite in supernatant solution for the suspensions containing PNIPAM with various molecular weights.
### Table 1. Average of the final sedimentation volume after 30 min and average of turbidity in supernatant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PNIPAM concentration/ppm</th>
<th>PN700</th>
<th>PN270</th>
<th>PN140</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume/mL</td>
<td>Turbidity/NTU</td>
<td>Volume/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>230 *1</td>
<td>464 *1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>98.7 *1</td>
<td>173 *1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 The final sedimentation volume was unmeasurable.

PNIPAM at 50°C were shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7. The diffraction peak of (001) plane of bentonite shifted from $2\theta = 6.9^\circ$ ($d_{001} = 1.27$ nm) for Raw bent to $2\theta = 4.4^\circ$ - $5.5^\circ$ ($d_{001} = 1.6$ - 2.0 nm) for the bentonite residues at 50°C regardless of molecular weight. And peak intensity slightly increased with increasing PNIPAM concentration from 100 to 400 ppm. These peak shifts and increases in intensity suggest that a part of polymer chain entered between bentonite layers [42] [43].

Above results indicate that it is easy to adsorb PNIPAM on the surface of bentonite by hydrogen bond below LCST. This hydrogen bond would form between hydrogen of N-H bond in the PNIPAM molecule and oxygen of Si-O bond in the bentonite surface or between oxygen of C=O bond in the PNIPAM molecule and hydrogen of O-H bond in the bentonite surface. [6] [43] [44].

The SEM photographs of the surface morphologies of bentonite residue at 50°C are shown in Figure 8. When PNIPAM was present, unlike Raw bent, it was confirmed that the PNIPAM covered the surface of bentonite residue. Moreover, it was also found that the amount of PNIPAM on the surface of bentonite residue increased with increasing the molecular weight and concentration of PNIPAM.

From results of XRD patterns and SEM photographs, below LCST, PNIPAM adsorbed bentonite particles by hydrogen bond, while bentonite particles covered with PNIPAM were aggregated by hydrophobic interaction above LCST. Therefore, when PNIPAM with large molecular weight is used, it is expected that the coverage of PNIPAM will be high, so that floc size will increase (that is, the floc density will become high). Furthermore, PNIPAM with large molecular weight may be adsorbed to more bentonite particles, resulting in the high-density floc. As a result, the sedimentation rate is considered to be faster. On the other hand, PNIPAM with small molecular weight such as PN140 could not make large flocs because PNIPAM with small molecular weight does not have sufficient length to attract between bentonite particles. However, when the
Figure 6. XRD patterns of Raw bent, and bentonite residues with 100 - 800 ppm of (a) PN700, (b) PN270 and (c) PN140 at 50˚C.

Figure 7. The change of $d_{001}$ for RT bentonite and different concentration of three PNIPAM molecular weights.

The concentration of PNIPAM in solution increase, the turbidity in the supernatant solution became clear. It was considered that each PNIPAM chain with small molecular weight connected by hydrogen bond and got entangled [6] [45]. Thus, aggregates formed by a large number of PNIPAM with small molecular weight behaved as PNIPAM with large molecular weight and these PNIPAM attracted bentonite layers.

Consequently, PNIPAM is beneficial as flocculant due to high-density floc formation above LCST. In addition, PNIPAM with large molecular weight, such
Figure 8. SEM photographs of (a,e) Raw bent, (b,f) 800 ppm of PN140, (c,g) 100 ppm of PN700 and (d,h) 800 ppm PN700. The scale bar in the photographs (a), (b)-(d) and (e)-(h) are 6, 10 and 3 µm, respectively.

as PN700, is the most effective because it can be easy to aggregate and precipitate bentonite particles and purify the supernatant solution.

4. Conclusions

The effect of PNIPAM on aggregation of bentonite was investigated and aggregation mechanism of bentonite with PNIPAM was considered.

• The sedimentation rate became faster and the sedimentation volume decreased when PNIPAM of large molecular weight such as PN700 and large number of PNIPAM were used.

• The supernatant solution with more than 400 ppm of PN700 was the clearest (the ratio of floating bentonite was less than 1%) among all experiments, while the ratio of floating bentonite for 800 ppm of PN140 decreased to 3 wt%.

• The interlayer spacing of (001) plane increased from 1.27 nm for Raw bent to 1.6 - 2.0 nm for the bentonite residues above LCST.

• SEM photographs revealed that PNIPAM covered the surface of bentonite residue. Moreover, the amount of PNIPAM on the surface increased with increasing the molecular weight and concentration of PNIPAM solution.

From these results, it is concluded that PNIPAM is beneficial as flocculant: PNIPAM is easy to adsorb bentonite surface by hydrogen bond below LCST and these bentonite particles are aggregated above LCST. In order to achieve high sedimentation rate using PNIPAM with small molecular weight, a large number of PNIPAM must be used. Therefore, because PNIPAM with large molecular weight make a high-density floc, the bentonite floc is able to precipitate faster and the supernatant solution is purified.
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