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Abstract
To develop a way to analyze the dynamic relationship between the economic growth and the level of inflation in Japan, we propose a Bayesian regression method to estimate the dynamic dependence of the stationary component of GDP on a stationary component of the CPI. First, we extract stationary components from original GDP and CPI time series using a set of state space models. Then, we construct a set of Bayesian regression models with a time-varying coefficient. We also analyze the dynamic relationship between the stationary components of GDP and the CPI using Japanese economic statistics from 1980 to 2005.
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1. Introduction
One of the most fundamental objectives of macroeconomic policies is to sustain high economic growth together with a fair inflation level. Thus, the question is: what level of inflation is fair? It is very difficult to answer this question, because it obviously depends on the nature and structure of an economy. That is, the answer to the question will vary from country to country, and within a specific country it will also vary over time. However, a guide to a fair inflation level can obtained from empirical studies.

To test the hypothesis that inflation has a long-run impact on output, [1] investigated the relationship between inflation and output in Brazil using a bivariate vector autoregression composed of output growth and the change in inflation. [2] examined the short-run and long-run dynamics of the relationship

These studies prompted us to analyze the relationship between real gross domestic product (GDP), which is a basic indicator of economic growth, and the consumer price index (CPI), which measures the level of fluctuations, in Japan. However, there are difficulties in undertaking such an analysis. The first problem is that GDP data are presented as a quarterly time series, while those for the CPI are monthly.

Another problem in the analysis is the dynamics in the relationship between GDP and the CPI. Regression analysis models are often used for relationship analysis with constant regression coefficients, the implication being that no structural changes occur. However, when the study period spans several decades, it is clearly unrealistic to assume constant coefficient parameters. Thus, the conventional approaches are considered inadequate for the analysis of business cycles with long-term time series. [7] developed a Bayesian approach based on vector autoregressive models with time-varying coefficients for analyzing time series that are nonstationary in covariance. [8] introduced a Bayesian time-varying regression model for dynamic relationship analysis. More recently, these approaches have been used by [9] [10] and [11]. To manage the above difficulties, in this study, we propose an approach to analyzing the relationship between a quarterly economic indicator and a monthly economic indicator, and then apply the proposed approach to analyze the relationship between GDP and the CPI in Japan from 1980 to 2005.

The first step in analyzing the dynamic relationship between GDP and the CPI is to extract the stationary components from each original time series. Then, we present a method to analyze the dynamic relationship between the stationary components of GDP and the CPI using Bayesian dynamic modeling. There are two points in the relationship between GDP and the CPI, i.e., the lead-lag relationship and the time-varying dependence between these two indicators. These are considered by introducing a lag parameter and time-varying coefficients into a set of Bayesian dynamic models.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce a method for estimating a stationary component from quarterly or monthly time series data. In Section 3, we present our models and parameter estimation methods for the proposed approach. An empirical study based on the proposed approach is presented in Section 4. Section 5 concludes.
2. Extracting Stationary Components

As mentioned above, the first step in analyzing the relationship between GDP and the CPI is the estimation of the stationary components of GDP and the CPI. Thus, we introduce a method for estimating the stationary components from the original GDP and CPI time series.

For quarterly GDP time series \( y_m \), we consider a set of statistical models as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    y_m &= t_m^y + s_m^y + r_m^y + w_m^y, \quad (1) \\
    t_m^y &= 2t_{m-4}^y - t_{m-2}^y + v_m^y, \quad (2) \\
    s_m^y &= -s_{m-1}^y - s_{m-2}^y - s_{m-3}^y + v_{m2}^y, \quad (3) \\
    r_m^y &= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \alpha_j r_{m-j}^y + v_{m3}^y, \quad (m = 1, 2, \ldots, M),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( t_m^y, s_m^y, \) and \( r_m^y \) are the trend component, the seasonal component, and the stationary component, respectively, of the time series \( y_m \). In addition, \( p \) represents the order of an autoregressive model for the stationary components and \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p \) are the AR coefficients. \( w_m^y \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \) is the observation noise, while \( v_{m1}^y \sim N(0, \tau_1^2) \), \( v_{m2}^y \sim N(0, \tau_2^2) \), and \( v_{m3}^y \sim N(0, \tau_3^2) \) are system noises for each component model. It is assumed that \( w_m^y, v_{m1}^y, v_{m2}^y \), and \( v_{m3}^y \) are independent of one another.

When the model order \( p \) and the hyperparameters \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p, \sigma^2, \tau_1^2, \tau_2^2, \) and \( \tau_3^2 \) are given, we can express the models in (1)-(4) by a state space representation. A likelihood function for the hyperparameters is defined by the Kalman filter algorithm, so we can estimate the model order and the hyperparameters using a maximum likelihood method. Then, we can estimate each component in the time series \( y_m \) using the Kalman filter algorithm so that the estimate for the stationary component \( r_m^y \) of GDP can be obtained (see [12] for details).

Further, to estimate a stationary component in a monthly CPI time series, we use a set of models similar to that in (1)-(4), as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_n &= t_n^x + s_n^x + r_n^x + w_n^x, \quad (5) \\
    t_n^x &= 2t_{n-2}^x - t_{n-2}^x + v_n^x, \quad (6) \\
    s_n^x &= -s_{n-1}^x - s_{n-2}^x - s_{n-3}^x + v_{n2}^x, \quad (7) \\
    r_n^x &= \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j r_{n-j}^x + v_{n3}^x, \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, N),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( q \) represents the order of an AR model for the stationary component and \( \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \) are the AR coefficients. \( w_n^x \sim N(0, \psi^2) \) is the observation noise, while \( v_{n1}^x \sim N(0, \eta_1^2) \), \( v_{n2}^x \sim N(0, \eta_2^2) \), and \( v_{n3}^x \sim N(0, \eta_3^2) \) are system noises. The other quantities correspond to each term in the models in (1)-(4). Thus, the model order \( q \) and the hyperparameters \( \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q, \psi^2, \eta_1^2, \eta_2^2, \) and \( \eta_3^2 \) are
estimated using the same algorithm. As a result, the estimate of the stationary component \( r^x_n \) in the time series \( x_n \) can be obtained.

### 3. Proposed Approach

#### 3.1. Models

To analyze the dynamic relationship between quarterly GDP and the monthly CPI, we propose an approach based on a set of two-mode regression models with time-varying coefficients (TMR-TVC).

We classify GDP growth into two states, the upside mode corresponding to the situation in which the stationary component of GDP continues to increase, and the downside mode corresponding to the situation in which it continues to decrease. We consider that the relationship between GDP and the CPI may differ according to the situation. Thus, we use different models for the two modes.

For the upside mode, the TMR-TVC models are given in the form of a regression model with time-varying coefficients as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{m}^x &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{(m-1)i}^x r_{(m-1)i}^x + \varepsilon_{m}^{(1)}, \\
    a_{(m-1)3} &= 2a_{(m-1)2} - a_{(m-1)1} + \varepsilon_{(m-1)3}^{(1)}, \quad (9) \\
    a_{(m-1)2} &= 2a_{(m-1)1} + \varepsilon_{(m-1)2}^{(1)}, \quad (10) \\
    a_{(m-1)1} &= 2a_{m-1} - a_{(m-1)2} + \varepsilon_{(m-1)1}^{(1)} \quad (11) \\
    (m = 1, 2, \ldots, M),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( r_{m}^x \) denotes the estimate of the stationary component in the quarterly GDP time series, which is obtained from the estimation of the models in (1)-(4), and \( r_{m}^x \) denotes the same for the monthly CPI time series, which is obtained from the estimation of the models in (5)-(8). \( a_{a} \) is the time-varying coefficient that comprises a monthly time series, and \( L_1 \) denotes a lag. \( \varepsilon_{m}^{(1)} \sim N(0, \lambda_1^2) \) is the observation noise and \( \varepsilon_{a}^{(1)} \sim N(0, \phi_1^2) \) is the system noise with \( \lambda_1^2 \) and \( \phi_1^2 \) being hyperparameters. We assume that \( \varepsilon_{m}^{(1)} \) and \( \varepsilon_{a}^{(1)} \) are independent of each other for any values of \( m \) and \( n \).

The lag \( L_1 \) and the time-varying coefficient \( a_{a} \) are two important parameters. From the value of \( L_1 \), we can see the lead-lag relationship between GDP and the CPI in which the case where \( L_1 > 0 \) implies that the CPI lags GDP and the case where \( L_1 < 0 \) implies that the CPI precedes GDP. Moreover, from the estimate of \( a_{a} \), we can analyze the dynamic relationship between GDP and the CPI.

The models in (9)-(12) are essentially Bayesian linear models in which the model in (9) defines the likelihood and the models in (10)-(12) form a second-order smoothness prior for the time-varying coefficient. Thus, we can estimate the time-varying coefficient with optimal smoothness on \( a_{a} \) by controlling the value of \( \phi_1^2 \).
Similar to the upside mode, the TMR-TVC models for the downside mode are given as

\[ r_m^y = \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_{3(m-1)+i} r_{m+i-L_2}^y + e_m^{(2)}, \quad (13) \]

\[ b_{3(m-1)+3} = 2b_{3(m-1)+2} - b_{3(m-1)+1} + e_{3(m-1)+3}, \quad (14) \]

\[ b_{3(m-1)+2} = 2b_{3(m-1)+1} - b_{3(m-1)} + e_{3(m-1)+2}, \quad (15) \]

\[ b_{3(m-1)+1} = 2b_{3(m-1)} - b_{3(m-1)-1} + e_{3(m-1)+1} \]

\[(m = 1, 2, \ldots, M),\]

with \( L_2 \) and \( b_n \) being the lag and the time-varying coefficient, respectively. In addition, \( e_m^{(2)} \sim N(0, \lambda^2) \) is the observation noise and \( \epsilon_m^{(2)} \sim N(0, \phi^2) \) is the system noise for the case where \( \lambda^2 \) and \( \phi^2 \) are hyperparameters. As in the models in (9)-(12), we assume that \( e_m^{(2)} \) and \( \epsilon_m^{(2)} \) are independent of each other for any values of \( m \) and \( n \).

Below, we only show the methods for estimating the hyperparameters in the TMR-TVC models for the upside mode because those for the downside mode are similar.

### 3.2. Estimating the Time-Varying Coefficient

Now, we set

\[ z_m = \begin{bmatrix} a_{3(m-1)+3} \\ a_{3(m-1)+2} \\ a_{3(m-1)+1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_m^T = \begin{bmatrix} e_{3(m-1)+3} \\ e_{3(m-1)+2} \\ e_{3(m-1)+1} \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ F = -G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -3 & 0 \\ 3 & -2 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ e_m = \begin{bmatrix} e_{3(m-1)+3}^{(1)} \\ e_{3(m-1)+2}^{(1)} \\ e_{3(m-1)+1}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = E(e_m^e e_m^e)^T = \phi^2 I_3, \]

with \( I_3 \) denoting a 3-th identity matrix. Then, the models in (9)-(12) can be expressed by the following state space model:

\[ z_m = F z_{m-1} + G e_m, \quad (17) \]

\[ r_m^y = H_m z_m + e_m^{(1)}. \quad (18) \]

In the state space model comprising (17) and (18), the time-varying coefficient \( a_n \) is included in the state vector \( z_m \), so the estimate for \( a_n \) can be obtained from the estimate of \( z_m \). Moreover, the parameters, \( \lambda^2 \) and \( \phi^2 \),
which are called hyperparameters, can be estimated using the maximum likelihood method.

Let \( z_0 \) denote the initial value of the state and let \( Y^{(k)}_1 \) denote a set of estimates for \( r^{(k)}_m \) up to time point \( k \), where \( k \) denotes a quarter. Assume that \( z_0 \sim N(z_{0|0}, C_{0|0}) \). Because the distribution \( f(z_m | Y^{(k)}_1) \) for the state \( z_m \) conditional on \( Y^{(k)}_1 \) is Gaussian, it is only necessary to obtain the mean \( z_{m|k} \) and the covariance matrix \( C_{m|k} \) of \( z_m \) with respect to \( f(z_m | Y^{(k)}_1) \).

Given the values of \( L_1 \), \( \lambda_1^2 \), and \( \varphi_1^2 \), the initial distribution \( N(z_{0|0}, C_{0|0}) \), and a set of estimates for \( r^{(k)}_m \) up to time point \( M \), the means and covariance matrices in the predictive distribution and filter distribution for the state \( z_m \) can be obtained using the Kalman filter for \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \) (see, for example, [12]):

**[Prediction]**

\[
\begin{align*}
z_{m|n-1} &= Fz_{m-1|n-1}, \\
C_{m|n-1} &= FC_{m-1|n-1}F^T + GQG^T.
\end{align*}
\]

**[Filter-1]**

\[
\begin{align*}
K_m &= C_{m|n-1}H_m^T(H_mC_{m|n-1}H_m + \lambda_1^2)^{-1}, \\
z_{m|n} &= z_{m|n-1} + K_m(r^{(k)}_m - H_mz_{m|n-1}), \\
C_{m|n} &= (I - K_mH_m)C_{m|n-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

**[Filter-2]**

\[
\begin{align*}
z_{m|n} &= z_{m|n-1}, \\
C_{m|n} &= C_{m|n-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Note that for each value of \( m \), when the time point \( m \) is in an upside period, we use Filter-1, otherwise Filter-2 is applied.

Based on the results of the Kalman filter, we can obtain an estimate for \( z_m \) using fixed-interval smoothing for \( m = M - 1, M - 2, \ldots, 1 \) as follows:

**[Fixed-interval Smoothing]**

\[
\begin{align*}
A_m &= C_{m|M}F^T C_{m+1|M}^{-1}, \\
z_{m|M} &= z_{m|M} + A_m(z_{m+1|M} - z_{m+1|M}), \\
C_{m|M} &= C_{m|M} + A_m(C_{m+1|M} - C_{m+1|M})A_m^T.
\end{align*}
\]

Then, the posterior distribution of \( z_m \) is given by \( z_{m|M} \) and \( C_{m|M} \), and subsequently the estimate for the time-varying coefficient \( a_n \) can be obtained because the state space model described by (17) and (18) incorporates \( a_n \) in the state vector \( z_m \).

### 3.3. Estimating the Hyperparameters

Given the time series data \( Y^{(M)}_1 = \{r^{(1)}_1, r^{(2)}_1, \ldots, r^{(M)}_1\} \) and the corresponding time series data \( \{r^{(1)}_1, r^{(2)}_1, \ldots, r^{(M)}_1\} \), a likelihood function for the hyperparameters \( \lambda^2_1 \) and \( \varphi^2_1 \) and the parameter \( L_1 \) is given by
\[ f(Y_1^{(M)} | \lambda^2, \phi^2, L) = \prod_{m=1}^{M} f_m(r_m^y | \lambda^2, \phi^2, L), \]  
(19)

where \( f_m(r_m^y | \lambda^2, \phi^2, L) \) is the density function of \( r_m^y \). Following [12], using the Kalman filter, the density function \( f_m(r_m^y | \lambda^2, \phi^2, L) \) is of normal density given by

\[ f_m(r_m^y | \lambda^2, \phi^2, L) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi w_{m|n-1}}} \times \exp\left\{ -\frac{(r_m^y - \hat{r}_{m|n-1}^y)^2}{2w_{m|n-1}} \right\}, \]  
(20)

where \( \hat{r}_{m|n-1}^y \) is the one-step-ahead prediction for \( r_m^y \) and \( w_{m|n-1} \) is the variance of the predictive error, given by

\[ \hat{r}_{m|n-1}^y = H_m z_{n|n-1}, \]
\[ w_{n|n-1} = H_m C_{n|n-1} H_m^T + \lambda^2. \]

Moreover, for a fixed value of \( L \), the estimates of the hyperparameters can be obtained using the maximum likelihood method, i.e., we can estimate the hyperparameters by maximizing \( f(Y_1^{(M)} | \lambda^2, \phi^2, L) \) in (19) together with (20). Practically, when we include the new \( \lambda^2 = 1 \) into the Kalman filter algorithm outlined above, the estimate \( \hat{\lambda}^2 \) for \( \lambda^2 \) is obtained analytically by

\[ \hat{\lambda}^2 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{(r_m^y - \hat{r}_{m|n-1}^y)^2}{w_{m|n-1}}. \]  
(21)

Thus, an estimate \( \hat{\phi}^2 \) for \( \phi^2 \) can be obtained by maximizing \( f(Y_1^{(M)} | \lambda^2, \phi^2, L) \) using (21).

Information about the value of the lag \( L \) is important for analyzing the lead-lag relationship between GDP and the CPI, and can obtained from the maximum value of the likelihood function. For a given value of the lag \( L \), the maximum likelihood is given as \( f(Y_1^{(M)} | \hat{\lambda}^2, \hat{\phi}^2, L) \). Then, for a set \( \{L_1^{(1)}, L_1^{(1)} + 1, \ldots, L_1^{(2)} - 1, L_1^{(2)}\} \) of \( L \), we can calculate the relative likelihood by

\[ R(L) = \frac{f(Y_1^{(M)} | \hat{\lambda}^2, \hat{\phi}^2, L)}{\sum_{j=1}^{L_1^{(2)}} f(Y_1^{(M)} | \hat{\lambda}^2, \hat{\phi}^2, j)}, \]

\( (L = L_1^{(1)}, L_1^{(1)} + 1, \ldots, L_1^{(2)} - 1, L_1^{(2)}). \)

Thus, we can analyze the lead-lag relationship between GDP and the CPI from the distribution of the relative likelihood on \( L \). The same approach is used to analyze the lag \( L_2 \) in the downside-mode models.

4. An Empirical Study

Here, we present an empirical study analyzing the relationship between real GDP and the CPI in Japan. The real GDP data were obtained from the Cabinet Office, Government of Japan, while the CPI data were obtained from the website of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, Japan.

Figure 1 shows the quarterly real GDP time series in Japan for the period...
1980Q1-2005Q4. Figure 2 shows the monthly CPI time series for the period 1978.1-2007.12. Note that GDP is measured in billions of Japanese Yen.

For simplicity of parameter estimation, we adjust the scale for the GDP time series. Specifically, letting \( y^*_{my} \) denote the original GDP data, we adjust the associated scale by

\[
y_m = 100 \times \frac{y^*_{my}}{y^*_1} \quad (m = 1, 2, \ldots).
\]

In addition, because \( x_n \) for the CPI time series is an index, we transform the CPI time series as follows:

\[
x_n = \log(x^*_n) \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots).
\]

In the analysis below, we use the scale-adjusted time series \( y^*_m \) as the GDP data and the logarithmically transformed \( x_n \) as the CPI data.

To estimate the stationary component in GDP, we compute the likelihoods for the models in (1)-(4) for \( p = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). The maximum likelihood is obtained for
the models with $p = 1$. Thus, we use models with $p = 1$ as a set of the best models for data analysis. To estimate the stationary components of the CPI, we compute the likelihoods for the models in (5)-(8) for $q = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$. The maximum likelihood value is obtained when $q = 1$. Thus, in the data analysis, we use the models for the CPI with $q = 1$.

Figure 3 shows the estimate for the stationary component of GDP. The thin line shows the value of the original estimate and the thick line shows the value for a seven-quarter moving average. The vertical lines indicate inflections of the business cycle (the solid and broken lines indicate peaks and troughs, respectively). It can be seen from Figure 3 that fluctuations in the stationary component of GDP correlate closely with business cycles in Japan.

Figure 4 shows the estimates for the stationary component of the CPI. Similar
to Figure 3, the vertical lines indicate inflections in the business cycle (the solid and broken lines indicate peaks and troughs, respectively). It is difficult to perceive business cycles from the fluctuations in the stationary component of the CPI.

Figure 5 shows the relative likelihood distribution of the lags between −24 and 24 in the CPI models, with the units on the horizontal axis representing months. It can be seen from Figure 5 that two peaks exist in the panel showing the upside-mode model. Specifically, the left and right peaks correspond to around a seven-month lead and a 10-month lag. Therefore, the lead-lag relationship between GDP and the CPI is complicated during the expansion phase, where CPI movements sometimes lead those of GDP by seven months, while at other times they lag by 10 months. In other words, sometimes the CPI leads business expansion by about half a year, and sometimes it lags business expansion by about a year. Moreover, from the results for the downside-mode model, it can be seen that a higher peak in the relative likelihood is observed at around a lag of one month and a lower peak is seen at around a lead of 11 months. This implies that movements in the CPI almost coincide with movements in GDP, and sometimes lead CPI by about one year during the recession phase.

Figure 6 shows time series estimates for the time-varying coefficient in the upside-mode CPI models with (a) $L = -7$ and (b) $L = 10$. It can be seen that when CPI movements lead GDP movements with $L = -7$, the time-varying coefficient shows significantly negative values from 1990 to around 2000. This implies that the CPI had a negative effect on GDP from the time of the collapse of the bubble economy in Japan. However, when CPI movements lag GDP movements with $L = 10$, the time-varying coefficient is positive and the
absolute values have continued to rise. This implies that sometimes there are positive effects of GDP on CPI and such effects become stronger.

**Figure 7** shows time series estimates for the time-varying coefficient in the downside-mode CPI models with (a) $L_2 = 1$ and (b) $L_2 = -11$. It can be seen that when CPI movements lead GDP movements with $L_2 = 1$, the time-varying coefficient takes smaller positive values, and when CPI movements lag GDP movements with $L_2 = -11$, the time-varying coefficient is negative and the absolute values have continued to increase. This implies that there are negative effects of GDP on CPI and such effects become stronger.
5. Conclusions

To analyze the relationship between economic growth and inflation in Japan, we proposed a Bayesian dynamic linear modeling method and used it to analyze the dynamic relationship between quarterly GDP data and monthly CPI data in Japan. First, we extracted stationary components from GDP and CPI time series using a set of state space models. Then, we constructed a set of Bayesian regression models with a time-varying coefficient. These models are two-mode regression with time-varying coefficient (TMR-TVC) models.

It should be emphasized that there are two important parameters in the TMR-TVC models: the time lag between GDP and the CPI and the time-varying coefficient. From the value of the lag, we can determine the lead-lag relationship, while from the estimate of the time-varying coefficient, we can analyze the dynamic relationship between GDP and the CPI.

Finally, using an empirical study based on the proposed method, we analyzed the dynamic relationship between the stationary components of GDP and the CPI using Japanese economic statistics from 1980 to 2005. The empirical study produced the following results. 1) The lead-lag relationship between GDP and the CPI is complicated. 2) The CPI had a negative effect on GDP from the time of the collapse of the bubble economy in Japan, and sometimes there are positive effects of GDP on the CPI in which the effects become stronger during the expansion phase. 3) GDP has a negative effect on the CPI, and this effect becomes stronger in periods of recession.
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