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Abstract
This paper presented a new Floquet analysis used to calculate the radiation for 1-D and 2-D coupled periodic antenna systems. In this way, an accurate evaluation of mutual coupling can be proven by using a new mutual interaction expression that was based on Fourier analysis. Then, this work indicated how Floquet analysis can be used to study a finite array with uniform amplitude and linear phase distribution in both x and y directions. To modelize the proposed structures, two formulations were given in a spectral and spatial domain, where the Moment (MoM) method combined with a generalized equivalent circuit (GEC) method was applied. Radiation pattern of coupled periodic antenna was shown by varying many parameters, such as frequencies, distance and Floquet states. The 3-D radiation beam of the coupled antenna array was analyzed and compared in several steering angles $\theta$ and coupling values $d_x$. The simulation of this structure demonstrated that directivity decreased at higher coupling values. The secondary lobes in the antenna pattern were due to energy dispersion and considerable increasing of side lobe level (SLL) may be achieved. Therefore, the sweeping of the radiation beam in several steering directions affected the electromagnetic performance of the antenna system: the directivity at the steering angle $\theta = \pi/3$ was more damaged and had 19.99 dB while the second at $\theta = 0$ had about 35.11 dB. This parametric study of coupled structure used to concept smart periodic antenna with sweeping radiation beam.
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1. Introduction
From the early days of communication systems, antenna arrays have been wide-
spread. They are used in base stations, mobile phones and radars. They are made by elementary antennas combined together to synthesize a radiation pattern with a directional beam. There are several conventional methods applied to analyze these systems in [1] [2] [3]. The coupling between the radiating elements increases with the dimension of the antenna arrays, making it one of the most important factors in the choice of the analysis method. Similar examples are found in [4]. In this context, the robustness of such method is evaluated by its resistance in front of the interaction between the radiating elements. In this work, we present a new formulation of the MoM-CEG method based on the Floquet theorem, (refer to [5] [6] [7] for details). This Floquet approach shows that the electromagnetic field distribution in periodic structures changes only by multiplication of a complex constant for a translation by one period in the global structure. The electromagnetic compilation of antenna arrays with an N pattern is then reduced to a calculation on one reference cell with periodic walls in a new modal base. Indeed, the term of phase shift contains itself the electromagnetic information of other adjacent cells. These artificial walls are implemented to group all phases from the periodic structure [8] [9] [10] [11]. Then, the Floquet modal analysis brings back all possible space calculations to new model calculation. Then, the Floquet modal analysis examines all the Floquet states and the coupling information of the overall structure. This new method is applied to generate a 3-D electronically controlled antenna. The sweeping of the radiation beam of smart antennas is nowadays used to improve the performance of mobile and wireless communications systems [12] [13]. A number of works have been reported taking different periodic structures with spectral formulation to study 1-D and 2-D periodic antenna array [14] [15] [16] [17]. But this work presents a new formulation of the MoM-CEG method based on Floquet theorem to optimize coupled periodic antenna with sweeping radiation beam.

This paper is organized as follows: First it is necessary to explain how to use Floquet modal analysis to decrease the complexity of periodic 1-D and 2-D structures, see e.g. [14]-[19]. Next, we present the numerical results and discuss the behavior of a 3-D steerable radiation beam and how to concept smart periodic antenna with sweeping beam with optimal performance.

2. Problem Formulation: Periodic Antenna Array

2.1. Formulation of 1D Periodic Antenna Array

In this section the formulation of the problem is illustrated in detail. A Floquet theory is proposed to reduce the infinite domain to a single cell with periodic walls. An electrical field is then formulated and solved through a MoM-GEC [20] [21] approach in a spectral domain [22] [23] [24] [25]. The structure under analysis is shown in Figure 1. The excitation is given by an \( E_0 \) voltage source placed in the middle of a metallic patch. The width and the length of patches are \( w \) and \( l \). The spatial period along the x direction is \( d_x \). The height of dielectric substance is \( h \), and its relative permittivity \( \varepsilon_r \) is mounted on a ground plane.

This structure is taken as infinite in \( (\pm X) \) and periodic with a period \( d_x \).
Floquet theorem can be used with this geometric periodicity, so the study of
global structure is reduced to one cell with Floquet phases \( \exp(j \alpha Nd_s) \). For
details, see [2] [3] [10] [26] [27]. \( \vec{E}(x) \) represents an electric field reacting with
this periodicity.

\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{E}(x + d_s) &= \exp(j \alpha d_s) \ast \vec{E}(x) \\
\vec{E}(x + 2d_s) &= \exp(j \alpha 2d_s) \ast \vec{E}(x) \\
\vec{E}(x + Nd_s) &= \exp(j \alpha Nd_s) \ast \vec{E}(x)
\end{align*}
\]

Each Floquet phase corresponds to a Floquet state, and the function \( F_{\alpha m} \)
characterizes all possible states.

\[
F_{\alpha m} = \frac{1}{d_s} \exp(j \alpha x) \ast \exp\left(j \frac{2 \Pi m x}{d_s}\right)
\]

where \( \alpha \) and \( m \) correspond respectively to Floquet mode and spectral domain
mode. The \( \alpha \) values are in Brillouin domain \([\frac{-\Pi}{d_s}, \frac{\Pi}{d_s}]\). And for \( N \) discrete
values of \( \alpha \), \( \alpha_p \) are given by:

\[
\alpha_p = \frac{2 \Pi p}{L}
\]

where \( \frac{-N}{2} \leq p \leq \frac{N}{2} - 1 \) and \( L = N \ast d_s \).

The electric field of the central cell in spacial domain is \( \vec{E}_m \). We associate the
electric field \( \vec{E}_\alpha \) in spectral domain, which models all waves emitted from ot h-
er cells of periodic structure.

\[
\vec{E}_{m+1} = \vec{E}_m \exp(j \alpha d_s)
\]

Then

\[
\vec{E}_m = \frac{d_s}{2 \Pi} \int_0^{\frac{\Pi}{d_s}} \vec{E}_\alpha \exp(j \alpha m d_s) d\alpha
\]

The spectral domain MoM-GEC technique can be applied for this single cell
with periodic walls to extract the electromagnetic parameter. The pertinent
problem of the use an electric field integral equation can be solved by applying the GEC method. It can replace the integral equation by a simple equivalent circuit in the discontinuity surface and applies the laws of tension and current to extract the relation between electric and current field by using an admittance operator \[2\] \[3\] \[14\] \[15\]. The discontinuity surface contains metallic and dielectric parties. The equivalent circuit of the unit cell is shown in Figure 2. The virtual electric field is defined on the metallic surface and is null on the dielectric part. We note that \(E_\alpha\) its dual. Similar examples are found in \[28\] \[29\] \[30\].

From this circuit, we can deduce this system:

\[
\begin{aligned}
J_\alpha &= \hat{J}_\alpha \\
\hat{E}_\alpha &= -\hat{E}_{0\alpha} + \frac{1}{\hat{Y}_a} \hat{J}_\alpha
\end{aligned}
\]  

(6)

The equivalent admittance operator is:

\[
\hat{Y}_a = \hat{Y}_{\text{upper}} + \hat{Y}_{\text{down}}
\]

(7)

\(\hat{Y}_{\text{upper}}\) is the upper admittance operator of the infinite empty wave guide with periodic walls, and \(\hat{Y}_{\text{down}}\) is the down admittance operator of the short circuited dielectric wave guide of height \(h\) with periodic walls.

\[
\hat{Y}_{\text{upper}} = \sum_{mn} |f_{mn}| \hat{y}_{\text{upper}} |f_{mn}|
\]

(8)

\[
\hat{Y}_{\text{down}} = \sum_{mn} |f_{mn}| \hat{y}_{\text{down}} |f_{mn}|
\]

(9)

\(f_{mn}\) are the base propagation mode functions.

Next, we apply the Galerkin method, where we project the excitation mode \(f_{mn}\) and the test function \(g_{pq}\) on the previous equation. We then have the following system:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \langle f_0, g_2 \rangle & \langle f_0, g_3 \rangle & \ldots & \langle f_0, g_n \rangle \\
\langle g_{1\alpha}, f_0 \rangle & \langle g_{1\alpha}, Y^{-1}_{\text{equ}}, g_2 \rangle & \ldots & \langle g_{1\alpha}, Y^{-1}_{\text{equ}}, g_3 \rangle & \langle g_{1\alpha}, Y^{-1}_{\text{equ}}, g_n \rangle \\
\langle g_{2\alpha}, f_0 \rangle & \ldots & \ldots & \langle g_{2\alpha}, Y^{-1}_{\text{equ}}, g_2 \rangle & \langle g_{2\alpha}, Y^{-1}_{\text{equ}}, g_3 \rangle & \ldots & \langle g_{2\alpha}, Y^{-1}_{\text{equ}}, g_n \rangle \\
\langle g_{n\alpha}, f_0 \rangle & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \langle g_{n\alpha}, Y^{-1}_{\text{equ}}, g_2 \rangle & \langle g_{n\alpha}, Y^{-1}_{\text{equ}}, g_3 \rangle & \ldots & \langle g_{n\alpha}, Y^{-1}_{\text{equ}}, g_n \rangle
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
V_{0\alpha} \\
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
x_n
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(10)

The matrix form of the former equation can be developed as following:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I_{0\alpha} \\
0
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & A' \\
-A' & B
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
V_{0\alpha} \\
X
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(11)

where \(A\) is the excitation vector and \(B\) is the coupling matrix. The test courant functions in metallic part are \(g_{pq}\).

The resolution of the previous system consequently helps to calculate the virtual electric field \(E_{\text{eq}}\) and the electric far field \(\hat{E}_{\text{rad}}\) of the coupled structure.

2.2. Formulation 2-D Periodic Antenna Arrays

We take the example of 2-D planar periodic structures of \(d_s\) periodicity along
the $x$-axis and $d_y$ periodicity along the $y$-axis in **Figure 3**. Floquet theorem can be applied to synthesize periodic structures where all antenna elements are coupled with each other [16] [17] [31].

The formulation of the MoM-CEM method is applied to this reference cell with periodic walls in modal space, and the distribution of magnetic field differs only by two phases $(\alpha, \beta)$ compared to other cells. We pose $J_{i,j}$ the source field in $(i,j)$ base cell in periodic device. In this new modal base, $J_{a,\beta}$ is the set of excitation of the others cells such as:

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{i+1,j+1} &= J_{i,j} \exp(i\alpha d_x) \exp(i\beta d_y) \\
J_{i+1,j} &= J_{i,j} \exp(i\alpha d_x) \forall i,j \\
J_{i,j+1} &= J_{i,j} \exp(i\beta d_y)
\end{align*}
$$

Floquet modal analysis reduces spatial electromagnetic calculus of 2-D periodic structure to a spectral calculus in a new modal base which gathers all possible phases in periodic walls. In this case, we consider the 2-D dimensional case along the $x$- and $y$-axis with $(N \times N)$ identical cells, where each one is excited by a located source. The two phases $\alpha$ and $\beta$ belong respectively to the Brillouin domain: $\left[ -\Pi_{x}, \Pi_{x} \right]$ and $\left[ -\Pi_{y}, \Pi_{y} \right]$. The discretization of Floquet mode provides the following: $\alpha = 2\pi p/L$ and $\beta = 2\pi q/L$, where $p$ and $q$ are two integer and $L = N \times d$. From these Floquet phases, we associate two fields $E_{a\beta}$ and $J_{a\beta}$ which model all waves emitted from others cells of the periodic structure.

The discontinuity surface contains metallic and dielectric parties. The excitation $E_{a\beta}$ of the central path produces a current field $J_{a\beta}$. This virtual magnetic field $J_{a\beta}$ is defined on the metallic surface and is null on the dielectric part. We note that $E_{a\beta}$ is its dual. The electric field $J_{mn}$ can be developed as following:

$$
J_{mn} = d/2\pi \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} J_{a\beta} \exp(-j\alpha d) \exp(-j\beta nd) d\alpha d\beta
$$

**Figure 2.** Equivalent circuit in spectral domain with MoM-CEM method of unit cell.
which $d/2\pi$ is a normalization factor and $d_x = d_y = d$. Based on the MoM-CEM method and using the laws of tension and current of the equivalent circuit, we can extract and identify the relationship between the current density, the electric field and admittance operator $\hat{Y}_{\text{eq}}$.

From this equivalent circuit, we can deduce the following system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{cases}
J_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eq}} = J_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eff}} \\
E_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eq}} = -E_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eff}} + \frac{1}{\hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta}} J_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eff}}
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(14)

Then

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
J_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eff}} \\
E_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eff}}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & \frac{1}{\hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta}}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
E_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eq}} \\
J_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eq}}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(15)

The equivalent admittance operator is:

$$
\hat{Y}_{\text{eq}}^{\alpha\beta} = \hat{Y}_{\text{down}}^{\alpha\beta} + \hat{Y}_{\text{upper}}^{\alpha\beta}
$$

(16)

$\hat{Y}_{\text{upper}}^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\hat{Y}_{\text{down}}^{\alpha\beta}$ are respectively the upper and the down admittance operator.

where:

$$
\hat{Y}_{\text{upper}}^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{mn} |f_{mn}| \hat{Y}_{\text{upper}}^{mn} \langle f_{mn} \rangle
$$

(17)

$$
\hat{Y}_{\text{down}}^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{mn} |f_{mn}| \hat{Y}_{\text{down}}^{mn} \langle f_{mn} \rangle
$$

(18)

$f_{mn}$ are the base propagation mode functions.

The previous matrix presentation is projected under base and test functions.
and can be developed as the following:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \langle f_0, g_2 \rangle & \langle f_0, g_3 \rangle & \ \cdots & \langle f_0, g_n \rangle \\
\langle g_1, f_0 \rangle & \langle g_1, g_1^{-1}, g_1 \rangle & \ \cdots & \cdots \\
\langle g_2, f_0 \rangle & \ \cdots & \ \cdots \\
\langle g_n, f_0 \rangle & \ \cdots & \langle g_n, g_n^{-1}, g_n \rangle
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
V_{\text{out}} \\
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
x_n
\end{pmatrix}
\]  
(19)

So, we can deduce the following system:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I_{\text{out}} \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & A' \\
-A' & B
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
V_{\text{out}} \\
X
\end{pmatrix}
\]  
(20)

where \( A \) is the excitation vector and \( B \) is the coupling matrix.

3. Results and Observations

3.1. Study of Floquet States

In this section, we present a Floquet modal analysis of periodic antenna array. As an example we simulate and design a structure of four linear elements using matlab software. We extract all possible Floquet modes \((\alpha, \beta)\); and we show their influence on pattern radiation. Results are presented for the following parameters:

- \( \epsilon_r = 1 \), \( h = 5.5 \text{ mm} \), \( d_x = 108 \text{ mm} \), \( d_y = 54 \text{ mm} \), \( l = 27 \text{ mm} \), \( w = 1 \) and \( \delta = 0.75 \text{ mm} \).

The behavior of magnetic field for one reference cell is shown in Figure 4. Table 1 illustrates the performance parameter (side lobe level, peak gain and directivity) of radiation pattern for each Floquet mode. Refer to [32] [33] [34] for details. The radiation pattern plot in Figure 5 obtained by using Floquet modal method demonstrates the aptitude of this technique to superpose all Floquet modes \((\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)\) and their superposition \( E_{\text{tot}} \) for different steering angles \( \theta \) [35] [36].

The electromagnetic parameters of each Floquet mode \((\alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)\) of this periodic structure are compared in Table 1. The simulation of this periodic structure demonstrates that each Floquet mode defines the mutual coupling of the adjacent cell. The superposition of all Floquet modes represents the evolution of pattern radiation of the reference cell coupled with all others cells.

3.2. Frequency and Coupling Effect

In this section, we present and briefly discuss several results of the radiation pattern on an open structure analyzed in the previous section. The simulated radiation

The electromagnetic parameters of each Floquet mode \((\alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)\) of this periodic structure are compared in Table 1. The simulation of this periodic structure demonstrates that each Floquet mode defines the mutual coupling of the adjacent cell. The superposition of all Floquet modes represents the evolution of pattern radiation of the reference cell coupled with all others cells.

Table 1. Performance Parameters of the 1-D periodic structure for each Floquet mode.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floquet mode</th>
<th>SLL (dB)</th>
<th>Peak gain (dBi)</th>
<th>Directivity (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_1 )</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td>−6.1</td>
<td>25.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_2 )</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>−11.4</td>
<td>23.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_3 )</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td>−6.1</td>
<td>25.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_4 )</td>
<td>−11.5</td>
<td>−9.6</td>
<td>25.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. 2-D representation of the current density $|/J/$ with the basis functions at $F = 5.4$ GHz, $h = 1.25$ mm, $d_x = 108$ mm, $d_y = 54$ mm, $w = 1$ mm, $\epsilon = 1$, $\epsilon_r = 1$, $\delta = 0.75$ mm, $\lambda = 54$ mm, $\alpha = 0$ rad·m$^{-1}$, $\beta = 0$ rad·m$^{-1}$.

Figure 5. Simulated 2-D normalized radiation pattern of periodic antenna for several steering angles $\theta$ using: $F = 5.4$ GHz, $h = 1.25$ mm, $d_x = 108$ mm, $d_y = 54$ mm, $w = 1$ mm, $\epsilon = 1$, $\epsilon_r = 1$, $\delta = 0.75$ mm, $\lambda = 54$ mm. (a) $\theta = -45$ deg, (b) $\theta = 0$ deg, (c) $\theta = 45$ deg.
The electromagnetic near fields of the planner antenna were simulated with Floquet analysis combined with MoM-CEG method. From these results, the radiation pattern was calculated using the conventional method of the stationary phase. It can be observed that the evolution of the pattern radiation for different values of the frequency from 4 to 10 GHz is not the same, but they have a similar behavior. By exploiting this figure, at \( F = 4 \) GHz, a low directivity is achieved with high power \( P_{\text{max}} \) (the power in decibel between the maximum of main lobe and the maximum of secondary lobs) with 40 dB and at 10 GHz, a high directivity is achieved with low power \( P_{\text{max}} \) with 10 dB. We deduce then that the diagram becomes increasingly selective by increasing the frequency, but at the same time, the amplitude of the secondary lobes increases.

Figure 7 shows the influence of coupling value on radiation pattern in relation to wavelength. For \( d_x = \lambda \), the power \( P_{\text{max}} \) is 30 dB, but for \( d_x = 3\lambda \), the power \( P_{\text{max}} \) is 10 dB. From these results, we can deduce that the pattern radiation of this structure becomes more directive when the period \( d_x \) increases compared to wavelength \( \lambda \).

In this example, on 1-D periodic array four planar antennas is discussed. The amplitude of each excitation and the phase difference of neighboring cell are identicals. The radiation simulation shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7 reveals that the behavior of radiation pattern is acceptable with MoM-CEG method combined to Floquet theory and large separation between elements is needed for high directivity.

**Figure 6.** The normalized radiation pattern in value (dB) against several frequencies \( F \) using: \( h = 1.25 \) mm, \( d_x = 54 \) mm, \( d_y = 54 \) mm, \( w = 1 \) mm, \( \varepsilon = 1 \), \( \varepsilon_r = 1 \), \( \delta = 0.75 \) mm, \( \lambda = 54 \) mm, \( \alpha = 0 \) rad·m\(^{-1} \), \( \beta = 0 \) rad·m\(^{-1} \).
4. 3-D radiation of Smart Periodic Antenna

4.1. Coupling Effect

In this section, we study the influence of the coupling and the steering direction on the electromagnetic parameters of our periodic structure. Figure 8 shows a 3-D beam radiation of the coupled structure in the steered direction \( \theta_s = 0 \) for different coupling states \( d_x = \lambda, d_y = 2\lambda, d_z = 3\lambda, d_y = 4\lambda \). Electromagnetic performance parameters of this coupled structure in different coupling values are shown in Table 2.

4.2. Steering Directions Effect

Figure 9 shows a 3-D beam radiation of the coupled structure in the high coupling value \( d_x = 2\lambda \) for different steering directions:

\[ \theta_x = 0, \theta_y = \frac{\pi}{6}, \theta_z = \frac{\pi}{4}, \theta_z = \frac{\pi}{3}. \]

Electromagnetic performance parameters of this coupled structure in different steered directions \( \theta_s \) are shown in Table 3 for high and low coupling values.

In the previous section, we evaluated periodic antennas with uniform spatial periodicity and equitable amplitude distribution. The study of coupling and angular scanning leads us to design an intelligent antenna with a sweeping beam. The purpose of this design is to lead the radiation to the desired direction of space without affecting the radiation characteristics. The effect of the secondary lobes in the antenna radiation pattern is virtually undesirable because it affects...
Figure 8. The 3-D radiation beam pattern of proposed antenna array at different coupling states for steered angle $\theta_s = 0$ using: $F = 10.4$ GHz, $h = 1.25$ mm, $d_x = 54$ mm, $w = 1$ mm, $\epsilon_r = 1$, $\delta = 0.75$ mm, $\lambda = 28$ mm; (a) $d_x = \lambda$; (b) $d_x = 2\lambda$; (c) $d_x = 3\lambda$; (d) $d_x = 4\lambda$.

Table 2. The Performance Parameters for Different Coupling Values $d_x$ of the 1-D periodic structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Periodicity $d_x$</th>
<th>Directivity (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>29.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\lambda$</td>
<td>30.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3\lambda$</td>
<td>35.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4\lambda$</td>
<td>39.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The performance parameters for different steering directions $\theta_s$ in low and high coupling values of 1-D periodic structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steering angle $\theta_s$</th>
<th>Directivity (dB) low coupling ($d_x = 4\lambda$)</th>
<th>Directivity (dB) high coupling ($d_x = \lambda$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>39.99</td>
<td>29.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Pi/6$</td>
<td>28.10</td>
<td>20.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Pi/4$</td>
<td>24.66</td>
<td>19.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Pi/3$</td>
<td>21.44</td>
<td>19.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 9. The 3-D radiation beam pattern of proposed antenna array at different steering directions $\theta$ in high coupling values using: $F = 5.4$ GHz, $\lambda = 28$ mm, $h = 1.25$ mm, $d_x = 2\lambda$, $d_y = 54$ mm, $w = 1$ mm, $\epsilon = 1$, $\epsilon_r = 1$, $\delta = 0.75$ mm; (a) $\theta_s = 0$, (b) $\theta_s = \pi/6$, (c) $\theta_s = \pi/4$, (d) $\theta_s = \pi/3$.

the main lobe gain by energy dispersal and also disturbs the second radiant element. Therefore, a condition regarding the spacing of sources must be imposed. Figure 10 represents respectively the variation of the directivity and the side lobe level for several distances $d$ and for several steering directions $\theta$. For the working frequency $F = 10$ GHz, the spacing $d$ between the sources must exceed $2\lambda = 56$ mm to ensure a $-18.3$ dB of side lobe level. Also, for the same parameters, to obtain a directivity greater than $23.42$ dB the steering angle must not exceed $\pi/6$. By exploiting Figure 10, we can concept a smart periodic antenna with minimal coupling and acceptable directivity. finally, we can deduce that high directivity values can be achieved with low sweeping angles $\theta_s$, also low coupling values can be achieved with high spacing values $d$.

5. Conclusion

In this contribution, we have presented a theoretical analysis of 1-D and 2-D periodic antennas. A novel modal approach combined with MoM-GEC was used. The behavior of pattern radiation of the coupled reference cell has been illustrated corresponding to different frequency ranges, period value and Floquet
modes. To properly control the directivity of periodic antennas and minimize coupling between cells, it is necessary to choose the best period $d_x$. This can be also useful for the analysis of 3-D beam radiation for different steering directions and coupling values. Then, we can concept a smart periodic antenna with minimal coupling and acceptable directivity for different sweeping angles. For the special case when high coupling is presented, we can easily show that directivity is reduced to 19.37 dB when $\theta_s = \pi/3$, while the side lobe level is increased to $-11.12$ dB. The essential advantage of this new modal analysis is concepting coupled periodic antenna with sweeping radiation beam. The numerical results demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed approach in the field of estimation side lobe level and directivity of almost periodic antenna. In conclusion, we would like to highlight that Floquet model analysis and MoM-GEC method are needed to study coupled smart antenna that opens various areas of research.
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