Dust Effect on the Performance of Wind Turbine Airfoils
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ABSTRACT

The full two-dimensional Navier-Stokes algorithm and the SST k-ω turbulence model were used to investigate incompressible viscous flow past the wind turbine two-dimensional airfoil under clean and roughness surface conditions. The NACA 63-430 airfoil is chosen to be the subject, which is widely used in wind turbine airfoil and generally located at mid-span of the blade with thickness to chord length ratio of about 0.3. The numerical simulation of the airfoil under clean surface condition has been done. As a result, the numerical results had a good consistency with the experimental data. The wind turbine blade surface dust accumulation according to the operational periods in natural environment has been taken into consideration. Then, the lift coefficients and the drag coefficients of NACA 63-430 airfoil have been computed under different roughness heights, different roughness areas and different roughness locations. The role that roughness plays in promoting premature transition to turbulence and flow separation has been verified by the numerical results. The trends of the lift coefficients and the drag coefficients with the roughness height and roughness area increasing have been obtained. What’s more, the critical values of roughness height, roughness area, and roughness location have been proposed. Furthermore, the performance of the airfoil under different operational periods has been simulated, and an advice for the period of cleaning wind turbine blades is proposed. As a result, the numerical simulation method has been verified to be economically available for investigation of the dust effect on wind turbine airfoils.
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1. Introduction

As is known to all, the world energy crisis is more and more serious in our modern society. Wind energy, which is the most mature technology among so many kinds of clean and renewable energy, is developing with an amazing speed now. The crucial to rotor design is the subject of airfoils. One of the most critical problem for wind turbine rotors is degradation of the performance, and the unpredictability of stall due to dust accumulation on blade surface area. The objective of this work is to provide a better understanding for the effect of blade surface roughness on the performance of the wind turbine thick airfoil. It is very useful to enable wind turbine designers to predict loads and energy losses during wind turbines operating under dust conditions, it is necessary to qualitatively and quantitatively know the change in the aerodynamic properties due to the dust accumulation on the surface of the blade. So, the analysis of airfoil surface roughness has practical application interest in addition to academic interest. Now, the importance of the dust effect on the performance of the wind turbine airfoil is well realized. Generally, roughness has a large effect on the flow dynamic processes. Therefore, the stall-regulation phenomena in wind turbines are affected by a high degree due to the increase of the blade surface roughness. Despite some previous experimental and numerical work where surface roughness is involved, the information that has been obtained on this subject still remains far from complete [1–3], and the processes of boundary-layer separation and stall phenomena, which occur on the wind turbines blade in the presence of surface roughness, are not fully understood. To obtain more detailed information about the effect of the surface roughness on the lift coefficients and the drag coefficients of mid-span thick airfoils, the full two-dimensional Navier-Stokes algorithm and the SST k-ω turbulence model are used to investigate incompressible viscous flow past the wind turbine two-dimensional airfoil with surface roughness. The NACA 63-430 airfoil is chosen to be the subject, which is widely used in wind turbine airfoil and generally located at mid-span of the blade with thickness to chord length ratio...
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of about 0.3. The lift coefficients and the drag coefficients of NACA 63-430 airfoil have been computed under different roughness heights, roughness areas, and different roughness locations. The role that roughness plays in promoting premature transition to turbulence and flow separation has been verified by the numerical results. Furthermore, the degenerate trend of performance for NACA 63-430 airfoil under different operation periods has been simulated.

2. Numerical Modeling

2.1 Governing Equations

The flow past the airfoil was modeled by the full Navier-Stokes equation for two-dimensional, viscous, incompressible flow. The continuous equation and Momentum equation based on Reynolds averaged N-S equations are as follows:

\[ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \]  

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho u_i u_j)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u_i u_j)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \mu \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} - \rho u_i u_j \right) \]  

where \( i, j = 1, 2; \rho = 1.255 \text{kg/m}^3; \mu = 1.7894 \times 10^{-5} \text{kg/(m} \cdot \text{s}).

2.2 SST k-\( \omega \) Turbulence Model

The shear-stress transport (SST) k-\( \omega \) model was developed by Menter [4] to effectively blend the robust and accurate formulation of the k-\( \varepsilon \) model in the near-wall region with the free-stream independence of the k-\( \varepsilon \) model in the far field. The SST k-\( \omega \) model is described as follows:

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho k)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u_i u_j)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \Gamma_k \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right) + G_k - Y_k + S_k \]  

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho \omega)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u_i u_j)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \Gamma_\omega \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j} \right) + G_\omega - Y_\omega + D_\omega + S_\omega \]  

where \( k \) represents the turbulence kinetic energy; \( \omega \) represents the specific dissipation rate; \( G_k \) represents the generation of turbulence kinetic energy due to mean velocity gradients; \( G_\omega \) represents the generation of \( \omega \); \( \Gamma_k \) and \( \Gamma_\omega \) represent the effective diffusivity of \( k \) and \( \omega \), respectively; \( Y_k \) and \( Y_\omega \) represent the dissipation of \( k \) and \( \omega \) due to turbulence; \( D_\omega \) represents the cross-diffusion term, calculated as described below; \( S_k \) and \( S_\omega \) are user-defined source terms.

The SST k-\( \omega \) model is similar to the standard k-\( \varepsilon \) model, but includes the following refinements:

- The standard k-\( \varepsilon \) model and the transformed k-\( \varepsilon \) model are both multiplied by a blending function and both models are added together. The blending function is designed to be one in the near-wall region, which activates the standard k-\( \varepsilon \) model, and zero away from the surface, which activates the transformed k-\( \varepsilon \) model.
- The SST model incorporates a damped cross-diffusion derivative term in the \( \omega \) equation.
- The definition of the turbulent viscosity is modified to account for the transport of the turbulent shear stress.
- The modeling constants are different.

These features make the SST k-\( \omega \) model more accurate and reliable for a wider class of flows (e.g., adverse pressure gradient flows, airfoils, transonic shock waves) than the standard k-\( \varepsilon \) model. Other modifications include the addition of a cross-diffusion term in the \( \omega \) equation and a blending function to ensure that the model equations behave appropriately in both the near-wall and far-field zones.

2.3 Modeling

The whole computational zone consists of a semicircle with the radius of 10m and a rectangle with the length of 25m (Figure 1). The length of numerical airfoil which locates near the center of the semicircle is 1m. The height of the grid near the airfoil surface is 2×10^{-5}m (Figure 2). Reynolds number is 1.6×10^6 [5].

3. Numerical Results

3.1 Numerical Simulation under Clean Surface Condition

First of all, to ensure that the numerical model is available for the free-stream flow past the airfoil, the numerical simulation under clean airfoil surface condition was made to compare with the wind tunnel experimental data [5]. The simulative condition was according to the wind

![Figure 1. The whole computational zone](image-url)
tunnel set-ups, for example, Reynolds number $1.6 \times 10^6$; free stream turbulence level of 1%. The lift coefficients and the drag coefficients of the NACA 63-430 airfoil were computed under the angle of attack between 0 and 25 degree, based on the full two-dimensional Navier-Stokes algorithm and the SST $k-\omega$ turbulence model. The comparison between numerical results and experimental data shows in Figures 3–4.

In the above two figures, it was obvious that the numerical results had a good consistency with the experimental data, despite small discrepancy in comparison of drag coefficient curves. Therefore, the numerical model was confirmed to be available for the free-stream flow past the NACA 63-430 airfoil. Then, in the following of the paper, the numerical model would be used to investigate the airfoil surface roughness effect.

3.2 Numerical Simulation under Different Surface Roughness Heights

It is supposed that all the surface of the airfoil is roughness area and the angle of attack is 10.6 degree. That’s because at this angle of attack the numerical results have a perfect consistency with the experimental data. Then, numerical simulations of the NACA 63-430 airfoil under different surface roughness heights has been done and the results are shown in Figure 5.

In Figure 5, it is evident that the lift coefficient curve decreased very rapidly when the roughness height is less than 0.3mm. However, the lift coefficient curve decreases very slowly when the roughness height is more than 0.3mm. The same trend of the change also happened in the drag coefficient curve, which increases very rapidly when the roughness height is less than 0.3mm, but increases very slowly when the roughness height is more than 0.3mm. That’s because the surface roughness plays a role in promoting premature transition to turbulence and flow separation. When the roughness height is less than 0.3mm, the effect of roughness is very obvious. But, when the roughness height is more than 0.3mm, the whole airfoil has already fully become turbulent boundary and at the same time the flow separation is very serious, so the effect of roughness on the performance of the airfoil seems no longer evident. Therefore, the roughness height of 0.3mm could be viewed as a roughness critical height.

Besides lift coefficients information and drag coefficients information of the airfoil, the numerical results contain more information of the flow past the airfoil un-
under different roughness conditions, which are also very useful to clarify the physical mechanism of the surface roughness effect. For example, the turbulent intensity distribution and pressure coefficient distribution of the airfoil surface are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7, respectively.

In Figure 6, it is clear that the turbulent intensity of the airfoil under clean condition is very small, however, with the roughness height increasing from 0 to 0.3mm, turbulent intensity increases very obviously, especially for the leading edge of the airfoil. In Figure 7, the area enclosed by the pressure coefficient curve of the airfoil obviously decreases with the roughness height increasing from 0 to 0.3mm, especially for the location at the front 50% of the chord length.

Furthermore, the two pictures could be better to demonstrate and clarify that surface roughness plays a role in promoting premature transition to turbulence and flow separation. By the way, it also could be found that the increase of turbulent intensity and the change of the pressure coefficient are no longer evident when the roughness height is more than 0.3mm.

3.3 Numerical Simulation under Different Roughness Areas

To clarify the effect of different roughness areas on performance of the airfoil, simulations under the angle of attack 10.6 degree and roughness height 0.3mm were done. The roughness area is denoted by the ratio of the chord length covered with roughness, which is calculated from the leading edge. The simulation results are shown in Figure 8. It is obvious that the lift coefficient curve decreases rapidly when the roughness area is less than 0.5. However, the lift coefficient curve decreases very slowly when the roughness height is more than 0.5. It can be concluded that the front 50% of the chord length more easily promotes premature transition to turbulence and flow separation. In the flowing section, further study and explanation will be given.

3.4 Numerical Simulation under Different Roughness Locations

Furthermore, to see the effect of different roughness locations on performance of the airfoil more clearly, simulations under the angle of attack 10.6 degree and roughness height 0.3mm have been done. The whole NACA 63-430 airfoil is averagely divided into 10 parts, and each part is with a length of 0.1m. The simulation results are shown in Figure 9. The decrease percentage of the lift coefficient is defined by:

$$C_{l_{decrease}} \% = \frac{C_{l_{clean}} - C_{l_{roughness}}}{C_{l_{clean}}} \times 100\%$$

(5)

From the Figure 9, it could be seen that the influence of surface roughness located at the front 50% of the chord length is more obvious than that located at the back 50% of the chord length. On one hand, it is because the roughness located at the front 50% of the chord length more easily promotes premature transition to turbulence and flow separation. On the other hand, it is also because the airfoil at front 50% of the chord length is generally thicker than that at the back 50% of the chord length. Therefore, the roughness location at 25% of the chord length had the most obvious effect, where is the location of the largest thickness.

It is worth to be noticed that, when the roughness location is at the trailing edge 10% of the chord length, the lift coefficient under surface roughness condition is about 3% larger than that under clean surface condition. So, it could be concluded that properly increasing the surface roughness of trailing edge could be benefit to promote the airfoil’s lift coefficient to some degree, which has a good agreement with experimental data [6]. The conclusion would be useful for practical projects.
3.5 Numerical Simulation Under Different Operational Periods

In this section, considering the effect of the blade surface dust accumulation, the performance of the wind turbine NACA 63-430 airfoil under different operational periods has been studied. The relationship between surface roughness and operational period is determined by field investigation, which was done by Khalfallah [2]. Then, the roughness heights and the roughness areas under different operational periods can be calculated by the following linearization function proposed by Khalfallah, which is not taken the rain washing effect into consideration. As a result, the specific four operation periods are list in Table 1.

$$D_d = 0.08T + 0.02$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$D_a = T / 25$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where, $D_d$ is the dust size (diameter in mm); $T$ is length of operational period in months; $D_a$ is the dust area in percentage of the chord length.

Subsequently, the former available numerical model has been used again to investigate the performance of the NACA 63-430 airfoil under the four operation periods and the numerical results are shown in Figure 10 and Figure 11.

It can be seen that, the dust effect on the performance of wind turbine airfoil is obvious when the operation period is 5 months. The decrease of the lift coefficient and the increase of the drag coefficient are remarkable to a large degree, especially for the angle of attack around 13 degrees. That’s because the roughness height of the 5 months operational period is 0.42 mm, which is larger than the critical value 0.3 mm. As the operation period increasing from 5 months to 12.5 months, the roughness area is increasing from 0.2 to 0.5, which just reaches the critical roughness area value (0.5). Therefore, the lift coefficient further decreases to a large degree at the angle of attack less than 13 degrees. But, when the operational period is longer than 12.5 months, the change of the lift coefficient is rather small. That’s because that, when the operational period is longer than 12.5 months, the roughness height and roughness area both larger than the critical values proposed in the previous section. As a result, the effect of the surface roughness on promoting premature transition to turbulence and flow separation tends to be neglectable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T(months)</th>
<th>$D_d$ (mm)</th>
<th>$D_a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Roughness heights and roughness areas according to different wind turbine operational periods

![Figure 8. Lift coefficient under different roughness areas](image)

![Figure 9. Lift coefficient under different roughness locations](image)

![Figure 10. The comparison of lift coefficient curves during different operation periods](image)
The performance of NACA 63-430 airfoil is more sensitive to roughness location at the front 50% of the chord length than roughness location at the back 50% of the chord length. In particular, proper roughness height at the trailing edge can be benefit to promote the lift coefficient to some degree. This conclusion is very useful for the wind turbine blade designer to further improve the performance of the blade.

Considering the dust accumulation effect, the performance degenerate trend of NACA 63-430 airfoil under different operation periods has been studied. As a result, the period of 3 months without any rain is proposed as the proper period of cleaning the blade surface.

As a result, the numerical simulation method has been verified to be economically available for the investigation of the dust effect on the performance of the wind turbine airfoil.
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