Therapeutic Itinerary and Causes of Delay in Specialized Consultation of Patients Admitted for Diabetic Foot
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Abstract

Introduction: Amputation remains a common practice in patients admitted for diabetic foot. The delay in consultation is one of the main reasons. The objective of this work was to analyze patients’ itinerary care and to identify the causes of delay in consultation in patients admitted for diabetic foot. Method: This is a descriptive cross-sectional study, including all patients hospitalized for diabetic foot. A wait of at least seven (7) days before consulting the Endocrinology department of Hubert Koutoukou Maga Teaching Hospital (CNHU-HKM) after the foot injury occurred was considered as delay in consultation. The data were recorded and analyzed in the EPI INFO software. The description of the sample was made according to the usual statistics (frequency, average, standard deviation). Results: Seventy-six (76) diabetic foot cases were admitted during the period of the study. The mean age of the patients was 56.64 ± 10.71 years with extremes of 29 years and 84 years. Patients were most often received at an advanced stage of the foot injury, 3D stage of Texas classification in 87% of cases and Wagner grades 4 and 5 in 52% of cases. The amputation rate was 38.16%. An analysis of the first care places reveals that 90.8% of patients had consulted conventional medicine, 13.2% in traditional medicine; 1.2% had religious practices and 18.7% had declared self-medication at home. Consultation period at CNHU HKM was more than 7 days in 80% of cases and less than 7 days in 20% of cases. The average consultation time was 44.08 ± 26.43 days with extremes of 1 and 480 days. The main reasons for the delay in seeking hospital care cited by diabetic patients were fear of amputation (47.8% of cases), economic difficulties (32.5% of cases) and awareness lack of the situation seriousness (22.4%). Conclusion: The therapeutic itinerary of patients with diabetic foot was par-
particularly long. The main reasons of late appeal to specialized care were fear of amputation and economic difficulties. The implementation of universal health insurance and an information, education and communication program could certainly reduce the periods allowed for recourse to care and therefore to reduce the amputation rate.
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### 1. Introduction

Diabetes is a real public health problem because of its economic weight and its silent evolution [1]. It is a serious disease because of its complications. In Sub-Saharan Africa, the total population of diabetics in 2017 was estimated at 16 million and the estimates in 2045 are 41 million [2]. In Benin, diabetes prevalence rose from 1.1% in 2001 [3] to 2.6% in 2008 [4]. In terms of diabetes complications, diabetic foot is relatively common and is responsible for a very high morbidity and mortality. Diabetic foot represents one of the main causes of amputation in the world after road accidents [5]. Today, despite advances in vascular surgery and medical monitoring of diabetics, amputation remains a common practice because of the lack of access to health facilities and customary beliefs leading the majority of patients to use partially or exclusively traditional medicine [6]. It is observed that diabetic patients consult often late for managing diabetics or its complications including diabetic foot. This observation of the late appeal to specialized care justifies this study, whose objectives were to analyze the course of patients seeking care and to identify the causes of delay in consultation in patients admitted for diabetic foot.

### 2. Material and Study Method

It is a cross-sectional, descriptive study with a retrospective phase and a prospective phase. This study took place during 31 months (1 January 2014 to 31 July 2016) in Endocrinology department of Hubert Koutoukou Maga Teaching Hospital (CNHU-HKM) Cotonou. It’s a level 1 reference hospital (last level of reference) and most diabetic foot cases are received there. Moreover there is a possibility of direct consultation for any patient without going through intermediate levels. It was a systematic census of all diabetic patients hospitalized for the first time for diabetic foot. A standardized survey sheet prepared for the study was used to collect socio-demographic data, the number of health centers visited, the perception of the disease, physical examination data and paraclinical tests. In case of missing data, patients were contacted by telephone for further information after free and informed consent. A wait of more than seven (7) days before consulting an endocrinology department after the foot injury occurred was considered as delay in consultation. The therapeutic itinerary was defined as the
succession of places where care was sought since the first foot injury occurred. The different places of recourse to defined care were conventional medicine (medical care place where health professionals practice), traditional medicine (care place of traditional healers), religious practices (houses of prayer) and self-medication at home.

The data were recorded and analyzed in the EPI INFO software. Sample description was made according to the usual statistics (frequency, mean, standard deviation).

3. Results

3.1. General Characteristics of the Population

Seventy-six (76) new cases of diabetic foot were admitted during the study period. The average age of the patients was 56.64 ± 10.71 years with extremes of 29 and 84 years. Among the patients included, 55.30% were male with a sex ratio of 1.23. Practitioners of the Catholic religion were represented in 57.90% of cases. Concerning the level of education, 81.6% of patients were in school and 23.7% had a university level. Almost half of the patients (46.1%) lived outside the city of Cotonou. In the study population, 35.50% of patients were employees; 28.90% were shopkeepers and 34.20% were craftsmen and housewives. In addition, patients were most often seen at an advanced stage of foot injury, Texas 3D stage in 87% of cases and Wagner grades 4 and 5 in 52% of cases. The amputation rate was 38.16%.

3.2. Therapeutic Itinerary of Patients

Places analysis of first recourse to care reveals that 90.8% of patients had consulted in conventional medicine, 13.2% in traditional medicine, 1.2% had recourse to religious practices and 18.7% had declared self-medication at home. In our study population, 80.30% of patients had visited a health facility before their admission to CNHU; 15.80% of patients had visited 2 health facilities and in 3.90% of cases, no facilities had been visited before recourse to CNHU. And in the reference channel, 55.30% patients had been referred to CNHU by the health facility visited and in 43.40% of cases it was a personal initiative of the patient. In our sample, 8 patients have resorted to traditional medicine and in 87.5% of the cases; the duration of stay for these patients in the traditional medicine was between 2 and 15 days before their admission to CNHU. Moreover 49.9% of patients had a duration of stay more than 15 days in general medicine (Table 1).

3.3. Causes of Delay in Endocrinology Consultation

The consultation period at CNHU was more than 7 days in 80% of cases and less than 7 days in 20% of cases. The average consultation time was 44.08 ± 26.43 days with extremes of 1 and 480 days.

The main reasons for delay in hospital recourses cited by diabetic patients were fear of amputation (47.8% of cases), economic difficulties (32.5% of cases) (Table 2).
Table 1. Duration of stay of diabetic patients in healthcare facilities visited.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of stay in traditional medicine</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2 days</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2 - 7] days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7 - 15] days</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥15 days</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of stay in general medicine</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2 days</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2 - 7] days</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7 - 15] days</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥15 days</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Main reasons for delay in hospital recourse cited by diabetic patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fear of amputation</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic difficulties</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No perception of the seriousness of the situation</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painlessness of the initial lesions</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear of diagnosis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignorance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

The fact that this study was conducted in a single center did not allow several patients to be enrolled. And we mention that this was a cross-sectional study with a descriptive purpose, these results are fundamental for two reasons: firstly, all cases that reached the CNHU HKM in the reference service of Benin for the diabetic foot care were recruited and secondly, several data, in particular the reasons for delay in the specialized consultation, were collected directly from the patients and not only by exploitation of their medical records.

In epidemiological terms, the mean age of the patients was 56.64 ± 10.71 years, which are close to that of B. Tchakonté and Col [1] who had found in Cameroon in 2005, a mean age of 57 ± 9 years and that observed by E. Kha-draoui et al. [7] which was 56.6 ± 11.8 years.

A small proportion of patients were illiterate. BOKO [8] also noted in his study a small proportion (26.7%) of illiterates. This low illiteracy rate, which contrasts with the high illiteracy rate in Benin, could be explained by the fact that this study was conducted in urban areas where the scarolarisation rate is higher.

In our study, patients had a particularly long itinerary before having recourse to the referral centre, with an average consultation period of 44 days in our study. This long period of consultation in specialized environment has been re-
ported by Djibril et al. [9] who had found an average of 33 days consultation with extremes from 6 to 120 days. The painless nature of the initial lesions and socio-economic factors (32.5% of the reasons found in our work) can be incriminated. The fact that the lesions are discovered fortuitously or are painless was also reported by D. SANO [10] which, in his study in Ouagadougou, found that 69% of diabetic foot appeared spontaneously and painlessly. Patients were then seen at an advanced stage of lesions as found in our study: 87% in Texas 3D stage or 52% in Wagner grades 4 and 5 with a very high risk of amputation. TIENO [11] in Burkina Faso had also found in his study foot lesions classified mainly in grades 4 and 5 by Wagner and the same observation had recently been made by Djibril et al. [9] in Togo in 2018. The main reason for the delay to hospital recourse by diabetic patients was fear of amputation (47.8% of cases).

Apart from the well-known economic difficulties, knowing that Benin doesn’t have universal health insurance and most of the patients have to take charge of their health problem, there is also the fear of amputation.

This fear of amputation is actually a “double-edged knife” for two reasons. First, patients are seen late at Wagner grade 4 or 5 or Texas 3D in a context of severe sepsis and the impossibility of any revascularization imposing an amputation. There is therefore a high rate of amputation, most often major, reported in African studies. Indeed, the amputation rate in our study was 38.16% and Kérékou A. et al. [12] had found in the same service in 2015 a similar amputation rate of 32%. Moreover, Djibril et al. [9] recently reported an amputation rate of 51.61% in their work in Togo. Second, the population’s plausible perception of this high rate of amputation as a fatality will reinforce the fear that is often reported. Finally, this fear will be responsible for a delay in the consultation. Since patients are seen in advanced stages of injury, amputation is often the only treatment option. This vicious circle situation is sometimes aggravated by promises of healing through treatments not proven by some traditional healers, as we have reported in our work that 10.5% of subjects used traditional medicine. There is therefore a need to strengthen therapeutic patient education and communication with the population in order to break this vicious circle.

5. Conclusion

Therapeutic itinerary of patients with diabetic foot was particularly long. The main reasons of late appeal to specialized care were fear of amputation and economic difficulties. The implementation of universal health insurance and an information, education and communication program could certainly reduce the time taken to seek care and therefore to reduce the amputation rate.
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