Prevalence of diabetes mellitus in a group of women attending “August meeting” at Naze South East Nigeria
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ABSTRACT

Background: For a long time Africa was considered safe from diabetes. However, the International Diabetes Federation predicts an increase in diabetes from 240 million in 2007 to 380 million in 2025 with 80% of the disease burden in low and middle-income countries including Africa. This study was carried out to assess the prevalence of diabetes mellitus among a group of Nigerian women who had earlier been found to have a high prevalence of overweight/obesity.

Methods: 253 women attending the 2009 Meeting were recruited for the study. Blood glucose concentration was measured using ACCU-CHEK(R) Advantage glucometer by Roche Diagnostics and diabetes mellitus defined as fasting blood glucose concentration > 7 mmol/L. Results: The population mean age was 53.04 ± 11.09 years, diabetics 55.76 ± 10.77 years, and non-diabetics 52.84 ± 11.11 years p = 0.709. 17 (6.7%) subjects had diabetes. Of the 17 diabetics 10 (3.95%) were known to have diabetes previously and 7 (2.76%) were diagnosed for the first time. 3 of the 17 diabetics were aged < 50 years while 14 of the 17 (82.3%) were aged > 50 years. 11 of the 17 (64.7%) diabetics had blood pressure > 140/90 mmHg while only 54 of the 236 (22%) non-diabetics had blood pressure > 140/90 mmHg. The mean non fasting blood glucose concentration of the population was 5.83 ± 2.33 mmol/L, that of diabetics was 11.9 ± 5.27 mmol/L and non-diabetics 5.39 mmol/L ± 1.03, p < 0.000. Of the 10 previously diagnosed to have diabetes only 3 (30%) had fasting blood glucose concentration < 7 mmol/L. Diabetes mellitus correlated with age, systolic blood pressure and age correlated with body mass, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure. Conclusion: The study showed a high prevalence of diabetes with a significant proportion of undiagnosed diabetics and a poor level of control among diagnosed diabetics.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The term Diabetes Mellitus describes a metabolic disorder of multiple etiologies characterized by chronic hyperglycemia with disturbances of carbohydrate, fat and protein metabolism resulting from defects in insulin secretion or insulin action or both [1]. Diabetes mellitus is one of the most common chronic diseases in nearly all countries, and continues to increase in numbers and significance, as changing lifestyles lead to reduced physical activity, and increased obesity [2,3]. Diabetes mellitus is a disease of insidious onset and the symptoms, when they eventually appear, do not warrant immediate attention and thus remain undiagnosed at onset and even when diagnosed is often ignored by persons afflicted by it [4].

There have been several previous estimates of the number of persons with diabetes mellitus [5-8]. The prevalence of diabetes mellitus for all age-groups worldwide was estimated to be 2.8% in 2000 and 4.4% in 2030 [8]. Estimates of the current and future burden of diabetes mellitus are important in order to allocate community and health resources, and to emphasize the role of life-
style, and encourage measures to counteract trends for increasing prevalence.

For a long time Africa was considered safe from many of the diseases that are diseases of “affluence” and Dr. Cook had written in 1901 that diabetes mellitus was very uncommon in Africa [9] but rates have been increasing worldwide [10]. From 1959 to the mid-1980s, medical statistics showed that the prevalence rate of diabetes mellitus in Africa was equal to or less than 1.4% [11]. The International Diabetes Federation predicts that the number of individuals with diabetes mellitus would increase from 240 million in 2007 to 380 million in 2025 with 80% of the disease burden in low and middle-income countries, [3] which almost all African countries belong to. In Australia the AusDiab study reported in 2000 that 7.4% of the population aged 25 years and above had diabetes mellitus (type 2 diabetes mellitus in 90% of cases), and that 50% of these were undiagnosed [12]. The prevalence seen in Australia is typical of that seen in developed countries unlike the low rates seen in Africa.

Our study came out of the finding by the authors of a high prevalence rate of obesity/overweight (obesity 20.7% and overweight 38.7%) [13], in this group of women during their 2006 “August” Meeting, with the objective of determining the prevalence of diabetes mellitus amongst them, as obesity is associated with high prevalence of diabetes mellitus [14]. In the month of August every year, women married to men of the South East origin usually return to the towns and villages of their husbands irrespective of where they reside in Nigeria to attend the “August” meeting. Initially it used to be mass return but later it became a delegate’s conference. During these meetings various development projects are discussed as well as how to achieve the desired goals. Decisions taken at these “August” meetings play important roles in the lives of these towns and villages. For some years the authors had been giving health talks to these women on various aspects of health and the previous year the authors had screened and found very high rates of obesity/overweight in these women which led to the resolve to screen them for diabetes mellitus. Coming from various urban centers across Nigeria it was thought pertinent to study the prevalence of diabetes mellitus in this group of women who are role models in their communities and whose lifestyle may have become westernized as a result of living in an urban center as urban residence is associated with 4-fold increase in prevalence of diabetes mellitus [15].

Naze is one of the communities that make up Owerri-North Local Government Area, Imo State of Nigeria. It is a suburb of Owerri, the capital of Imo State which is one of the five states that make-up the South East Zone of Nigeria, inhabited by Igbo speaking people.

2. SUBJECTS AND METHODS

A total of 253 women attending the 2009 “August” meeting at Naze, Owerri North Local Government Area, Imo State, were recruited into the study out of a total 350 women that attended the 2009 “August” meeting giving a percentage participation of 72.3%.

Included in the sample were those who were willing to participate and gave informed consent. Also each participant must be a delegate at the 2009 Aladinma Ndom Naze “August” Meeting.

Excluded from the study were those who withheld consent of which there were 45. Also excluded were those on drugs that affect blood sugar such as steroids, B-blockers, thiazide diuretics of which there were 32, as well as those who were pregnant of which 20 was the number excluded in this group.

Each participant was asked about history of diabetes mellitus and use of drugs and other medication that affect blood glucose like prednisolone, thiazides etc., the subject’s age, followed by BP, and weight measurement. Blood pressure was calculated as the average of three measurements taken in a sitting position with an Accos-son mercury Sphygmomanometer, with the subject resting for at least 10 minutes, had not drank coffee or smoked cigarettes before the measurement. Body mass was measured using a weighing scale and the subject wearing only light clothing and standing at the centre of the weighing scale and the body mass was read off to the nearest 0.5 kg. Height was not measured because the stadiometer broke half way through data collection and could not be immediately replaced.

Non fasting blood glucose concentration was obtained using finger prick with Roche ACCU-CHEK® [16] advantage glucometer, Roche Diagnostics. Recent studies have shown that modern handheld glucose measuring devices have excellent technical characteristics and yield results that are similar to reference laboratory methods and besides, various studies [16,17] have reported that capillary glucose measurements are as suitable as venous glucose measurements in the diagnosis and detection of type 2 diabetes mellitus in epidemiological studies and may be cost effective in the implementation of pre-screening procedures [16-19]. Those with non fasting blood glucose concentration of more than 7 mmol/L, which was considered abnormal, were asked to report the next morning in a fasting state for fasting blood glucose. There were 41 people in this group and they all complied by the next morning. Diabetes Mellitus was defined as fasting blood glucose concentration >7 mmol/L. The commercial glucometer used in this study had a mean imprecision of <4%, with a range of 0.6 mmol/L - 33.3 mmol/L on fresh capillary blood.

On the day of sampling, the participant’s right thumb
fingertip was first cleansed with sterile alcohol swabs and the arm allowed to hang down briefly to enable blood flow to the fingertips. The side of the finger was then pricked with a lancet (using a new lancet for each participant). This part of the finger was used as it is less sensitive than the tip. Sufficient time was allowed for the drop of blood to form and then sucked onto the test strip (ACCU-CHEK® Advantage II strips). The blood glucose level was subsequently read from the glucometer when it is displayed on the glucometer screen.

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS version 13 after data that were obtained had been coded and entered into the computer. Student’s t test was used for continuous variables, and X² test was used for categorical variables. In the analyses a p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

The mean age of the study population was 53.04 ± 11.09 years, those with diabetes mellitus 55.76 ± 10.77 years, and those without diabetes mellitus 52.84 ± 11.11 years. There was statistical difference between the ages, p < 0.000. Of the 253 women studied 41 had non fasting blood glucose concentration > 7 mmol/L the previous day, of which 17 were found with blood glucose concentration >7 mmol/L after they had done fasting blood glucose concentration the next morning. The prevalence of Diabetes Mellitus was 6.7% (17/253). Of the 17 with diabetes mellitus 10 (3.95% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus. 7 of the 17 (2.76% prevalence) were known to have diabetes mellitus previously, obtained by asking for history of diabetes mellitus.

The prevalence of diabetes mellitus in our study was 6.7%. In different parts of Nigeria and Africa the prevalence of diabetes has been reported to vary from 1% to 7.4% [20-24]. The prevalence of 6.7% compares favorably with the prevalence of 6.8% obtained by Nyenwe et al. [25]. Though they got a crude prevalence of 6.8% with a male to female ratio of 1.4:1 which was not statistically significant, but the prevalence rate of their female population compares favorably with our study population. A similar rate of 7.2% was reported for Lagos Mainland by the National non communicable disease survey [26], but the investigators had a National standardized crude rate of 2.2% and a prevalence rate of 7.4% for those aged 45 years and above who live in urban areas. Some urban centers have lower prevalence rates. For example, Ibadan an urban centre south-west of Nigeria had prevalence rate of 1.5% in the national non communicable disease survey [26]. Although these studies included younger age groups the wide difference observed could not be explained by age only. Those attending August meeting are delegates representing their branches from various urban centers in Nigeria where their families had migrated to for economic reasons. This may explain the similarity in prevalence rates between our study population and those from Lagos and Port Harcourt foremost urban cities in Nigeria. Urbanization brings with it lifestyle changes that

Table 1. Showing means and standard deviation (SD) of age, RBS, SBP, DBP and body mass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diabetic (n = 17)</th>
<th>Non-Diabetic (n = 236)</th>
<th>Total (n = 253)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age (years)</td>
<td>55.76 (10.77)</td>
<td>52.84 (11.11)</td>
<td>53.04 (11.09)</td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBS (mMol/L)</td>
<td>11.90 (5.27)</td>
<td>5.39 (1.03)</td>
<td>5.83 (2.33)</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>150.00 (29.79)</td>
<td>128.13 (22.39)</td>
<td>129.60 (23.53)</td>
<td>0.030*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>88.23 (15.90)</td>
<td>82.48 (12.71)</td>
<td>82.86 (12.99)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass (Kg)</td>
<td>66.05 (12.86)</td>
<td>68.65 (14.26)</td>
<td>68.48 (14.16)</td>
<td>0.686</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM = diabetes mellitus; RBS = random blood glucose; SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; SD = standard deviation. *Is statistically significant.
Table 2. Showing age groups, number with dm and prevalence rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (in yrs)</th>
<th>No with DM</th>
<th>Prevalence Rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 49</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 59</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 - 69</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

yrs = years; DM = Diabetes mellitus.

Table 3. Showing relation between age and diabetes mellitus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents age class</th>
<th>Has no DM</th>
<th>Has DM</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 50 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 50 years</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p = 0.172.

Figure 1. Showing age groups, number with dm and prevalence rate.

The prevalence of undiscovered diabetes mellitus in the population calls for intervention in terms of frequent screening and health education on diabetes mellitus and its complications. This is important if the complications are to be ameliorated as diabetes mellitus is a disease of insidious onset, and the symptoms when they eventually appear do not warrant immediate attention and thus remain undiagnosed at onset [4].

It is well known that the prevalence increases with age [26,30] and our study showed that 82.3% of those with diabetes mellitus were aged 50 years and above. Advancing age has been shown to be an independent risk factor for diabetes mellitus [25]. Johnson [31] and McLarty [32] found that the peak incidence of diabetes mellitus in Nigeria and Tanzania respectively, was after 45 - 50 years of age. The worsening of insulin resistance with age and increasing longevity of diabetic patients due to improved care, all contribute to the rising prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus [27,33]. Age related changes in body size, fat distribution and insulin sensitivity contribute to the increased incidence of diabetes mellitus with age, especially of women after menopause. (The Decode Study Group) [34].

In this study we found that in the diabetic population 64.7% (11/17) had BP > 140/90 mmHg compared to the non-diabetic group. This compares favorably with the finding of Edelman D. et al. [35] though they had studied a population of newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus while our diabetes mellitus population consisted of newly diagnosed and old diabetes mellitus. The explanation of this high prevalence of diabetes mellitus in patients with elevated blood pressure is the well known association of diabetes mellitus and hypertension in the metabolic syndrome.

We also found that control among diagnosed diabetics was very poor. Of the 10 known diabetics only 3 (30%) had fasting blood glucose of equal or less than 7 mmol/L. This level of control though poor appeared better when compared with the studies of van de Sande et al. [36] and Erasmus et al. [37] who had control levels of 17.6% and 20.1% respectively using glycated haemoglobin (HbAlc). It was not possible to measure the glycated hemoglobin in this group which could have given a better insight into the level of control over a period of time and this must have affected the level of control obtained in this study. Suggested reasons for the poor control include poverty which makes it difficult for the sufferer to regularly buy his or her drugs or adhere to recommended diet. Other reasons include lack of awareness of the disease diabetes mellitus, its complications and chronicity, and the belief that diabetes mellitus is caused by the gods and would only come down when it pleases the gods, that is, when the gods have been pacified.

In view of the high prevalence and poor control in this
study, it is recommended that health awareness campaigns targeted at vulnerable groups be initiated, for the detection of diabetes mellitus using the media (radio and television), health talks at such meetings as “August meeting” and subsequent initiation of appropriate lifestyle changes that may be helpful in reducing the complications of diabetes mellitus. Also clinicians who provide care should be encouraged to screen their patients for diabetes mellitus especially those in the 50 - 59 years age bracket so as to pick them early.

5. CONCLUSION

The study showed a high prevalence of diabetes mellitus with a significant proportion of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus and poor level of control among diagnosed diabetics. This calls for concern and the need for screening exercises and health education at various fora regarding non-communicable diseases especially diabetes mellitus.
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