Characteristic Dermatologic Changes in the Condition of Skin after Ultrasonic Stimulation in Healthy Korean Men
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Abstract
The aim of our study was to recognize different skin conditions of the face and neck using six biophysical parameters and to show the changes after the application of ultrasonic stimulation (US). We assessed the differences in the sebum, moisture, pores, wrinkles, pigmentation, and elasticity of the skin in the face and neck regions. A total of 30 healthy men in their 20s were enrolled. We used a skin diagnosis meter to assess the state of the skin. The sebum and pores of the face were more significant than in the neck. The amount of moisture in the U-zone was significantly higher than in other areas. Statistical differences were found between the pre- and post-measurement values in the sebum, moisture, wrinkles and pigmentation. Wrinkles and pigmentation were positively correlated. Our data showed that the changes in skin condition are associated with external stimulants. The effect of US on skin may differ depending on the part of the body, and some biophysical properties of skin vary depending on the location on the body.
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1. Introduction

Skin is the outer covering of the body and plays a role in protecting the body from physical and chemical factors [1]. The skin has a major role in thermoregulation of the body, in addition to immunological, sensory, and autonomic functions [2]. Regional differences in biophysical skin properties may play a role in the development of localized dermatoses by altering skin hydration, sebum production, and barrier function [3]. Skin conditions may be affected by internal and external factors, such as sex, age, temperature, and humidity [1]. In the domain of physical therapy, ultrasonic stimulation (US) is used to help in recovery from acute, chronic injuries and disease of soft tissue. US is now being adopted in various drug delivery and other therapeutic applications [4]. Sonophoresis is the application of US to enhance skin permeability vis-à-vis a variety of molecules [5]. The physiological effects of US on tissue can be thermal or non-thermal [6] [7]. Thermal effects include the increased extensibility of collagen fibers and increased blood flow [6]. Non-thermal effects include cavitation, the acoustic activation of fibroblasts, increased protein synthesis, and tissue regeneration [7]. Some previous studies have found that US enhances the synthesis of collagen by fibroblasts and increases the temperature of soft tissue, which increases the metabolic rate and accelerates tissue repair [8] [9]. As used in medicine and physiotherapy, therapeutic US usually involves frequencies between 1 and 3 MHz [10]. Few studies have reported the effect of US on fracture healing, musculoskeletal disorders, and tissue temperature [11] [12]. Luebberding et al. assessed the differences in skin localization using four parameters related to aged change [1]. Men et al. assessed the differences in the skin surface pH, sebum content, and stratum corneum hydration at various ages and in both genders in a large Chinese population without skin diseases. They concluded that these parameters vary with age, gender, and body location [13]. Alireza et al. found variations in biophysical parameters of the skin with age, gender, and body region [14]. In the present study, we divided the faces in detail and compared them before and after US. The aim of this study was to establish a map of the facial skin using six biophysical parameters to study various aspects of the skin—sebum, moisture, pores, wrinkles, pigmentation, and elasticity.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Fifteen healthy male subjects aged 19 - 26 were enrolled in this study. None of the subjects had any skin disorders (Table 1). Two areas, the face and the neck, were examined before and after US. No skin care products had been applied for at least 24 h beforehand, and the skin had not been washed with soap or surfactants for at least 2 h before the experiment. The participants were asked to complete a questionnaire during individual in-depth interviews, which took 30 min per person [15].
Table 1. The general characteristics of men in their 20s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>23.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>175.3 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>71.3 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (%)</td>
<td>Male 30 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood type (%)</td>
<td>AB 4 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A 12 (40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 10 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O 4 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (%)</td>
<td>Smoker 3 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonsmoker 27 (90.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking (%)</td>
<td>Yes 29 (96.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No 1 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 bottle/week 20 (69.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 bottle/week 9 (31.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQI (score)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin injury (%)</td>
<td>Injuries experience 22 (73.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injuries inexperience 8 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don’t know -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of skin injury (%)</td>
<td>Abrasion 15 (68.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burns 6 (27.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incised wound 1 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing 8 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data were presented as the mean ± SE. BMI, body mass index; PSQI, Pittsburgh sleep quality index.

2.2. Measurements

All measurements were performed with the participants comfortably in a supine position. The face was divided into two areas, the T zone and the U zone. The T zone was divided into four areas—FTP1 (the right side of the forehead), FTP2 (the midpoint of the trichion and the crossing point of the line connected by both the frontotemporal and median sagittal lines with respect to the sagittal axis), FTP3 (the left side of the forehead), and FTP4 (both sides of the nose). The U zone was divided into three areas—FUP1 (the righthand cheilion), FUP2 (the lefthand cheilion), and FUP3 (the pogonion). The neck was divided into three areas—NP1 (the righthand midpoint of the lateral surface of the mastoid process and the lateral third of the clavicle), NP2 (the point of laryngeal prominence), and NP3 (the lefthand midpoint of the lateral surface of the mastoid process and
the lateral third of the clavicle). Repeated measurements were taken for each study subject. US was used in the experimental stimulation of the skin and emitted between 0.46 kHz and 0.68 kHz. Normal contact gel was used as a coupling medium. A skin diagnosis meter (SDM, 2016 BOMTECH ver. 3.20) was used to measure the skin’s surface sebum content, moisture, pores, pigmentation, wrinkles, and elasticity of the face and neck. US was applied to each region for 15 min, with the distance between the measuring device and the subject’s skin being set at 20 cm. During the measurement of the skin, the normal force level was 0.7 N. The same investigator performed all procedures in a room kept at a constant temperature (22˚C - 24˚C) and humidity (45% - 55%). The skin testing machine is made up of two meters: the E-cam measures sebum, pigmentation, and pores, and the P-sensor measures moisture and elasticity. The E-cam measures the skin using an X80 lens and measures the amount of sebum using UV light. The meter measures the dermis layer of pigmentation, wrinkle length, and pore size using general light. The measurement area is in the range of 4 cm². The P-sensor uses an electrode to send a current through the skin surface and the skin reacts to the electricity. It creates a calculation using the values of the skin’s reaction to the electricity. To measure sebum, the meter uses skin UV to see how much oil is contained in the targeted area [16].

2.3. Clinical Assessments

We used the sebum arbitrary units (SAUs); the values are 0 - 650 (a little sebum), 650 - 1200 (normal), 1200 - 5000 (a lot of sebum), and more than 5000 (excessive sebum). To make use of the moisture arbitrary units (MAUs), set the values to 1% - 100%. These values are 0 - 19 (very dry), 20 - 29 (dry), 30 - 39 (moderate), 40 - 49 (plenty of moisture), and more than 50 (a high amount of moisture) [17]. The P-sensor meter uses a rectangular spring to measure elasticity. When the spring contacts the skin, it measures how much the sticky part of the spring can be forced into the skin. To make use of the elasticity arbitrary units (EAUs), set the values to 1% - 100%. These values are 0 - 24 (very low elasticity), 25 - 34 (low), 35 - 44 (moderate), 45 - 54 (high), and more than 55 (very high). The graph of elasticity was analyzed by Riemann integration, which the formula for the area is given in equation (Figure 3(A-b)). These values were expressed on a graph. The graph showed the skin surface’s reactivity value according to the distance from the point measured. The data values were measured using Microsoft Office Power Point 2007. Based on the x-axis of 0 to 25.5 cm and the y-axis of 0 to 20 cm, each coordinate was set on the basis of each vertex of the graph. By connecting the set coordinates, we found the area of the graph [17]. Next, each vertex of the graph was calculated using digital calipers (Industrial Instrument Company, Mitutoyo, Japan), and the area was calculated using OriginPro 2016 (64 bit). Pigmentation was measured using general light [18] [19]. The pigmentation arbitrary units (PiAUs) are set at values of 1-10 grade: 0 - 2 (favorable), 2 - 4 (moderate), 4 - 6 (mild), and 6 - 10 (severe). To make use of the wrinkle arbitrary units (WAUs), set the values to 1 - 10 grade. These are 0 - 2
(favorable), 2 - 3 (early mild wrinkles), 3 - 5 (early severe wrinkles), 5 - 6 (thicker mild wrinkles) [20], and 6 - 10 (thicker severe wrinkles). To make use of the pore arbitrary units (PAUs), set the values to 1 - 10 grade. These are 0 - 2 (small size), 2 - 4 (moderate), 4 - 6 (broadish), 6 - 8 (large), and 8 - 10 (very large).

3. Results

3.1. A Comparison of Skin Conditions before and after Ultrasound Stimulation

In terms of sebum and moisture, a statistical difference was found between the values before US (sebum: 1164.21 ± 70.94; moisture: 60.64 ± 1.70, p < 0.05) and after US (sebum: 955.76 ± 63.37; moisture: 66.26 ± 1.49, p < 0.05) (Figure 1(A) and Figure 1(B)). In terms of the condition of the pores and the elasticity of the skin, no statistical difference was found between the values before US (pore: 1.08 ± 0.25; elasticity: 57.46 ± 1.63, p > 0.05) and after US (pore: 0.80 ± 0.10; elasticity: 57.97 ± 1.52, p > 0.05) (Figures 1(C) and Figure 3). In terms of wrinkles and pigmentation of the skin, statistical differences were found between the values before US (wrinkles: 5.66 ± 0.14; pigmentation: 4.83 ± 0.13 p < 0.05) and after US (wrinkles: 5.26 ± 0.09; pigmentation: 6.25 ± 0.13, p < 0.05) (Figure 2).

Figure 1. Differences in the sebum, moisture, and pore of the skin’s surface for the face and neck. Each bar represents the mean ± SE. SAU, sebum arbitrary units; MAU, moisture arbitrary units; PAU, pore arbitrary units; US, ultrasonic stimulation. *p < 0.05.
Figure 2. Differences in the wrinkle and pigmentation of the skin’s surface for the face and neck. Each bar represents the mean ± SE. WAU, wrinkle arbitrary units; PiAU, pigmentation arbitrary units; US, ultrasonic stimulation. *p < 0.05.

3.2. A Comparison of Face and Neck Skin Condition

A statistical difference in sebum was found between the face (1470.11 ± 79.48) and the neck (103.03 ± 46.82, p < 0.05), and a statistical difference was also found in the pores between the face (1.23 ± 0.16) and the neck (0.26 ± 0.09, p < 0.05) (Figure 1(A) and Figure 1(C)). No statistical difference in moisture was found between the face (62.08 ± 1.51) and the neck (66.65 ± 1.85, p > 0.05), in wrinkles between the face (5.55 ± 0.10) and the neck (5.26 ± 0.18, p > 0.05), in pigmentation between the face (5.58 ± 0.13) and the neck (5.48 ± 0.09, p > 0.05), or in elasticity between the face (57.58 ± 1.50) and the neck (58.03 ± 1.81, p > 0.05) (Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3(A-a), respectively).

3.3. A Comparison of T Zone, U Zone, and Neck Skin Condition

The sebum value for the U zone (2018.60 ± 122.48) was significantly higher than that for the T zone (899.21 ± 93.41) and the neck (103.03 ± 46.82) (Figure 1(A)). The moisture value for the neck (66.65 ± 1.85) was significantly higher than that for the T zone (62.08 ± 1.36) and the U zone (62.09 ± 1.91) (Figure 1(B)). The pore value for the U zone (2.35 ± 0.26) was significantly higher than that for the...
Figure 3. Differences in the elasticity of the skin’s surface for the face and neck. Each bar represents the mean ± SE. EAU, elasticity arbitrary units; US, ultrasonic stimulation. *p < 0.05.

T zone (0.38 ± 0.10) and the neck (0.26 ± 0.09) (Figure 1(C)). The wrinkle value for the T zone (5.81 ± 0.10) was significantly higher than that for the neck (5.26 ± 0.18) and the U zone (5.19 ± 0.13) (Figure 2(A) and Figure 2(B)). The pigmentation value for the T zone (5.62 ± 0.14) was higher than that for the U zone (5.50 ± 0.17) and the neck (5.48 ± 0.09) (Figure 2(A) and Figure 2(C)). The elasticity value for the U zone (58.50 ± 1.69) was higher than that for the neck (58.03 ± 1.81) and the T zone (56.89 ± 1.52) (Figure 3(A-a)). We obtained the matching results from the measured values and values using the integral formula (Figure 3(A-b)).

3.4. Correlation of Skin Condition and the Variables after Ultrasound

The Pearson’s correlation coefficients for the variables are shown in Table 2. In this study, the variables sebum, moisture, pores, wrinkles, pigmentation, and
Table 2. Pearson’s correlation coefficient for skin conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sebum</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Pore</th>
<th>Wrinkle</th>
<th>Pigmentation</th>
<th>Elasticity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sebum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pore</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrinkle</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.585**</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigmentation</td>
<td>−0.171</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.420*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elasticity</td>
<td>−0.362*</td>
<td>−0.380*</td>
<td>−0.104</td>
<td>−0.200</td>
<td>−0.314</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as Pearson’s correlation coefficients. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

elastin were classified. All variables were input as continuous data. The correlation analysis results showed that wrinkles and pigmentation (r = 0.420, p < 0.05) were positively correlated. However, sebum and elasticity (r = −0.362, p < 0.05) were negatively correlated (Table 2).

4. Discussion

The present study is a comparative analysis of the differences in skin condition induced by the application of US in healthy male Korean volunteers. We compared the skin condition of the face and neck before and after US. The correct use of US can induce changes in epidermal calcium, which change the epidermis factors [19]. Our results show that there are differences in the skin condition before and after the application of US to the various regions of the face and neck; specifically, moisture values increased after US. One study reported that the application of glycerin influences the physical properties of skin significantly [20]. Pedersen et al. found that glycerin has a faster plasticizing effect on skin in vivo than water. In addition, glycerin inhibits the transformation of lipids from liquid to solid crystals [20]. Other studies have reported that glycerin diffuses into the stratum corneum, increases skin hydration, and changes skin plasticity [21]. In one study, glycerin was found to be both a humectant and an effective skin moisturizer. The authors assumed that the improved instrument readings were the result of an increase in skin water content [22]. Measurements after the application of US showed significantly lower sebum content and wrinkle, and significantly higher moisture and pigmentation. These results can be explained by the fact that US likely has an effect on mechanical stimulation. Measurements after the application of US revealed significantly lower wrinkle. These results are likely due to improved skin turgor as a result of the US. The reduction in wrinkles points to the spatial rearrangement of the connective tissue structure and an increase in collagen and water content [23]. Many reports have indicated that heat may have a stimulatory effect on the proliferation of human fibroblasts and collagen synthesis during wound healing [24] [25] [26]. In the current study, we suggest that US has an effect on wrinkles. After the application of US, significantly higher skin pigmentation was observed. A previous study reported a relationship between the degree of erythema and that of pigmentation measured at
24 h and 7 d, respectively, after ultraviolet B (UVB) irradiation. The degree of UVB-induced erythema and pigmentation correlate well linearly [27]. Heating stimulation results in erythema, which is probably a thermal effect [28]. We compared the sebum and pores of various parts of the face and neck and found that the amount of sebum in the skin of the face is higher than that in the skin of the neck and the amount of sebum in the U-zone is higher than in other zones. Some studies have found that in younger people the highest values were found in the central area of the face—the nasolabial and perioral areas and the chin—with the forehead ranking third [29] [30]. Cunliff et al. reported that sebum excretion increases by 10% as local temperature increases by 1˚C, which suggests that skin temperature plays a role in sebum excretion [31]. A correlation study showed a correlation between sebum and pores, that is, pores increase in size as the amount of sebum increases [2] [32]. Another study found similar correlations among the variables. Roh et al. performed a correlation analysis of sebum and pores and found that the sebum output level correlated most significantly with pore size [32]. Yet another study showed a positive relationship between sebum production and pores. Sebaceous glands can number as many as 400 - 900 per cm² on the face. Areas that are commonly affected are those that contain a higher density of sebaceous glands, such as the face, ears, scalp, and upper part of the trunk [33]. According to our data, the neck has higher moisture values than other regions. Slaheddine and colleagues showed that the skin temperature of the neck had the highest value in a young age group, followed by the nasolabial and penoral areas [14]. The nature of the local skin temperature effect on local sweating rate. And other could readily be described by the equation derived from the interrelations between internal and skin temperature and local sweating rate [34]. According to the correlation analysis, wrinkles and pigmentation were positively correlated. Many studies have reported that UV radiation destroys collagen in skin tissue, leading to wrinkle formation [35] [36]. One study investigated the characteristics of cutaneous photo damage in relation to age on Asian skin. The results showed a significant relationship between wrinkles and dyspigmentation at ages 30 - 49 and 50 - 69 [37]. However, our correlation data showed that wrinkles and pigmentation were positively related at ages 20 - 29 in healthy men.

5. Conclusion

In the present study, our data showed that the changes in skin condition are associated with external stimulants. The effect of ultrasonic stimulation on skin may differ depending on the part of the body, and some biophysical properties of skin vary depending on the location on the body.
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