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ABSTRACT

Allergy is a serious health problem throughout the world, affecting people of all ages. Allergic diseases such as asthma, rhinitis and atopic dermatitis are becoming an epidemic in our country, the cost of investigating these diseases is increasing and becoming expensively high. There are many ways to explore allergenic antibodies to assess the presence of specific IgE as: Skin test (Prick), Specific IgE (ELISA), RAST Sp.IgE and Elimination Challenge method. Skin test produces pain, local and anaphylactic reaction and patient discomfort, other procedure with highly cost to patient. So, we have built up an evidence to use it in our allergy and immunology clinic has suitable cost for all patients based about for the other tests but it was very simple, accurate, cheap and does not produce any problems to patients. Our test depends on ELISA technique, it measures the quantitative amount of the following different allergens: Regarding food allergens: Milk, Eggs, Banana, Maize, Fish, Chocolate, Wheat, Nuts, Strawberry, Shrimps, Spices, with drug as Aspirin. As regard inhalants as: House dust, Mite, Mixed Pollens, Mixed Moulds, Hay dust, Wool, Latex and Cat Hair. 150 allergic patient results of our test compared with specific IgE national kits (ELISA), Sp.IgE (RAST), Skin test and elimination challenge test. The statistical evaluation results as regard sensitivity respectively: 88.9%, 89.6%, 91.2%, 71.4%, 93.1%. As regard specificity the results: 93.1%, 94.7%, 95.3%, 65.5%, 91.6%, the latter results showed that our test was in line with all tests. Our test was probably in food, drug and inhalant allergens, it can be also noted that the cheapest and most commercial technique was used, so as to be available immediately in standard laboratory and its re-agents, plate and other requirements were prepared locally in our laboratory. Now it was applying successfully in allergy and immunology unit Ain Shams university hospitals in Egypt.
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1. Introduction

The prevalence of allergy appears to be increasing. Hypersensitivity reactions to different allergens account for significant morbidity and mortality [1]. The accurate diagnosis of allergic disease using allergen-specific IgE can be detected by skin prick testing and by blood specific IgE testing (i.e., serum RAST) [2-4]. However, a food elimination/challenge trial is a reliable way to confirm a food allergy suggested by [3]. Skin testing remains an essential diagnostic tool in modern allergy practice. A significant variability has been reported regarding technical procedures using extracts of Foods and inhalant allergens extract (1:10 wt/vol), interpretation of results, Reaction, pain introduced and documentation [1,5]. Several reports indicated a number of analytical measurements are used to promote more accurate diagnosis and better management of allergic individuals measure different subsets of the IgE antibody response [6].

The aim of this study is to evaluate sensitivity, specificity and validity of a modification ELISA specific IgE, whereas all its reagents and plates were used made locally in our laboratory by comparing with other national tests as: Skin test, Specific IgE, RAST test and elimination challenge test.

2. Material and Method

In the present work, 150 patients were selected from different sectors ages and gender, suffering from allergy. Investigations were carried out at allergy & Immunology clinic Ain-Shams Hospitals (in period from Feb. 2009 to
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April 2012).

2.1. Consents
Discussion with the patients as regards the benefits of the study was done and informed consents were provided.

For each patient, the following investigations were conducted:

1) Clinical examination and Routine investigations to exclude any side disease might cause allergy

2) Skin prick test: using allergen extract: Epicutaneous prick method was done using allergen extracts. All allergen extracts were prepared in Ain Shams Allergy and Immunology Extract Unit by aqueous vaccine, Positive (histamine) and negative (coca solution) controls were included in the prick test and patients with dermatographism were excluded [7].

3) Specific IgE test (National kits) for allergens was done for patients. It was done by AlaSTAT Micro plate Allergen specific IgE (RIDASCREEN Spezifisches IgE, Germany) as used by [8,9].

4) Specific IgE by RAST technique [3,9].

150 allergic patient results of our test compared with specific IgE national kits (ELISA), Sp.IgE (RAST), Skin prick method was done using allergen extracts. All clinic tests were done and informed consents were provided. This was done according to [10] and Modified by Dr. Mohamed yousef & Dr. Hesham Sanad (2012).

Extraction of Allergens Were Used in Coating Microplate: As protocol Followed in Allergy & Immunology Unit Ain Shams University University Hospitals.

Many allergens were used in our test according to the methods of: [7,8] as the following: as regard food allergens: Milk, Eggs, Banana, Maize, Fish, Chocolate, Wheat, Nuts, Strawberry, Shrimps, Spices, with drug as Aspirin. As regard inhalants as: House dust, Mite, Mixed Pollens, Mixed Moulds, Hay dust, Wool, Latex and Cat Hair.

2.2. Commercial Specific IgE Assay
This was done according to [10] and Modified by Dr. Mohamed yousef & Dr. Hesham Sanad (2012).

Many allergens were used in our test according to the methods of: [7,8] as the following: as regard food allergens: Milk, Eggs, Banana, Maize, Fish, Chocolate, Wheat, Nuts, Strawberry, Shrimps, Spices, with drug as Aspirin. As regard inhalants as: House dust, Mite, Mixed Pollens, Mixed Moulds, Hay dust, Wool, Latex and Cat Hair.

2.2.2. Materials Provided

1) Microplate coated with different labelled allergens.

2) Enzyme Conjugate: The allergen/IgE complexes thus linked to the microplate wells are reacted with horseradish peroxidase-labeled monoclonal anti-IgE during a third 1-hour incubation, after which excess enzyme label is washed away.

3) Buffered Wash Solution Concentrate: One vial containing 85 mL of a concentrated (10%) buffered saline solution, with surfactants and preservative. Using a transfer container, the contents of the vial were diluted with distilled water for a total volume of 850 mL. Store at 2°C - 8°C.

4) TMB Substrate Solution: One brown vial containing 55 mL of 3,3',5,5'-tetra methyl benzidine (TMB) in buffered hydrogen peroxide solution, ready to use. Store at 2°C - 8°C.

5) IgE Calibrators: One set of six vials, labeled A through F, each containing 1.6 mL, with preservative. The calibrators contain, respectively, 0.35, 0.7, 3.5, 17.5, 52.5, and 100 kilo units of IgE per liter (KU/L). Store at 2°C - 8°C.

6) Stop Solution: vail contain Hydrochloric acid (1 M HCL).

3. Specimen Collection
The patient need not be fasting, and no special preparations are necessary. Blood was collected by venipuncture into plain tubes avoiding hemolysis and the serum was separated from the cells. Samples may be stored refrigerated at 2°C - 8°C for 2 days or up to 2 months frozen at -20°C. Samples were allowed to come to room temperature before assayed.

4. Procedure
All components must be at room temperature (15°C - 28°C) before use.

1) 50 μL of each calibrator was added into the wells prepared.

2) 50 μL of the Individuals Serum was pipetted to the specified wells.

3) 50 μL of the Congugate was pipetted into all wells of Calibrators and serum wells

4) The plate was rotated on the micromix for 45 min. and incubated at 37°C.
5) Decanted, then the plate was washed 4 times with the microwash, each time with 300 µL buffered wash solution. Before TMB substrate was added, the plate was wrapped up with absorbent paper to stroke off all residual droplets.
6) 100 µL of TMB substrate solution was added to all wells.
7) The Plate was incubated for 15 min.
8) 50 µL of Stopping solution was added to all wells
9) The microplate was read, immediately for 5 minutes in the microplate reader at 450 nm.

4.1. Calculation
A standard curve was plotted using log-log graph paper. The average mOD/min. of each calibrator on the vertical axis was plotted against concentration on the horizontal axis. A straight line segments connected adjacent plotted values, then the allergen-specific IgE concentration for the patient samples was estimated by interpolation.

4.2. Expected Values
Quantitative values and interpretation of class results are provided in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>KU/l</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.35</td>
<td>Negative for individual component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.35 - 0.69</td>
<td>allergen(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.70 - 3.49</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>3.50 - 17.49</td>
<td>Strongly positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>17-50</td>
<td>Strongly positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>More 50</td>
<td>Strongly positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3. Sensitivity
Sensitivity is unique as a result of the high IgE-binding capacity of the system, and also because the soluble matrix is able to support allergens which are carbohydrates, in addition to proteins and nucleic acids.

4.4. Specificity
The system is not subject to interference from high total IgE level and other nonspecific binding problems which affect solid-phase systems. See Tables 1-4.

5. Results
Statistical analysis: Data were analyzed using the SPSS program Version 15 as follows.

6. Discussion
There are many ways to explore allergenic antibodies to assess the presence the amount of specific IgE as: Skin test (Prick), Specific IgE (ELISA), RAST Sp.IgE and Elimination Challenge method [3,11-14]. Skin test produces pain, local and anaphylactic reaction and patient discomfort, other procedure with highly cost to patient. So, we have built up an evidence to use it in our allergy and immunology clinic has suitable cost for all patients based about for the other tests but it was very simple, accurate, cheap and does not produce any problems to patients. Our test depends on ELISA technique, it measures the quantitative amount of the following different allergens: As regard food allergens: Milk, Eggs, Banana, Maize, Fish, Chocolate, Wheat, Nuts, Strawberry, Shrimps, Spices, with drug as Aspirin. As regard inhalants as: House dust, Mite, Mixed Pollens, Mixed Moulds, Hay dust, Wool, Latex and Cat Hair. 150 allergic patient results of our test compared with specific IgE national

Table 1. Comparison between the value of National sp.IgE, Egyptian commercial sp.IgE, Skin test and RAST in diagnosis of allergens in allergic patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>National sp.IgE</th>
<th>Eg.Com.sp.IgE</th>
<th>Skin Test</th>
<th>RAST Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>91.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictave −ve Test</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictave +ve Test</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>96.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The validity of Egyptian commercial sp.IgE test in comparision to elimination-challenge test (confirmatory).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screen test</th>
<th>Confirmatory test +ve</th>
<th>Confirmatory test −ve</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80 +ve</td>
<td>77 true +ve</td>
<td>3 false +ve</td>
<td>80 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 −ve</td>
<td>66 true −ve</td>
<td>4 false −ve</td>
<td>70 patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Validity of Egyptian commercial sp.IgE test in comparison to elimination-challenge test in foods, aspirin & inhalants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Foods</th>
<th>Aspirin</th>
<th>Inhalants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>90.8%</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
<td>94.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictive −ve test</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
<td>98.1%</td>
<td>97.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictive +ve test</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
<td>91.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Comparison between National sp.IgE and Egyptian commercial sp.IgE as regard many variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>commercial Sp.IgE</th>
<th>National Sp.IgE Kit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allergens</td>
<td>All allergens including Aspirin</td>
<td>Not Including Aspirin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>Very simple prepared procedures made in our lab.</td>
<td>Complicated procedures and need a factory for preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Less time about 1.2 hours needed for complete the test</td>
<td>More time 2.5 hours needed for complete the test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washing</td>
<td>2 times</td>
<td>4 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory description</td>
<td>The test was proceeded in any standard laboratory</td>
<td>The test was proceeded in special lab. had special facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>The Cheapest Cost</td>
<td>Highly Expensive Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application</td>
<td>It was applying successfully in allergy and immunology unit Ain Shams university hospitals in Egypt.</td>
<td>Applied in proved laboratory and rich patients benefit from it.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The statistical evaluation results as regard sensitivity respectively: 88.9%, 89.6%, 91.2%, 71.4%, 93.1%. As regard specificity the results: 93.1%, 94.7%, 95.3%, 65.5%, 91.6%, the latter results showed that our test was in line with all tests. In conclusion: our test was probably in food, drug and inhalant allergens, it can be also noted that the cheapest and most commercial technique was used, so as to be available immediately in standard laboratory and its reagents, plate and other requirements were prepared locally in our laboratory. Now it was applying successfully in allergy and immunology unit Ain Shams university hospitals in Egypt.
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