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\textbf{Abstract}

In this paper, we firstly derive the stability conditions of high-order staggered-grid schemes for the three-dimensional (3D) elastic wave equation in heterogeneous media based on the energy method. Moreover, the plane wave analysis yields a sufficient and necessary stability condition by the von Neumann criterion in homogeneous case. Numerical computations for 3D wave simulation with point source excitation are given.
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\section{1. Introduction}

Numerical simulation of wave propagation has important applications in many scientific fields such as geophysics and seismic inversion. There are several types of numerical methods to solve the wave equations, for example, the finite difference method, the finite element method \cite{1} \cite{2} \cite{3} \cite{4}, the spectral element method \cite{5}, the discontinuous Galerkin method \cite{6} \cite{7} and the finite volume method \cite{8} \cite{9}. Each of the above numerical methods has its own advantages and disadvantages. In this paper, we consider the finite difference method.

The finite difference method is a very popular method because of high computational efficiency. In fact, it has been applied to wave simulation for several decades \cite{10} \cite{11} \cite{12} \cite{13}. Since perfect numerical simulation depends on both stability and the order of accuracy, the high-order schemes and the corresponding...
stability are an important research topic of this field. In particularly, we may list a few here. In [14], Cohen and Joly construct and analyses a family of fourth-order schemes for the acoustic wave equation in nonhomogeneous media. In [15], Sei analysis the stability of high-order difference schemes for the 2D elastic wave equation in heterogeneous media. The stable difference approximation for the 3D elastic wave equation in the second-order formulation in heterogeneous media has been investigated in [16]. In [17], a new family of locally one-dimensional schemes with fourth-order accuracy both in space and time for the 3D elastic wave equation is constructed and the stability is derived. The constructed new schemes in [17] only involve a three-point stencil in each spatial direction to achieve fourth-order accuracy. In this paper, based on the energy method, we study the stability analysis for the high-order staggered-grid schemes of the 3D elastic wave equation in heterogeneous media. To our knowledge, there is no work in this respect and our result is new.

The reminder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the governing equation and the high-order difference schemes in heterogeneous on staggered-grid grids. In Section 3, the stability analysis for the high-order difference schemes in heterogeneous is presented. In Section 4, the plane wave analysis in homogeneous case is investigated. In Section 5, we present numerical comparisons for 3D elastic wave simulation. Finally the conclusion and discussions are given in Section 6.

2. High-Order Spatial Discretization

We consider the following three-dimensional (3D) elastic wave equations in isotropic heterogeneous media

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \lambda + 2\mu \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) = f_1, \\
\rho \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \lambda + 2\mu \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \lambda \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) = f_2, \\
\rho \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \lambda + 2\mu \right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \lambda \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y \partial z} = f_3,
\end{align*}
\]

where \((u, v, w)(x, t)\) are the displacement vector at location \(x = (x, y, z)\) and time \(t\), \(\rho(x)\) is the density, \(\lambda(x) > 0\) and \(\mu(x) \geq 0\) are the Lamé parameters, \(f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)\) is the external force.

Using the stress tensor, we can formulate the above system (1) as a first order in the following ways

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial \tau^{uv}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau^{uv}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau^{uv}}{\partial z} = 0, \\
\rho \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial \tau^{uv}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau^{uv}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau^{uv}}{\partial z} = 0, \\
\rho \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial \tau^{uv}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau^{uv}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau^{uv}}{\partial z} = 0,
\end{align*}
\]
where

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau^{xx} &= \left( \lambda + 2\mu \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \lambda \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}, \\
\tau^{xy} &= \left( \lambda + 2\mu \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}, \\
\tau^{xz} &= \left( \lambda + 2\mu \right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \\
\tau^{yx} &= \mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \\
\tau^{yz} &= \mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \\
\tau^{zx} &= \mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}.
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

Let \( \Delta x, \Delta y \) and \( \Delta z \) be the spatial steps of \( x, y, z \) directions respectively. Now discretization of (2) with the second-order accuracy in space gives

\[
\frac{\rho \partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} (i, j, k) = -\frac{\tau^{xx}_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{xx}_{i,j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\tau^{xy}_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{xy}_{i,j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y} - \frac{\tau^{xz}_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{xz}_{i,j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta z} = 0,
\]

(4)

\[
\frac{\rho \partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} (i, j, k) = -\frac{\tau^{yx}_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{yx}_{i,j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\tau^{yy}_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{yy}_{i,j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y} - \frac{\tau^{yz}_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{yz}_{i,j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta z} = 0,
\]

(5)

\[
\frac{\rho \partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} (i, j, k) = -\frac{\tau^{zx}_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{zx}_{i,j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\tau^{zy}_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{zy}_{i,j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y} - \frac{\tau^{zz}_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{zz}_{i,j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta z} = 0.
\]

(6)

For computing this, we need the values of \( u, v, \) and \( w \) at the grid \( \left( \frac{i+1}{2}, \frac{j+1}{2}, \frac{k+1}{2} \right) \). One convenient way is to choose averaging the corresponding values. For example,

\[
\mathbf{u}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{u_{i,j,k+1} + u_{i,j,k} + u_{i,j,k-1}}{2} + \frac{u_{i+1,j,k} + u_{i,j,k}}{2} \right).
\]

However, such choices have no physical meaning. Another way is to compute \( u, v, w \) directly on staggered grids. In particular, we replace Equations (4)-(6) with Equations (7)-(9):

\[
\frac{\rho \partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} (i, j, k) = -\frac{\tau^{xx}_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{xx}_{i,j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\tau^{xy}_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{xy}_{i,j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y} - \frac{\tau^{xz}_{i,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{xz}_{i,j,k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta z} = 0,
\]

(7)

\[
\frac{\rho \partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} (i+\frac{1}{2}, j, k+\frac{1}{2}) = -\frac{\tau^{yx}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{yx}_{i\frac{1}{2},j,k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\tau^{yy}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{yy}_{i\frac{1}{2},j,k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y} - \frac{\tau^{yz}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - \tau^{yz}_{i\frac{1}{2},j,k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta z} = 0,
\]

(8)
Obviously, the schemes (7)–(9) are the second-order accuracy in space. In order to construct high-order accuracy scheme in space, we first define the following functional spaces. Now we introduce the differentiation operator $D_x$ on half integer grids with $O(\Delta x^2)$ order as follows:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \approx \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\beta_l}{\Delta x} \left[ u_{i+1/2,j,k} - u_{i-1/2,j,k} \right],$$

or

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \approx \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\beta_l}{\Delta x} \left[ u_{i+1/2,j,k} - u_{i-1/2,j,k} \right].$$

where $\beta_l$ is difference coefficients on the staggered grids, which can be calculated by a fast algorithm \[18\] \[19\] \[20\] by Matlab tool. Obviously, the approximation (10) or (11) has $O(\Delta x^2)$ order accuracy. For example, when $\beta_1 = 1$ for $L = 1$ it has the second-order accuracy $O(\Delta x^2)$. And when $\beta_1 = \frac{9}{8}$ and $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{24}$ for $L = 2$ it has the fourth-order accuracy $O(\Delta x^4)$. The general analytical expression of $\beta_l$ is given in Appendix. Similarly, we can define the operators $D_y$ and $D_z$. Here, the subscript of the operator refers to the direction of differentiation.

Now, we can construct the semi-discrete schemes of system (1)

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + D_x \left( (\lambda + 2 \mu) D_x u + \lambda D_y v + \lambda D_z w \right) + D_y \left( \mu D_y v + \mu D_z w \right) + D_z \left( \mu D_z w + \mu D_x u \right) = 0,$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} + D_x \left( \mu D_x u + \mu D_y v \right) + D_y \left( (\lambda + 2 \mu) D_y v + \lambda D_x u + \lambda D_z w \right) + D_z \left( \mu D_z w + \mu D_x u \right) = 0,$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + D_x \left( \mu D_x u + \mu D_y v \right) + D_y \left( \mu D_y v + \mu D_z w \right) + D_z \left( (\lambda + 2 \mu) D_z w + \lambda D_x u + \lambda D_y v \right) = 0.$$ 

Applying the central difference approximation for time with the second-order accuracy, we obtain the full-discrete schemes of system (1), we obtain
\begin{equation}
\rho_{ij,k}\left((u^{n+1} - 2u^n + u^{n-1})\right)_{i,j,k} + \Delta t^2 \left(D \left((\lambda + 2\mu)D_u u + \lambda D_v v + \lambda D_w w\right)\right)_{i,j,k} = 0,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
l\rho_{i,j,k}^1 \left((v^{n+1} - 2v^n + v^{n-1})\right)_{i,j,k} + \Delta t^2 \left(D \left((\lambda + 2\mu)D_v v + \mu D_u^0 u\right)\right)_{i,j,k} = 0,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
l\rho_{i,j,k}^1 \left((w^{n+1} - 2w^n + w^{n-1})\right)_{i,j,k} + \Delta t^2 \left(D \left((\lambda + 2\mu)D_w w + \mu D_u D_v\right)\right)_{i,j,k} = 0,
\end{equation}

where \( n \) denotes the time index and \( \Delta t \) the time step.

### 3. Stability Analysis

We now turn to the study of the numerical stability of the schemes (15)-(17). We are going to proceed by the energy method in analogy with continuous energy given by:

\begin{equation}
E = E_e + E_p,
\end{equation}

with

\begin{equation}
E_e = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right)^2 \right] \, dx \, dy \, dz,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
E_p = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ \lambda \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right)^2 + 2\mu \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right)^2 \right.
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ \left. \mu \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right)^2 \right] \, dx \, dy \, dz.
\end{equation}

In a source-free infinite medium, the energy is conservative, i.e., \( \frac{dE}{dt} = 0 \). The discrete energy at time \( \left\{ n + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \Delta t \) is \( E^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = E^n_{e} + E^n_{p} \). In order to compute \( E^n_{e} \) and \( E^n_{p} \) and analyze the stability, we define the following functional spaces:

\begin{equation}
L^0 = \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \left| \varphi = \sum_{i,j,k=-\infty}^\infty \varphi_{i,j,k} \right. \right\}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\times I_{\left[i,\frac{1}{2}\right]} [b_{i+\frac{1}{2}} b_i] \left[i,\frac{1}{2}\right][b_{j+\frac{1}{2}} b_j][i,\frac{1}{2}][k,\frac{1}{2}] (x,y,z)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
L^1 = \left\{ \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \left| \varphi = \sum_{i,j,k=-\infty}^\infty \varphi_{i,j,k} \right. \right\}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\times I_{\left[i,\frac{1}{2}\right]} [b_{i+\frac{1}{2}} b_i] \left[i,\frac{1}{2}\right][b_{j+\frac{1}{2}} b_j][i,\frac{1}{2}][k,\frac{1}{2}] (x,y,z)
\end{equation}
\[ L^3_{0**} = \left\{ \varphi \in L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid \varphi = \sum_{i,j,k = -\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_{i,j,k} \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y \Delta z} \left( x, y, z \right) \right\}, \]

where

\[ I_{[a,b] \times [c,d] \times [e,f]}(x,y,z) = \begin{cases} 1, & (x,y,z) \in [a,b] \times [c,d] \times [e,f], \\ 0, & (x,y,z) \notin [a,b] \times [c,d] \times [e,f], \end{cases} \]

where 0 represents the integer grid \((i,j,k)\) and * the half integer grid \(i + \frac{1}{2}\) or \(j + \frac{1}{2}\) or \(k + \frac{1}{2}\). The other functional spaces \(L^3_{00*}, L^3_{**0}, L^3_{000*}, L^3_{00*}, L^3_{**0}\) can be defined similarly. For saving space, we omit their definitions.

Let the scalar inner product be defined in \(L^3_{000}\) by

\[ (f,g,h)_{000} = \sum_{i,j,k = -\infty}^{\infty} f_{i,j,k} g_{i,j,k} h_{i,j,k} \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z. \]

Other inner products such as \((f,g,h)_{**0}, (f,g,h)_{00*}\) and so on have similar meaning. In the following, we compute \(E^1_{x**}\) and \(E^1_{p**}\) respectively.

\[ E^1_{x**} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \rho \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t} + \left( \rho \frac{v^{n+1} - v^n}{\Delta t} - \frac{\rho + 2}{\Delta t} \right) \right\}_{000}, \]

\[ + \lambda D_x \frac{v^{n+1} - v^n}{\Delta t} + \lambda D_y \frac{w^{n+1} - w^n}{\Delta t} + D_z \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t} + D_z \frac{v^{n+1} - v^n}{\Delta t} + \mu D_z \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t}, \]

\[ D_x \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t} = \sum_{i,j,k = -\infty}^{\infty} \rho \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t} \right\}_{000}, \]

\[ \mu D_x \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t}, \mu D_y \frac{w^{n+1} - w^n}{\Delta t} + \mu D_z \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t}, \]

\[ E^1_{p**} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \lambda D_x \frac{u^{n+1} + u^n}{2}, \lambda D_y \frac{v^{n+1} + v^n}{2}, \lambda D_z \frac{w^{n+1} + w^n}{2} \right\}_{000}. \]
We have conservation of the discrete energy, that is: $E_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^n - E_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^n = 0$. The stability of the scheme will be proven if the potential energy $E_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^n$ and the kinetic energy $E_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^n$ are positive. Since $E_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^n$ is obviously positive, we need to find out under what conditions $E_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^n$ is positive.

The problem can be reformulated as: $\forall u \in L^1_{n00}$, $\forall v \in L^1_{n0}$ and $\forall w \in L^1_{00}$, with

$$I = \left( (\lambda D_{u} + \lambda D_{v} + \lambda D_{w} + D_{u} + D_{v} + D_{w})_{n00} + (\mu D_{u} + \mu D_{v} + \mu D_{w} + D_{u} + D_{v} + D_{w})_{00} + (\mu D_{u} + \mu D_{v} + \mu D_{w} + D_{u} + D_{v} + D_{w})_{00} + (2\mu D_{u} + D_{v})_{000} + (2\mu D_{w} + D_{v})_{000} \right)_{000}$$

we look for the corresponding conditions. Since

$$\frac{\Delta t^2}{4} I \leq (\rho u, u)_{000} + (\rho v, v)_{000} + (\rho w, w)_{000},$$

we can bound $I$ as follows:

$$I \leq 2 \left[ (\lambda D_{u} + \lambda D_{v} + \lambda D_{w} + D_{u} + D_{v} + D_{w})_{n00} + (\mu D_{u} + \mu D_{v} + \mu D_{w} + D_{u} + D_{v} + D_{w})_{000} + (\mu D_{u} + \mu D_{v} + \mu D_{w} + D_{u} + D_{v} + D_{w})_{000} + (\mu D_{u} + \mu D_{v} + \mu D_{w} + D_{u} + D_{v} + D_{w})_{000} + (\mu D_{u} + \mu D_{v} + \mu D_{w} + D_{u} + D_{v} + D_{w})_{000} \right]_{000},$$

or

$$I \leq 2 \left[ \left( (\lambda + \mu) D_{u} + D_{u} \right)_{000} + \left( \mu D_{u} + D_{u} \right)_{000} + \left( \mu D_{u} + D_{u} \right)_{000} + \left( \mu D_{u} + D_{u} \right)_{000} \right]_{000}.$$
Obviously, \( I \leq 2(I_1 + I_2 + I_3) \). In the following we estimate \( I_1 \), \( I_2 \) and \( I_3 \) respectively. Since

\[
I_1 = \sum_{i,j,k} \left( \lambda + \mu \right)_{i \pm \frac{1}{2}, j, k} \left[ \sum \frac{\beta_i}{\Delta x} \left( u_{i, j, l, k} - u_{i, l, j, k} \right) \right]^2 \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i, j, k} \mu_{i, j, k - \frac{1}{2}} \left[ \sum \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta y} \left( u_{i, j, k, l} - u_{i, j, k, l-l} \right) \right]^2 \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i, j, k} \mu_{i, j, k + \frac{1}{2}} \left[ \sum \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta z} \left( u_{i, j, k, l} - u_{i, j, k-l, l} \right) \right]^2 \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z,
\]

we have the following estimates for \( I_1 \):

\[
I_1 \leq 2 \left( \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta x} \right) \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta x} \left( \lambda + \mu \right)_{i \pm \frac{1}{2}, j, k} \left( u_{i, l, j, k}^2 + u_{i, l, j,l, k}^2 \right) \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z
\]

\[
+ 2 \left( \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta y} \right) \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta y} \mu_{i, j, k - \frac{1}{2}} \left( u_{i, j, l, k}^2 + u_{i, j, l, l-k}^2 \right) \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z
\]

\[
+ 2 \left( \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta z} \right) \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta z} \mu_{i, j, k + \frac{1}{2}} \left( u_{i, j, l, k}^2 + u_{i, j, l, l-k}^2 \right) \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z,
\]

or

\[
I_1 \leq 4 \left( \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta x} \right) \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta x} \left[ \frac{\left( \lambda + \mu \right)_{i \pm \frac{1}{2}, j, k}}{2 \rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\left( \lambda + \mu \right)_{i \pm \frac{1}{2}, j, l}}{2 \rho_{i,j,l}} \right] \rho_{i,j,k} u_{i,j,k}^2 \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z
\]

\[
+ 4 \left( \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta y} \right) \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta y} \left[ \frac{\mu_{i, j, k - \frac{1}{2}}}{2 \rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i, j, k-l \frac{1}{2}}}{2 \rho_{i,j,l}} \right] \rho_{i,j,k} u_{i,j,k}^2 \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z
\]

\[
+ 4 \left( \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta z} \right) \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta z} \left[ \frac{\mu_{i, j, k + \frac{1}{2}}}{2 \rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i, j, k-l \frac{1}{2}}}{2 \rho_{i,j,l}} \right] \rho_{i,j,k} u_{i,j,k}^2 \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z,
\]

or

\[
I_1 \leq 4 \left( \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta x} \right) \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta x} \left[ \frac{\left( \lambda + \mu \right)_{i \pm \frac{1}{2}, j, k}}{2 \rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\left( \lambda + \mu \right)_{i \pm \frac{1}{2}, j, l}}{2 \rho_{i,j,l}} \right] \rho_{i,j,k} \left( \rho u, u \right)_{i,j,k} \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z
\]

\[
+ 4 \left( \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta y} \right) \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta y} \left[ \frac{\mu_{i, j, k - \frac{1}{2}}}{2 \rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i, j, k-l \frac{1}{2}}}{2 \rho_{i,j,l}} \right] \rho_{i,j,k} \left( \rho u, u \right)_{i,j,k} \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z
\]

\[
+ 4 \left( \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta z} \right) \sum_{i, j, l} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\Delta z} \left[ \frac{\mu_{i, j, k + \frac{1}{2}}}{2 \rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i, j, k-l \frac{1}{2}}}{2 \rho_{i,j,l}} \right] \rho_{i,j,k} \left( \rho u, u \right)_{i,j,k} \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z.
\]

Thus we obtain
\[
I_1 \leq \left( \frac{4}{\Delta z^2} + \frac{4}{\Delta y^2} + \frac{4}{\Delta x^2} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\beta| \right) \max_{i,j,k} \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\beta| \cdot \left[ \frac{(\lambda + \mu)}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} \right] \\
+ \frac{\lambda + \mu_{i+j+k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i-j-k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i+j-k-1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i-j+k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} - (\rho u, u)_{000}.
\]

Set
\[
c_i^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\beta| \right)^{-1} \max_{i,j,k} \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\beta| \cdot \left[ \frac{(\lambda + \mu)_{i+j-k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{(\lambda + \mu)_{i+j+k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} \\
+ \frac{\mu_{i,j-k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i-j+k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i+j-k-1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i-j+k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} \right].
\]

Then for \( \forall u \in L^3_{000} \), we have
\[
I_1 \leq \left( \frac{4}{\Delta z^2} + \frac{4}{\Delta y^2} + \frac{4}{\Delta x^2} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\beta| \right)^2 \cdot c_i^2 \cdot (\rho u, u)_{000}, \quad \forall u \in L^3_{000}.
\]

Similarly, we have
\[
I_2 \leq \left( \frac{4}{\Delta z^2} + \frac{4}{\Delta y^2} + \frac{4}{\Delta x^2} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\beta| \right)^2 \cdot c_i^2 \cdot (\rho v, v), \quad \forall v \in L^1_{0v},
\]

where
\[
c_i^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\beta| \right)^{-1} \max_{i,j,k} \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\beta| \cdot \left[ \frac{(\lambda + \mu)_{i+j-k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{(\lambda + \mu)_{i-j+k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} \\
+ \frac{\mu_{i,j-k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i-j+k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i+j-k-1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i-j+k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} \right].
\]

And
\[
I_3 \leq \left( \frac{4}{\Delta z^2} + \frac{4}{\Delta y^2} + \frac{4}{\Delta x^2} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\beta| \right)^2 \cdot c_i^2 \cdot (\rho w, w), \quad \forall w \in L^1_{0w},
\]

where
\[
c_i^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\beta| \right)^{-1} \max_{i,j,k} \sum_{i=1}^{L} |\beta| \cdot \left[ \frac{(\lambda + \mu)_{i+j-k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{(\lambda + \mu)_{i+j-k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} \\
+ \frac{\mu_{i,j-k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i,j-k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i,j-k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} + \frac{\mu_{i,j-k+1/2}}{2\rho_{i,j,k}} \right].
\]

Substituting (21), (22) and (24) into (19), we have
\[ c^2 \Delta t \left( \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta y^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta z^2} \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^t |\beta_l| \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}. \]  

(26)

where \( c = \max \{c_1, c_2, c_3\} \). Thus we obtain the sufficient stability condition for the numerical scheme (15)-(17). If the grid is uniform, \( \Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = h \), then (26) gives

\[ c \Delta t \leq \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} h \left( \sum_{l=1}^t |\beta_l| \right)^{-1}. \]

Therefore we summarize the conclusion above into the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** A sufficient stability condition for the numerical schemes (15)-(17) is

\[ c \Delta t \leq \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} h \left( \sum_{l=1}^t |\beta_l| \right)^{-1}, \]

(27)

If \( \Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = h \), then it reduces to

\[ c \Delta t \leq \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} h \left( \sum_{l=1}^t |\beta_l| \right)^{-1}, \]

(28)

where \( c = \max \{c_1, c_2, c_3\} \), and \( c_1, c_2 \) and \( c_3 \) are given by (20), (23) and (25) respectively.

### 4. Plane Wave Analysis

We turn to Fourier analysis [21] and we will derive the dispersion relation and by the von Neumann criterion we will get a necessary and sufficient stability condition. In homogeneous case for (12)-(14), the full-discrete schemes can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho \left( u^{n+1} - 2u^n + u^{n-1} \right)_{i,j,k} + \Delta t \left( \begin{array}{l}
\lambda^2 \left( \frac{x}{\Delta x} \right)^2 u^n + \lambda D_x D_y v^n + \lambda D_y D_z w^n \\
+ \mu D_x D_y v^n + \mu D_y^2 u^n + \mu D_z^2 u^n + \mu D_x D_z w^n \\
+ \mu D_y D_z w^n + \lambda D_x D_y u^n + \lambda D_y D_z w^n + \mu D_z D_y v^n + \mu D_z D_x w^n \\
\end{array} \right)_{(i,j,k)} = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(29)

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho \left( v^{n+1} - 2v^n + v^{n-1} \right)_{i,j,k} + \Delta t \left( \begin{array}{l}
\mu^2 \left( \frac{x}{\Delta x} \right)^2 v^n + \mu D_y D_z w^n + \mu D_z^2 w^n + \mu D_y D_x w^n \\
+ \mu D_z D_x w^n + \lambda D_x D_y u^n + \lambda D_y D_z w^n + \mu D_z D_y v^n + \mu D_y D_z w^n \\
\end{array} \right)_{(i,j,k)} = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(30)

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho \left( w^{n+1} - 2w^n + w^{n-1} \right)_{i,j,k} + \Delta t \left( \begin{array}{l}
\mu^2 \left( \frac{x}{\Delta x} \right)^2 w^n + \mu D_z^2 w^n + \mu D_x D_z w^n \\
+ \mu D_x D_z w^n + \lambda^2 \left( \frac{x}{\Delta x} \right)^2 w^n + \lambda D_y D_z w^n + \lambda D_z D_x w^n \\
\end{array} \right)_{(i,j,k)} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(31)

We assume that \( u = d e^{i(\omega t - k \cdot x)} \) is a solution of Equation (29)-(31), where \( i = \sqrt{-1} \), \( \omega \) is the angular frequency, \( d = (d_1, d_2, d_3) \) amplitude, and \( k = (k_x, k_y, k_z) = |k| \cos \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \phi \) is the wave vector. Here \( \theta \) is the propagation angle and \( \phi \) the propagation azimuth. The two angles determine the movement direction of the plane wave in the 3D space.

Substituting the plane wave solution into Equations (29)-(31), we obtain the
following relations:

\[
d_i \sin^2 \left( \frac{\alpha \Delta t}{2} \right) = \frac{\Delta t^2}{h^2} \left[ \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \] \left[ \frac{\lambda + \mu \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) }{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) } \right] d_i \right)
\] \tag{32}

\[
d_i \sin^2 \left( \frac{\alpha \Delta t}{2} \right) = \frac{\Delta t^2}{h^2} \left[ \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \] \left[ \frac{\lambda + \mu \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) }{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) } \right] d_i \right)
\] \tag{33}

\[
d_i \sin^2 \left( \frac{\alpha \Delta t}{2} \right) = \frac{\Delta t^2}{h^2} \left[ \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \] \left[ \frac{\lambda + \mu \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) }{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) } \right] d_i \right)
\] \tag{34}

By introducing the matrix B with elements \( b_{ij} \) defined by

\[
b_{11} = \frac{\Delta t^2}{h^2} \left[ \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \] \left[ \frac{\lambda + \mu \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) }{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) } \right]
\]

\[
b_{22} = \frac{\Delta t^2}{h^2} \left[ \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \] \left[ \frac{\lambda + \mu \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) }{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_j \sin \left( (2l-1) \frac{k h}{2} \right) } \right],
\]


\[ b_{22} = \frac{\Delta t^2}{h^2} \left\{ \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i \sin \left( \frac{(2l-1)k_i h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right. \]

\[ + \frac{\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i \sin \left( \frac{(2l-1)k_i h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i \sin \left( \frac{(2l-1)k_i h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right\}, \]

\[ b_{11} = b_{11} = \frac{\Delta t^2}{h^2} \left\{ \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i \sin \left( \frac{(2l-1)k_i h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right. \]

\[ \left. \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i \sin \left( \frac{(2l-1)k_i h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right\}, \]

\[ b_{23} = b_{23} = \frac{\Delta t^2}{h^2} \left\{ \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i \sin \left( \frac{(2l-1)k_i h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right. \]

\[ \left. \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i \sin \left( \frac{(2l-1)k_i h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right\}, \]

\[ b_{33} = \frac{\Delta t^2}{h^2} \left\{ \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i \sin \left( \frac{(2l-1)k_i h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right. \]

\[ \left. + \frac{\mu}{\rho} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i \sin \left( \frac{(2l-1)k_i h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i \sin \left( \frac{(2l-1)k_i h}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right\}, \]

we can write the relations (32)-(34) as the following matrix form

\[ Bd = \sin^2 \left( \frac{\omega \Delta t}{2} \right) d. \] (35)

The eigenvalues of \( B \) then express \( \omega \) as a function of \( k \), which is the dispersion relation. There are three eigenvalues for matrix \( B \). One eigenvalue is corresponding to the longitudinal or compressional wave, the double eigenvalues are corresponding to the transverse or shear wave. Thus we have the following two different relations

\[
\begin{align*}
\sin \left( \frac{\omega \Delta t}{2} \right) &= C_p \frac{\Delta t}{h} \sqrt{A^2(k_1) + A^2(k_2) + A^2(k_3)}, \\
\sin \left( \frac{\omega \Delta t}{2} \right) &= C_s \frac{\Delta t}{h} \sqrt{A^2(k_1) + A^2(k_2) + A^2(k_3)},
\end{align*}
\] (36)

where

\[ A(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \beta_i \sin \left( \frac{(2l-1)k_i h}{2} \right), \quad C_p = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho}}, \quad C_s = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho}}. \]

here \( C_p \) and \( C_s \) are the velocities of compressional and shear waves. Note that \( C_p \) is always larger than \( C_s \). With the dispersion relations (36), we can apply the von Neumann stability criterion. A necessary stability is that the eigenvalues of \( B \) must be lower than 1. Thus we have

\[ \frac{C_p \cdot \Delta t}{h} \sqrt{A^2(k_1) + A^2(k_2) + A^2(k_3)} \leq 1. \] (37)

It is easy to verify that

\[ \max_{k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{R}} \sqrt{A^2(k_1) + A^2(k_2) + A^2(k_3)} = \sqrt{A^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{h} \right) + A^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{h} \right) + A^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{h} \right)} = \sqrt{3} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} |\beta_i| \right). \] (38)
Therefore we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** In the homogeneous case, a sufficient and necessary stability condition for the numerical schemes (29)-(31) is given by

\[ \Delta t \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3} \left( \sum_{j \in \Omega} |\beta_j| \right)^{-1}. \]  

**Proof** Combining Equations (37) and (38), we obtain (39). Moreover, the matrix \( B \) is symmetric in homogeneous case. So the condition (39) is a sufficient and necessary condition. The proof is complete.

We now define the normalized phase error \( E_\phi \) as follow:

\[ E_\phi = \frac{C_\phi - C}{C_v}, \quad C_\phi = \frac{\omega(k)}{k}, \]  

which is a function of \( H \equiv \frac{|k|h}{2\pi} \), where \( C_v \) indicates \( C_p \) or \( C_s \) which is related to different kinds of compressional wave and shear wave.

The stability condition \( P \equiv C\Delta t/h \) is defined by Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL) condition which bounds the interval for stability. We plot some dispersion curves based on Equation (40). Without loss of generality, we present dispersion curves for some special propagation angle and azimuth. Figure 1 is the normalized phase error for fixed \( \theta = 45^\circ \) and \( \phi = 45^\circ \) with different values of CFL condition and it shows that the phase error drops as increasing the order of accuracy. Figure 2 shows the normalized phase error for \( \theta = 30^\circ \) and different values of \( \phi \) for different order or \( L \). The figures for other propagation angle \( \theta \) and azimuth \( \phi \) are similar we omit them for saving space.

**5. Numerical Computations**

Wave simulation ignited by a point source is usually adopted in geophysical applications. For convenience, we simulate 3D elastic wave propagation in a homogeneous cubic model. The computational domain is \([0, 2000 m]\). The source is located in the center of the model and its time function is given by

\[ s(t) = \sin(300t) e^{-(3000t)^3}, \]  

which is loaded on the \( u \) component. The compressional velocity is 4000 m/s and the shear velocity 2500 m/s. The time step is \( \Delta t = 0.001 s \) and the space step is \( h = 10 m \). Figure 3 shows the 3D snapshot of \( u \) component at propagation time 0.2 s. For brevity, we present some 2D slices of the 3D snapshots of \( u, v, \) and \( w \) components. The \( xz \) sections of 3D snapshots of \( u, v, \) and \( w \) components at propagation time 0.2 s are shown in Figures 4-6 respectively. We omit other sections for space. In our computations the scheme with fourth-order accuracy in space is applied. We remark that the comparisons between the numerical solution and the exact solution can be found in [17]. From Figures 4-6, we can clearly see the two types of waves, i.e. the compressional wave and the shear wave, which is consistent with the physical phenomenon.
Figure 1. The normalized phase error for different CFL number at the stability limit $P_{\text{max}} = C \Delta t / h$. The propagation angles $\theta = 45^\circ$ and $\phi = 45^\circ$ are fixed.
Figure 2. The normalized phase error for different CFL number at different propagation angle $\phi$. The propagation angle $\theta = 30^\circ$ is fixed.
Figure 3. The 3D snapshot of $u$ component at propagation time 0.2 s.

Figure 4. The $xz$-section of 3D snapshot of $u$ component at propagation time 0.2 s.

Figures 5. The $xz$-section of 3D snapshot of $v$ component at propagation time 0.2 s.
6. Conclusion

The staggered-grid difference method is a very important technique to solve wave equations numerically because of its high efficiency and the character of energy preservation. It has been well applied to seismic wave propagation for more than two decades. Based on the energy estimate method, we implement the stability analysis for the high-order staggered-grid schemes of the inhomogeneous 3D elastic wave equation. The stability result is controlled by the space varying parameters and the difference coefficients. The plane wave analysis in homogeneous media is completed and by the von Neumann criterion a necessary and sufficient stability condition is obtained. The analysis is helpful to design the computational parameters such as the time step and the space steps. Numerical computations are given to verify the effectiveness of the schemes. The key point of this paper is the theoretical analysis. In the future, we will consider more numerical computations for inhomogeneous media.
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Appendix: Expression of the Coefficient $\beta_i$

The calculation of difference coefficients on both regular and staggered-grid grids has been investigated by several authors [15] [18] [19] [20]. In this appendix we present the analytical expression of the difference coefficient $\beta_i$ in (10) or (11). In order to calculate the coefficient $\beta_i$, we consider an explicit staggered-grid difference expression for a function $f(x)$:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \approx \frac{1}{h} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \beta_l \left[ f(x + lh - \frac{1}{2}h) - f(x - lh + \frac{1}{2}h) \right],$$

where $h$ is the step size, $L$ is a positive number and $\beta_l$ are the difference coefficients. Now consider $f = f_0 e^{i\alpha}$ and $\alpha = kh/2$, where $k$ is the wave number, $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and $f_0$ is a constant then we have

$$\alpha \approx \sum_{l=1}^{L} \beta_i \sin((2l-1)\alpha).$$

Then Taylor’s series expansion gives

$$\alpha \approx \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(2m-1)!} \sum_{l=1}^{L} (2l-1)^{2m-1} \beta_l \alpha^{2m-1} \right].$$

Now equating the coefficient of $\alpha$ both sides we get

$$\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(2m-1)!} \sum_{l=1}^{L} (2l-1)^{2m-1} \beta_l = \begin{cases} 1, & m = 1, \\ 0, & m = 2, 3, \ldots, L. \end{cases}$$

We can rewrite this equation in the following form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1^0 & 3^0 & \cdots & (2L-1)^0 \\ 1^1 & 3^1 & \cdots & (2L-1)^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1^{2L-1} & 3^{2L-2} & \cdots & (2L-1)^{2L-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ (2L-1) \beta_L \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

Now solving the above system, we get the following solutions

$$\beta_l = \frac{(-1)^{\ell+1}}{2l-1} \prod_{1 \leq m \leq L, m \neq \ell} \left( \frac{(2m-1)^2}{(2l-1)^2 - (2m-1)^2} \right), \quad l = 1, \ldots, L.$$