The Nonexistence of Global Solutions for a Time Fractional Schrödinger Equation with Nonlinear Memory
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Abstract

In this paper, we study the nonexistence of solutions of the following time fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equations with nonlinear memory

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{a}_{\nu} D_{t}^{p} u + \Delta u = \lambda \mathcal{F}^{1-\gamma}_{\nu} \left( |u|^p \right), & \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t > 0, \\
u(0,x) = g(x), & \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(0 < \alpha < \gamma < 1\), \(\mathcal{F}^{a}_{\nu}\) denotes the principal value of \(i^a\), \(p > 1\), \(T > 0\), \(\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\), \(\nu(t,x)\) is a complex-value function, \(\mathcal{F}^{1-\gamma}_{\nu}\) denotes left Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order \(1-\gamma\) and \(\mathcal{F}^{a}_{\nu} D_{t}^{p} u\) is the Caputo fractional derivative of order \(\alpha\). We obtain that the problem admits no global weak solution when \(1 < p < 1 + \frac{2(\alpha + 1 - \gamma)}{\alpha N}\) and \(1 < p < 1 + \frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha}\) under different conditions for initial data.
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1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the nonexistence of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the time fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equations with nonlinear memory

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{a}_{\nu} D_{t}^{p} u + \Delta u = \lambda \mathcal{F}^{1-\gamma}_{\nu} \left( |u|^p \right), & \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t > 0, \\
u(0,x) = g(x), & \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{align*}
\]

(1)
where \(0 < \alpha < \gamma < 1\), \(i^\alpha\) denotes principal value of \(i^\alpha\), \(p > 1\), \(T > 0\), 
\(\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 i \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}\), \(u = u(t,x)\) is a complex-valued function, 
g\((x) = g_1(x) + g_2(x) i\), \(g_1(x)\) and \(g_2(x)\) are real-valued functions. 
\(\mathcal{C}^0\) denotes left Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order \(1 - \gamma\) and 
\[
\mathcal{C}^0_{\alpha}D^\gamma_0 u = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{C}^0_{\alpha}t^\gamma u(t,x) - u(0,x). 
\]

For the nonlinear Schrödinger equations without gauge invariance (i.e. \(\alpha = \gamma = 1\)), 
\[
\begin{cases}
iu_t + \Delta u = \lambda |u|^p, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ t > 0, \\
u(0,x) = g(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{cases}
\]

Ikeda and Wakasugi [1] and Ikeda and Inui [2] [3] proved blow-up results of solutions for (2) under different conditions for 
\(1 < p < 1 + \frac{2}{N}\) and \(1 < p < 1 + \frac{4}{N}\).

The main tool they used is test function method. This method is based on rescalings of a compactly support test function to prove blow-up results which is first used by Mitidieri and Pohozaev [4] to show the blow-up results.

Recently, it has been seen that fractional differential equations have better effects in many realistic applications than the classical ones. So, considerable attention has been attracted to time fractional diffusion equation which arises in electromagnetic, acoustic and mechanical phenomena etc. [5], and is derived from classical diffusion equation by replacing the first-order time derivative by a fractional derivative of order \(\alpha\) with \(\alpha \in (0,1]\). Fractional diffusion equation was explicitly applied to physics by Nigmatullin [6] to describe diffusion in media with fractal geometry (special types of porous media). There are many papers about the existence and properties of solutions for fractional differential equation, see for example [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] and the references therein.

For nonlinear time fractional Schrödinger equations (i.e., (1) with \(\gamma = 1\)), 
Zhang, Sun and Li [12] studied the nonexistence of this problem in \(C_0(R^N)\) and proved that the problem admits no global weak solution with suitable initial data when \(1 < p < 1 + \frac{2}{N}\) by using test function method, and also give some conditions which imply the problem has no global weak solution for every \(p > 1\).

In [13], Cazenave, Dickstein and Weissler considered a class of heat equation with nonlinear memory. They obtained that the solution blows up in finite time and under suitable conditions the solution exists globally. In [14], using test function method, the authors considered a heat equation with nonlinear memory, they determined Fujita critical exponent of the problem.

Motivated by above results, in present paper, our purpose is to study the nonexistence of global weak solutions of (1) with a condition related to the sign of initial data when
1 < p < 1 + \frac{2(\alpha + 1 - \gamma)}{\alpha N} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 < p < 1 + \frac{1 - \gamma}{\alpha}.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries and the main results are presented. In Section 3, we give proof of the main results.

2. Preliminaries and the Main Results

For convenience of statement, let us present some preliminaries that will be used in next sections.

If \( \mathcal{C}_t^\alpha f \in L^1(0,T) \), \( g \in C^1([0,T]) \) and \( g(T) = 0 \), then we have the following formula of integration by parts

\[
\int_0^T \mathcal{C}_t^\alpha f dt = \int_0^T \left( f(t) - f(0) \right) \mathcal{C}_t^\alpha g dt.
\]  

(3)

We need calculate Caputo fractional derivative of the following function, which will be used in next sections. For given \( T > 0 \) and \( n > 0 \), if we let

\[
\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 
\left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)^n, & t \leq T, \\
0, & t > T,
\end{cases}
\]

then

\[
\mathcal{C}_t^\alpha \varphi(t) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)} T^{-\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)^{n-\alpha}, \quad t \leq T,
\]

(see for example [15]).

Now, we present the definition of weak solution of (1).

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( g \in L^1_{loc}(R^N) \), \( 0 < \alpha < \gamma < 1 \) and \( T > 0 \), we call \( u \in L^\infty((0,T),L^\infty(R^N)) \) is a weak solution of (1) if

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^T \lambda \mathcal{I}_t^\alpha \mathcal{I}^2 \left( |u|^2 \right) \varphi + i \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \mathcal{I}^2 \varphi dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^T \left( \Delta \varphi + i \mathcal{D}_t^\alpha \mathcal{I}^2 \varphi \right) dx dt
\]

for every \( \varphi \in C^2_{\text{c}}(R^N \times [0,T]) \) with \( \text{supp} \varphi \subset \subset R^N \) and \( \varphi(x,T) = 0 \).

Moreover, if \( T > 0 \) can be arbitrarily chosen, then we call \( u \) is a global weak solution for of (1).

Denote

\[
G_1(x) = \cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_1(x) - \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_2(x), \quad G_2(x) = \cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_2(x) + \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_1(x)
\]

and \( \beta = 1 - \gamma \).

The following theorems show main result of this paper.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( 1 < p < 1 + \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)}{\alpha N} \). If \( g \in L^1(R^N) \) and satisfies

\[
\lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(x) dx > 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(x) dx > 0,
\]

then problem (1) admits no global weak solution.

**Theorem 2.3.** If \( 1 < p < 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \), let \( \chi(x) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\sqrt{\left| x^2 \right| + 4f}} dx \right)^{-1} e^{\sqrt{\left| x^2 \right| + 4f}} \). If
\[ g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \] and satisfies
\[ \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_1(x) \chi(x) \, dx > 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_2(x) \chi(x) \, dx > 0, \]
then problem (1) admits no global weak solution.

3. Proofs of Main Result

In this section, we prove blow-up results and global existence of mild solutions of (1).

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** If
\[ 1 < p < 1 + \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)}{\alpha N}, \]
for the case \( \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_1(x) \, dx > 0 \), we may as well suppose that \( \lambda_1 > 0 \) and \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_1(x) \, dx > 0 \). Let \( \Phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^N) \) such that \( \Phi(s) = 1 \) for \( |s| \leq 1 \), \( \Phi(s) = 0 \) for \( |s| > 2 \) and \( 0 \leq \Phi(s) \leq 1 \). For \( T > 0 \), we define
\[ \phi_1(x) = \left( T^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} |x| \right)^{-\frac{2p}{\alpha(N-2)}}, \quad \phi_2(t) = \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right)^{m}, \quad m \geq \max \left\{ 1, \frac{p(\alpha + \beta)}{p-1} \right\}, \quad t \in [0,T]. \]

Let \( \varphi(x,t) = \zeta D_t^\beta \phi_1(x) \phi_2(t) \). Assuming that \( u \) is a weak solution of (1), and since \( \alpha + \beta < 1 \), we have
\[ \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T |\varphi|^p \varphi \phi_1 D_t^\beta \phi_2 \, dx \, dt = \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T u (\Delta \varphi_1) C D_t^\beta \phi_2 + |u|^{1+\alpha \beta} \varphi_1 C D_t^{1+\beta} \phi_2 \, dx \, dt. \]

that is
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T |\varphi|^p \varphi \phi_2 \, dx \, dt + \left( \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_1 - \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_2 \right) \phi_1 C D_t^{1+\beta} \phi_2 \, dx \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T \left( \text{Re} u \right) \left( \Delta \varphi_1 \right) C D_t^\beta \phi_2 + \left( \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \text{Re} u - \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \text{Im} u \right) \phi_1 C D_t^{1+\beta} \phi_2 \, dx \]
\[ \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T \left( \left( \Delta \varphi_1 \right) C D_t^\beta \phi_2 + \phi_1 \phi_2 C D_t^{1+\beta} \phi_2 \right) \, dx \]
(4)

Note that
\[ \left( \left( \Delta \varphi_1 \right) C D_t^\beta \phi_2 + \phi_1 \phi_2 C D_t^{1+\beta} \phi_2 \right) \leq CT^{-(\alpha + \beta)} \phi_1^\frac{1}{\beta} \phi_2^\frac{1}{\beta} \]
(5)
for some positive constant \( C \) independent of \( T \). Then, by (4), (5) and Hölder inequality, we have
\[ \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T \left| \varphi \right|^p \varphi \phi_2 \, dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T \left( \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_1 - \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_2 \right) \phi_1 C D_t^{1+\beta} \phi_2 \, dx \]
\[ \leq CT^{-(\alpha + \beta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T \left| \varphi \right|^p \phi_1^\frac{1}{\beta} \phi_2^\frac{1}{\beta} \, dx \, dt \]
\[ \leq CT^{-(\alpha + \beta)} \left( \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right)^\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T \left| \varphi \right|^p \phi_1 \phi_2 , \, dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} \]
\[ \leq CT^{-(\alpha + \beta)} \left( \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right)^\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T \left| \varphi \right|^p \phi_1 \phi_2 , \, dx \, dt. \]
Hence
\[ T^{1-a-b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(x) \phi_1 dx \leq CT^{\frac{\alpha N}{2} - \frac{p(\alpha + \beta)}{p-1}}. \]

Since \( p < 1 + \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)}{\alpha N} \), we have \( \alpha + \beta + \frac{\alpha N}{2} - \frac{p(\alpha + \beta)}{p-1} < 0 \). Therefore, if the solution of (1) exists globally, then taking \( T \to \infty \), we obtain
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(x) \phi_1 dx \leq 0, \]
which contradicts with the assumption.

For case \( \lambda_2 > 0 \) we have
\[
\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \lambda |\nu|^p \phi_1 \phi_2 + i^\alpha g(x) \phi_1^C \partial_t^\alpha \phi_2 \right] dx dt \\
= \text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^T u(\Delta \phi_1)^C \partial_t^\alpha \phi_2 + i^\alpha u \phi_1^C \partial_t^\alpha \phi_2 dx dt.
\]

Then by a similar proof as above, we can also obtain a contradiction.

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** We only consider the case \( \lambda_1 > 0 \) and \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(x) \chi(x) dx > 0 \), since other cases can be proved by a similar method. Take \( \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) such that
\[ \psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 2, \end{cases} \]
and \( 0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \). Let \( \psi_n(x) = \psi \left( \frac{x}{n} \right) \), \( n = 1, 2, \cdots \). Suppose that \( u \) is a bounded weak solution of (1), taking
\[ \phi_1(x) = \psi_n(x), \phi_2(t) = \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right)^m, \text{max} \left\{ 2, \frac{p(\alpha + \beta)}{p-1} \right\}, \]
and define \( \phi(x, t) = \psi_n(\partial_t^\alpha \phi_1(x)) \psi_2(t) \), then using the definition of weak solution of (1) and since \( \alpha + \beta < 1 \), we derive that
\[
\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^T \lambda |\nu|^p \phi_1 \phi_2 + i^\alpha g(x) \phi_1^C \partial_t^\alpha \phi_2 dx dt \\
= \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^T u(\Delta \phi_1)^C \partial_t^\alpha \phi_2 + i^\alpha u \phi_1^C \partial_t^\alpha \phi_2 dx dt. \tag{6}
\]

Since
\[
\Delta \phi_1 = \Delta(\chi \psi_n) = (\Delta \chi) \psi_n + 2 \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla \psi_n + (\Delta \psi_n) \chi
\]
and
\[
|\Delta \chi| = \left\{ -\frac{N}{\sqrt{N^2 + |x|^2}} + \frac{x^2}{N^2 + |x|^2} + \frac{|x|^2}{(N^2 + |x|^2)^2} \right\} \leq 3 \chi,
\]
by (6) and dominated convergence theorem, let \( n \to \infty \), we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^T \lambda |\nu|^p \chi \phi_2 + \chi \left( \cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_1(x) - \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_2(x) \right) \psi_2 dx dt \\
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^T 3|\chi| \psi_2 \partial_t^\alpha \phi_2 + \chi \left( \cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \text{Re} \phi_2 - \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \text{Im} \phi_2 \right) \partial_t^\alpha \phi_2 dx dt. \tag{7}
\]
Hence, by Jensen’s inequality and (7), we have
\[
\lambda \int_0^T \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\chi|^p \phi_2 \, dx \right) \, dt + \int_0^T \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi \right) \left( \cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_1(x) - \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_2(x) \right) \xi dt \, dx \right) \, dt \\
\leq \int_0^T \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\chi|^p \phi_2 \, dx \right) \, dt + \int_0^T \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi \right) \left( \cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_1(x) - \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_2(x) \right) \xi dt \, dx.
\]
Denoting \( f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\chi|^p \phi_2 \, dx \), and \( A = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi \left( \cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_1(x) - \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} g_2(x) \right) \, dx \), then we have
\[
\lambda \int_0^T f \phi_2 \, dt + A \int_0^T \xi dt \\
\leq 3 \int_0^T f^C \xi \phi_2 \, dt + \int_0^T f \phi_2^C \, dt + \int_0^T f \phi_2^C \, dt \\
= 3 \int_0^T f \phi_2 \, dt + \int_0^T \left( \phi_2^C \right) \xi \, dt + \int_0^T \left( \phi_2^C \right) \xi \, dt \\
\leq \frac{\lambda \alpha}{2} \int_0^T f \phi_2 \, dt + CT \left( \left( \phi_2^C \right)^p \, dt + CT \left( \left( \phi_2^C \right)^p \, dt \\
\right) \right).
\]
Thus,
\[
\frac{\lambda \alpha}{2} \int_0^T f \phi_2 \, dt + CAT \leq C \left( T^{1-p} + T \right).
\]
So,
\[
A \leq C \left( T^{1-p} + T \right),
\]
since \( p < 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \), we get \( A \leq 0 \) by taking \( T \to \infty \), which contradicts with the assumption. Therefore, if \( u \in C \left( \left[ 0, T \right) \times \mathbb{R}^N ; R \right) \) is a solution of (1), then \( T < +\infty \).
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