Exponentially-Fitted 2-Step Simpson’s Method for Oscillatory/Periodic Problems

Ashiribo Senapon Wusu, Bosede Alfred Olufemi, Akanbi Moses Adebowale

Department of Mathematics, Lagos State University, Lagos, Nigeria
Email: ashiribo.wusu@lasu.edu.ng, alfred.bosede@lasu.edu.ng, moses.akanbi@lasu.edu.ng

Received 15 December 2015; accepted 23 February 2016; published 26 February 2016

Copyright © 2016 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Abstract
Following a six-step flow chart, exponentially-fitted variant of the 2-step Simpson’s method suitable for solving ordinary differential equations with periodic/oscillatory behaviour is constructed. The qualitative properties of the constructed methods are also investigated. Numerical experiments on standard problems confirming the theoretical expectations regarding the constructed methods compared with other existing standard methods are also presented. Our results unify and improve the existing classical 2-step Simpson’s method.
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1. Introduction
In this paper, we consider the first-order initial value problem of the form

\[ u' = f(t, u), \quad t \in [t_0, T], \quad u(t_0) = u_0 \] (1)

with oscillatory/periodic solution.

Several classical methods ([1]-[5]) for solving (1) have been derived. However, classical methods may not be well-suited for handling problems with pronounced periodic or oscillatory behaviour, because in order to accurately achieve this, a very small step size would be required with corresponding decrease in performance, especially in terms of efficiency. To overcome this barrier, classical methods have to be adapted in order to efficiently approach the oscillatory behaviour. The adaptation (which is called “exponential/trigonometric fitting”) is achieved by replacing some of the highest order monomials of the basis with exponentials or trigonometric (see [6]-[8]). Numerical algorithms for solving problems whose solution exhibits a pronounced periodic or oscillatory behaviour has since the last decade gained a lot of attention. Such problems are often
encountered in fields like mechanics, electronic, astrophysics, chemistry and engineering. The idea of using exponentially fitted formulae for differential equations was first proposed by Liniger and Willoughby [9]. Integration formulae containing free parameters were derived and these parameters were chosen so that a given function \( \exp(q) \) where \( q \) was real, satisfied the integration formulae exactly. This was tested on linear multistep method for \( k = 1 \), however Jackson and Kenue [10] derived a fourth order exponentially fitted formulae based on a linear 2-step formula and were A-stable. Based on this idea, Cash [11], in his own work, attempted using Multiderivative Linear Multistep Method (MLMM) with \( k = 1 \) in the second derivative formulae. Particular Runge-Kutta (RK) algorithms have been proposed by several authors [12]-[15] in order to solve this class of problems. Vanden Berghe et al. [16] [17] on the other hand, introduced other exponentially fitted RK (EFRK) methods which integrate exactly first-order systems whose solutions can be expressed as linear combinations of functions of the form \( \exp(\lambda t), \exp(-\lambda t) \) or \( \cos(\omega t), \sin(\omega t) \).

Here, we analyze the construction and implementation of the exponentially-fitted variants of the 2-step Simpson method for solving problems of the form (1) which possess oscillatory/periodic solution, taking into account the six-step flow chart described by Ixaru and Vanden Berghe in [6].

The main interest of this work is to modify the classical 2-step Simpson method for adaptation to oscillatory/periodic problems.

2. Construction of Method

The classical 2-step Simpson method for solving (1) is given by

\[
    u_{n+1} = u_{n-1} + \frac{h}{3} \left( f_{j+1} + 4f_j + f_{j-1} \right).
\]

To begin the construction of the exponentially-fitted variants of (2), we rewrite (2) in a more general way as

\[
    u_{n+1} = \alpha_0 u_{n-1} + h \left( \beta_2 f_{j+1} + \beta_1 f_j + \beta_0 f_{j-1} \right).
\]

Following the six-step flow chart, the corresponding linear difference operator \( \mathcal{L}[h,a] \) reads

\[
    \mathcal{L}[h,a]u(t) = u(t + h) - \alpha_0 u(t - h) - h \left( \beta_2 u'(t + h) + \beta_1 u'(t) + \beta_0 u'(t - h) \right)
\]

where \( a := (\alpha_0, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2) \). Applying step II of the six-step procedure, the resulting system of equations is compatible when \( M = 5 \). Solving the resulting system, we have

\[
    \alpha_0 = 1, \quad \beta_0 = \beta_2 = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{4}{3}
\]

which are the coefficients of the classical method (2).

Applying step III, we find that

\[
    G^+(Z,a) = (\beta_0 - \beta_2) \sqrt{Z} \sinh(\sqrt{Z}) - (\alpha_0 - 1) \cosh(\sqrt{Z})
\]

\[
    G^-(Z,a) = -\beta_1 + \left( \alpha_0 + 1 \right) \sinh(\sqrt{Z}) \sqrt{Z} - (\beta_2 + \beta_0) \cosh(\sqrt{Z})
\]

where \( z = \omega h = \omega_h \) and \( Z = z^2 \). \( \omega \) (the frequency of oscillation) is real or imaginary. (For the trigonometric case, \( i.e., \omega \) is imaginary, choose \( z = \omega h = i\mu h \), \( i.e., z^2 = -\mu^2 h^2 = Z \).)

To implement step IV, consider the reference set of \( M \) functions:

\[ \{1, t, \cdots, t^K, \exp(\pm \omega t), t \exp(\pm \omega t), \cdots, t^K \exp(\pm \omega t)\} \]

with \( K + 2P = M - 3 \). Since for our method \( M = 5 \), we have three possibilities:

- \( K = 4, P = -1 \), the classical case with the set \( 1, t, t^2, t^3, t^4 \).
• \( K = 2, P = 0 \), the mixed case with the set \( 1, t, t^2, \exp(\pm \omega t) \)
• \( K = 0, P = 1 \), the mixed case with the set \( 1, \exp(\pm \omega t), t \exp(\pm \omega t) \)

The coefficients of the method for each case are obtained by the implementation of step V as follows:

S1: \((K, P) = (4, -1)\) In this case, the solution is already known by (4)

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_0 &= 1, \\
\beta_0 &= \frac{\sinh(z) - z}{z (\cosh(z) - 1)}, \\

\beta_1 &= \frac{\text{csch}^2 \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) \left( z \cosh(z) - \sinh(z) \right)}{z}, \\
\beta_0 &= \frac{\sinh(z) - z}{z (\cosh(z) - 1)}.
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

S2: \((K, P) = (2, 0)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_0 &= 1, \\
\beta_0 &= \frac{z \coth(z) - 1}{z^2}, \\
\beta_1 &= \frac{2 \left( \cosh(z) - z \text{csch}(z) \right)}{z^2}, \\
\beta_0 &= \frac{z \coth(z) - 1}{z^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

S3: \((K, P) = (0, 1)\)

As expected, the exponentially fitted variants reduce to the classical method as \( z \to 0 \).

3. Error Analysis: Local Truncation Error (lte)

The general expression of the leading term of the local truncation error (lte) for an exponentially fitted method with respect to the basis functions

\[
\left\{ 1, t, \ldots, t^K, \exp(\pm \omega t), t \exp(\pm \omega t), \ldots, t^L \exp(\pm \omega t) \right\}
\]

(9)

takes the form (see [6])

\[
\text{lte}^{EF}(t) = (-1)^{P+1} h^M \ell_{\ell=1}^{K+1} a(Z) \left( K + 1 \right) Z^{P+1} \left( D^2 - \omega^2 \right)^{P+1} u(t)
\]

(10)

with \( K, P \) and \( M \) satisfying the condition \( K + 2P = M - 3 \).

For the three methods constructed above, one finds the following results:

• S1: \((K, P) = (4, -1)\)

\[
\text{lte}^{EF}(t) = -\frac{1}{90} h^5 u^{(5)}(t)
\]

(11)

• S2: \((K, P) = (2, 0)\)

\[
\text{lte}^{EF}(t) = \frac{1}{6Z} h^5 \left( \alpha_0 - 3\beta_2 - 3\beta_0 + 1 \right) \left( \omega^2 u^{(3)}(t) - u^{(5)}(t) \right)
\]

• S3: \((K, P) = (0, 1)\)

\[
\text{lte}^{EF}(t) = \frac{1}{Z^2} h^5 \left( \alpha_0 - \beta_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_0 + 1 \right) \left( u^{(3)}(t) - 2\omega^2 u^{(3)}(t) + \omega^2 u'(t) \right)
\]
4. Existence and Uniqueness of Solution

The following theorem states conditions of \( f(t,u) \) which guarantee the existence of a unique solution of the initial value problem (1).

**Theorem 1.** Let \( f(t,u) \) be defined and continuous for all points \((t,u)\) in the region \(\mathcal{D}\) defined by \(a \leq t \leq b, \ -\infty < u < \infty, \ a \) and \(b\) finite, and let there exist a constant \(\mathcal{L}\) such that, for every \(t,u,u'\) such that \((t,u)\) and \((t,u')\) are both in \(\mathcal{D}\),

\[
|f(t,u) - f(t,u')| \leq \mathcal{L}|u - u'|
\]

(12)

then if \(\eta_0\) is any given number, there exists a unique solution \(u(t)\) to the initial value problem (1), where \(u(t)\) is continuous and differentiable for all \((t,u)\in\mathcal{D}\). Lambert [3].

The requirement (12) is known as the **Lipschitz Condition**, and the constant \(\mathcal{L}\) is called the **Lipschitz constant**.

This condition may be thought of as being intermediate between differentiability and continuity, in the sense that

- \(f(t,u)\) continuously differentiable with respect to \(u\) \(\forall (t,u)\in\mathcal{D}\)
- \(\Rightarrow f(t,u)\) satisfies a Lipschitz Condition w.r.t. \(u\) \(\forall (t,u)\in\mathcal{D}\)
- \(\Rightarrow f(t,u)\) continuous w.r.t. \(u\) \(\forall (t,u)\in\mathcal{D}\)

In particular, if \(f(t,u)\) possesses a continuous derivative w.r.t. \(y\) for all \((t,u)\in\mathcal{D}\), then, by the mean value theorem

\[
f(t,u) - f(t,u') = \frac{\partial f(t,u)}{\partial u} (u - u')
\]

where \(\bar{u}\) is a point in the interior of the interval whose end-points are \(u\) and \(u'\), and \((t,u)\) and \((t,u')\) are both in \(\mathcal{D}\). Clearly (12) is satisfied if

\[
\mathcal{L} = \sup_{(x,u)\in\mathcal{D}} \frac{\partial f(x,u)}{\partial u}
\]

(13)

is chosen.

5. Contraction Mapping Theorem

In the sequel, we shall apply the following Contraction Mapping Theorem:

**Theorem 2. (Contraction Mapping Theorem).** Consider a set \(D \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) and a function \(g : D \to \mathbb{R}^n\).

Assume

- \(D\) is closed (i.e., it contains all limit points of sequences in \(D\))
- \(x \in D \Rightarrow g(x) \in D\)
- The mapping \(g\) is a contraction on \(D\): There exists \(q < 1\) such that
  \[\forall x, y \in D: \|g(x) - g(y)\| \leq q\|x - y\|\]

Then

- there exists a unique \(x^* \in D\) with \(g(x^*) = x^*\)
- for any \(x^{(0)} \in D\), the fixed point iterates given by \(x^{(k+1)} := g(x^{(k)})\) converges to \(x^*\) as \(k \to \infty\)
- \(x^{(k)}\) satisfies the a-priori estimate
  \[
  \|x^{(k)} - x^*\| \leq \frac{q^k}{1-q}\|x^{(0)} - x^*\|
  \]

and the a-posteriori error estimate...
6. Application of the Contraction Mapping Theorem to LMM

If \( h \) is sufficiently small, implicit LMM methods also have unique solutions given \( h \) and \( u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1} \). To see this, let \( \mathcal{L} \) be the Lipschitz constant for \( f \). Given \( u_i, \ldots, u_{i+k-1} \), the value for \( u_{i+k} \) is obtained by solving the equation

\[
\alpha u_{i+k} = h \beta_j f(t_{i+k}, u_{i+k}) + g_i
\]

where

\[
g_i = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (h \beta_j f_{i,j} - \alpha u_{i,j}) \quad \text{(constant)}
\]

That is, we are looking for a fixed point of

\[
\phi(u) = h \beta_j f(t_{i+k}, u) + g_i
\]

If \( h |\beta_j| \mathcal{L} < 1 \), then \( \phi \) is a contraction:

\[
|\phi(u) - \phi(u^*)| \leq h |\beta_j| \mathcal{L} |u - u^*|
\]

So by the Contraction Mapping Fixed Point Theorem, \( \phi \) has a unique fixed point. Any initial guess \( u_{i+k}^0 \) yields a convergent fixed point iteration:

\[
u_{i+k}^{i+1} = h \beta_j f(t_{i+k}, u_{i+k}^i)
\]

7. Convergence and Stability Analysis

**Theorem 3 (Dahlquist Theorem)** The necessary and sufficient conditions for a linear multistep method to be convergent are that it be consistent and zero-stable.

Dahlquist theorem (3) holds also true for EF-based algorithms but, because their coefficients are no longer constants the concepts of consistency and stability have to be adapted.

**Definition 4.** An exponentially fitted method associated with the fitting space (9) is said to be of order \( p = M - r \), (where \( r \) is the order of the differential equation to be solved) and it is consistent if \( p \geq 1 \).

Since \( M \geq 1 \) for all the constructed schemes, the consistency requirement is satisfied. Hence, the constructed schemes are all consistent.

**Definition 5.** A linear \( s \)-step method is said to be weakly stable if there is more than one simple root of the polynomial equation \( \rho(\xi) = 0 \) on the unit circle.

To investigate the stability of (3), one applies the method to the test problems \( u' = \lambda u \). Applying (3) to the above test problems, one obtains

\[
u_{n+1} = \alpha u_n + h(\beta_1 \lambda u_{n+1} + \beta_2 \lambda u_n + \beta_3 \lambda u_{n-1})
\]

From the above, one finds that

\[
(1 - \beta_2 h)u_{n+1} - \beta_1 h u_n - (\alpha_0 + \beta_3 h)u_{n-1} = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

where \( h = \lambda h \). The characteristics equation is given by

\[
(1 - \beta_2 h)\xi^2 - \beta_1 h \xi - (\alpha_0 + \beta_3 h) = 0
\]

setting \( h = 0 \) in (21), gives the reduced characteristic equation as \( \xi^2 - 1 = 0 \). The roots are \( \xi = \pm 1 \) and hence the methods derived are weakly stable. Notice that \( \xi \) depends on the test equation but \( Z \) on the numerical method.
Definition 6. A region of stability is a region of the $q-z$ plane, throughout which $|R(q,z)| < 1$. Any closed curve defined by $|R(q,z)| = 1$ is a stability boundary. Also, any interval $(\alpha, \beta)$ of the real line is said to be the interval of stability if the method is stable for all $q \in (\alpha, \beta)$.

For each of the constructed methods, the region of stability is presented in Figure 1.

8. Numerical Results

Numerical experiments confirming the theoretical expectations regarding the constructed methods are now performed. The constructed methods are applied to two test problems and the result obtained compared with the classical fourth-order Taylor method, explicit four stage fourth-order Runge-Kutta method and the classical 2-step Simpson method.

8.1. Problem 1

Consider the IVP: $u' - u = t, u(0) = 1$ with the exact solution $u(t) = 2e^t - t - 1$. Solving the problem using different values of steplength $h$, the the maximum absolute errors for each steplength is obtained as presented in Figure 2. As expected, the exponentially-fitted variants (S2:(2,0), S3:(0,1)) of the classical 2-step Simpson method performed better compared with the classical methods.

8.2. Problem 2

Consider the IVP: $u' = \alpha u + e^{\alpha t}, u(-1) = -e^{-\alpha}$ with the exact solution $u(t) = te^{\alpha t}$. With $\alpha = 1$, the problem is solved using different values of steplength $h$ and the maximum absolute error for each steplength is obtained as presented in Figure 3. The constructed exponentially-fitted variants also performed better compared to the classical methods.

Figure 1. Truncated absolute stability regions of the constructed methods.

Figure 2. Maximum absolute errors for Problem 1 as a function of the step-size $h = 2^{-k}, k = 2(1)8$. 
9. Conclusion

The exponentially-fitted versions of the classical 2-step Simpson method have been constructed and implemented in this paper. The stability and convergence properties of the constructed methods were also analysed. The results obtained from the numerical examples show that the theoretical expectations are meet (i.e. the exponentially-fitted variants of the classical 2-step Simpson method are suitable for solving periodic/oscillatory problems).
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