Seismotectonics of the Padanian Region and Surrounding Belts: Which Driving Mechanism?
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Abstract

It is argued that the complex tectonic pattern observed in the study area can plausibly be explained as an effect of the kinematics of the Iberia and Adria blocks, induced by the NNE ward motion of Africa and the roughly westward motion of the Anatolian-Aegean system with respect to Eurasia. These boundary conditions cause the constrictional regime which is responsible for the observed shortening processes in the Padanian region and Western Alps. The proposed dynamic context can plausibly account for the peculiar distribution of major seismic sources, located in the northern Apennines, the Giudicarie fault system, the offshore of the western Ligurian coast and the Swiss Alps. The observed tectonic pattern in Western Europe and the study area can hardly be reconciled with the implications of the roughly NWward convergence between Africa and Eurasia proposed by global kinematic models, whereas it is compatible with the alternative Africa-Eurasia kinematics and plate mosaic proposed by [1].
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1. Introduction

It is largely recognized that seismotectonic activity in the eastern Southern Alps, lying east of the Schio-Vicenza fault system, is caused by the indentation of the main Adriatic plate [2] [3] [4] [5], whereas a largely accepted geodynamic interpretation of the tectonic setting in the northern Italian area lying West of the Schio-Vicenza line, comprising the Padanian area and the surrounding Alpine and Apennine belts (Figure 1) is not yet available.

This last zone (Figure 1) mainly corresponds to the northwestern protuberance of
Figure 1. Tectonic sketch of the Padanian zone and surrounding belts, reported on the Structural Map of Italy [15]. 1, 2, 3) Main compressional, extensional and transcurrent features. 4) Fronts of buried folds 5) Main ridge crests 6) Romagna-Forli seismic alignment 7, 8) Very thinned and thinned areas in the Ligurian basin. AVT = Alta Val Tiberina trough, BV = Bedonia-Varzi fault system, Ca = Casentino trough, ET = Enza-Taro fault system, Ga = Garfagnana trough, Gi = Giudicarie fault system, GSB = Grand Saint Bernard arc, L.Al = Ligurian Alpine units, L.Ap = Ligurian Apennine units, LPB = Liguro-Provencal basin, Lu = Lunigiana trough, Mu = Mugello trough, Mo = Monferrato arc, Re = Reno thrust front, Se = Secchia thrust front, Si = Sillaro trust front, VV = Villarvernia-Varzi fault system.

the Adriatic plate buried below the Po valley and overthrust by the Alpine belt, from North and West, and by the Northern Apennines, from South. The two involved foredeeps have formed the large Padanian basin [6]-[12]. The fact that such region has been hit by several intermediate and strong earthquakes (Figure 2), associated with various strain styles and focal depths, suggests that significant tectonic activity is still going on and is characterized by a complex pattern [13] [14]. An important constraint to the present tectonic setting is given by the peculiar distribution of major seismic sources (M > 5.5), located in the Central Alps (Verona zone), Western Alps, Ligurian basin, Ferrara and Emilia buried folds, Romagna Apennines and pede Padanian Apennine belt (Figure 2).

Various geodynamic interpretations have been proposed for the evolution of the Apennine belt, mainly involving subcrustal processes. The most cited model invokes the retreat of the Adriatic lithosphere subducted beneath the Apennine belt, possibly induced by slab pull or mantle flow, as the main driving mechanism of surface deformation [17] [18] [19] [20] [21].

However, such interpretation can hardly provide plausible explanations for some major evidence, as argued in the following:
1) Slab retreat can only occur when a well-developed lithospheric body is present (200 km long at least [22] [23]. However, this feature is not compatible with the results of deep seismic surveys and recent tomographic analyses, both suggesting the absence of a long Adriatic slab beneath the Northern Apennines [24] [25].

2) Subcrustal seismic activity beneath the Northern Apennines is very weak, with magnitudes lower than 5 [26]. Furthermore, focal depths are mostly lower than 70 km [27] [28] [29]. This evidence can hardly be reconciled with the presence of a tectonically active, well-developed slab. In the zones of the world where the presence of a long lithospheric body is suggested by the occurrence of deep seismic activity, as observed for instance in the southern Tyrrhenian zone, major earthquakes are recognized up to depths of about 500 km [30].

3) Slab-retreat is inhibited when continental lithosphere enters the subduction zone [31]. Since the Adriatic lithosphere which underthrusts the Northern Apennines is continental [24], the occurrence of a slab-retreat process in that context is not plausible.

4) The sector of the Northern Apennines buried under the Po Valley (Figure 1) is characterized by a series of structural arcs, known from West to East as the Monferrato, Emilia, Romagna, Ferrara and Adriatic Folds [7] [32] [33] [34]. Explaining the development of such peculiar tectonic pattern is not trivial, as discussed by [35], who suggests that the above arcs mostly developed since late Miocene within a dextral transpressional deformation regime. Other authors [36] explain the recent evolution of the Northern Apennines in the framework of a belt-parallel transpressional regime. More in general, it seems plausible that very arcuate structures, such as the buried Apennine
folds, may form by oroclinal bending driven by belt-parallel compression (i.e., secondary oroclines after [37]). Similar considerations have been made by other authors [38] [39] [40], concerning the formation of the Northern Apennines. On the other hand, it is not clear how the slab-retreat mechanism could explain such complex pattern of oroclinal arcs. For instance, numerical and laboratory experiments suggest that subduction-related forces need tens of millions of years to induce only a modest curvature of the subduction trench [41] [42].

5) When applied to the interpretation of the Neogene evolution of the central Mediterranean, the slab-retreat mechanism encounters several difficulties, exhaustively discussed by [43] and [44]. In particular, [44] suggest that the recent uplift pattern of the Apennine belt can hardly be explained as an effect of subduction-related processes.

6) Some aspects of the present velocity field of the Apennines, deduced by space geodetic measurements [45], are scarcely compatible with the implications of the slab-retreat mechanism [39], as discussed later in this work.

7) Some authors [46] [47] have applied the slab retreat mechanism to the Eocene-Oligocene evolution of the Western Alps. However, [48] suggest that this mechanism cannot be invoked to explain the tectono-metamorphic evolution of the above belt, with particular reference to the exhumation of eclogite complexes.

A different interpretation for the evolution of the Apennine belt has been proposed by other authors [38] [39] [40] [43] [49] [50] [51], who suggest that the observed tectonic pattern is due to the kinematics of the confining plates (Africa, Iberia, Adria and Eurasia). In the next section, an updated description is provided about how the above boundary conditions have determined the post Middle Miocene evolution of the Adriatic plate and surrounding belts, with particular regard to the northwestern protuberance of that plate that now lies below the Padanian area.

As concerns the tectonic setting in the Western Alps and the occurrence of major earthquakes in the Ligurian sea and in the western Swiss Alps (Figure 2), most of the geodynamic interpretations so far proposed [52]-[57], invoke a poorly defined counterclockwise rotation of the northern Adriatic domain as the main driving mechanism. However, this hypothesis does not explain how the proposed behaviour of the northern Adria domain can be reconciled with other evidence about the kinematics of the Adria plate [39]. In this work, the deformation pattern of the Western Alps and the peculiar distribution of seismic activity are explained as an effect of redistribution of orogenic masses that accommodates the convergence of the Adria, Iberia and Eurasia plates.

Since the understanding of the present tectonic setting in the study area may be considerably favoured by the knowledge of the recent evolution, the next section reports a synthetic description of such information, which has been inferred from the analysis of a huge amount of evidence.

2. Post Middle Miocene Evolution of the Adriatic Plate and Surrounding Belts

A long study of the observed deformation pattern in the central Mediterranean region
and the search of the geodynamic/tectonic context that may best account for the huge amount of evidence now available [1] [38] [43]-[51] [58] [59] [60] [61] [62] led us to propose the evolutionary reconstruction shown in Figure 3. This interpretation suggests that tectonic activity in the central Mediterranean region has been driven by

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** Evolutionary reconstruction of the central Mediterranean region proposed by Mantovani *et al.* [38] [43] (a) Middle Miocene. Al = Alboran basin, CP = Calabria-Peloritani (b) Upper Miocene. CAL = Calabria, Gi = Giudicarie fault system, NT = Northern Tyrrhenian basin, TAF = Transalboran fault system, TW = Tauern window (c) Pliocene. CT = Central Tyrrhenian (Magnaghi and Vavilov basins), ESA = Eastern Southern Alps, SV = Schio-Vicenza fault system, Sy = Syracuse escarpment (d) Early Pleistocene. HW = Hyblean wedge, Pa = Palinuro fault, ST = Southern Tyrrhenian (Marsili basin), Vu = Vulcano fault (e) Present. 1) European continental domain 2) Cenozoic Rift system 3) Africa-Adriatic continental domain 4) Oceanic domains 5) Alpine belts 6) Neogene accretion belts 7, 8) Neogene extensional basins and oceanized zones 9) External fronts of orogenic belts 10, 11, 12) Major compressional, extensional and transcurrent tectonic features. Thin lines identify the present geographical contours. Blue arrows indicate plate motions with respect to Eurasia [38] [43] [51].
the convergence of the confining plates and that the spatio-temporal distribution of deformation has been controlled by the need of minimizing the resistance of gravitational and frictional forces, in line with the minimum action principle [63] [64] [65] [66]. Most often, this condition has been fulfilled by the consumption of the least buoyant lithosphere, mainly represented by the Tethyan oceanic domain.

The evolutionary reconstruction given in Figure 3 relates to the period during which major discontinuities have been activated in the northern Adriatic domain. In the middle Miocene (Figure 3(a)), the Adriatic promontory, still closely connected with the African plate, was moving roughly NNW ward. Due to previous tectonic events, the northwestern part of this promontory was characterized by an old discontinuity (the Giudicarie fault system), which originally formed as a transfer fault of the Peri-Adriatic Lineament in the upper Cretaceous and lower Eocene and then, in the lower Oligocene, turned in a underthrusting zone [67] [68] [69].

In the geodynamic context that characterized the central Mediterranean region in the middle Miocene (Figure 3(a)), the minimum action principle required the Adriatic promontory to decouple from its northwestern protuberance (which was deeply embedded into the Western Alps) and start a roughly NNE ward motion (Figure 3(b)), at the expense of the structures that were present in the Carpatho-Pannonian area [38] [43]. Such decoupling was allowed by the reactivation, as a sinistral transpressional fault system, of the previous Giudicarie thrust zone [70] [71]. The separation between the western and eastern Padanian sectors at the Giudicarie discontinuity (and its presumed southwestward prosecution) has recently been suggested by [11] as well. The above interpretation is consistent with the fact that after the Giudicarie event accretion only occurred in the sector of the Alpine front which lay east of the Giudicarie fault system and the orientation of maximum shortening was perpendicular to the present thrust front in the Eastern Southern Alps [32] [69] [72].

Another major effect of the main Adria indenter, after the Giudicarie decoupling was the occurrence of an extrusion process in front of such indenter, involving the roughly eastward escape of an Alpine wedge, allowed by lateral transcurrent guides [73] [74] [75]. In the wake of the extruding wedge, extensional deformation took place, leading to the formation of the Tauern tectonic window (Figure 3(b)).

This phase lasted until the late Miocene, when the need of developing a more convenient complex of shortening processes (minimum action principle) required another major change of the kinematic/tectonic pattern in the central Mediterranean area [38] [43]. The above change was mainly determined by the fact that at the collision zone between the Anatolian Aegean-Balkan system (moving roughly westward) and Adria (moving roughly NNE ward) the low buoyant thinned continental domain (Ionian zone [76] had been completely consumed [77]. Indeed, such condition determined a strong increase of the forces that resisted the above plate convergence. This made convenient a drastic reorganization of the shortening processes in the whole central Mediterranean area that involved a number of major tectonic events. The main effect was the decoupling of the Adriatic-Ionian-Hyblean plate from Africa (Figure 3(c)), which was
allowed by the activation of a major lithospheric discontinuity, formed by the Victor-Hensen and Sicily Channel strike-slip/transtensional fault systems. To accommodate the roughly E-W shortening required by the convergence between the Adria-Ionian plate and the Northwestern Africa domain (Tunisia), the Hyblean wedge underwent a roughly NW ward extrusion (see, e.g. the numerical experiments described by [50]. The indentation of this extruding wedge then caused the outward escape of wedges from the Apennine-Alpine belt (which at that time lay to the east of Sardinia), at the expense of the thinned continental Adriatic margin and the oceanic Ionian domain (Figure 3(c)).

The decoupling of the Hyblean wedge from the Adria-Ionian plate was allowed by transtensional and transcurrent tectonics at the Syracuse fault system [78] [79]. The proposed evolution can account for the present shape of the Alpine belt lying along the northern boundary of the Hyblean wedge [80] [81].

As argued by [38] [43], the above context can plausibly and coherently account for the complex spatio-temporal distribution of major tectonic events that almost simultaneously started in the late Miocene and then developed until the upper Pliocene in the central Mediterranean area (Figure 3(c)), as listed in the following:

- Activation of the Sicily Channel and Victor-Hensen fault systems in the Pelagian zone, which allowed the Adriatic plate (including the northern part of the Ionian zone) to decouple from Africa;
- Extensional activity in the central Tyrrhenian area, which led to the formation of the Magnaghi-Vavilov basin (Central Tyrrhenian);
- Acceleration of orogenic activity at the outer front of the Apennine belt, after a period of relative quiescence;
- Activation of a major strike-slip discontinuity in the northern Adriatic area, the Schio-Vicenza fault system;
- Formation of a system of dextral shear faults in the northern Dinaric region, which allowed the Adriatic plate to move roughly NE ward with respect to the Pannonian-Carpathian zone;
- Roughly northward displacement of the sector of the Maghrebian-Alpine belt which lay north of the Hyblean wedge.

The works that describe the tectonic events mentioned above and the supporting evidence are [38] [43] [49] [50] [51] [58] [62].

This evolutionary phase developed until the late Pliocene-early Pleistocene (Figure 3(d)), when the entering of thick Adriatic continental lithosphere at the Southern Apennines trench zone, induced such belt sector to stop or considerably slow down its lateral escape. Since then, the only sector of the belt that was allowed to escape laterally, being facing the remnant of the Ionian oceanic domain, was the Calabrian Arc. The extensional tectonic that developed in the wake of the escaping Calabrian wedge, bounded by the Palinuro and Vulcano strike-slip faults, caused the formation of the southernmost Tyrrhenian (Marsili basin), while accretionary activity occurred along the outer front of the wedge, with the formation of the External Calabrian Arc. In response to the belt parallel compression that drove such extrusion, the Calabrian wedge underwent
rapid uplift and major fracturation [82] [83] that generated several transversal and belt parallel fault systems.

During the same evolutionary stage, the southern Adria sector, stressed by the roughly E-W convergence between the Balkan peninsula and Africa underwent upward flexure [84] [85] and was characterized by a very low mobility. The strengthening of tectonic activity along the eastern and the northern peri Adriatic zones since the middle Pleistocene (see the references given by [50]) indicates that the roughly northward motion of the Adria plate has accelerated since that time. This acceleration has considerably influenced tectonic activity in the Apennine belt, since the outer sector of that belt, stressed by Adria, has undergone belt parallel shortening, accommodated by the uplift and lateral escape of orogenic wedges, at the expense of the adjacent Adriatic domain (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Tectonic sketch of the outer Apennine sector (dark brown) that, stressed by the Adriatic plate has undergone belt-parallel shortening (accommodated by uplift and lateral escape) since the middle Pleistocene. Extensional and transtensional fault systems mark the decoupling zone between the outward extruding wedges and the inner, almost fixed, part of the Apennine chain. Aq = Aquila fault system, AVT = Alta Valtiberina trough; Be = Benevento fault system, ELA = eastern portion of the Lazio-Abruzzi carbonate platform, Ro-Fo = Romagna-Forlì seismic belt, Fu = Fucino fault system, Ga = Garfagnana trough, Lu = Lunigiana trough, Ir = Irpinia fault system, Ma = Maiella, Mt = Matese fault system, MS = Molise-Sannio wedge, Mu = Mugello trough, EmF, FeF = Emilia and Ferrara buried folds, RMP = Roman Magmatic Province, RMU = Romagna-Marche-Umbria wedge, SV = Sangro-Volturno thrust front, TE = Toscana-Emilia wedge, WLA = western portion of the Lazio-Abruzzi platform. Symbols as in Figure 1.
The mobile belt was formed by the Molise-Sannio wedge (MS), in the Southern Apennines, the eastern sector of the Lazio-Abruzzi carbonate platform (ELA), in the Central Apennines, and the Romagna-Marche-Umbria (RMU) and Toscana-Emilia (TE) wedges, in the Northern Apennines (Figure 4). The tectonic mechanism that determined such context has been influenced by some structural and tectonic features of the Apennine belt [43] [50], described in the following:

- In some sectors of the Apennine belt the coupling between the sedimentary cover and their basement was weak for the presence of a layer of Triassic evaporites (Burano formation), characterized by low mechanical resistance due to the presence of soft anhydrite levels among stiffer dolostones [86] [87] [88] [89]. This feature, favouring the decoupling between the cover and the basement, considerably influenced the minimum action condition in the Apennine belt, making more convenient the lateral escape of wedges with respect to other shortening mechanisms.

- Thrusting developed in the outer front of the extruding wedges, while extensional/transitional deformation occurred in the inner side of wedges, generating a series of troughs, now located in the axial zone of the belt [34] [35] [36] [90]-[95].

- Since the early Pleistocene, intense volcanic activity has occurred along the inner side of the Romagna Marche-Umbria wedge [96], building up the Roman volcanic province (Figure 4). This phenomenon has been interpreted as an effect of transitional tectonics [97].

- Another significant effect of the belt-parallel shortening is the uplift of the mobile belt [34] [98]-[107].

Since the late Pleistocene (Figure 3(e)), the relative motion of the Adria-Ionian plate with respect to Africa has undergone a considerable slowdown, due to the increasing resistance to shortening along the surrounding buoyant orogenic structures (Hellenides, Dinarides, Alps and Apennines). This trend suggests that at present the motion of Africa cannot be significantly different from that of southern Adria.

Since the late Miocene, the Padanian zone has undergone a compressional strain regime, due to the oblique convergence between Iberia, Adria and Eurasia plates (Figure 3).

3. Main Discontinuities in the Northern Adriatic Foreland and Their Possible Role in the Ongoing Seismotectonic Pattern

The evolution described in the previous section involves the development of two major discontinuities in the northern Adriatic foreland (Figure 3). In this section, we describe with greater detail the main features of such fault systems and, in particular, the role that they can play in the ongoing seismotectonic setting of the Padanian area. To better explain the above features, Figure 5 reports a tentative perspective view of the Adriatic foreland in some key evolutionary phases.

The proposed reconstruction suggests that after the reactivation of the Giudicarie discontinuity as a left-lateral fault system, the Adriatic promontory underwent a roughly NE to NNE ward displacement (Figure 3(b) and Figure 5(b)). Since the deepest
Figure 5. Tentative reconstruction of the geodynamic/tectonic setting that led to the reactivation of two major discontinuities in the northern Adriatic foreland. (a) Middle Miocene: the Adriatic/African promontory moves roughly NNW ward (blue arrows), causing thrust activity along the entire Alpine belt. The Giudicarie lineament (Gi) is an old thrust zone, inactive during this phase; (b) Upper Miocene: the Giudicarie discontinuity reactivates as a left-lateral transpressional fault system, allowing the main Adria domain to decouple from its northwestern protuberance, embedded in the Western Alps, and to undergo a new kinematic pattern. TW = Tauern window (see Figure 3(b)); (c) Pliocene-Quaternary: the Adria domain, not anymore connected with Africa (Figure 3), moves roughly NNW ward. In the northern Adriatic domain, this kinematic change required the reactivation, as a left-lateral fault system, of an old discontinuity (Schio-Vicenza). Since the beginning of this tectonic phase, the Giudicarie discontinuity has become a thrust zone, where the mobile Adria domain underthrusts the westernmost Adria protuberance. The green indicates the accretionary belt that formed along the norther front of the Adria plate.

This hypothesis may explain why the dip of the buried Adriatic foreland is higher in the Padanian zone lying east of the Giudicarie fault than in the area located west of the above discontinuity, as documented by seismo-geological cross sections (Figure 7). This hypothesis can also explain why the thickest sedimentary deposits in the
Figure 6. Tentative reconstruction of the unbending that the Adriatic slab is supposed to have undergone in response to the NE ward displacement of its shallow domain (Adria plate), which was allowed by the activation of the Giudicarie decoupling fault system (see maps). (a) Middle Miocene configuration along the section traced in the map; (b) Upper Miocene. The NE to NNE ward displacement of the shallow Adria plate and the very low mobility of its subducted part causes a reduction of the dip of the slab. As an effect of this process, the Adriatic foreland laying to the east of the Giudicarie fault underwent lowering, creating a step with respect to the Adriatic domain situated west of that fault (see the perspective image).

The presence of a steeper eastern Adriatic foreland, underlying the Emilia Plain, with respect to the western Adriatic foreland (underlying the Lombardia plain) has been suggested by other authors as well [14]. Furthermore, different structural settings in the...
eastern and western Padanian sectors are evidenced by seismo-geological sections which indicate the Giudicarie discontinuity as a possible boundary between the differentiated settings [11] [12].

The fractures of the Adriatic foreland that can mainly influence the present seismotectonic activity in the study area have developed since the late Miocene (Figure 5(c)), in response to the activation of the Schio-Vicenza left-lateral fault system. After that event, the roughly northward motion of the main Adriatic plate (lying east of that discontinuity) has been accommodated by thrusting in the Eastern Southern Alps. Shortening in the zone lying west of the Schio-Vicenza fault has been instead accommodated by different processes, mainly involving foreland structures. For instance, one may suppose that the Giudicarie discontinuity reassumed its old role of thrust zone, as tentatively illustrated in Figure 5(c). Such kind of process implies subsidence of the underthrusting eastern Adria domain and uplift of the western Adria foreland. The presumed underthrusting at the Giudicarie discontinuity is compatible with the structural setting pointed out by the seismo-geological sections [108] which indicate post-Messinian activity roughly oriented E-W thrusts at the northernmost sector of that discontinuity.

Another major effect of the roughly SE-NW shortening that the Adriatic domain (including its subducted margin) has undergone during the post-Messinian phase, may be given by uplift of its most curved sector, as tentatively reconstructed in Figure 5(c). This hypothesis could explain a peculiar feature of the recent evolution of the Romagna Apennines, i.e. the belt sector which most probably overlay the above mentioned up-
lifting sector of the Adria slab. As shown in the seismo-geological section given in Figure 8, the Romagna Apennines have undergone a remarkable uplift since the Pliocene [100]. This effect is clearly evidenced by the broad antiformal doming of the Romagna Apennines (Figure 8) and by the fact that in this belt sector the Ligurian units are almost absent [33] [34], a feature that is generally imputed to intense erosion of uplifted rocks.

4. Present Seismotectonic Setting in the Northernmost Apennines and Related Buried Folds under the Po Valley

In the previous sections and the cited papers, it has been suggested that since the middle Quaternary tectonic activity in the Northern Apennines has been driven by belt-parallel compression in the outer side of the chain (Figure 3). In this chapter, we provide a more detailed description of the tectonic processes that are supposed to have accommodated the proposed shortening in the Northern Apennines. The main geological, tectonic and morphological features that have been generated by the above driving mechanism are shown in Figure 1. The configuration of the mountain crests (Figure 1) helps to identify the structural elements that have undergone the most intense oroclinal bending and uplift. This evidence may be used to recognize the mecha-

![Figure 8. Seismo-geological cross section through the Romagna Apennines, evidencing the upward flexure of the layers and the lack of the top Ligurian units (L, green) in the central sector, which have presumably been eroded (After [34]). Ca = Mesozoic-Cenozoic carbonatic succession, M = Miocene foredeep tubidites. The trace of the section is reported in the map [109].](image-url)
nism that has generated the troughs (Garfagnana, Lunigiana, Mugello, Casentino, Alta-Valtiberina) that correspond to the main seismic sources in that Apennine zone [60] [110] [111]. In this regard, we argue that the extensional regimes that led to the formation of the above troughs were induced by local divergence between morphological crests which, in response to belt-parallel compression, have undergone different bending patterns [41] [59] [60]. This interpretation is compatible with the fact that the cited troughs are just located along the internal side of the ridges (Figure 1) that show the most pronounced curvature. One could also note that such curved ridges belong to belt sectors not covered by Ligurian units, an effect that reveals enhanced erosion due to intense uplift.

The fact that the bending of ridges has not developed beyond the Lunigiana zone may be connected with the presence of almost fixed structures, such as the Ligurian Apennines and in particular the Ligurian Alps, in the northernmost edge of the belt. In particular, the low mobility of the Ligurian Alps is due to the fact that such structure is closely connected with its basement, since the basis of the sedimentary cover does not include significant late Triassic anhydrite levels [86] [87] [112] [113]. The above interpretation is corroborated by the fact that the Ligurian Apennines are the less deformed and uplifted structure in the Northern Apennines, as testified by the evidence that such sector of the belt is still covered by thick Ligurian units and does not include Quaternary extensional troughs [114].

The effects of the longitudinal shortening can be as well recognized (even if less evident) in the Padanian side of the belt, the one characterized by the lowest altitude. As argued earlier, the intense uplift (and consequent erosion) that has affected the eastern segment of this sector, the Romagna Apennines (Figure 8), may be explained as an effect of the peculiar deformation of the underlying Adriatic lithosphere (Figure 5(c)).

The fact that major earthquakes have occurred along a relatively narrow roughly S-N belt in the Romagna Apennines and Forlì zone (Figure 2) implies the presence of a major fault system. Since such discontinuity is most probably very young, its long-term geological effects are still poorly recognizable. The features that could have been generated by the above discontinuity may be represented by a series of faults that cuts the thrust fronts formed during the previous Miocene and Pliocene compressional phases [35] [100] [115] [116] [117]. Considering the proposed Quaternary tectonic context in the Northern Apennines (Figure 1), one could suppose that the above fault system has allowed the decoupling between the belt segments that are mostly parallel to the Adria plate (Umbria-Marche Apennines) and the segment (Emilia-Romagna Apennines) that, being oriented roughly E-W, opposes a stronger resistance to move as the driving Adria plate.

Another evidence of belt-parallel shortening in the Emilian Apennines is given by the presence of some transversal lineaments [118] [119] interpreted as thrust fronts by some authors [33] [34]. The Sillaro thrust front (Figure 1 and Figure 8) is generally recognized as the zone where the outcropping Miocene foredeep turbiditic units in the Romagna Apennines underthrust the Ligurian units of the Emilia Apennines [34]. In
this sector, the belt-parallel shortening may also be accommodated by the bending and lateral escape of the Bologna wedge. This hypothesis is suggested by the outward curvature of that wedge, with respect to the adjacent sectors of the belt. This interpretation could explain why the main earthquakes in the Bologna zone are mostly distributed along the outer front of that wedge (Figure 9).

In the westernmost sector of the Emilia Apennines it is possible to recognize a structure that is hereafter recalled as the Piacenza wedge (Figure 1). Stressed by belt-parallel compression and resisted by the almost fixed structures of the Ligurian Apennines and Alps, this wedge has undergone a roughly Northward lateral escape. The decoupling of the above wedge from the Ligurian Apennines is allowed by sinistral shear at the Bedonia-Varzi fault system [36], where seismic activity occurs (Figure 1 and Figure 2). The shape of the Emilia folds buried beneath the Po Valley [120] [121] is compatible with the indentation of the Piacenza wedge. The roughly S-N extensional tectonics recognized at the E-W oriented Villarvernia-Varzi fault system [122] [123] [124] might result from the separation of the Piacenza wedge, moving roughly Northward, from the almost fixed Ligurian Apennines (Figure 1). A decoupling between the Piacenza wedge and the eastern segment of the Emilia Apennines could be allowed by transpressional deformations at the Enza-Taro transversal fault system [34] [36] [125] [126].

As concerns the possible connection between tectonic and seismic activity, one could remark that after the activation of the Villarvernia-Varzi fault system in 1828 (M = 5.8), revealing a roughly northward motion of the Piacenza wedge, major shocks occurred along the northeastern border (Reggio-Emilia zone, 1831 M = 5.5 e 1832 M = 5.5) and the inner boundary (Lunigiana, 1834 M = 5.8, Garfagnana, 1837 M = 5.8) of that wedge.

Figure 9. Tectonic sketch of the Bologna Apennines and major earthquakes occurred since 1000 A.D. [16]. Symbols as in Figure 1 and Figure 2.
The outer Apennine folds buried beneath the Po Valley undergo the same stresses that act on the emerged part of the belt (Figure 10). This hypothesis is confirmed by the fact that the above structures have been affected by significant seismicity: Emilia folds (1438 M = 5.6, 1501 M = 6.0, 1505 M = 5.6, 1831 M = 5.5, 1832 M = 5.6, 1971 M = 5.6); Ferrara folds (1346 M = 4.9, 1570 M = 5.5, 1624 M = 5.5, 1796 M = 5.6, 1996 M = 5.4, 2012 M = 5.8, 5.9); Adriatic folds (1672 M = 5.6, 1786 M = 5.6, 1875 M = 5.9, 1916 M = 6.0, 6.1).

Another possible connection between seismic activations of tectonically connected fault systems could have determined the fact that the May 2012 earthquakes in the Emilia folds (Figure 10) have been preceded in the January of the same year by earthquakes that might be located along the presumed Giudicarie discontinuity at depths of about 30 km below the Parma area (M = 4.9) and about 60 km below the Lunigiana area (M = 5.4). This presumed connection could be due to the fact that an activation of the Giudicarie thrusting zone, may allow a roughly westward acceleration of the Adriatic foreland, which on its turn may favour an increase of compressional stress (and related seismic activity) in the Ferrara buried folds [59] [60] [61]. The connection of seismic activity below the Lunigiana zone and the activation of the Giudicarie discontinuity is also compatible with the fact that the strong earthquake that hit the Verona area in 1117 (possibly located in the northernmost sector of the Giudicarie fault) was accompanied, in the same day, by an earthquake felt with an intensity of VIII in the above Tuscany zone [127].

Figure 10. Focal mechanisms of earthquakes that occurred in in the PedeApennine Padanian zone since 1980 (Mw ≥ 5), reported on the Geological Map of Italy [109]. Mw is the moment magnitude, h is the hypocentral depth. The black converging arrows indicate the direction of the maximum horizontal shortening axis. Data from the Centroid Moment Tensor Catalogue (www.globalcmt.org).
5. Present Seismotectonic Setting in the Western Padanian Region and Western Alps

We argue that seismotectonic activity in these regions is related to the redistribution of orogenic material that accommodates the shortening required by motions of the Iberia and Adria plates with respect to Eurasia (Figure 11). The evidence and arguments that support the proposed plate kinematics and the evolutionary pattern that has led to the present context are described in a number of works [1] [39] [43] [51] [62]. This interpretation (Figure 11) suggests that the Iberian microplate moves independently from the Africa and Eurasia. The decoupling between Iberia and Africa is accommodated by sinistral transpressional movements at the Transalboran fault system and by the roughly westward escape of the Alboran wedge [128] [129]. The decoupling of Iberia from the Atlantic-Eurasia plate is accommodated by a complex of sinistral transpressional faults in the Portugal-Northwestern Spain zone [130] [131]. The convergence between Iberia and Eurasia is accommodated by a variety of shortening processes in a wide region, including the Pyrenean belt, the Southeastern France and the Western Alps, as described below.

Tectonic activity in the Pyrenean belt is indicated by the earthquakes and deformation that affect several reverse faults [132] [133]. In front of the eastern Pyrenean belt, the Montagne Noire massif and surroundings have been affected by significant uplift in the Quaternary [134] [135] [136].

The evolutionary reconstruction proposed by [62] implies that since the Oligocene the NE-SW Iberia-Eurasia convergence has induced a roughly NE-directed compressional stress regime in France and the western Alpine region [137] [138]. In the most recent evolutionary phase, considerable uplift, widespread volcanism and seismic ac-

![Figure 11. Kinematics of the Africa, Iberia and Adria plates with respect to Eurasia [1] [39] [51] [64] that determines the compressional regime in the study area. 1) Eurasian domain 2) Africa-Adriatic domain 3) Morocco plate 4) Iberian plate 5) Orogenic belts 6) Main extensional basins. AW = Alboran wedge, TAF = Transalboran fault system, CM = Central Massif.](image-url)
Activity is recognized in the Central Massif \[139\] \[140\] \[141\] \[142\]. Moreover, several tectonic lineaments in Languedoc and Provence have been interpreted as effects of recent activity \[143\]-\[149\]. Such lineaments (Figure 12) are mostly represented by E-W thrusts (e.g. the Ventoux, Luberon and Trevaresse faults) and NE-SW strike-slip structures (e.g. the Cevennes, Nimes and Middle Durance faults).

Although in the above zones the rate of active deformation seems to be quite low \[146\], some strong shocks are well documented, as the 1909 Lambesc earthquake (I = IX, M = 6, \[152\]). Furthermore, the significant seismic damage of the Roman aqueduct

![Figure 12](image)

**Figure 12.** (a) Tectonic sketch of the Western Alps and surroundings, evidencing the zones (dotted) where compressional stresses induce uplift and extrusion of orogenic material. The empty arrows indicate the NNE ward push of Africa, transmitted by Iberia and the France foreland. The large black arrow in the Southwestern Alps indicates the trend of the escaping wedge. 1) European domain 2) Hercynian Massifs: AG = Aar-Gotthard, AM = Argentera-Mercantour, ARMB = Aiguilles Rouges-Mont Blanc, BE = Belledonne, PE = Pelvoix 3) Orogenic belts derived from the closure of the Alpine Tethys domain 4) Adriatic-Apennine domain 5,6) Very thinned and thinned areas in the Ligurian basin, respectively. The red line in the northwestern Alps is the trace of the cross section reported in B. Br = Brianconnais Front, Ce = Cevennes fault, Ch = Chamonix Line, DB = Dent Blanche, Di = GSB = Grand Saint Bernard Arc, FP = Frontal Pennine fault, IH = Internal Houiller fault, Lu = Luberon fault, MD = Middle Durance fault, Ni = Nimes fault, SF = Simplon fault, SZ = Sesia zone, Tr = Trevaresse fault, Ve = Ventoux fault. Other abbreviations and symbols as in Figure 1; (b) Cross section through the northwestern Alps. The black shaded lines over the section tentatively indicate the shape of the uplifted Helvetic and Penninic nappes before their removal by erosion (modified after \[150\]). IL = Insubric line. The inset in A shows the distribution, along the outer border of the GSB arc, of historical earthquakes with M ≥5 or I ≥VII \[151\].
near the Nimes fault [153] suggests that in southern France strong earthquakes (M > 6) may have long return times.

In the Western Alps, the shortening induced by the Iberian push has been accommodated by a variety of tectonic processes, including uplift, dextral transpression, lateral escape and relative rotation of wedges and oroclimic bending of belt segments. Since the deformation pattern during the above process has been controlled by the minimum action principle, the location and geometry of extrusion processes have been mainly influenced by the direction of maximum stress and, above all, by the location and dimensions of the lithospheric domains that have opposed the least resistance to be overthrust by the escaping buoyant orogenic material.

In the Southwestern Alps, the above interpretation is compatible with the fact that the extruding wedges have moved towards the thinned zone lying in the Liguro-Provencal basin (Figure 12) and the driving compression (due to the Iberia-Eurasia convergence) is oriented almost perpendicular to the escaping wedges of the Liguran Alps. This extrusion process has been allowed by the activation of a number of lateral transpressional guides locally associated with pull-apart mechanisms (Figure 12 [154]). The roughly E-W oriented compressional fronts where the above wedges overthrust the thinned Ligurian crust have been recognized by seismic surveys [55]-[155] and by the location of major shocks (Figure 2).

The hypothesis that the above extrusion mechanism is driven by the push of the Iberia plate is compatible with the significant correlation that can be recognized between the time patterns of seismic activity in Portugal and Southwestern Alps (Figure 13).

The fault system located in the Portugal zone is interpreted by [1] as a decoupling zone between the Iberian block and the Atlantic-Eurasia plate. This hypothesis implies that each strong earthquake at that fault system may trigger an acceleration of the Iberia plate, that then develops at rates compatible with the rheological properties of such structures. When the effects of such plate acceleration, transmitted by the French foreland, reach the Southwestern Alps, stress may undergo a significant increase at the boundaries of the Ligurian extruding wedge (Figure 12). This tentative interpretation might explain why the periods of highest seismic activity at the boundaries of the Ligurian wedge have mainly occurred in close time correspondence with the periods of highest activity in the Portugal fault system (Figure 13).

In the Northwestern Alps, the Cenozoic orogenic processes have produced a complex nappe system, resulting from the deformation of the European and Adriatic continental margins and the interposed oceanic sectors and microcontinents [156]. In between the Adriatic domain and the European foreland several paired deformed belts, each comprising nappes derived from the pre-Mesozoic crustal basement and from the related sedimentary covers, can be recognized (e.g., the Southalpine, Austroalpine, Pennine and Helvetic nappes). Moreover, the Alpine edifice is flanked by two major foreland basins, the Padanian basin to the south and the Molasse basin to the north. Generally, the Alpine orogeny is attributed to the effect of the roughly N-S Adriatic-
Eurasia convergence, which would have induced first the subduction and closure of the interposed oceanic domains (Piedmont and Valais), then the collision of the continental margins [157]. However, some pieces of evidence suggest that the above-mentioned Iberia-Eurasia convergence could have played a significant role in the development of the Western Alps:

1) The earlier orogenic phases in the Western Alps (Paleocene-Eocene) are compatible with a N-S to NNW-SSE convergence between Adriatic and Eurasia [158]. However, a different structural pattern has developed since the Oligocene, with thrust activity controlled by roughly ESE-WNW convergence (e.g., the Digne nappe in Provence [158]). This phenomenon could be an effect of the Iberia-Eurasia convergence.

2) It is suggested that several N-S or NE-SW trending tectonic lineaments in the western Alpine arc have behaved as dextral transpressional faults since the Miocene [159]. The kinematics of such structures, often corresponding to the boundaries of the main nappe systems (e.g., the Chamonix Line, Frontal Pennine Fault, Brianconnais Front and Internal Houiller Fault in [159] (Figure 12), are compatible with a regional stress field generated by the combination of the NW-SE Adriatic-Eurasia and NE-SW Iberia-Eurasia convergence. Moreover, the Insubric Fault Zone (Figure 12), i.e. the western sector of the major Periadriatic Fault system separating the Austroalpine from Southalpine domains [160], shows a similar dextral transpressional kinematics [159].

3) Many works have addressed the long-term exhumation pattern of the External Cristalline Massifs (i.e., Argentera-Mercantour, Pelvoux, Belledonne, Aiguilles Rouges-Mont Blanc and Aar-Gotthard), which currently outcrop along the outer sector of the western Alpine arc [159]-[161]-[167] (Figure 12). Such metamorphic/magmatic massifs, which represent tectonic nappes of the European upper crust, have undergone a rather uniform exhumation process since the Miocene or earlier, mostly involved in compressional or transpressional mechanisms. In particular, the role of NE-SW dextral strike-slip and transpressional faults in the exhumation of the Mont Blanc massif is suggested by [162]-[167]. As discussed above, the dextral transpression recognized...
4) In the northern sector of the western Alpine arc (i.e. the Swiss Alps), a complex nappe stacking has formed since the Oligocene [156]. During that period, the European crust has been strongly shortened, forming the Helvetic nappes that have been then overthrust by the Pennine nappes. In particular, the sedimentary cover of the Pennines has been detached from its basement and emplaced over the Helvetics until the early Miocene [168] [169] [170]. Since then, this nappe edifice has undergone considerable exhumation, erosion and lateral bending (Figure 12). For instance, a large body of the Penninic nappes was uplifted and completely eroded, causing the separation of the basement Penninic nappes from the remnants of the deformed sedimentary cover (Prealps Klippen [156] [170]; Figure 12). Moreover, in between these inner and outer Pennine units the underlying Helvetic nappe and their basement (Mont Blanc-Aiguilles Rouges and Aar-Gotthard) have outcropped. It is worth noting that the tectonic contact between the inner Pennine and Helvetic nappes (i.e. the Pennine Thrust Front) is markedly curved outward in the zone that separates the outcropping Mont Blanc and Aar massifs [156] [171] [172], hereafter indicated as Grand San Bernard arc (GSB) (Figure 1 and Figure 12). Furthermore, it may be pointed out that such zone has a very peculiar structural setting, because it corresponds to a broad crustal synform whose axis is roughly N-S directed (the Rawil depression [156]). The presence of such crustal fold within the GSB is still debated and quite puzzling in the context of current tectonic models of Swiss Alps [156], which suggests that the development of this part of the Alpine orogen was an effect of a roughly N-S compressional regime induced by the Adriatic-Europe convergence [157]. On the other hand, it seems plausible that the Rawil depression has been generated by a shortening process almost orthogonal to the above plate convergence. In this regard, we suggest that the shortening required by the roughly SW-NE convergence between Iberia and Eurasia has been accommodated in the Swiss Alps by uplift and outward horizontal bending of Helvetic and Penninic units around the GSB. Such bending has been mainly influenced by the location of the surrounding more rigid and exhuming External Crystalline Massifs, as the Mont Blanc and Aar. In this context, the Rawil depression might mark the zone where the GSB achieved its maximum curvature, i.e. where crustal folding occurred in order to accommodate the strong horizontal oroclinal bending.

5) Significant geomorphological processes and seismic activity are recognized around the GSB. Indeed, such sector of the Western Alps is affected by remarkable uplift, only in part attributable to post-glacial rebound [173] [174]. Furthermore, a number of historical strong earthquakes (reaching M = 6.2) are recorded along the outer border of the GSM arc, i.e. in the Rhone valley and Canton Valais [175] [176] [177] [178] (Figure 2 and Figure 12).

On the basis of above considerations, we propose that the compressional stress induced by the Iberia-Eurasia convergence has had a remarkable influence in the long-term evolution of the Western Alps since the Oligocene. At present, such push controls
the seismotectonics of the northernmost sector of that zone, i.e. the Swiss Alps around the Grand Saint Bernard oroclinic arc.

6. Geodetic Observations

The space geodetic (GPS) observations obtained from about 760 continuous stations operating in the Italian region and surroundings from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2015 have been analysed to estimate the present horizontal and vertical kinematic fields. The phase and pseudo-code data for each station have been analyzed by the GAMIT software version 10.5 [179] adopting a distributed procedure [180] as described by [45] [181]. The whole network has been divided into 43 clusters, following a simple geographic criterion, while maintaining the shortest possible baseline. Loose constraints (100 m) have been assigned to the daily position coordinates of each station belonging to all clusters. The International GPS service for Geodynamics (IGS) precise orbital solutions from Scripps Orbit and Permanent Array Center have been included in the processing with tight constraints, such as the Earth Orientation Parameter. The daily loosely constrained solutions have been combined into a unique solution by the GLOBK software [182], and aligned into the ITRF2008 reference frame [183] by a weighted six parameters transformation (three translations and three rotations), using the ITRF2008 coordinates and velocities of the 13 high-quality common IGS stations shown in the inset of Figure 14.

Then, the time series have been analyzed to estimate the north, east and vertical components of the geographical position of each site, following the procedure described by [45] [181]. Only the sites with a minimum observation length of 2.5 years have been included in the processing, in order to avoid biases generated by unreliable estimated seasonal signals [184] and/or by rate uncertainties, due to short time series [185]. Different methods have been developed to characterize noise in GPS time series and its impact on velocity uncertainties [186]-[191]. We have used the reformulated computation method of the Maximum Likelihood Estimation introduced by [189] in order to estimate the characteristics of the noise and the realistic uncertainties associated with velocity values. The resulting ITRF2008 horizontal velocity vectors with respect to the adopted Eurasian frame [183] are shown in Figure 14.

The kinematic pattern shown in that figure indicates that the outer sector of the Apennine belt moves considerably faster and with a greater eastward component with respect to the inner belt. This evidence is compatible with the kinematics of the belt that can be inferred from the distribution of Quaternary deformation [40], described earlier.

On the other hand, the above kinematics can hardly be reconciled with the implications of the geodynamic hypothesis that invoke the gravitational sinking of the Adriatic subducted lithosphere beneath the Apennine belt as the main driving mechanism of surface tectonics. Above all, it must be considered that the development of the presumed slab roll-back and consequent trench retreat along the Apennine belt is described with considerable uncertainty in literature. In particular, most authors [25] [192] [193] suggest that the evidence of subducted lithosphere beneath the Apennine
belt is lacking in large sectors of the trench zone. Thus, it is difficult to assume such a discontinuous process as the driving mechanism of the present velocity field in the Apennines, which is characterized by a fairly uniform distribution of rates and orientations all along the belt (Figure 14). Furthermore, the above interpretation can hardly explain why the inner Apennine belt has such a different kinematics with respect to the outer belt, as also noted by [194]. This last difficulty is also pointed out by the results of numerical modelling, which show that the NE-ward roll-back of the Adriatic plate is
expected to induce a similar motion in the upper plate [195], that in this case corresponds to the inner (Tyrrhenian) side of the Apennines.

The very low motion rates observed in the Western Padanian zone (Figure 14) are compatible with the fact that such area lies at the collision zone between two convergent indenters, the Adria plate on one side and the Iberia plate on the other side, and the fact that such convergence may hardly be accommodated by further shortening, since that process is strongly resisted by the presence of huge volumes of highly buoyant crustal material [8] [196] [197]. The fact that the GPS velocity vectors in the Western Alps and Western Padania are characterized by a dominant eastward orientation might be influenced by the kinematics of the Iberia plate.

The vertical kinematic pattern derived by GPS data in the northern Italian region is shown in Figure 15.

It can be noted that the eastern part of the Po Valley (Emilia plain) is characterized by significantly higher subsidence rates (up to 9 mm/year), with respect to the western part of that area, i.e. the Lombardia-Piemonte plain (from 1 to -1 mm/year). Since anthropic activities in these two Padanian sectors are comparable (see for instance, the discussion given by [45]), it seems plausible that the cause of the different vertical kinematics in such zones (Figure 15) is most probably related to tectonic movements. In this regard, one could consider that the proposed underthrusting process at the Giudicarie discontinuity (Figure 5) is expected to cause subsidence in the underthrusting eastern Adriatic domain and uplift in the western Adriatic domain. The almost null vertical velocities observed in the western Po Valley (Figure 15) could result from the combination of anthropic subsidence and tectonic uplift. The above interpretation is consistent with the fact that the boundary between the subsiding and the not subsiding Padanian sectors nearly corresponds to the presumed SW ward prolongation of the Giudicarie lineament [67] [69] [198].

The short term pattern of vertical velocities given in Figure 15 is compatible with the results of repeated levelling campaigns that have been performed since 1950 by Istituto Geografico Militare Italiano [199], and thereafter by other local regional authorities, companies and institutions [200] [201] [202]. In particular, the results of IGMI leveling campaigns carried out in the period 1897-1957 [203] point out a dominant subsidence in the eastern Po Valley, with rates increasing from west (1 - 2 mm/yr) to east (5 - 7 mm/yr), and prevalent uplift in the western part of the valley (Lombard plain), with velocities mostly lower than 2 mm/yr, except a narrow E-W belt in the Monferrato zone, where uplift rates reach 3.5 mm/yr. These results indicate that the Lombardia-Piemonte region underwent uplift in a period when anthropic activity was much less developed with respect to the recent/present time.

7. Conclusions

It is argued that seismotectonic activity in the Padanian zone and surrounding belts is conditioned by the kinematics of the Adria and Iberia plates, induced by the roughly NNE ward motion of Africa and the westward motion of the Anatolian-Aegean-Balkan system with respect to Eurasia (Figure 11).
This geodynamic context can plausibly explain why the major seismic sources (M > 5.5) of the region lying west of the Schio-Vicenza fault system are located in the Giudicarie zone, the outer front of the Northern Apennines, the offshore of the Ligurian coast and the Swiss Alps.

The occurrence of strong earthquakes in the central Padanian zone may be related with the underthrusting of the Adriatic lithosphere lying east of the Giudicarie discontinuity beneath the Adria domain which lies west of that thrust fault system. This process may have generated the strong earthquake (M = 6.7) that occurred in the Verona area in 1117 A.D. The roughly S-N compressional regime recognized in the external
part of the Northern Apennines and the related seismic activity is explained as an effect of the kinematics and deformation pattern of the outer sector of the Apennine belt, that, stressed by Adria, has undergone uplift and outward escape.

The oblique convergence between the Adria and Iberia plates (Figure 11) has induced a compressional regime in the Western Padanian area and Western Alps, that may be responsible for the deformation and uplift of the northernmost edge of the Apennine belt (Monferrato arc). In the Southwestern Alps, the above constrictional context may have caused the lateral escape of a wedge towards the thinned crust located in the Ligurian basin. The lateral guides of this extrusion process correspond to strike-slip fault system, locally associated with pull-apart mechanisms, in the Italian-French Alps. This could explain the presence of extensional features in such area. The seismicity, even characterized by strong shocks (up to \( M = 7 \)), that has occurred offshore the Western Ligurian coast may be associated with the outer thrust front of the above extruding wedge. The hypothesis that seismotectonic activity in the Southwestern Alps is conditioned by the push of the Iberia plate is supported by the fact that the strongest shocks in such zone have occurred during the periods of highest seismic activity in the Portugal fault system (Figure 13), that represents the main decoupling zone between the Iberia plate and the Atlantic-Eurasia domain.

In the Northwestern Alps, the proposed compressional regime has been accommodated by the reorganization of buoyant masses, in particular by the uplift, lateral escape and bending of Pennine units. This process is supposed to be responsible for the seismic activity that occurs along the outer border of the main Pennine arc in the Grand Saint Bernard arc.

It must be pointed out that the proposed geodynamic interpretation is not compatible with the roughly SE-NW Africa-Eurasia convergence trend proposed by global kinematic models [204] [205] [206], since it would not induce the constrictional regime in the southern France, Western Alps and western Padanian zone that can account for the observed shortening patterns. In particular, the above plate convergence cannot account for the sinistral transpressional regime observed in the Pyrenean belt. The roughly NW ward push of Africa, transmitted by the Alboran wedge, would rather imply a clockwise rotation of Iberia, which would produce an extensional regime in the Pyrenean belt. This remark integrates the discussions reported in previous works [1] [38] [39] [51], which point out other major difficulties that one must face for reconciling the implications of the global kinematic models with primary evidence in the whole Mediterranean region. The above works also argue that any difficulty can be overcome if the Africa-Eurasia kinematic pattern proposed by [1] is adopted.
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