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ABSTRACT
An efficient and accurate numerical method, which is called the CONV method, was proposed by Lord et al. in [2] to price Bermudan options. In this paper, this method is applied to price Bermudan barrier options in which the monitored dates may be many times more than the exercise dates. The corresponding algorithm is presented to practical option pricing. Numerical experiments show that this algorithm works very well for different exponential Lévy asset models.
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1. Introduction
Financial market is developing explosively, although it is struck by the financial tsunami recently. Many new financial derivatives, including options, warrants and swaps are springing out. They are widely used as risk management tool by investors, stock brokers and bankers. But still, options are the most popular derivative products as hedging tools in constructing a portfolio.

Since Bachelier, a French mathematician, first tried to give a mathematical definition for Brownian motion and used it to model the dynamics of stock process in 1900, financial mathematics has developed a lot. Many good ideas have been proposed to model the stock pricing processes since then. Recently, serval exponential Lévy models are used to model financial markets. For example, Merton’s model, Kou’s model, Variance Gamma (VG) model, Inverse Gaussian (IG) model, Normal Inverse Gaussian (NIG) model, and CGMY model, etc. (refer to [1-5]). Meanwhile, many efficient numerical methods for option pricing have been proposed. These methods can be classified into three major groups: numerical solutions to partial integro-differential equations (PIDEs), Monte Carlo simulation techniques, and numerical integration methods. Each of them has its advantages and disadvantages for different financial models and specific applications (refer to [6]).

This paper concerns with the application of numerical integration methods to price a Bermudan barrier option. A Bermudan option is an option where the buyer has the right to exercise at a set of pre-specified exercise dates before the maturity. A barrier option can either come into existence or become worthless if the underlying asset reaches a prescribed level (known as barrier) before the maturity.

Pricing Bermudan or barrier options is much harder than pricing European options. Because these options are depended on paths of the price process for the underlying assets. Recently, some new numerical integration methods based on Fourier transforms are proposed. An efficient and accurate FFT-based method, called the CONV method, was presented by Lord et al. to price Bermudan options in [2]. A fast Hilbert transform approach was considered by Feng and Linetsky to price barrier options in [7]. And a novel numerical method based on Fourier-cosine series expansion, called the COS method, was proposed by Fang and Oosterlee to price Bermudan and barrier options respectively (refer to [8,9]). This method was also extended to price Bermudan barrier options in [10].

In this paper, the CONV method is applied to price the Bermudan barrier option in which the pre-specified monitored dates may be many times more than the pre-specified exercise dates. The corresponding numerical algorithm is presented for practical option pricing. This algorithm works very well and accurately for different exponential Lévy asset models. Numerical experiments on this algorithm are also given to support the accuracy of this algorithm.

This paper is structured as follows. After this introduction, the CONV method is applied to derive the approximate pricing formula for Bermudan barrier options un-
under the exponential Lévy asset models in Section 2. Then, 
the corresponding numerical algorithm is presented for 
practical Bermuda barrier option pricing in Section 3. 
Finally, the practical Bermudan barrier option prices are 
computed by numerical experiments under the geometric 
Brownian motion (GBM) model and the CGMY model, 
respectively, in Section 4.

2. The CONV Method

Let \( \mathbb{T} \) be the maturity of the option considered here, 
and let \( M \) and \( L \) be two given positive integers. Denote 
\[ \mathbb{T} = \{ m_{\ell+1} : m = 0, 1, \ldots, M - 1, \ell = 0, 1, \ldots, L \} \]
the set of pre-specified monitored dates before the maturity 
\( T \), and 
\[ \mathbb{T}_v = \{ m_{\ell+1} : m = 1, \ldots, M - 1 \} \subset \mathbb{T} \]
the set of pre-specified exercise dates before the maturity 
\( T \), where \( 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_M = T \) with 
\( \Delta t = t_{k+1} - t_k = T/(M L) \) for any \( t_k \in \mathbb{T} \). We consider an 
American barrier option, which is discretely monitored at 
every \( t_k \in \mathbb{T} \) and can be exercised at each \( t_k \in \mathbb{T}_v \), 
namely Bermudan barrier option, whose payoff is given by 
\[ G(S_{t_k}) 1_{\{S_{t_k} \in [H,L]\}} \]
for each \( t_k \in \mathbb{T}_v \), and \( S_h \) is the price of the underlying asset at time 
\( t_k \in \mathbb{T} \), and \( H > K \) is the constant barrier. Thus, this is an 
up-and-out Bermudan barrier option.

Assume that, under the risk-neutral probability, the price of the underlying asset is given by 
\[ S_t = S_0 e^{X_t}, \quad t \geq 0 \]
where \( X_t \) is a Lévy process and \( S_0 \) is the initial price. For instance, in the GBM model, 
\( X_t \) is given by 
\[ X_t = \left( r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) t + \sigma B_t \]
where \( B_t \) is a standard Brownian motion; in the CGMY model, 
\( X_t \) is a CGMY process. The detail of these 
exponential Lévy models can be found in [4]. Let 
\( f(\cdot \mid x) \) be the conditional density of \( X_{t+k} \) given 
\( X_k = x \) for \( t_k \in \mathbb{T} \) under the risk-neutral probability. Set 
\[ g(x) = \begin{cases} (S_0 e^x - K)^+, & \text{for call option} \\ (K - S_0 e^{-x})^+, & \text{for put option} \end{cases} \]
and denote \( \nu(x,t_k) \) as the option price for the stock spot price \( S = S_0 e^x \). Then, it is clear that 
\[ \nu(x,t_{M_k}) = g(x) 1_{\{x > H\}} \]
where \( h = \log(H/S_0) \). With help of the risk-neutral 
valuation, the option price can be computed recursively by the backward induction: letting 
\[ e(x,t_k) = e^{-\alpha \Delta t} \int_{y} e^{\alpha f(y) \mid x} dy \]
for each \( t_k \in \mathbb{T} \), and then, if \( t_k \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{T}_v \), 
\[ \nu(x,t_k) = e(x,t_k) 1_{\{x < H\}} \]
and if \( t_k \in \mathbb{T}_v \), 
\[ \nu(x,t_k) = \max \{ g(x), e(x,t_k) 1_{\{x < H\}} \} \]
Finally, the option price \( \nu(x,t_0) \) is given by 
\[ \nu(x,t_0) = e^{-\alpha M \int_{y} e^{\alpha f(y) \mid x} dy} \]
for any \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). Then, the integral (7) can be rewritten as a 
convolution of \( \nu(x+z,t_{k+1}) \) and the function \( f(-x) \), 
i.e. 
\[ e(x,t_k) = e^{-\alpha M} \int_{x} e^{\alpha f(-z) \mid x} \nu(x,t_{k+1}) \]
Thus, for any \( \alpha > 0 \), we have 
\[ e^{\alpha x} e(x,t_k) = e^{-\alpha M} \left[ e^{\alpha f(-x) \mid x} \nu(x,t_{k+1}) \right] \]
Now, the main idea of the CONV method, which was 
proposed by Lord et al. in [1], is that, taking the Fourier 
transform in the both sides of the above equation and 
applying the Convolution theorem of Fourier transform, 
the integral becomes 
\[ e^{\alpha \xi} F[e^{\alpha x} e(x,t_k) \mid x] \]
\[ = F[e^{\alpha f(-x) \mid x} \nu(x,t_{k+1})] \]
where \( Fg(\xi) \) is the the Fourier transform of function 
g(x), i.e. 
\[ Fg(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\alpha x} g(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R} \]
Here \( i = \sqrt{-1} \) is the imaginary unit. Denote \( \phi(\xi) \), 
\( z \in \mathbb{C} \), is the characteristic function of the density \( f(x) \) 
on the complex plan \( \mathbb{C} \), i.e. 
\[ \phi(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\alpha x} f(x) dx, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \]
Then, by a simple calculation, we have
\[
e^{\alpha t} F\left[e^{\alpha t} e(x, t_k)\right](\xi)
= \phi(-\xi - i\alpha) \cdot \hat{F}\left[e^{\alpha t} v(x, t_{k+1})\right](\xi)
\]

Thus, taking the inverse Fourier transform we obtain
\[
e(x, t_k) = \frac{e^{-\alpha x+\alpha M}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\xi x} \phi(-\xi - i\alpha) d\xi
\]

Now, by employing the fast Fourier transform (FFT), the integral \(e(x, t_k)\) can be fast and accurately approximated by the numerical integrals in (9).

3. The Numerical Algorithm

Denote
\[
\kappa = \delta \sqrt{-\frac{\partial^2 \phi(u; T)}{\partial u^2} + \left(\frac{\partial \phi(u; T)}{\partial u}\right)^2}
\]

where \(\phi(u; T)\) is the characteristic function of \(X_T\), and \(\delta\) is a proportionality constant, which is taken as \(\delta = 20\) for the GBM model and \(\delta = 40\) for other exponential Lévy models according to the suggestion from [1]. Let \(N\) be an even integer. We consider the grid points on \(x\)-axes:
\[
x_j = \left(j - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta x, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1
\]

where \(\Delta x = \kappa / N\). Furthermore, we also consider the grid points for the numerical integrals in (9):
\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_j &= \left(j - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \xi, \\
y_j &= x_j,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\Delta \xi = 2\pi / \kappa\). It is clear that these grids satisfy the Nyquist relation: \(\Delta x \Delta \xi = 2\pi / N\). Now, for each \(t_k \in T\) and each \(p = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1\), approximating the first integral in (9) with composite trapezoidal rule and the second integral with left rectangle rule yields
\[
e(x_p, t_k)
= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{-\alpha x_p + \alpha M} \Delta x \Delta y \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{-i\xi_j y_p} \phi(-\xi_j - i\alpha)
\]

where the weights \(\omega_n\) are chosen as \(\omega_0 = \omega_{N-1} = \frac{1}{2}\) and \(\omega_n = 1\) for \(n = 1, \ldots, N-2\). Noting that \(\xi_0 = -\frac{1}{2} N \Delta \xi\) and \(\Delta x = \Delta y = 2\pi / (N \Delta \xi)\), we have
\[
e(x_p, t_k)
\approx e^{-\alpha x_p + \alpha M} \Delta x \Delta y \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{-i\xi_j y_p} \phi(-\xi_j - i\alpha)
\]

Therefore, we can use FFT to calculate the summations in the right side of (11). In fact, using the notations of the discrete Fourier transformation (DFT) and the inverse discrete transform (IDFT), we have
\[
e(x_p, t_k)
\approx e^{-\alpha x_p + \alpha M} \Delta x \Delta y \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{-i\xi_j y_p} \phi(-\xi_j - i\alpha)
\]

We can then define the early-exercise price \(S^*_k\) as follows:

\[
S^*_k = \max\left\{S_k, e^{\alpha t_k - \alpha M} \Delta x \Delta y \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{-i\xi_j y_p} \phi(-\xi_j - i\alpha)\right\}
\]

We summarize the practical pricing procedure by the following algorithm:

Algorithm: (Price an up-and-out Bermudan barrier option.)

1) Calculate \(v(x_p, t_{ML})\), \(p = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1\) by the formula:
\[
v(x_p, t_{ML}) = g(x_p)|_{t_{ML} < k}
\]

2) Take the following backward induction for \(k = ML-1, \ldots, 1\):
   a) For each \(t_k \in T\), compute \(e(x_p, t_k)\), \(p = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1\), by the formula (12), and calculate \(v(x_p, t_k)\), \(p = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1\) by the formula:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Copyright © 2012 SciRes.}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ v(x_p, t_k) = e\left(x_p, t_k\right)\mathbb{I}_{\{x_p > b\}} \]

until \( t_k \in T_r \) then go to Step b).

b) For each \( t_k \in T_r \), compute \( e(x_p, t_k) \), \( p = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \), by the formula (12), and determine the early-exercise price \( S^{*}_{tk} = S_0 e^{r(t_k)} \). Then, calculate \( v(x_p, t_k) \), \( p = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \) by the formula:

\[ v(x_p, t_k) = \max \left\{ g(x_p), e(x_p, t_k) \right\}\mathbb{I}_{\{x_p > b\}} \]

Return to Step a).

3) Compute \( v(x_p, t_0) = e(x_p, t_0) \), \( p = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \), by the formula (12).

4. Numerical Experiments

In this section, we employ the Algorithm to do numerical tests for the prices of Bermudan barrier options under the following two underlying asset models: the GBM model, in which the characteristic function \( \varphi(z) \) is given by

\[ \varphi(z) = \exp \left\{ i\mu z t_1 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 z^2 t_1 \right\}; \]

the CGMY model, in which the characteristic function \( \varphi(z) \) is given by

\[ \varphi(z) = \exp \left\{ i\mu z t_1 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 z^2 t_1 \right\} \cdot \varphi_{CGMY}(z, t_1) \]

where

\[ \mu = r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 + CT \cdot \Gamma(-Y) \cdot \left( (M - 1)^Y - M^Y + (G + 1)^Y - G^Y \right) \]

and

\[ \varphi_{CGMY}(z, t_1) = \exp \left\{ C t_1 \Gamma(-Y) \left[ (M - i z)^Y - M^Y + (G + i z)^Y - G^Y \right] \right\} \]

In these models, \( \Gamma(\cdot) \) is the gamma function, and \( r \), \( \sigma \), \( C \), \( G \), \( M \) and \( Y \) are parameters, which are given in the following Table 1.

In these numerical tests, we compare the price of Bermudan option and the prices of Bermudan barrier option under different barriers, under the GBM model and the CGMY model, respectively. Table 2 gives the different settings in these tests. And all codes in these numerical experiments are written in Matlab 7.5.

Figures 1 and 2 give the results of numerical tests. In these figures, the curve plots the Bermudan barrier option prices against the different barriers \( H \): in Figure 1, \( H = 60, 80, \ldots, 200 \), and in Figure 2, \( H = 100, 120, \ldots, 420 \). Also, in these figures, the straight line is the corresponding Bermudan option price with the same parameters and the same settings.

From these figures, we see that the prices of Bermudan

\[ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{Models} & r & \sigma & C & G & M & Y \\ \hline \text{GBM} & 0.1 & 0.25 & - & - & - & - \\ \text{CGMY} & 0.1 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 5 & 1.5 \\ \hline \end{array} \]

\[ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{Test} & \text{Models} & S_0 & K & T & M & L & N \\ \hline 1 & \text{GBM} & 100 & 110 & 1 & 10 & 1 & 2^{15} \\ 2 & \text{CGMY} & 100 & 80 & 1 & 10 & 1 & 2^{15} \\ \hline \end{array} \]

and Bermudan barrier options are quite different. We also see that, as the barrier level \( H \) is increasing, the deference of two options is decreasing. Specially, the Bermudan barrier option price is tending to the Bermudan option price. Here we must mention that we take

Copyright © 2012 SciRes.
the higher barrier levels in CGMY model than ones in BS model because the volatility of the CGMY model is bigger than BS model.
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