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Abstract

In this paper, we first evaluated the distribution of blood pressure (BP) using a dataset containing 113,979 measurements in 48,022 individuals with the cooperation of one health insurance society in Japan from April, 2013 to March, 2016. The means of the systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP) were 125.4 and 77.6 mmHg with standard deviations of 16.5 and 11.7 mmHg, respectively. Under the 140/90 criterion, 21.6% of the measurements showed hypertension. According to the World Health Organization/International Society of Hypertension criterion, 16.4%, 4.2% and 0.96% were classified as grades 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The factors affecting BP were evaluated by a regression analysis and were found to include age, gender, some eating habits, daily activities, smoking, drinking alcohol, sleeping and wages. Age was a very important factor, and the age cohorts from the previous study might be revised based on these findings. Among factors that individuals can control, the influence of drinking alcohol is very large. Comparing to an individual who does not drink, SBP and DBP of a heavy drinker are more than 5.0 mmHg higher on the average.
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1. Introduction

Blood pressure (BP) is considered to be one of the most important health factors. The World Health Organization (WHO) [1] states "Worldwide, raised blood pressure is estimated to cause 7.5 million deaths, about 12.8% of the total of all..."
deaths. This accounts for 57 million disability adjusted life years (DALYS) or 3.7% of total DALYS. Raised blood pressure is a major risk factor for coronary heart disease and ischemic as well as hemorrhagic stroke.” If the systolic BP (SBP, the BP when the heart beats while pumping blood) $\geq$ 140 mmHg or the diastolic BP (DBP, the BP when the heart is at rest between beats) $\geq$ 90 mmHg (hereafter, 140/90), a person is classified as having high (raised) BP or hypertension [2]. (Although manual office BP is usually used, automated office BP [3] or ambulatory BP [4] measurements have recently been recommended to avoid measurement errors [5] and white-coat effects. The recommended threshold values of hypertension when measured by these measurement methods are lower than 140/90.) Hypertension is now a problem worldwide [6] [7], including in developing countries [8] [9] [10]. WHO [1] estimated that about 40% of the world population aged 25 or over exhibited hypertension and that the number of people with uncontrolled hypertension rose from 600 million in 1980 to nearly 1 billion in 2008. Hypertension is frequently associated with hypertensive heart disease [11]. It was estimated that 50 million or more Americans had hypertension requiring treatment [12] [13]. Chaobanian et al. [12] pointed out that awareness of hypertension improved from 51% in 1976-1980 to 70% in 1999-2000. The percentage of patients receiving treatment increased from 31% to 59%, and the percentage of individuals with BP below 140/90 increased from 10% to 34% in the same periods. The median SBP of Americans aged 60-74 declined by 16 mmHg from 1960 to 1991, and the age-adjusted death rates from stroke and coronary heart disease declined by 50% and 60% since 1972, respectively. They concluded that “These benefits occurred independent of gender, age, race or social status.”

The National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute [13] [14] classified hypertension as stage 1 if SBP is 140 - 159 mmHg or DBP is 90 - 99 mmHg and stage 2 if SBP $\geq$ 160 mmHg or DBP $\geq$ 100 mmHg. The risk of heart disease increases as BP increases for individuals of all ages [15] [16]. WHO and the International Society of Hypertension (ISH) [17] gave guidelines for managing hypertension from epidemiological studies and from clinical trials. WHO-ISH described the risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD), the factors affecting BP, and the effects of treatments. Hypertension is classified into three categories: grade 1 (middle hypertension), defined as SBP of 140 - 159 mmHg or DBP of 90 - 99 mmHg; grade 2 (moderate hypertension), defined as SBP of 160 - 179 mmHg or DBP of 100 - 109 mmHg; and grade 3 (severe hypertension) defined as SBP $\geq$ 180 mmHg or DBP $\geq$ 110 mmHg. Based on the hypertension grade, other risk factors, target-organ damage and associated clinical conditions, patients are classified into low-, medium-, high- and very-high-risk groups. The risk of a major cardiovascular events in the following 10 years is about 20% - 30% and 30% or over in the high-risk and very-high-risk groups, respectively. In Japan, the medical expenditure for hypertension and related disease was 1.85 trillion yen, which accounted for 4.5% of total medical expenditures (40.8 trillion yen) in fiscal year 2014 [18]. Moreover, comorbid hypertension increases the medical expenditures of pa-
patients with other diseases such as diabetes [19]. Hypertension also reduces happiness and life satisfaction [20] [21]. Therefore, the true medical cost of hypertension might be much higher than the direct cost alone. To evaluate the effects of BP on societies, it is necessary to know the distribution of BP in the population, including normal and healthy individuals. However, it is very difficult and costly to obtain a large-scale individual dataset that includes many normal and healthy individuals because such people do not usually go to hospitals or clinics voluntarily.

Therefore, special surveys are necessary, but the number of participants is limited in most studies. Joffres et al. [22] analyzed the BP in Canada and the United States using the Third National Health and Nutrition Survey (NHANES III) and the Canadian Heart Health Survey (CHHS). The numbers of available BP measurements were 23,111 and 15,326 in Canada and the United States, respectively. They found that mean BP showed similar trends by age, with the hypertension prevalence for individuals in the same age range (18 - 74) being 20.1% and 21.1% as determined by NHANES III and CHHS, respectively. About a half of diabetes patients had hypertension with very poor control in both countries. Rose [23] pointed out that there were large differences between the distributions of SBP among Kenyan nomads and London civil servants, with the levels of the former much lower than the levels of the latter. It has also been suggested that race, genetic and environmental factors, and health administrative and practice patterns are important determinants of BP [4] [10] [24]. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate the BP data by country.

In Japan, the NIPPON DATA 2010 survey [25], financed by a grant from the Ministry of Health, Welfare, and Labor, was conducted in 2010. In this survey, the BP levels of 2891 participants were observed. The averages of SBP were 137.4 and 130.8 mmHg for males and females, respectively. Based on the national surveys, there were decreasing 50-year trends in SBP in all ages and genders. Similar decreasing trends were observed in DBP in females; however, there was no clear trends in DBP in males [26]. Fujiyoshi et al. [27] studied the relation between CVD and BP using the data of 68,309 individuals grouped into cohorts by age and gender. They observed 1944 CVD death over 10.2 years. They concluded that there was a positive relation between CVD and BP in all cohorts.

In Japan, most workers 40 or older are required to have medical checkups once a year independent of their health conditions by the Industrial Safety and Health Act [28]. (To our knowledge, Japan is the only country that has such a system among major countries and it is extremely costly to do such a survey in other countries.) Private companies and central and local governments form health insurance societies for their employees in Japan. According to the National Federation of Health Insurance Societies [29], made up of societies formed by private companies, the number of members (employees and their family members satisfying certain conditions) of such societies is 30 million. This means that datasets for a huge number of normal individuals, much bigger than any datasets ever used before, already exist, and no additional cost or effort
is necessary to develop them. Moreover, the health insurance societies pay the medical expenditures of their members and obtain all of their medical information, including their medical conditions, treatments, institutions used, and amounts paid for medical care. Therefore, if their health and medical information is used properly, it can aid in the improvement and effective use of health and medical resources. However, as of the present, this information has never been used for this purpose. The health insurance societies are required to keep their data for five years by law, but most of the important and useful data are discarded after that.

We have succeeded in obtaining the cooperation of one health insurance society. This study is the first study that uses the health and medical information stored by a health insurance society. The BP levels of a Japanese population are analyzed using a dataset containing 113,979 measurements in 48,022 individuals. First, the BP distribution is evaluated, and then the factors that might affect BP are analyzed in a regression analysis.

2. Data and Distribution of BP

The dataset used in this study was obtained with the cooperation of the health insurance society of one large Japanese corporation that has offices and operational centers throughout Japan. The basic characteristics and various results of health and medical checkups, including BP measurements, of all employees (including normal healthy ones) and their family members (voluntary) of the cooperation are available. For the employees, their standard wages (average monthly wages between April and June) are also available. The sample period is from April, 2013 to March, 2016. The results of 113,979 medical checkups of 48,022 individuals are used in this study. Totals of 29,749, 8459 and 10,814 individuals had checkups three, two and one time, respectively. The ages of the individuals in the dataset ranged from 39 (including some individuals who turned 40 within that fiscal year) to 74 years. **Figure 1** and **Figure 2** show the distributions of SBP and DBP. Excluding measurements that were too low (less than 10 mmHg) or too high (over 300), a summary of 113,967 measurements is given in
Table 1. The means were 125.4 and 77.5 mmHg with standard deviations (SD) of 16.5 and 11.7 mmHg for SBP and DBP for all observations. The global mean SBP (age 18 or over) according to WHO [30] was 124.5 in 2010 and 124.6 mmHg in 2014. Our results are very close to these numbers. For males, the mean SBP and DBP levels were 127.2 and 79.0 mmHg with SD of 15.7 and 11.3 mmHg, respectively. For females, the mean SBP and DBP levels were 119.0 and 72.0 mmHg with SD of 17.5 and 11.8 mmHg, respectively. The BP of males was higher than that of females.

Figure 3 shows the relation between SBP and DBP. There exists a positive correlation between these two variables, as expected (the correlation coefficient was 0.785), and 21.6% of the measurements show hypertension. This figure is also close to the WHO estimate [30] that 22.3% of the world population aged 18 or over exhibited hypertension in 2014. Among these 21.6% of measurements showing hypertension in the present study, 10.2% had both SBP and DBP over the 140/90 threshold value, 6.9% were isolated systolic hypertension cases where SBP 140 mmHg but DBP < 90 mmHg, and 4.4% were isolated diastolic hypertension cases where DBP ≥ 90 mmHg but SBP < 140 mmHg. According to the WHO-ISH criteria, 16.4%, 4.2% and 0.96% were classified as grades 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

3. Regression Analysis of Variables Affecting BP

In this section, we evaluate the factors that might affect BP. As already mentioned, age, gender and racial/ethnic background are important basic characteristics of individuals. The time trend is another important factor. In terms of racial/ethnic background, Japan is a homogeneous society and it is not necessary (and not possible) to evaluate its effects. The other variables that might affect BP
Table 1. Summary of SBP and DBP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>SBP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>125.4</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>127.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>124.0</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>126.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>246.0</td>
<td>172.0</td>
<td>246.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of measurements</td>
<td>113,967</td>
<td>88,575</td>
<td>25,392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Relation of SBP and DBP.

are weight, obesity, exercise, eating habits, alcohol consumption, stress, educational level, and time [12] [31]-[36]. Our dataset contained most of these factors. Regression models were used in the analysis.

3.1. Analysis of SBP

First, we considered a simple model to evaluate the gross effects of age and gender (Model 1A):

\[ SBP = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{Age} + \beta_3 \text{Female} + u_i, \quad (1) \]

where \( u_i \) is an error term. The mean and SD of Age were 49.7 and 7.2 years, respectively. This model gives basic information of age and gender that may be helpful for cohort studies. Female (male: 0, female: 1) was used for gender; 22.2% of the measurements was obtained from female. The results of the estimation are given under “Model 1A” in Table 2. The estimate of Age was 0.518 and its t-value was 79.97, which implies that Age is a very important variable. The average SBP of an individual aged 65 years was 13.0 mmHg higher than that of an individual aged 40 years. The estimate for Female was −8.16, and there was a
Table 2. Results of estimation: SBP equations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Model 1A</th>
<th>Model 1B</th>
<th>Model 1C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>101.483</td>
<td>70.819</td>
<td>68.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>−8.158</td>
<td>−3.835</td>
<td>−3.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>1.149</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>1.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anamnesis</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>1.169</td>
<td>−0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eat_fast</td>
<td>−0.169</td>
<td>−0.082</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late_Supper</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After_Supper</td>
<td>−0.708</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No_Breakfast</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>−0.082</td>
<td>−0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily_activity</td>
<td>−0.383</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk_fast</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td>−0.436</td>
<td>−0.436</td>
<td>−0.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol_freq</td>
<td>1.711</td>
<td>1.711</td>
<td>1.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol_amount</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trend</td>
<td>−0.091</td>
<td>−0.091</td>
<td>−0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wage</td>
<td>−0.130</td>
<td>−0.130</td>
<td>−0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of</td>
<td>113,967</td>
<td>95,364</td>
<td>82,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE: Standard Error. *significant at the 1% level.

Next, we considered two models that included several variables that might affect BP, Model 1B and Model 1C. Model 1B is as follows:

$$SBP_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Female} + \beta_2 \text{Height} + \beta_3 \text{BMI} + \beta_4 \text{Anamnesis} + \beta_5 \text{Eat_fast} + \beta_6 \text{Late_Supper} + \beta_7 \text{After_Supper} + \beta_8 \text{No_Breakfast} + \beta_9 \text{Exercise} + \beta_{10} \text{Daily_activity} + \beta_{11} \text{Walk_fast} + \beta_{12} \text{Smoke} + \beta_{13} \text{Alcohol_freq} + \beta_{14} \text{Alcohol_amount} + \beta_{15} \text{Sleep} + \beta_{16} \text{Trend} + \epsilon_i$$

The explanatory variables used were as follows: Height (m), BMI (body mass index), Anamnesis (1: with anamnesis; 0: otherwise), Eat_fast (1: eating faster than other people; 0: otherwise), Late_Supper (1: eating supper within two hours before bedtime three times or more in a week; 0: otherwise), After_supper (1: eating snacks after supper three times or more in a week; 0: otherwise), No_
breakfast (1: not eating breakfast three times or more in a week; 0: otherwise),
Exercise (1: doing exercise for 30 minutes or more twice or more in a week for
more than a year; 0 otherwise), Daily_activity (1: doing physical activities (walk-
ing or equivalent) for one hour or more daily, 0: otherwise), Walk_fast (1: walk-
ing faster than other people of a similar age and the same gender; 0: otherwise),
Smoke (1: smoking; 0: otherwise), Alcohol_freq (0: not drinking alcoholic drinks,
1: sometimes, 2: everyday), Alcohol_amount (0: not drinking; 1: drinking less
than 180 ml of Japanese sake wine (with an alcohol percentage of about 15%) or
equivalent alcohol in a day when drinking; 2: drinking 180 - 360 ml; 3: drinking
360 - 540 ml; 4: drinking 540 ml or more), Sleep (1: sleeping well; 0: otherwise),
and Trend, which is the time trend by year and given by (year of checkup -2013).
This model gives useful information about effective treatment methods of
hypertension.

A total of 95,364 measurements that had no missing values for any of the ex-
planatory variables were used in this model. Height was another basic charac-
teristic of individuals, with a mean of 1.675 m and SD of 0.53 m; BMI gave infor-
mation about obesity with a mean of 23.7 and SD of 3.96; and Anamnesis
represented the current health condition of the individual, with 47.9% of sub-
jects giving an anamnesis. Eat_fast, Supper_time, After_supper and No_break-
fast represented eating habits, and 31.8%, 42.1%, 13.3% and 24.0% answered 1
for these variables, respectively. Exercise, Daily_activity and Walk_fast repre-
sented exercise and physical abilities, and 18.1%, 28.3% and 40.1% answered
“yes” for these variables. For Smoke, 38.7% answered yes. Alcohol_freq and Alco-
hol_amount are variables regarding alcohol consumption; 35.0%, 27.3% and
37.6% answered 0, 1 and 2 for Alcohol_freq, and 35.0%, 22.5%, 28.1%, 11.3%
and 3.3% answered 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 for Alcohol_amount, respectively. Sleep was
used to evaluate stress, and 63.0% answered that they could sleep well. The re-
sults of the estimation are given under Model 1B in Table 2. The estimates of
Age, Female, BMI, Anamnesis, No_Breakfast, Daily_activity, Smoke, Alco-
hol_freq, Alcohol_amount and Sleep were significant at the 1% level, and these
variables were considered to be important variables affecting SBP. Height,
Eat_fast, Late_Supper, After_Supper, Walk_fast and Trend were not significant
at the 5% level, and we could not confirm any effects of these variables.

Next, we considered Model 1C.

\[
SBP_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{Female} + \beta_3 \text{Height} + \beta_4 \text{BMI} + \beta_5 \text{Anamnesis} + \beta_6 \text{Eat_fast} \\
+ \beta_7 \text{Late_Supper} + \beta_8 \text{After_Supper} + \beta_9 \text{No_Breakfast} + \beta_{10} \text{Exercise} \\
+ \beta_{11} \text{Daily_activity} + \beta_{12} \text{Walk_fast} + \beta_{13} \text{Smoke} + \beta_{14} \text{Alcohol_freq} \\
+ \beta_{15} \text{Alcohol_amount} + \beta_{16} \text{Sleep} + \beta_{17} \text{Trend} + \beta_{18} \text{Wage} + u_i. \tag{3}
\]

This model contains Wage (average monthly wage from April to June), which
represented the social and economic status of individuals and we can evaluate
the effect of the social and economic status by this model. Since Wage was
available only for workers, 82,735 observations of workers were used in the
analysis. The average wage was 36.8 (10,000 yen) with SD of 13.8. The results of
the estimation are given under Model 1C in Table 2. In the previous model, the
estimates of Age, Female, BMI, Anamnesis, No_Breakfast, Daily_activity, Smoke, Alcohol_freq, Alcohol_amount, and Sleep became significant at the 1% level. In this model, Height and After_supper became significant at the 1% level. The estimate of Wage was −0.130 and its t-value was −30.843; it was an important variable affecting SBP.

3.2. Analysis of DBP

Next, DBP was analyzed by three models, as before. They were:

Model 2A,

$$\text{DBP}_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{Age} + \beta_3 \text{Female} + u_i.$$  

Model 2B,

$$\text{DBP}_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{Female} + \beta_3 \text{Height} + \beta_4 \text{BMI} + \beta_5 \text{Anamnesis} + \beta_6 \text{Eat}_\text{fast} + \beta_7 \text{Late}_\text{Supper} + \beta_8 \text{After}_\text{Supper} + \beta_9 \text{No}_\text{Breakfast} + \beta_{10} \text{Exercise} + \beta_{11} \text{Daily}_\text{activity} + \beta_{12} \text{Walk}_\text{fast} + \beta_{13} \text{Smoke} + \beta_{14} \text{Alcohol}_\text{freq} + \beta_{15} \text{Alcohol}_\text{amount} + \beta_{16} \text{Sleep} + \beta_{17} \text{Trend} + u_i.$$  

Model 2C,

$$\text{DBP}_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{Female} + \beta_3 \text{Height} + \beta_4 \text{BMI} + \beta_5 \text{Anamnesis} + \beta_6 \text{Eat}_\text{fast} + \beta_7 \text{Late}_\text{Supper} + \beta_8 \text{After}_\text{Supper} + \beta_9 \text{No}_\text{Breakfast} + \beta_{10} \text{Exercise} + \beta_{11} \text{Daily}_\text{activity} + \beta_{12} \text{Walk}_\text{fast} + \beta_{13} \text{Smoke} + \beta_{14} \text{Alcohol}_\text{freq} + \beta_{15} \text{Alcohol}_\text{amount} + \beta_{16} \text{Sleep} + \beta_{17} \text{Trend} + \beta_{18} \text{Wage} + u_i.$$  

The results of the estimation are given in Table 3. The variables affecting DBP were similar to those in the SBP models. The only difference was that estimates of Exercise became significant at the 5% and 1% levels in Models 2B and 2C, respectively.

4. Discussion

WHO [1] stated that “Globally, the overall prevalence of raised blood pressure in adults aged 25 and over was around 40% in 2008.” This number became 22.3% in 2014 [30]. Although the ages and years were slightly different, there exists an enormous difference between these two numbers despite the fact that they were reported by the same very influential organization. Our result is close to the second result obtained by WHO; this fact suggests that the WHO findings [1] should be reexamined and revised to avoid unnecessary confusion.

Age and gender are very important variables affecting both SBP and DBP. There exists a large difference between males and females; which raises the question of whether the same BP criterion should be used for hypertension regardless of gender. As for age, as individuals grow 10 years older, their average SBP increased as much as 5 mmHg. BP and the risks of hypertension have been studied using a cohort study [12] [24]. A 10-year interval was used to define the age cohorts; however, the results of this study suggest that the 10 year interval might be too large, the distribution within cohorts should not be ignored, and the age cohorts should be revised to get more precise evaluations.
Table 3. Results of estimation: DBP equations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Model 2A</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Model 2B</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Model 2C</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>S. E.</td>
<td>t-value</td>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>S. E.</td>
<td>t-value</td>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>S. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>75.484</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>296.668</td>
<td>47.608</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>126.309</td>
<td>35.234</td>
<td>1.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>43.298*</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>38.856*</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>−6.516</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>−80.952*</td>
<td>−3.488</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>−36.192*</td>
<td>−2.133</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>1.402</td>
<td>7.223</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>10.996*</td>
<td>2.162</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>81.964*</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>80.493*</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anamnesis</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>7.575*</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>6.704*</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eat_fast</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>2.823*</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>1.908</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late_Supper</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>−0.036</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>−0.465</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After_Supper</td>
<td>−0.761</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>−7.273*</td>
<td>−0.745</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>−6.528*</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No_Breakfas</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>7.852*</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>7.619*</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>−0.215</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>−2.243*</td>
<td>−0.290</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>−2.821*</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily_activity</td>
<td>−0.348</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>−4.191*</td>
<td>−0.357</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>−3.923*</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk_fast</td>
<td>−0.002</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>−0.023</td>
<td>−0.026</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>−0.335</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td>−0.930</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>−12.096*</td>
<td>−1.023</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>−13.079*</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcofol_freq</td>
<td>1.218</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>18.928*</td>
<td>1.324</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>19.897*</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alco_hol_amount</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>14.728*</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>14.850*</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>8.838*</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>8.706*</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trend</td>
<td>−0.059</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>−1.426</td>
<td>−0.042</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>−0.949</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wage</td>
<td>−0.026</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>−8.560*</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>−8.560*</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>0.0692</td>
<td>0.1501</td>
<td>0.1331</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.1331</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of mesurements</td>
<td>113,967</td>
<td>95,364</td>
<td>82,735</td>
<td>11.307</td>
<td>10.755</td>
<td>10.643</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE: Standard Error. *Significant at the 5% level. **Significant at the 1% level.

The variables affecting BP other than the basic characteristics of individuals are similar to those in previous studies. Obesity increases BP, and a one point reduction of BMI reduces SBP and DBP by 1.1 - 1.3 and 0.76 - 0.84 mmHg, respectively. Therefore, weight reduction is an effective method of controlling BP. Anamnesis increases BP. Individuals with risk factors are classified in the very-high-risk group if their hypertension is grade 3 [17]. Hence it is important for anamnesis to be cured in these individuals. Concerning eating habits, eating a proper breakfast reduces BP. Daily physical activity (even light activity) is another important factor for controlling BP. The results of this study suggest that smoking reduces BP, although the other bad effects of smoking were not evaluated in this study. Drinking alcohol (both the frequency and amount per day) increases BP. Comparing to an individual who does not drink, the SBP and DBP of a heavy drinker (who drinks 540 ml or more of sake wine every day) are more
than 5.0 mmHg higher on average. The effect of drinking is much larger than the effects of other factors. Sleeping well increases BP. Although this result is unexpected, the reason for it is unknown. The time trend is negative, but this result is not significant. Three years might be too short a span over which to measure the time trend. Both SBP and DBP decrease as wages increase. This suggests that higher social and economic status improves BP.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we first evaluated the distribution of BP using 113,979 BP measurements in 48,022 individuals collected by the health insurance society of one large corporation in Japan. Such a dataset has not been used before in research such as this. The means of SBP and DBP were 125.4 and 77.6 mmHg, with SD of 16.5 and 11.7 mmHg, respectively. Under the 140/90 criterion, 21.6% measurements had hypertension. According to the WHO-ISH criterion, 16.4%, 4.2% and 0.96% were classified as grades 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The factors affecting BP were then evaluated using a regression analysis. The factors affecting BP were age, gender, some eating habits, daily activities, smoking, drinking alcohol, sleeping, and wages. Age is a very important factor, and it is possible that the age cohorts in previous studies should be revised. Among the factors that individuals can control, the influence of drinking alcohol is very large. Compared to an individual who does not drink, the SBP and DBP of a heavy drinker are more than 5.0 mmHg higher on average.

The dataset used in this study was obtained from just one health insurance society, and a sample selection bias might exist. We are now negotiating various health insurance societies to provide us their data. This study is the first step, and it will be possible for us to use health and medical datasets for a huge number of normal individuals, much bigger than any datasets ever used before. Companies are responsible for the health conditions of their employees, and they should encourage the high risk employees to control their BP. For the purpose of helping employees, other risk factors should be evaluated. The cost-benefit analysis is also necessary for effective use of medical resources. The family history is another interesting factor to be analyzed. These are subjects to be studied in future.
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