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ABSTRACT

This paper shows geometric aspects of rock masses in the Senegalese side of Kédougou-Kénièba inlier. The studies are done in one part on sedimentary rocks (represented by sandstones) with stratification and on the other part in igneous rocks (represented by basalts). Geometric studies are the fractal configuration and the scale effect. Scale effect is studied by comparing the results of mechanical tests done in the laboratory and on outcrops. For all samples, laboratory parameters are higher than values of field. In this paper, scale effect is characterized by the decrease of mechanical parameters from laboratory samples to field. The scale coefficient is defined and characterizes the relation between mechanical properties. More importantly, the scale effect is more significant. This scale effect depends largely on the rock anisotropy. The anisotropy depends on the fracturation and the filling. The scale effect takes into account the fracturation, the filling minerals and their diversity which define the complexity of the rock. The anisotropy is complex; the impact of scale effect traduced by an increase of scale coefficient is the highest. Configuration of discontinuities also defines fractal geometry. This fractal aspect appears on the asperities, the spacing and the apertures of discontinuities. The fractal dimension is different from a parameter to another. All these two parameters estimate the stage of fracturation of the rock in a geological system and depend one on another if they are studied in the same element.
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1. Introduction

The West African Craton has been the object of many geological studies which concluded substantial knowledge of the Precambrian in Senegal. This research is mainly focused on the domain of the basic principle of geology and mines. In this paper, we are interested in the geometrical aspects of rocks. Geometrical aspects are represented by fractal geometry and scale effect, which permit to analyze the appearance in term of microscopic and macroscopic dimension.

Fracture systems in the south eastern Senegal are discontinuous structures or discrete breaks within a rock mass that are developed by response to stress. Fractures include faults, joints, and filled structures such as veins. These figures appear in the area on a wide range of scales from microns to several hundreds of meters. In recent years, it has been suggested that various technics of fractals may usefully be applied to the study of spatial distribution of fractures, because of similarities between small and large-scale fracture arrays, which have been found over many orders of magnitude [1]. This work deals with the consequence of the structuration of the rock mass in their geometry. The fractal geometry and scale effect allow estimating the occurrence of the fracturation and other parameters to the studies of discontinuities. For these estimations, we introduce a more complex aspect using the filling materials and define the “scale coefficient”.

2. Geological Framework

Three main directions of discontinuities characterize the Proterozoic rock masses. In the Birimian domain, the discontinuities show a very large motion due to the complexity of the geological history. The structures correspond mainly to the boundary of the rock formations. In these domains, discontinuities show some shear that are
dextral NE-SW or sensoral NW-SE [2]. The fractures are sometimes conjugated. In the Kédougou-Kéniéba Inlier (KKI), [3-5] bring out three main Eburnean tectonic phases: 1) A D₁ phase, fairly high crustal level tectonic activity with a tendency toward thrusting; 2) A D₂ phase related to the major sensoral shear zones and the emplacement of granitic intrusions [6-7]. This second phase is responsible of large sub meridian sensoral shear corridors, where the most important are: The Main Transcurent Shear Zone (MTZ), separating Mako and Dialé Supergroups [3-8] and the Senegal-Mali fault (SMF), along the western Falémé bank [9] (Figure 1); 3) A D₃ Eburnean tectonic phase has been also characterized by [5] in the Birimian formations of the Kédougou-Kéniéba Inlier (KKI).

Some authors show that the Birimian of Senegal show relative amount of faults D₂ and D₁. D₁ is identified in all the Birimian (Mako super-group with volcanic rocks and Dialé-Daléma supergroup with sedimentary ones associate to volcanic ones) and D₂ is only presents in the Dialé-Daléma [4,10-12]. While these deformations are considered to be represented in the scale of the West African domain, D₁ is absent in some sector. In the new denomination by [2], D₁ and D₂ are respectively called Dt₁ and Dt₂. They belong to a continuum transcurent tectonic system Dt. So, this transcurent tectonic occurs in two stapes (Dt₁ and Dt₂). This consideration is different of the first one, which defended that D₁ is tangential and D₂ is transcurent [4]. The hypothesis of a continuum transcurent tectonic defined by [2] is also supported by the fold produced when schistosity occurred and which would be folded again. The two stages of folds have the same axial surface oriented (N 20° to 40° - 10° to 30° NW).

Studies done in the sector of Mako on the latitude of Mako [12] show that this domain is crossed by more important framework of discontinuities as those explained by [2-4]. This framework of discontinuities is caused by the described phenomena, the cracks due to the crystallization of the magma and at least the repercussion of the Hercynian orogenesis. Tectonic fractures were mapped and measured in the field area of Mako and Ségou. The sedimentary rocks show less anisotropy represented essentially by cracks and rarely by faults. They correspond to sandstones mainly associate to pelites rocks [13].

In the Ségou-Madina kouta basin, the domain of Segou is characterized by fracturations, which appear like cracks. These cracks show three directions representing three families of discontinuities NE, ENE and ESE associated to erratic joints.

3. Fractal Theory

The fractal term is used to describe a natural geometry where studied objects are recurrent, fragmentary and
elements are seen in all scale [14,15]. Mathematicians define fractals using three equations [16]:

\[ N(a) \approx a^{d_f} \]  
(1)

\[ N \] is the number of portions resulting to iteration, \( a \) the size of a portion and \( d \) the fractal dimension.

\[ M (r) \approx r^{d_f} \]  
(2)

\[ M (r) \] is the weight of a portion and \( r \) is its linear extension.

\[ \rho(r) \approx \frac{M(r)}{r^{d_0}} = r^{d_f-d_0} \]  
(3)

\( \rho(r) \) is the density.

As Euclidian geometry, fractal geometry is also characterized by a dimension called fractal dimension \( d_f \). The fractal dimension is fractional and in difference of Euclidian dimension it is no characteristic of an object. Its value varies between 1 and 3 (1 < \( d_f \) < 3) and different to 2. It is a dimension deduced of the number of portions \( N(s) \) after iteration and the length \( (s) \) of one portion using the equation

\[ d_f = \frac{\log N(s)}{\log s} \]  
(4)

In Earth Sciences, the fractal analysis can be used to quantify many elements of discontinuities. It’s the case of spacing, aperture, asperities and length of discontinuities or any other parameter, which allows describing the geometry of discontinuities [17,18]. For easier use, the fractal geometry is described by using process of iteration as the Sierpinsky, the flocon of Koch or the Cantor dust. It is very difficult to determine the fractal dimension by using the definition of fractals. So, in many case, it is done by graphical representation. This graphical resolution consists of plotting, the function log \( N(s) \) versus log(s). The obtained curve is linear and it slope corresponds to the fractal dimension. In Earth Sciences and fracture characterizations, the box-counting method is the most used method describing fractal geometry. These geological elements are non-euclidian shapes. The box-counting method consists of dividing the defined domain in box and analyzing the box cross by discontinuities [18]. Fractal analysis is critical issue due to the complexity of it use. Multifractal theory is developed to take account this complexity which characterizes multiple elements.

4. Theory of Scale Effect of the Rocks

Scale effect is studied by some authors in the literatures [19-22]. Some of them subdivided the scale effect into two components [19] represented by the shape scale which take account the variation of the ratio D/L and the size scale in which this ratio is constant and the size of the specimen growth. For that, values obtained in tests show that shape scale have effect in results of studies but no effect for size effect. About [20], the scale effect is more remarkable for brittle rocks than ductile rocks. The scale effect define by [20] corresponds of shape scale define by [19]. Samples with artificial fractures were also tested to characterize the scale effect on the specimen’s behavior [21]. These authors generated artificial discontinuities on specimens of rocks. Two types of discontinuities are represented. Ones are no embedded and others are embedded. They show that a large framework of fracture on specimen can caused a scale effect while it is considered to be homogeneous. The scale effect is also a characteristic of the in situ rock masses. It influence scale effect in both size and time [23]. For in situ behavior, these authors show that joint which are present in rocks causes scale effect. These joints are characterized by their frequencies; connectivities and spacing caused by rock burst in deep. Another parameter which can be characterized by scale effect in geology and rock engineering is the permeability [24]. The scale effect depends largely on the heterogeneity and the connectivity of discontinuities. Sometimes, discontinuities are no connected. From these authors, there are no correlated parameters characterizing the conductivity of discontinuities on variable directions for the same on the field. So the scale effect is largely discussed in the literature and some functions are put on to model this parameter. So, from laboratory test to field parameters, Hoek-Brown criterion and Zhang and Einstein function can be used. The first permits to define the uniaxial compressive strength and the second the Young moduli. The Zhang and Einstein [25] is defined as:

\[ E_m = E_i \left( 10^{0.0186RQD-1.91} \right) \]  
(5)

Scale effect appears also in some results, which characterize the roughness of joint. That’s why from laboratory parameters to field ones, it is necessary to adapt values. This is valid also for Joint Compressive Strength (JCS). These last elements are defined by taking about scale effect by:

\[ JRC_x = JRC_0 \left( \frac{I_x}{I_0} \right)^{-0.02JRC_0} \]  
(6)

The consequence of scale effect is also noted on the result of uniaxial compressive strength. For this, uniaxial compressive strength of a sample is given by taking about the diameter of the study sample and the typical diameter of 50 mm by the function

\[ \sigma_{cd} = \sigma_{c50} \left( \frac{50}{d} \right)^{0.18} \]  
(7)
In all cases, scale effect is traduced by variations of mechanical parameters when the dimensions of the samples vary. In this paper, it is observed when pass from values of laboratory test to values of field. It depends on the discontinuities of the rocks, type of filling materials. When pass from intact rock to rock with multiple fractures, some of which are filled, these values of mechanical parameters fall down.

5. Structural Analysis of Discontinuities on Studied Rocks

The structural studies carried on the domain of Mako show a very important number of discontinuity families. In this domain, the igneous outcrop rocks (basalt) show also a very important number of fractures, which are represented in the stereogram. This disposition reflects the presence of folds (Figures 2-4). At the west side of Sékoto, the poles of fractures are organized along little circles. So, in this area, the folds are conic (Figure 2). These conic folds show some axes oriented north south.

In the south-west of Bafoundou, the poles of fractures have an organization along a great circle. This kind of organization is characteristic of cylindrical folds (Figure 3). The pole of the great circle meaning cylindrical fold is located in the east side mining that the axe of these folds is east.

This projection (Figure 4) shows a complex appearance. There are poles disposed along a great circle characterizing cylindrical fold and others along little circle traducing conic folds. Axes of cylindrical folds are oriented south-east while ones of conic folds are oriented north-south.

Associated to a dome-basin system, they traduce a few different generations of folds that are or not same nature (Figures 2-4). This is due to the complex history of the Mako area in one part traduced by the three eburnean tectonic phases and in another part by the repercussion of Panafriican and Hercynian orogenesis.

Oldest sedimentary rocks of Senegal constitute the

Figure 2. Contoured poles of fractures in the basalts (lower hemisphere equal angle projections): conic folds in the Mako area.

Figure 3. Contoured poles of fractures in the basalts (lower hemisphere equal angle projections): cylindrical folds southwest of Bafoundou.

Figure 4. Contoured poles of fractures in the basalts (lower hemisphere equal angle projections): superposed cylindrical and conic fold.
domain of Southern east Senegal in the Segou-Madina kouta basin. Those rocks show a simple fracturation represented by cracks and rarely faults which are often parallel to cracks (Figure 5).

On the stereogram, the fracturation of Segou doesn’t show a particular disposition. They are grouped into three families (NE, ENE and ESE) while slight variation of fracturation can be seen. These families of discontinuities are associated with erratic joints accidentally present on the field (Figure 5).

6. Geometric and Spatial Studies

Geometric parameters that caused fracturation and their iteration in the rock are fractal geometry and scale effect. Fractal geometry and scale effect depend on each other because both of them depend on stage and scale of the studies.

6.1. Scale Effect

Studies are conducted from laboratory to field. From one to another scale, rocks show important number of joint. This is a consequence of the scale effect. The increase of the number of discontinuities is due to the fact that in rocks microdiscontinuities are associated to microdiscontinuities. When the sizes of the samples increase, the number of macrodiscontinuities present on the rock increases. The increasing of the number of discontinuities in the specimens affects the mechanical parameters. So, the smallest volume with all directions of discontinuities is called EVR (Elementary Representative Volume). If we have a volume of material equivalent or greater than this volume, mechanical parameters depending on the anisotropy don’t change. They become stable around one value. This phenomenon appears very well in results of studies of all rocks, sedimentary or igneous. It can be remarked by lowest values of uniaxial compressive strength and Young moduli for rock masses than for laboratory samples. But the scale effect is more sensible for basalts than for sandstones (Figures 6 to 8). Structural studies at Mako (domain of basaltic rocks) and Segou (domain of sandstones rocks) show that the network of discontinuities is most complex for basalts. In fact, those sedimentary rocks of Segou are not the area of intensive tectonic history. Discontinuities are represented essentially by cracks. But basalts are affected by D1 and D2 phases of deformation and the Hercynian orogenesis impact. A large number of directions of discontinuities are present. Also, some of these discontinuities are filled with crystallization. Filling minerals are very different.
Some, which are hard, and the others are soft. These facts show that scale effect depends on the fracturation of the rock and the filling minerals of discontinuities.  

For basalts (Figure 6), scale effect is very characteristic by the number of family of discontinuities and also the number of filling minerals and their natures. In fact, with these elements, Young moduli of the basalts in laboratory and in the outcrops vary remarkably. This variation is caused by the variability of the product of filling which can be very complex. So, it is very difficult to have a complete element of volume, which can represent the ERV. So, this kind of materials is characterized by a very heterogeneous nature and also, the heterogeneity can vary exceptionally from a locality to another.

In these studies, we introduce a parameter called scale coefficient (ech). This coefficient is obtained by the function:

$$\text{ech} = \frac{E_{\text{lab}} - E_{\text{mass}}}{E_{\text{lab}}} \times 100$$ (8)

$E_{\text{lab}}$ is the Young modulus for laboratory sample; $E_{\text{mass}}$ is Young modulus of rock mass.

Basalts show large interval of variation of the scale coefficient (between 38% and 50.4%). That is caused by the complexity of the structuration of the samples (Table 1).

Healthy basalts, unfilled fractures basalts and basalts with tension cracks filled with quartz show same values of scale coefficients (38% to 38.3%). That is due to the fact that these cases correspond to a low complexity of structuration.

For basalts with fractures filled with calcite, chlorite and epidote, the scale coefficient increase (42.4%) because of an increase of the level of complexity. The complexity is a consequence of the duality of the material of filling. While calcite is hard, epidote and chlorite are soft.

For basalts with multiple fractures, increasing of the scale coefficient (ech) is most important (50.4%). This is due to a very important level of complexity. The material shows many directions of fractures and some of them are filled with soft materials, others by hard materials and the last ones are unfilled.

Therefore, the dimension of the ERV increases with an increasing of the scale coefficient, which denote of the increasing of the level of complexity of the rock.

Sandstones show also characteristics of scale effect. From laboratory to field values, the Young moduli decrease (Figure 7). This evolution is quite slight because of a low to medium level of complexity. In a structural part of view, the sandstones are crossed by cracks and there are no filling materials which can increase the complexity of the rock mass. Specimens present relatively the same kind of behaviors.

If we consider the scale coefficients (ech) for sandstones, their values are low and vary around 22% for all samples (Table 2). This is due to the fact that the number of discontinuities taking part to the behavior of this rock mass is limited to three and there are no filling materials which reinforce the complexity of the sandstones. While variation is exceptionally low, they are in disfavor of the sandstones with intercalation of pelites.

The scale effect affects also the uniaxial compressive strength (Figure 8). For sandstones, the action of scale effect is most remarkable on uniaxial compressive strength than on Young moduli. Another coefficient that’s method of calculation is similar to the ech and called compression scale coefficient ech’ can be defined using the uniaxial compressive strength for sandstones. This variable is calculated using the following function which whom $R_{\text{lab}}$ is the uniaxial compressive strength (UCS) of laboratory; $R_{\text{field}}$ is the UCS of the outcrop.

$$\text{ech'} = \frac{R_{\text{lab}} - R_{\text{field}}}{R_{\text{lab}}} \times 100$$ (9)

For sandstones, this parameter takes high values more than 85%. This coefficient increases remarkably when the rock is most heterogeneous and takes values higher than 93% (Table 3).

6.2. Fractal Geometry

Fractal geometry defines a geometric pattern which is repeated at ever-smaller scales to produce irregular shapes and surfaces which can’t be represented by classical geometry. Configurations of objects are small size, recurrent and can be identified in large range of scale. In Geosciences, this conception is used to quantify a large number of phenomena like spacing of discontinuities, escarpment of asperities and aperture of discontinuities. Due to the tectonic history of Mako, some of filled frac-
Table 1. Evaluation of Scale coefficient (ech) for basalts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Healthy basalts</th>
<th>Basalts with unfilled fracture</th>
<th>Basalts with tension cracks filled with quartz</th>
<th>Basalts with multiple fractures</th>
<th>Basalts with fractures filled with calcite, chlorite, epidote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N° of Specimen</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (MPa)</td>
<td>13,351</td>
<td>12,566</td>
<td>10,300</td>
<td>9,633</td>
<td>6,47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_n (MPa)</td>
<td>8236</td>
<td>7752</td>
<td>6387</td>
<td>4878</td>
<td>3826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ech (%)</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Evaluation of Scale coefficients (ech) for sandstones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>White sandstones</th>
<th>Red sandstones</th>
<th>Purple sandstones</th>
<th>Sandstones with intercalation of pelites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N° of Specimen</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (MPa)</td>
<td>10,540</td>
<td>7999</td>
<td>7500</td>
<td>2897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_n (MPa)</td>
<td>8140</td>
<td>6178</td>
<td>5793</td>
<td>2237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ech (%)</td>
<td>22.76</td>
<td>22.76</td>
<td>22.76</td>
<td>22.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Evaluation of compression scale coefficient (ech') for sandstones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>White sandstones</th>
<th>Red sandstones</th>
<th>Purple sandstones</th>
<th>Sandstones with intercalation of pelites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N° of Specimen</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{c1} (MPa)</td>
<td>73.31</td>
<td>62.37</td>
<td>50.25</td>
<td>39.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{c2} (MPa)</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ech' (%)</td>
<td>85.87</td>
<td>90.99</td>
<td>89.05</td>
<td>93.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Foliations and microfractures are folded like the outcrop system. These folds appear on preparation as undulations. For outcrops, folds can’t be identified by simple observations. Determination of orientation of fractures permits to characterize these folds by stereographic representation (Figure 9(a)). In bloc scale, folds can be easily identified (Figure 9(b)) and it is also valid for preparations (Figure 9(c)).

This fractal aspect appears also in the values of Joint Roughness Coefficients (JRC). For results of these studies, JRC values of unfilled microscopic and macroscopic fractures are higher than filled ones. For geological systems, more fractures are former, the more they are filled. Based on this fact, undulated discontinuities (Figure 9) are former. They are folded by posterior orogenesis system, which caused most deformation of the geological materials. Latest events are characterized by fractures, which shear the filled discontinuities. These shear are dextral or senestral like the field ones.

Microdiscontinuities show also same arrangements than macroscopic ones. According to the studied event and the number of iterations, the fractal dimension change. This characteristic is studied in this paragraph. The filled fractures show also conjugate aspects on outcrops and in laboratory scale with same angles and sinuosities (Figure 10).

The Birimian of Kédougou-Kenieba (example of Mako supergroup) inlier shows some shear fractures or faults. In the scale of the field, shears are in many cases represented by stumbles separated by ravines. For microscopic scale, these senestral shears are identified by studying fractures, which crossed another, filled one and which caused displacements (Figure 11). The displacements correspond to the one determined in the field in locality where sample have been taken.

Figure 12 shows filled fractures in the field scale (Figure 12(a)) and on preparation scale (Figure 12(b)). These fractures are filled with chlorite, epidote and calcite. Due to the same nature of filling products and the form of structures at the field and on the preparation, we deduce that they are contemporaries. Spacing of fractures measured for these discontinuities are 5.4 centimeters for rock masses and 175 micrometers for preparations. Before iteration, we consider an element with one discontinuity and one spacing in rock mass scale. After iteration, they are 308 microfractures corresponding to the spacing seen in microscopic scale. For this assemblage of microdiscontinuities, some of them are identified in the preparation. The preparation shows just a number of microfracturation that allows defining the fractal dimension. The fractal dimension associated to this operation is \( d_f = 1.12 \).
Figure 9. Fractal geometry of undulate structures.

Figure 10. Fractal geometry in conjugate fractures ((a) outcrops scale; (b) preparation scale).
Aperture of discontinuities shows also the morphology of fractal objects (Figure 13). For the aperture of discontinuities, we consider that initial stage corresponds to an aperture of 6.4 centimeters in the rock mass. After fragmentation, it appears in the rock matrix some microscopic discontinuities with 41.1 micrometers as aperture. The fractal dimension associate to this system is $d_f = 2.09$.

Another system presenting a fractal aspect is the asperities of fractures (Figure 13). These asperities present some length of 1.03 centimeters as escarpment for macrofractures and some of 41.1 micrometers for microscopic discontinuities. From macroscopic scale to microscopic one, the dimension fractal is $d_f = 1.486$.

The same phenomena are observed in macroscopic and microscopic scales of igneous rocks (basalts of Mako) Discontinuities appears with same characteristics but they are continuous system. So they are affected by the same geological history. The consequence of this story appears in macroscopic and microscopic scale.

7. Conclusions

The results suggest that in the Mako area, there is a genetic link between the fractures from one location to another. In fact, the development of the fracturation can be synchronized with the development of folds. These results have important impacts on the geometry and the growth propagation of the development of fractures during the folding phases; where the fracture sets are interpreted as tectonic in origin and are sometimes synchronous with the post-eburnean folding of the basaltic rocks and are not pre- or post-folding fractures.

For these rock masses, the studies of mechanical parameters (Young moduli and uniaxial compressive strength) show that values decrease from laboratory to field. Decreasing of the values is the consequence of scale effect, which is caused by the heterogeneity of the materials. The heterogeneity of the material depends on the discontinuities, which are present in the rock masses, the filling products and their natures. When all factors of heterogeneity are taken into account in the samples, it
deals with ERV. In this paper, we also define a parameter which is characteristic of the scale effect and called scale coefficient ($ech$). This scale coefficient increases with the presence of anisotropy in the rock masses. The more complex the anisotropy of the rock mass is, the higher the $ech$ is. At least, the scale coefficient is the function of scale effect which depends on fractures and their fillings.

The fractal geometry is represented also on rocks. It appears on the spacing and the aperture of discontinuities. Escarpments of asperities also show this configuration. All these fractal characteristics are studied on filled fractures. Their dimensions vary from an element to another. The process of iterations is more pronounced for aperture of discontinuities in the filled fractures than for escarpment and at least spacing of fractures.
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