Effect of Reduction in Peak Expiratory Flow Rate on Blood Pressure of Sand Stone Mine Workers
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Abstract

Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR) measures the airflow through the bronchi and thus the degree of obstruction in the airways. Exposure to high concentration of respirable suspended particulate matter (RSPM) decreases the PEFR and the decrease depends upon the exposure concentration of particles and exposure duration. The decrease in PEFR is found to be responsible for increase in blood pressure (BP). Relationship between increase in BP and reduction in PEFR is not exactly linear but it can be considered as liner. It is noticed that if PEFR is reduced to about 50% to 60% in that case sudden increase in BP is recorded and behaviour of BP rise has complex pattern. It is also an established fact that if PEFR is reduced more than 50%, this is treated as severe respiratory problem. There are many factors (i.e. smoking habits, medical treatment, physiology, etc.) which may govern the increase of BP in this condition. Estimated relationship is found as: ISBP = 0.213 + 0.263 IPEF, IDBP = 0.102 + 0.176 IPEF.
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1. Introduction

Particulate matter is the main component of air pollution in sand stone opencast mines. Various activities are going on in these mines which include drilling, blasting, cutting, dressing and loading & unloading etc., hence ambient particulate matter concentration is very high. Sand stone mine workers are exposed to various concentration of particulate matter during the working hours depending upon their work categories. The deposition of RSPM in the respiratory tract can damage the system thereby reducing the PEFR of the lungs and adversely affecting the working of respiratory system. Mine/Quarry workers are exposed to particulate matter of different concentration and size, which leads to deterioration of their pulmonary and cardiovascular functions. Burnett et al. (1999); Morris (2001) suggested that adverse effects of air pollution included an increase in cardiovascular...
and respiratory deaths among elderly people as well as increased hospital admissions for heart and respiratory diseases [1] [2]. Singh et al. (2007) assessed the reduction in forced vital capacity of lungs of sandstone quarry workers exposed to high respirable suspended particulate concentration. He found that exposure duration and exposure concentrations were main factors responsible to damage respiratory tract of worker [3]. Kumar et al. (2014) performed pulmonary function test on quarry workers and controlled population. On comparing pulmonary functions between quarry workers and controls, it was concluded that the exposure to dust containing silica in quarry workers led to deterioration of pulmonary function and it was correlated with the duration of the exposure [4]. Linn et al. (1999) analysed effects of air pollution on blood pressure in a population based sample as well as in a panel of asthmatic subjects found an increase in systolic blood pressure with elevated concentrations of particulates [1] [5]. Brook et al. (2004) published its first scientific statement of American Heart Association (AHA) regarding air pollution and cardiovascular disease. He discussed that short term exposure to particulate matter air pollution contributed to acute cardiovascular morbidity and mortality and exposure to elevated PM level over the long term can reduce life expectancy by a few years [6]. According to Bellavia et al. (2013), short-term exposures to fine (<2.5 μm aerodynamic diameter) ambient particulate-matter (PM) have been related with increased blood pressure (BP) in controlled-human exposure and community-based studies. However, whether coarse (2.5 to 10 μm) PM exposure increases BP is uncertain [7]. This study aims to assess the change in blood pressure due to their resultant decrease in Peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) caused due to particulate exposure duration and concentration in quarry/mine workers of Jodhpur region.

2. Method & Methodology

The sandstone quarrying/mining process is done manually and mechanically but the involvement of workers in both the cases is significant. There are three types of workers, in the quarrying process:

1) Driller: These sets of workers are employed for blasting, and drilling operations.

2) Dresser: These are the workers employed for doing finer work, by chiselling, cutting or dressing the stone pieces for decorative works.

3) Labours: These sets of workers are employed for loading & unloading operations and are exposed to normal quarry environment.

a) The workers were selected for the study from various stone quarries. The selection of workers was based upon the exposure duration, type of work, socioeconomic factor, and previous diseases. The workers having hereditary respiratory & cardiovascular problems were not taken for study. Only male workers have been considered in this study.

The control workers were selected from the same category of lifestyle, socioeconomic standard but are not exposed to pollution for comparison purpose.

b) RSPM concentration was measured during various activities in the mines and average concentrations of RSPM for various activities are given in Table 1.

c) The systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and PEFR of population under consideration was measured with the help of Multiparameter Monitor and Spirometer respectively. Total numbers of workers of various categories, involved in this study are given in Table 2.

d) The PEFR of a person depends upon its age, height and weight, and the reduction in PEFR due to particulate pollution depends upon the concentration of particulate matter and duration of exposure. Therefore, it is not possible to find out the generalised reduction due to pollution. Hence index is developed to find out the extent of damage in terms of percentage of reduction in fraction. The index is designated as IPEF. Similarly, indices were also calculated for Systolic blood pressure and Diastolic blood pressure and are represented as ISBP (percentage increase in systolic blood pressure in fraction) and IDBP (percentage increase in diastolic blood pressure in fraction) respectively. These indices were calculated from equations given below and are given in Table 3.

\[ \text{IPEF} = \frac{(\text{PEFR}_p - \text{PEFR})}{\text{PEFR}_p} \]

\[ \text{ISBP} = \frac{(\text{SBP} - 120)}{120} \]

\[ \text{IDBP} = \frac{(\text{DBP} - 80)}{80} \]

where:

PEFRP = Predicted value of Peak expiratory flow rate of lungs,
Table 1. Various types of activities and RSPM concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>RSPM Concentration (µg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Normal Quarry Environment</td>
<td>460.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dressing</td>
<td>970.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Drilling</td>
<td>1890.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Category of workers and exposure duration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of Workers</th>
<th>Exposure Duration in Years</th>
<th>Number of Workers</th>
<th>Exposure Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>0 - 5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 - 10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 - 15</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 - 5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dresser</td>
<td>5 - 10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 - 15</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 - 5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driller</td>
<td>5 - 10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 - 15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control workers</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Mean values of indices (decrease in PF values & increase in blood pressure).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>No. of Obs.</th>
<th>Worker Category</th>
<th>Exposure Duration (Yrs)</th>
<th>Exposure Concentration</th>
<th>Mean IPEF</th>
<th>Mean IDBP</th>
<th>Mean ISBP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>0 - 5</td>
<td>460.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>5 - 10</td>
<td>460.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>10 - 15</td>
<td>460.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>460.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Dresser</td>
<td>0 - 5</td>
<td>970.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Dresser</td>
<td>5 - 10</td>
<td>970.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Dresser</td>
<td>10 - 15</td>
<td>970.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Dresser</td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>970.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Driller</td>
<td>0 - 5</td>
<td>1890.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Driller</td>
<td>5 - 10</td>
<td>1890.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Driller</td>
<td>10 - 15</td>
<td>1890.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Driller</td>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>1890.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Control Population</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PEFR = Measured value of Peak expiratory flow rate of lungs, 
SBP = Measured value of Systolic blood pressure, 
DBP = Measured value of Diastolic blood pressure.

2.1. Analysis
Regression & Graphical analysis is done to establish the relationship between decrease in peak expiratory flow
rate and increase in systolic and diastolic blood pressure.

2.1.1. Analysis for IPEF & ISBP
Following statistical parameters were found and F-test and t-test was applied.  
\[ R = 0.637, R^2 = 0.405, F = 6.818, t \text{ (for } \beta_1 \text{)} = 2.611. \]
Estimated Coefficient \( b_0 = 0.213 \) & \( b_1 = 0.263. \)

**F test**
\[ H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \text{ against } H_1: \text{ not all } \beta_k = 0: (k = 1). \]
\[ F_{k, n-k-1, \alpha} = 4.96 \text{ (critical value from standard tables). Here, } F_{\text{calculated}} > F_{k, n-k-1, \alpha}. \]
Hence, reject \( H_0 \) at \( \alpha \) (value = 0.05) level of significance individual of significance \( \beta \)'s be tested by “t-Test”.

**t-Test**
\[ H_0: \beta_j = 0 \text{ against } H_1: \beta_j \neq 0: (j = 1). \]
The calculated values for t-statistics’ for \( \beta_1 \) is: \( t \text{ (for } \beta_1 \text{)} = 2.611. \)
The value of \( F_{n-k-1, \alpha/2} = 2.23 \) Here \( t > t_{n-k-1; \alpha/2}; \) therefore reject \( H_0. \)
Hence, \( \beta_1 \neq 0, \) Hence the estimated \( b_0 \) and \( b_1 \) are \( b_0 = 0.213 \) & \( b_1 = 0.263. \)
Thus, estimated multiple regression equation for ISBP can be expressed as:

\[ ISBP = 0.213 + 0.263 \text{ IPEF} \]

2.1.2. Analysis for IPEF & IDBP
Following statistical parameters were found and F-test and t-test was applied.  
\[ R = 0.699, R^2 = 0.489, F = 9.552, t \text{ (for } \beta_1 \text{)} = 3.091. \]
Estimated Coefficient \( b_0 = 0.102 \) & \( b_1 = 0.176. \)

**F test**
\[ H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \text{ against } H_1: \text{ not all } \beta_k = 0: (k = 1). \]
\[ F_{k, n-k-1, \alpha} = 4.96 \text{ (critical value from standard tables). Here, } F_{\text{calculated}} > F_{k, n-k-1, \alpha}. \]
Hence, reject \( H_0 \) at \( \alpha \) (value = 0.05) level of significance individual of significance \( \beta \)'s be tested by “t-Test”.

**t-Test**
\[ H_0: \beta_j = 0 \text{ against } H_1: \beta_j \neq 0: (j = 1). \]
The calculated values for t-statistics’ for \( \beta_1 \) is: \( t \text{ (for } \beta_1 \text{)} = 3.091. \)
The value of \( t_{n-k-1, \alpha/2} = 2.23 \) Here \( t > t_{n-k-1; \alpha/2}; \) therefore reject \( H_0. \)
Hence, \( \beta_1 \neq 0, \) Hence the estimated \( b_0 \) and \( b_1 \) are \( b_0 = 0.102 \) & \( b_1 = 0.176. \)
Thus, estimated multiple regression equation for IDBP can be expressed as:

\[ IDBP = 0.102 + 0.176 \text{ IPEF} \]

2.1.3. Graphical Analysis
It can be observed from Graph 1 & Graph 2 that if PEFR is reduced to about 50% to 60% in that case sudden
increase in blood pressure is recorded and behaviour of blood pressure rise has complex pattern. It is also established fact that if PEFR is reduced more than 50%, this is treated as severe respiratory problem. It can also be concluded that workers may start taking medicines to control BP.

3. Conclusions

Sand stone mine/quarry workers inhale air which contains high amount of RSPM and these small particles are deposited in the respiratory system at various places. The deposition of RSPM in the respiratory tract can damage the system thereby reducing the PEFR of the lungs. Based on the study carried out in the present work the important conclusions drawn are as follows:

1) Increase in exposure duration increases the DBP & SBP when exposed to constant concentration of RSPM.
2) Increase in exposure concentration of RSPM increases DBP & SBP when exposed to constant exposure duration.
3) Reductions PEFR is also responsible for increase of DBP & SBP. Relationship between increase in blood pressure and due to reduction in PEFR is not exactly linear but it can be considered as liner. It is noticed that if PEFR is reduced to about 50% to 60% in that case sudden increase in blood pressure is recorded and behaviour of blood pressure rise has complex pattern. It is also an established fact that if PEFR is reduced more than 50%, this is treated as severe respiratory problem. The relationships between percentage increase in DBP & SBP due to percentage increase in decrease of PEFR are:

$$\text{ISBP} = 0.213 + 0.263\text{IPEF}, \quad \text{IDBP} = 0.102 + 0.176\text{IPEF}$$

Presently workers are exposed to high concentration of RSPM in stone mines/quarries and deposition of sand stone particles in respiratory tract is not causing various respiratory diseases but indirectly it is affecting cardiovascular system. Hence it is urgent need to develop some techniques to reduce the RSPM in the working place and provide good working environment.
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