A Comparison of the Antioxidative and Anti-Diabetic Potential of Thermally Treated Garlic, Turmeric, and Ginger
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Abstract
Spices have been used for centuries for food preservation, flavors, and medicinal properties. Research suggests that garlic, turmeric, and ginger contain potent antioxidants that may prevent and/or delay chronic diseases such as cancer, diabetes, and heart disease. Heat treatment of spices may potentially increase antioxidative activity by modifying the inherent chemical structure of potent antioxidative compounds within spices. The purpose of this study was to determine the impact of thermal treatment of garlic, ginger, and turmeric on total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) scavenging activity, ferric reducing antioxidant potential (FRAP), trolox activity (TEAC), lipase, a-amylase, and a-glucosidase inhibition. Conventional stovetop heating of selected spices was performed followed by methanolic and aqueous extractions (1 - 5 minutes; 70˚C - 130˚C). Overall methanolic extracts had higher phytochemical, antioxidative, and anti-diabetic potential. However, aqueous garlic extracts exhibited higher phytochemical and antioxidative potential over methanolic garlic extracts. The highest TPC for aqueous garlic extracts was observed at 1 minute (14.11 mg GAE/g) while methanolic garlic extracts at 1 minute were significantly lower (1.72 mg GAE/g). Methanolic turmeric extracts had highest TPC at 5 minutes (28.55 mg GAE/g). Time and temperature influenced antioxidant activity in the spices. Turmeric and ginger (methanolic extracts) resulted in higher percent inhibition of DPPH radical with an increase in time (5 minute) turmeric (86.9%) and ginger (79.09%) at 7.9 mg/mL concentration. The results of this study revealed both solvent and time for thermal treatment of spices influenced antioxidative potential as determined using DPPH and FRAP assays. Therefore, the use of thermal application on spices presents promise in potentiating the antioxidant content and thereby their potential health promoting properties. Spices are utilized in the U.S. food industry and
increasing their use as a natural antioxidant preservative and flavoring agent may have beneficial impact in food product development.
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1. Introduction
Phytochemicals are bioactive substances of plants that have been associated in human health in the protection against chronic degenerative diseases [1]. Before the advancement of modern medicine, spices and herbs were used as therapeutic agents to prevent and treat a variety of ailments serving as folk medicine. Research shows that plants contain bioactive components, phytochemicals which may aid in disease prevention due to the abundance of antioxidants, phenolic acids, and other health promoting compounds [2] [3] [4]. Diabetes is a chronic disease that is heavily influenced by individual's diet and lifestyle. Research suggests that consumption of fruits, vegetables, and spices may lead to lower incidence of the development of diabetes [5] [6] [7] [8]. Garlic, turmeric, and ginger (TGG) have been used for centuries within folk medicine due to their health promoting properties attributing to various phytochemicals and antioxidants such as curcuminoids, shogaols, and allicin [9]. Culinary uses of spices generally involve some forms of thermal processing for the production of food products prior to their consumption. Thermal processing is the most widely used process technology within the food industry, to ensure microbiological safety of food [10]. Thermal application of food results in both physical and chemical modulations which may have beneficial or detrimental effects. It is crucial that phytochemicals within food maintain bioactive stability in order to impart health promoting properties. Research suggests that thermal application on plant substances could increase antioxidant capacity [10] [11] [12]. Therefore, the objective of this study is to evaluate the effect of thermal processing on the phytochemical, antioxidative, and enzymatic inhibition of garlic, turmeric, and ginger.

2. Materials and Methods

Reagents
The following chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA) and Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA): Folin-Ciocalteau reagent, catechin, gallic acid, Trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethoxychroom-2-carboxylic acid), TPTZ (2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine), DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl), ABTS (2,2’-azinobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid), pancreatic lipase, pNB (2,4, p-nitrophenyl butyrate substrate, soluble starch, dinitrosalicicylic acid, p-nitrophenyl-α-D-glucopyranoside, dinitrosaliclyc acid, α-amylase (porcine pancreatic), and α-glucosidase (yeast).

Spice Preparation
Garlic (*Allium sativum*), ginger (*Zingiber officinale*), and turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) powders were purchased from Monterey Bay Spice Company, (Watsonville, CA). Spices were subjected to direct heat treatments for experimentation. Direct heat-treated turmeric, ginger, and garlic powders were conducted by stovetop toasted for 1 (70˚C - 100˚C), 2.5 (70˚C - 114˚C), and 5 (70˚C - 130˚C) minute time points. The times and temperatures were chosen as not to burn the spices, but a notable change in browning would occur. The times and temperatures were selected Post-toasting 5 g of turmeric, garlic, and ginger were added to 50 mL of 80% methanol or deionized water and allowed to stir for 2 hours. The mixture was stirred for 2 hr on an orbital shaker then centrifuged (Sorvall Legend XTR; Thermo-Scientific, West Palm Beach, FL, USA) at 3000 xg for 20 mins. The supernatant was collected, filtered and evaporated (Bushi, Zurich, Switzerland) to dryness. The extraction was reconstituted with solvent and stored at −80˚C until further analysis.

**Determination of Phytochemical Content and Antioxidative Potential**

**Total Phenolic Content (TPC)**

Total phenolic content for spice extracts was determined following the Folin-Ciocalteau (FC) method with slight modifications [13]. Briefly 12.5 μl of sample and 50 μl of ddH2O was added to 96 well plate accordingly. Next 12.5 μl of Folin-Ciocalteau reagent was added and allowed to mix for 5 minutes. Lastly 125 μl of 7% NaCO3 was added and the plate was shaken for 90 minutes before being read at room temperature at 750 nm versus a blank of ddH20 using a microplate reader (Synergy HT, BioTek instrument Inc., Vermont, USA). Gallic acid was used as standard for determining phenolics. The results are expressed as means (mg GAE/100g) ± SEM for three replicates.

**Total Flavonoid Content (TFC)**

Determination of total flavonoid content in extracts was determined using an aluminum chloride colorimetric assay as described by Marinova et al. [14] with slight modifications. Briefly, 25 μl of sample and 125 μl ddH2O were added to a 96 well plate followed by the addition of 7.5 μl of 5% NaNO2 and allowed to stand for 5 minutes. After 5 minutes, 15 μl of 10% AlCl3 was added to the mixture and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes. Lastly, 50 μl of 1 M NaOH was added followed immediately by 25.5 μl of ddH2O. The absorbance was read at 510 nm using a microplate reader (Synergy HT, BioTek instrument Inc., Vermont, USA) against a blank prepared with ddH2O. A standard curve for flavonoids was developed using catechin (0.02 - 0.4 mg) and the results are expressed as means (mg CE/100g) ± SEM for three replicates.

**DPPH (2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) Assay (DPPH)**

DPPH, a stable radical was used to measure total antioxidant potential of the selected extracts, using a method suggested by Brand-Williams et al. [15] with slight modifications. Briefly, a 0.1 mM solution of DPPH in 80% methanol was used. For the reaction, 40 μl of sample was added into a 96 well plate followed by 200 μl of DPPH solution. For the control, 40 μl of water and 200 μl of DPPH was
used for the assay. Absorbance was read at 0, 30, 60, and 90-minute time points using a microplate reader (Synergy HT, BioTek instrument Inc., Vermont, USA). Radical scavenging ability was expressed as decrease in the percentage of DPPH. The results are expressed as means ± SEM for three replicates. Calculations for DPPH were conducted as follows:

%DPPH = \left(\frac{A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100.

**Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Potential (FRAP)**

Total FRAP of extracts were assessed according to the protocol described by Benzie and Strain [16] with slight modifications. Briefly, 10 µl of sample, 30 µl of ddH20 and 30 µl of FRAP reagent were combined and read at an absorbance of 593 nm using a microplate reader (Synergy HT, BioTek instrument Inc., Vermont, USA). The FRAP reagent was made fresh and heated to 37˚C prior to use. The FRAP reagent consisted of 10mM 2,4,6-tri (2-pyridyl)-s-triazine (TPTZ) in 40 mM HCl, 20 mM ferric chloride (FeCl₃-6H₂O), and 300 mM acetate buffer (pH 3.6). The change in absorbance was compared to a ferrous sulfate standard curve (0.1 mM - 1 mM). The results are expressed in μmol of Fe²⁺/100g for three replicates.

**Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity (TEAC)**

TEAC of extracts was assessed according to protocol suggested by Miller et al. [17] with slight modifications. Briefly, a 7 mM ABTS (2, 2-azino-bis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)) radical solution was prepared and left at room temperature in the dark for 12 - 16 hours to develop. After the ABTS radical developed, it was then diluted with ethanol to get an absorbance of 0.7 ± 0.025 at 734 nm. For assay, 10 µL of sample and blank were added to a 96 well plate. Next, 290 µL of diluted ABTS radical was added. The absorbance was read at 734 nm for six (6) minutes at one (1) minute intervals. A trolox curve (0.02 mM- 0.5 mM) was used as a standard to calculate the TEAC of each sample. The results are expressed as means ± SEM for three replicates.

**Inhibition of lipid and carbohydrate enzymes**

**Lipase inhibition**

Lipase inhibition by extracts was determined using DNPB as a substrate by Mosmuller et al. [18] with modifications. Spice extracts, lipase and potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) with 0.1% tween 80% was added to the samples followed by incubation for 1 hour at 30˚C. After incubation, 25 mM of pNB (2,4 p-nitrophenylbutanoic acid) was added and incubated again for 5 min at 30˚C and absorbance was read at 405 nm. The inhibitory activity of lipase was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Inhibition(%) = } \frac{\text{Absorbance(control)} - \text{Absorbance(sample)}}{\text{Absorbance(control)}} \times 100
\]

**α-amylase inhibition**

The ability of spice extracts to inhibit α-amylase activity was assessed according to a protocol adapted by Apostolidis et al. [19] with modifications. Briefly 50
µL of sample (0.55 - 11.11 mg/mL) and 50 µL of enzyme were added to a 96 well plate and mixed for 10 minutes at 25°C. The plate was then read at an absorbance of 540 nm. Post-incubation 50 µl of 1% starch solution in 0.02 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.9 was added and incubated at 25°C for 10 minutes. Absorbance was read at 540 nm using a microplate reader (Synergy HT, BioTek instrument Inc., Vermont, USA). The reaction was stopped with the addition of 100 ul of dinitro-salicylic acid color reagent (DNSA) and the absorbance was measured at 540 nm. The plate was then steamed for 5 minutes and allowed to cool to room temperature for 20 minutes. The final reading was taken at an absorbance of 540 nm. The determination of % inhibition was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Inhibition (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{Absorbance (control)} - \text{Absorbance (sample)}}{\text{Absorbance (control)}} \right) \times 100
\]

\(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibition

\(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibition by extracts was assessed according to a protocol adapted by Apostolidis et al. [19] with modifications. Briefly, in a 96 well plate, 50 µL of phosphate buffer (50 mM; pH 6.8), 10 µl of \(\alpha\)-glucosidase (1 U/ml), and 20 µL of sample extract (0.17 - 1.67 mg/mL) were added and incubated for 5 minutes at 37°C. Following incubation, 20 µL of 1 mM p-nitrophenyl-\(\alpha\)-D-glucopyranoside solution (PNPG) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.9) was added and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Lastly, 50 µL of sodium carbonate (0.1 M) was added and read at an absorbance of 405 nm using a microplate reader (Synergy HT, BioTek instrument Inc., Vermont, USA). Determination of % inhibition was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Inhibition (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{Absorbance (control)} - \text{Absorbance (sample)}}{\text{Absorbance (control)}} \right) \times 100
\]

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as means ± SEM. In addition, statistical tests used include ANOVA to determine significant differences among treatment groups, using Tukey’s studentized range test. The statistical analysis was conducted using SAS software 9.1

3. Results & Discussion

Figure 1 shows the total phenolic (GAE/g) content (TPC) of garlic, turmeric, and ginger extracts (control (unheated), 1, 2.5, and 5 min thermal treatment). The TPC ranged from 0.90 mg GAE/g (garlic control methanolic extract) to 32.61 mg GAE/g (turmeric 5 min methanol extract). In turmeric extracts, heating of spices resulted in TPC to increase by 161%, 138% and 204%, respectively compared to the control (unheated).

Ginger extracts displayed a similar trend where methanolic extracts had higher TPC with the exception of ginger (2.5 minutes), where aqueous extracts had significantly (\(p \leq 0.05\)) higher TPC compared to the control. The application of
heat significantly \((p \leq 0.05)\) increased TPC in all aqueous extracts compared to aqueous control. Unlike both turmeric and ginger, aqueous extracts of garlic had significantly \((p \leq 0.05)\) higher TPC compared to methanolic extracts. An inverse trend was seen between methanolic and aqueous extracts. For thermally treated aqueous extracts, as treatment time increased, there was a decrease in TPC with no changes seen after 2.5 min. However, in thermally treated garlic (methanolic extracts), as time increased, there was a higher TPC seen with no significant differences amongst samples. The presence of fat soluble phenolic compound, phydroxybenzoic acid, could account for the increase in TPC of methanolic extracts of garlic [20]. Both methanolic and aqueous control samples contained significantly \((p \leq 0.05)\) lower TPC compared to their thermally treated counterparts.

Overall, the TPC was higher in turmeric samples compared to both ginger and garlic, while garlic samples displayed the lowest TPC. When comparing extracts, turmeric and ginger displayed a five-fold and two-fold higher TPC compared to garlic. Research [21] [22] [23] reported similar trends in TPC with garlic being lower than that of turmeric and ginger. This may be attributed to the presence of curcuminoïds, which are more stable than the organo-sulfur compounds such as allicin found in garlic, which are highly volatile.

**Figure 2** displays the TFC of spice extracts [heated spices (1, 2.5, and 5 minutes) and non-heated (control)]. The highest TFC was seen in the methanolic turmeric control extract \((8.32 \text{ mg CE/g})\) and the lowest in aqueous garlic control extract \((0.174 \text{ mg CE/g})\). Overall, ginger extracts exhibited the highest amount of flavonoid content with the exception of turmeric control-methanolic \((8.32 \text{ mg CE/g})\) and garlic 1 min-methanolic \((4.92 \text{ mg CE/g})\). Thermal application to garlic resulted in a significantly \((p \leq 0.05)\) higher TFC with 1 min treated samples showing the highest TFC \((4.92 \text{ mg CE/g})\). However, there was a significantly \((p \leq 0.05)\) lower TFC in extracts heated at 2.5 and 5 min. The main phytochemical compounds within garlic allicin, diallyl-disulphide and diallyl-trisulphide, which are inherently volatile therefore as heat increased there, was destruction and/or
loss of compounds [24]. Unlike TPC of the spices, thermal application did not significantly impact TFC when comparing solvents.

Total antioxidant capacity as measured by Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Potential (FRAP) (which shows the reducing ability) and Trolox (which shows Trolox equivalence) is shown in Table 1. Both turmeric and ginger (methanolic extracts) exhibited higher reducing ability with increasing thermal application time, with 2.5 min resulting in highest FRAP activity for turmeric (100.31 μmol Fe²⁺/g) and ginger (162.1 μmol Fe²⁺/g). Aqueous extracts for garlic displayed the highest FRAP activity at 5 min (28.47 μmol Fe²⁺/g). For the Trolox assay methanolic extracts for all spices exhibited significantly higher TE. Additionally the application of heat resulted in a significant reduction in TE all control methanolic samples were significantly higher than heat treated spices.

The radical scavenging ability of spices (control and thermally treated 1 - 5 min) methanolic and aqueous extracts is shown in Table 2. Both turmeric (methanol) and ginger (methanol) extracts exhibited IC₅₀ at lower concentrations compared to their aqueous (extracts) counterparts. This is supported by higher fat-soluble phytochemical content (both TPC and TFC) in methanolic samples. Garlic (aqueous) extracts exhibited the opposite trend, having lower IC₅₀ concentrations. When comparing extracts, ginger extracts were able to scavenge and reduce the radical DPPH at lower concentrations (0.25 mg/mL - 2 mg/mL) compared to both garlic (0.5 mg/mL - 4 mg/mL) and turmeric (0.2 mg/mL - 10 mg/mL).

The percent inhibition of α-amylase by thermally (1, 2.5, 5 min) treated and control extracts is shown in Figure 3. Control samples for all spices resulted in a significantly (p ≤ 0.05) higher percent α-amylase inhibition compared to thermally treated spice extracts with the highest inhibition seen in methanolic ginger extracts (58.88%). The reduction in α-amylase inhibition of thermally treated extracts compared to control may be attributed to alterations, loss, and/or damage of active phytochemicals present. Similar modulation of carbohydrate metabolizing enzymes post-thermal application was seen in Oboh, G., Akinyemi, A,
Table 1. FRAP and Trolox Activity of garlic, turmeric, and ginger extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FRAP (µmol Fe^{2+}/g)</th>
<th>TEAC (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Turmeric</td>
<td>32.709</td>
<td>13.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric 1 MIN</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>87.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric 2.5 MIN</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>100.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric 5 MIN</td>
<td>1.895</td>
<td>98.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Ginger</td>
<td>92.83</td>
<td>19.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger 1 MIN</td>
<td>13.375</td>
<td>140.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger 2.5 MIN</td>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>162.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger 5 MIN</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>138.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Garlic</td>
<td>27.91</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic 1 MIN</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>1.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic 2.5 MIN</td>
<td>17.525</td>
<td>1.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic 5 MIN</td>
<td>28.47</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Radical scavenging ability of garlic, turmeric, and ginger extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Methanol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥IC 50 (mg/ml)</td>
<td>% INHIBITION</td>
<td>≥IC 50 (mg/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Turmeric</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>31.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric 1 MIN</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>68.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric 2.5 MIN</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>67.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric 5 MIN</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>59.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Ginger</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>53.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger 1 MIN</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>54.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger 2.5 MIN</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>52.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger 5 MIN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>57.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Garlic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>18.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic 1 MIN</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic 2.5 MIN</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>52.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic 5 MIN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>57.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. α-Amylase Inhibition of Spice Extracts.
F. Hester et al. & Ademiluyi, A. [25]. In turmeric (methanol) and ginger (methanol) samples, with an increase in heating time there was an \(p \leq 0.05\) increase in percent inhibition of \(\alpha\)-amylase. Ginger and turmeric are botanically related as members of the Zingiberaceae family. [26] therefore this supports the similar trends seen in \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibition. While the reverse was seen in garlic (methanol), as thermal time increased there was a decrease in percent inhibition.

The percent inhibition of \(\alpha\)-glucosidase by thermally (1, 2.5, and 5 min) and non-thermally treated spices is shown in Figure 4. Garlic (2.83% - 55.45%) extracts showed the highest percent inhibition of \(\alpha\)-glucosidase with ginger (1.41% - 9.52%) extracts showing the lowest inhibition. Overall, thermal treatment of spices resulted in an increase in inhibition of \(\alpha\)-amylase. This was the reverse response as seen in \(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibition, where thermal treatment showed a significant decrease in percent inhibition. However, similar to \(\alpha\)-amylase, incubation with both turmeric (methanol) and ginger (methanol) resulted in an increase in percent inhibition with an increase in thermal treatment time. While the reverse was seen in garlic (methanol), as thermal time increased there was a reduction in percent inhibition of \(\alpha\)-glucosidase.

Carbohydrate metabolizing enzymes, \(\alpha\)-amylase and \(\alpha\)-glucosidase are essential enzymes within the digestive system leading to the cleavage of dietary starch compounds into maltose and glucose [27]. Research [27] [28] [29] has reported that both \(\alpha\)-amylase and \(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibitors from plant phytochemicals may regulate absorption of carbohydrate and be used to treat type 2 diabetes. In this study, the ability of garlic, ginger, and turmeric’s to inhibit \(\alpha\)-amylase and \(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibition was measured.

Research has suggested the potential of bioactive compounds from plants in the inhibition of both \(\alpha\)-amylase and \(\alpha\)-glucosidase. Nickavar and Yousefian [30] reported ethanolic garlic extracts (11.8 - 36.0 mg/ml) to have a 13.93% - 54.96% \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibitory activity. All heat-treated garlic extracts displayed comparable \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibition ranging from 44% - 55% inhibition. Today aracarbose, miglitol, and voglibose are common \(\alpha\)-amylase and \(\alpha\)-glucosidase enzyme inhibitors [2]. With increasing reports demonstrating the effectiveness of medicinal plants to delay carbohydrate hydrolysis, plant sources such as garlic and turmeric show potential to serve as natural alternatives.

The inhibition of lipase by thermally (1, 2.5, 5 min) and non-thermally treated turmeric, ginger, and garlic is shown in Figure 5. Overall, garlic extracts exhibited the highest lipase inhibition followed by ginger and turmeric. Lipase inhibition by garlic is attributed to the presence of phenolic acids and sulfur containing compounds. Salicylic acid, a phenolic acid found in garlic has been suggested as a lipase inhibitor [31]. Additionally, garlic compounds, allin, allicin, and ajoene have also been reported to have hypolipidemic effects [32] [33] [34]. Additionally, within ginger and garlic curcuminoids and gingerones have been suggested to exhibit hypolipidemic effects [26].
4. Discussion

Total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) scavenging activity, ferric reducing antioxidant potential (FRAP), trolox activity (TEAC), lipase, α-amylase, and α-glucosidase inhibition of thermally treated spices (TGG) were measured. Phytochemical content and antioxidative activity was dependent on solvents used for extraction. Our results displayed a similar trend of methanolic extracts exhibiting higher phenolic content compared to aqueous extracts as supported in Kaur & Kapoor [21]. In garlic samples, higher phenolic content in aqueous samples may be due to the presence of S-allyl-cysteines (SACs) that are extracted in aqueous solutions unlike the organosulfur volatile allicin [35]. Research [28] [36] [37] suggests that the inhibitory potential of ginger is correlated to phenolic content by the presence of gingerols and shogals. These select extracts correlated with high radical scavenging and reducing ability of DPPH, FRAP, and TEAC assays.

5. Conclusion

Malfunctions in glucose and lipid metabolism serve as contributing factors to pathogenesis of Type 2 Diabetes. Post-prandial blood glucose levels serve a
primary threat in enhancing the development of diabetic complications. As a result, carbohydrate metabolizing enzymes, α-amylase and α-glucosidase and inhibition of lipase has gained interest in controlling blood glucose levels. In this study heat-treated garlic, turmeric, and ginger displayed anti-diabetic potential by inhibiting critical carbohydrate and lipid-metabolizing enzymes, α-amylase, α-glucosidase, and lipase. Turmeric, garlic and ginger also displayed antioxidative potential through reducing and scavenging free radicals. Therefore, these spices could be used as a health promoting food additive in the food industry.
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