Application of Bromelain Extract for Muscle Foods Tenderization
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ABSTRACT

This study investigates the tenderizing effects of bromelain extract (BE) obtained from the top phase of an aqueous two-phase system comprising of 18% PEG-6000 and 17% MgSO₄ in muscle foods. Uniform-sized chunks of beef, chicken and squid were marinated with different concentrations of BE (0, 3%, 7%, 10% and 20% (w/w)) for 1 h at room temperature. The physico-chemical and quality characteristics of the marinated samples were determined. Decreases in pH and moisture content were observed in the BE-treated samples, but the TCA-soluble peptides content significantly increased (p < 0.05). Water holding capacity and cooking yields decreased in all of the tested samples, especially when the concentration of BE increased. In addition, a reduction of meat firmness and toughness were also observed in all of the samples when compared to the control (p < 0.05). Electrophoretic patterns also revealed extensive proteolysis and a reduction in number and intensity of the protein bands in all of the treated samples. At the microstructural level, tissue fibers were broken, cell membranes were more strongly degraded, the connections between the sarcolemma and the myofibrils were disappeared, and the generation of numerous gaps could be clearly observed when 20% (w/w) BE was added. The results showed that the bromelain extract derived from the two-phase extraction of a pineapple peel could be used as an effective meat tenderizer.
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1. Introduction

Toughness is one of the most common quality characteristics of meat. Toughness is due to a range of factors including the amount of intramuscular connective tissue, intramuscular fat and the length of the sarcomere [1]. Meat toughness can be subdivided into actomyosin toughness and background toughness. Actomyosin toughness is attributable to changes in myofibrillar proteins, whereas background toughness is attributable to connective tissues [2]. A number of attempts have been made to tenderize and improve the qualities of such meat. These studies were mainly able to reduce the amounts of detectable connective tissues either chemically and including the use of enzymes [3-7], phosphates, salts [8] and calcium chloride [9]. There have also been attempts to physically tenderize meat by pressure treatments [10], electrical stimulation [11] and blade tenderization [8]. Treatment by proteolytic enzymes is a popular method for meat tenderization. Proteolytic enzymes derived from plants such as papain, bromelain and ficin have been widely used as meat tenderizers in most parts of the world [6]. Proteolytic plant enzymes are superior to bacterial derived enzymes mainly because of safety problems such as pathogenicity or other disadvantageous effects [2].

Bromelain is reported to also be present in pineapple wastes such as in cores, peels and leaves. The bromelain in their waste materials are in relatively smaller quantities as compared to in the stems and fruits [12]. Bromelain is a plant protease of the sub-group of thiol (cysteine) proteinases such as ficin from figs [13], papain from papaya [14] and protease from ginger [15]. Naveena et al. [6] revealed that protease from Cucumis trigonus Roxb and Zingiber officinale Roscoe improved the tenderness and the overall qualities of tough buffalo meat. According to the previous work, the bromelain extract from pineapple peels (Phu Lae cultiv.) by using an aqueous two-phase system (18% PEG-6000 and 17% MgSO₄) exhibited the highest protease activity recovery. Therefore, applying extracted bromelain into the muscle foods system was the motivation for this study.

Hence, the current investigation applies bromelain
extract obtained from the top phase of a selected aqueous two phase system to the muscle sample. This study also investigates the effects of the bromelain extract on the physico-chemical and quality properties of the samples.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Raw Materials

Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), cysteine, ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), casein and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were obtained from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland). Beta-mercaptoethanol (βME), Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250, polyethylene glycol (PEG) and electrophoresis reagents were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Magnesium sulfate (MgSO₄) and trichloroacetic acid (TCA) were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

The pineapple peel (Phu Lae cultiv.) was obtained from a shop in Nang Lae subdistrict, Muang, Chiang Rai, Thailand. Beef, chicken and squid muscles were purchased from ‘Makro’ supermarket, Chiang Rai, Thailand.

2.2. Bromelain Extract Preparation

The crude extract was derived by blending the pineapple peels with a cold extraction buffer (0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer with 15 mM cysteine and 2 mM EDTA, pH 7.0). The peel extracts were subjected to partitioning with an 18% PEG₆₀₀₀ and 17% MgSO₄ system, following the method used in Ketnawa et al. [16]. A powder from the bromelain extract (BE) was obtained by freeze drying the top phase of the aqueous two-phase system fraction.

2.3. Marination of Meat Samples by Bromelain Extract

The uniform-sized chunks of beef and chicken (3 × 3 × 3 cm) and squid (3 × 3 × 0.5 cm) were weighed and then sprinkled by a powder of BE (0, 3%, 7%, 10% and 20% (w/w)). Ten pieces of meat were used for each treatment. After mixing thoroughly, the chunks of meat were placed in a plastic box and then left at room temperature for 60 min before determining the physico-chemical and quality characteristics as described below.

2.4. Physical Properties Determinations

2.4.1. Textural Properties

The texture was analyzed by using a TA.XT2 texture analyzer (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK), equipped with a Warner-Bratzler blade (square shape) in accordance with the method used in Espe et al. [17]. Seven rectangular shaped samples of raw beef, raw chicken and raw squid were prepared. Each sample was cut perpendicular to the longitudinal orientation of the muscle fibers. The blade was pressed down at a constant speed of 2 mm·s⁻¹ through the sample. Maximum shear force (N) and total work (N·Sec) were recorded.

2.4.2. Water-Holding Capacity (WHC)

WHC was determined according to method used in Wardlaw et al. [18]. Minced meat (20 g) was placed in a centrifuge tube containing 30 ml of 0.6 M NaCl and was stirred with a glass rod for 1 min. The tube was then kept at 4 ± 1°C for 15 min, stirred again, and then centrifuged at 3000 g (PLC-05, Industrial Corp., Taipei, Taiwan) for 25 min. The supernatant was measured, and the WHC was expressed in percentage as the following equation:

\[
\text{WHC(\%)} = \frac{\text{Volume of NaCl before centrifuge} - \text{Volume of NaCl after centrifuge}}{\text{Volume of NaCl before centrifuge}} \times 100
\]

2.4.3. Cooking Yield

The treated samples (10 g) were steamed for 1 min and then cooled at room temperature. The cooked sample was surface-dried with a filter paper and reweighed using an analytical balance (Sartorius, ED224S Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany). The cooking yield was calculated by the difference in raw and cooked weights as following:

\[
\text{Cooking Yield(\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of cooked chunks}}{\text{Weight of raw chunks}} \times 100
\]

2.4.4. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Microstructures of the samples were determined by using a scanning electron microscope (LE01450VP, Cambridge, UK). Muscle specimens for SEM were prepared from inside of meat with a thickness of 2 - 3 mm were fixed with 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in an 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) for 2 h. The samples were then rinsed for 1 h with distilled water before being dehydrated in ethanol with a serial concentration of 50%, 70%, 80%, 90% and 100% (v/v). They were then critical-point-dried (Balzers mod CPD 030, Blazers Process Systems, Vaduz, Liechtenstein) by using CO₂ as a transition fluid. The dried samples were mounted on a bronze stub and sputter-coated with gold (Sputter coater, SC7620, Polaron, UK). The specimens were observed with a SEM with a magnification of 250× and 2000× at an acceleration voltage of 10 kV.
2.5. Chemical Properties Determination

2.5.1. pH
To determine pH, 10 g of the sample were homogenized with 50 ml of chilled distilled water. The pH values were measured with a digital pH meter (Model pH 510, Eutech Instrument, Ayer Rajah Crescent, Singapore).

2.5.2. Moisture Content
The moisture content of the samples was determined according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) method No. 950.46 [19].

2.5.3. TCA-Soluble Peptides Content
The TCA-soluble peptides content of the samples was measured by the method used in Benjakul et al. [20]. Two grams of the samples were weighed and then homogenized with 18 ml of 5% (w/v) TCA for 1 min and kept at 4°C for 1 h before they were centrifuged at 8000 \( \times \) g for 5 min. Soluble peptides in the supernatant were measured by using the Lowry method [21]. The TCA soluble peptides content was calculated as the \( \mu \)mol of tyrosine/g of the samples.

2.5.4. Electrophoresis
SDS-PAGE was carried out according to the method in Laemmli et al. [22]. Samples (2 g) subjected to different treatment conditions were mixed with 18 ml of 5% (w/v) SDS solution (85°C). The mixture was then homogenized using a homogenizer (IKA Ultra Turrax, T25D, KG, Germany). The homogenate was incubated at 85°C in a water bath for 1 h to dissolve the protein. It was then centrifuged at 8000 \( \times \) g for 5 min at room temperature using a centrifuge (PLC-05, Industrial Corp., Taipei, Taiwan) to remove the undissolved debris. The supernatants were mixed at a 1:1 (v/v) ratio with the sample buffer (0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8 containing 4% SDS, 20% glycerol and 10% \( \beta \)ME) and then boiled for 3 min. The samples (20 \( \mu \)g protein) were loaded into a polyacrylamide gel (10% running and 4% stacking gels). Then, they were subjected to an electrophoresis set at a constant current of 15 mA per gel using a Mini Protean Tetra Cell unit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA, USA). After electrophoresis, the gels were stained overnight with staining solution (0.02% (w/v) Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250) in 50% (v/v) methanol, and 7.5% (v/v) acetic acid. The protein patterns were then visualized after destaining the gel until a clear background was achieved.

2.6. Statistical Analysis
The obtained data was statistically analyzed with the SPSS program for Windows (SPSS version 11.5, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Duncan’s multiple-range test was used to compare the difference between means. The accepted level of significance for all comparisons was \( p < 0.05 \). Experiments were conducted in triplicate.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effects of Bromelain Extract on the Physical Properties of Muscle Foods

3.1.1. Textural Properties
The shear force values significantly decreased in all of the BE-treated samples when compared to the control without the BE addition (\( p < 0.05 \)). It was observed that the shear force values continuously decreased in all of the treated samples when the level of BE increased (Figure 1(a)). The firmness for the starting beef, chicken, and squid muscles were 570 N, 111 N and 314 N respectively. Adding 3% (w/w) BE to the samples reduced the firmness of the samples by about 20%, 28% and 31% when compared to the control for beef, chicken and squid, respectively. When 20% (w/w) of BE was added to the sample, firmness reduction of more than 61% was found when compared to the control. Reduction of meat firmness is results by the action of the proteolytic enzymes on
myofibrillar proteins. When the breakdown of myofibrillar protein occurred, small peptides, or proteins with low molecular weight (MW), were generated and resulted in reducing the firmness of the meat samples.

The toughness of the treated meat samples are presented in Figure 1(b). The toughness is indicated by the amount of intramuscular connective tissue, intramuscular fat, and the length of the sarcomere [1]. This value is related to the acceptable meat quality for the consumer. The eating quality of muscle foods is directly related to meat toughness. Older animals normally produce the tough meat than that of young ones. The tougher the meat, the more connective tissues are present. Larger sized animals also normally give a larger amount of connective tissues rendering the meat to be tougher. Tenderization associated with postmortem aging is due to endogenous enzyme activity to the muscle. Proteolytic enzymes such as plant proteases affect the meat tenderization [1]. According to Naveena et al. [6,23], Warner-Bratzler shear force values were diminished by plant proteases, including cucumis and ginger powders. Naveena et al. [6] also observed that in buffalo meat with extensive muscle fiber and connective tissue degradation, shear force values decreased when ginger rhizome extract was added. Reductions in shear force values with ginger extract treatment was also reported in chevon muscles [7], buffalo [24] and spent hen meat [25].

3.1.2. Water Holding Capacity and Cooking Yield

There was a significant reduction of WHC in all of the treated samples, especially when the concentration of BE increased (p < 0.05). The lowest WHC values were observed in the treated beef muscle when compared with others (Table 1). The squid showed the highest WHC, while the highest value for each meat type was found in the untreated samples. The higher WHC in the control sample may be due to the overall reduction in the protein reactive group that is available for water binding [26]. The reduction in WHC in all of the treated samples might be due to lower pH. This drop in pH may be responsible for the overall reduction in protein reactive groups available for water holding. Slight denaturation of sarcoplasmic proteins, which play an important role in determining WHC, could be the reason for lowering WHC [27]. The WHC of meat is very important since many physical properties such as color, texture and firmness are partially dependent on the WHC. However, in this experiment, the lowered WHC in the BE treated samples are probably due to the denaturation of myofibrillar proteins, which play a role in water retention. BE could hydrolyze these proteins into small peptides or amino acids, which then could not function properly. Reduced WHC results from myofibrillar shrinkage, as well as by the movement of water from the myofilament space into the extra-cellular space. There are three main factors involved in the shrinkage and/or swelling of the myofibrils: the onset of rigor mortis, the decline in pH and protein fragmentation [28].

In addition, all three BE-treated samples were significantly different from the control samples in the cooking yield (p < 0.05). Marinated meat samples with BE showed a reduction in cooking yield (Table 1). The cooking yield, inversely proportional to cooking loss, were found to decrease with the increase of BE in all samples. The highest and lowest cooking yield of starting meat was found in squid and beef muscles, respectively. When treated with 20% (w/w) BE, the highest and lowest value was also found in squid and beef, respectively. This result indicated that thermal treatment could remove more water from treated beef samples than that of squid muscles. It can be implied that the enzymes in BE could hydrolyze protein in beef more than in chicken and squid. Moreover, the addition of BE at different levels could possibly be due to the tenderizing effects of proteolytic enzymes from the pineapple peel. Pawar et al. [7]

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of meat samples treated with bromelain extract at different concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Concentration (%)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beef</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.28 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.27 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.26 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.26 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.24 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>74.64 ± 0.29&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>70.03 ± 0.52&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>69.71 ± 0.53&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>69.27 ± 1.21&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>66.56 ± 1.10&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHC (%)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>40.01 ± 1.48&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>27.97 ± 0.80&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.36 ± 0.68&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.25 ± 0.49&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.01 ± 0.12&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cooking yield (%)</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>77.89 ± 2.31&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>63.67 ± 1.80&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62.58 ± 1.67&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62.92 ± 1.83&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>57.83 ± 2.60&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.93 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.81 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.81 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.78 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.76 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.78 ± 1.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>71.17 ± 0.39&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>66.58 ± 0.81&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>66.26 ± 0.59&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>59.63 ± 1.87&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHC (%)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>89.62 ± 0.97&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>84.70 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>81.10 ± 1.42&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>43.69 ± 1.81&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34.04 ± 2.54&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cooking yield (%)</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>84.12 ± 1.68&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>83.13 ± 3.19&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>76.05 ± 1.24&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>75.09 ± 2.96&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>72.74 ± 3.51&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squid</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.04 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.79 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.70 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.44 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.06 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>84.07 ± 1.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>80.74 ± 0.25&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>78.91 ± 0.44&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>77.11 ± 0.31&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>75.53 ± 0.64&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHC (%)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>100 ± 0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99.36 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>98.84 ± 0.16&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95.66 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>91.66 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cooking yield (%)</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>77.09 ± 0.95&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>75.04 ± 2.17&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>74.31 ± 0.69&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>73.07 ± 1.79&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>72.01 ± 2.18&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means ± S.D. from triplicate determinations. **Different superscripts in the same row indicate the significant differences (p < 0.05). Number of observations = 10. Bromelain extract (BE) was obtained from the top phase of aqueous two phase system comprising of 18% PEG-6000 and 17% MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The muscle samples were treated with difference concentrations of BE and then subjected to incubate at room temperature for 60 min. before properties measurement.
reported that the reduction of cooking yield in the treated sample when compared to the control may be caused by the degradation of sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar proteins.

3.1.3. Muscle Microstructure
Scanning electron micrographs of the muscles treated with (20% BE, w/w) and without BE (control) are shown in Figure 2. The control samples of all the muscle fibers had well organized structure and were closely bound to each other. Whereas in the BE treated samples, there were broken muscle fibers in different bundles, they were less attached, and there was a loss of muscle fibers interaction. Furthermore, there was a disintegration of myofibrillar with a lot of exudates. This produced an increase of interfibrillar space, or voids between muscle fibers, which grew and revealed big gaps between them. These gaps might be due to the degradation of endomysial collagen and sarcolemma surrounding the muscle fibers. The degradation of the muscle structure became evident when looking at the figure. It showed that strong muscle fibers were broken into smaller pieces, and the interfibrillar space increased.

Figure 2. Microstructure of the samples treated without (control) and with 20% (w/w) powder of bromelain extract (20 BE). Magnification of 250× for beef and chicken samples and 2000× for squid, at acceleration voltage of 10 kV.
fibers were broken and the cell membranes were severely degraded. The junctions of the myofibrillar package and the sarcolemma have disappeared from most cells. Disruption of the intramuscular connective tissue structure is another cause for meat tenderization. The microstructure of the 20 BE samples showed a strong correlation with the previous results in term of textural properties, TCA-soluble peptides content, and SDS-PAGE patterns. Naveena [23] studied the effect of ginger extract on the microstructure of buffalo muscle by using SEM, and they found that the ginger extract treatment broke muscle fibers into different bundles and also increased the space between the bundles. In addition, there was marked deformation and disruption of honey-like structure observed in the beef treated with elastase from the Bacillus strain [2].

3.2. Effects of Bromelain Extract on the Chemical Properties of Muscle Foods

3.2.1. pH and Moisture Content
A significant decrease in pH was found in all of the treated samples when compared to the control. The low pH of BE (4.07) was probably caused by the lower pH of the treated samples (Table 1). Moreover, BE hydrolysis of the muscle may result in releasing amino acids that can reduce the pH of the system. The decrease in pH of the treated sample (20% BE, w/w) ranges are 5.28 - 5.24, 5.93 - 5.76 and 7.04 - 6.063, when compared to the control for beef, chicken and squid, respectively. For starting material, the highest pH was found in the squid muscle. In addition, the most decreased value of pH was also found in this kind of muscle. The pH value in meat product is highly important because it has a major influence on other physico-chemical and quality properties such as WHC, tenderness and juiciness [29]. Changes in pH are caused by postmortem metabolism and also by the application of added substances to the meat during technological processes [30].

The powder of bromelain extract could absorb more water in the fresh meat samples, which resulted in lower moisture content when compared with the control (p < 0.05). The moisture content in the BE-treated samples markedly when decreased compared with the untreated samples (Table 1). Increased BE concentration resulted in moisture content reduction, justified that enzyme treatment improved hydrophilic properties. Besides, it could probably be due to absorption of moisture in the treated meat samples. It is also probably due to the hygroscopic nature of BE powder when attached to the wet surface of the sample. The low moisture content of the treated samples is related to product acceptability for the consumer. It also affects the yield of the final product (p < 0.05). Naveena et al. [25] reported that moisture reten-

![Figure 3. TCA-soluble peptides content of muscle samples treated with different concentrations of bromelain extract.](image3)

![Figure 4. SDS-PAGE patterns of meat samples treated with different concentration of bromelain extract at room temperature for 60 min. Numbers indicated the concentration of bromelain extract addition (% w/w). MHC: myosin heavy chains; AC: actin; PM: paramyosin.](image4)
peptides content indicated a greater muscle protein hydrolysis generated by proteolytic enzymes present in BE. The degradation of the myofibrillar proteins resulted in an increase in peptides and free amino acids. Increased TCA-soluble peptides content and free α-amino acids were coincidental with the continuous decreased band intensity of the major proteins after being marinated with BE, especially in the chicken muscle (Figure 4, lane 5). Rawdkuen et al. [31] reported that when using protease from Calotropis procera in beef, giant catfish and squid muscles, hydrolytic properties were shown to gradually decrease in numbers of protein bands and band intensity as compared with the control sample.

3.2.3. Hydrolytic Patterns
SDS-PAGE patterns are shown in Figure 4. Similar protein patterns in beef and chicken were observed (lane 1), while slightly different compositions in squid muscle were observed. The myosin heavy chain (MHC) and actin (AC) are the major proteins in all muscle foods. Apart from MHC and AC, a new protein band with high intensity, paramyosin (PM), was observed in the protein patterns of the squid muscle (lane 1). There was increased proteolysis of the muscle proteins in all of the BE treated samples as evidenced by the reduction in the number and intensity of the protein bands when the BE concentration was added. When comparing the treatment (BE-treated) to the control, the MHC band was markedly degraded into lower molecular weight products as shown at the bottom part of the gel. Moreover, degradation of the AC from the BE addition were also observed on the SDS-PAGE. According to Wada et al. [5], plant thiol proteases affect the structure of MHC and the AC filaments of myofibrillar proteins. Furthermore, these enzymes have very broad specificities, and therefore; indiscriminately break down the major muscle proteins (connective tissue/collagen and myofibrillar proteins), resulting in over-tenderization and a mushy-textured product [32]. From the result, it is also evident that effective cleavage of high MW proteins into low MW proteins was generated.

The degradation of muscle protein plays a major role in determining the tenderness and WHC of meat during the postmortem storage. Myosin constitutes approximately 45% of the total myofibrillar proteins, and it is the major structural protein in muscle [33]. Myofibrillar proteins such as troponin-T, titin and nebulin are considered to be indicators of the overall extent of postmortem proteolysis and predictors of meat tenderness [34]. The results from the current study appear to confirm this. Also MHC and AC are very stable and do not degrade under normal postmortem conditions [35]. The BE affected the MHC by fragmenting them into small molecular weight protein bands. The BE-treated meat samples were tender, and showed greater induced fragmentation of MHC. Based on these results, it could be determined that BE potentially has high proteolytic activity, making the meat more tender. Sawdy et al. [36] reported a significant correlation between MHC fragments and meat tenderness in bovine muscle. Similar observations of lower numbers of protein bands in buffalo meat added with ginger and Cucumis trigonus extract have been reported. In addition, the increase in proteolysis can be correlated with significantly higher protein solubility. Bhaskar et al. [37] found cleavage of high MW proteins into lower MW proteins when using ginger powder in spent hen meat. Bacterial proteolytic treatment of muscle protein produced a reduction in the level of higher MW fractions due to the degradation of myosin, thus increasing the meat tenderness [38].

4. Conclusions
Findings in the present experiment in physic-chemical and quality properties such as significant reductions in shear force values, WHC, cooking loss, TCA-soluble peptides content and electrophoretic pattern of treated muscle proteins showed the effective utilization of bromelain extract from pineapple peels for tenderizing tough muscle foods without adversely affecting other quality parameters. Therefore, technology for applying this enzyme is easily and cheaply available and can be exploited at the household or industrial level for tenderizing tough meat, and it can be used as a better alternative to chemical tenderizers or other plant proteases.
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