Solar Thermal Systems Performances versus Flat Plate Solar Collectors Connected in Series
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ABSTRACT
This paper shows the modeling of a solar collective heating system in order to predict the system performances. Two systems are proposed: 1) the first, Solar Direct Hot Water, which is composed of flat plate collectors and thermal storage tank, 2) the second, a Solar Indirect Hot Water in which we added an external heat exchanger of constant effectiveness to the first system. The mass flow rate by a collector is fixed to 0.04 Kg·s⁻¹ and the total number of collectors is adjusted to 60. For the first system, the maximum average water temperature within the tank in a typical day in summer and annual performances are calculated by varying the number of collectors connected in series. For the second, this paper shows the detailed analysis of water temperature within the storage and annual performances by varying the mass flow rate on the cold side of the heat exchanger and the number of collectors in series on the hot side. It is shown that the stratification within the storage is strongly influenced by mass flow rate and the connections between collectors. It is also demonstrated that the number of collectors that can be connected in series is limited. The optimization of the mass flow rate on cold side of the heat exchanger is seen to be an important factor for the energy saving.
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1. Introduction
Hot water production is one of the most interesting applications in solar energy and the demand for hot water greatly increases particularly in the residential use. Principal components of solar hot water systems are solar collectors and thermal storage tank. The storage tank plays an important role in solar energy system by storing energy when it is available and delivering it when it is needed. The performances of solar heating systems are strongly influenced by thermal stratification. Since 1970s, stratification within the storage tank has been intensively studied [1-3] and the low flow rate thermally stratified storage tanks have shown to deliver up to 17% more solar energy to the load [4-8]. These authors noted that the thermal stratification has the advantage of increasing the solar water heating system performances. Cristofari et al. [9] have found that with a high degree of stratification, saving energy is higher (5.25% over one year of use) than a fully mixed storage tank. However, a hotter storage tank temperature is designed to meet the energy demand. The degree of thermal stratification, defined as the temperature difference between the top and bottom sections of the tank, is very important for efficient operation of solar energy systems.
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to increase of the thermal losses due to the increase of the difference between the inlet and outlet temperature of the collector. Luminosu and Fara [21] and Atkins et al. [22] have shown that the energy efficiency decreases continuously when increasing the collecting surface by connecting in series of the solar flat plate collectors. For parallel connections between collectors or arrays of collectors, the total mass flow rate returning from the storage tank is divided into several flows and the outlet water temperatures are similar when the collectors are identical. In his study, Garg [23] have shown that the true parallel arrangement of absorber banks yields the maximum of efficiency and economy. Morrison [24] mentioned that using collectors in series, parallel or series-parallel depends on the hydraulic design considerations of minimum pressure drop and on the equal division between all collectors in the array. Kalogirou [25] noted that the collectors’ field should be built from identical modules of series or parallel or series-parallel collectors. He has also noted that modules must be connected in a reverse-return to ensure a self-balanced array since all collectors operate with the same pressure drop. Dubey and Tiwari [26] have studied the analysis of PV/T flat plate solar collectors connected in series. They showed that the number of series collectors is influenced by mass flow rates.

In the residential use, there are generally two systems for heating water. The first one is the direct-coupled systems (SDHW) which has two ways to circulate the fluid between the collectors and the thermal storage tank, either by fixing the total mass flow rate returned from the storage tank to the collectors’ field or by fixing the mass flow rate by a collector. Mass flow rate by collector and the total mass flow rate depend on the connection-type between solar collectors. The parallel arrangements between collectors increases the total flow rate entering the storage tank that destroys the stratification and decreases the average water temperature within the storage; and in this case series-parallel combinations are preferred.

The second system is the indirect-coupled system (SIHW). In this situation, an external heat exchanger is installed between the solar collectors and the storage tank and generally a solution of glycol-water $\text{Cp: } 3.2 - 4.0 \text{kJ/kg·°C}$ is used as a collector fluid to avoid freezing problems. A heat exchanger in a solar system, in a given time, gives only a part of energy in the secondary circuit (heat exchanger-storage tank) which causes an increase of fluid temperature in the solar collectors and leads to a lower overall efficiency. The system performances depend on the heat transferred from the collectors to the storage tank and this transfer depends itself on the mass flow rates and water temperatures on both sides of the heat exchanger. Good performances can be obtained by optimizing the flow rate on both sides of the heat exchanger [27]; and the solar fraction is enhanced with an increase in the heat exchanger effectiveness up to values around 0.7 - 0.8 [12]. The mass flow rate circulates between the heat exchanger and the storage tank either by circulating pump or by natural circulation. Stratification with external heat exchanger was studied intensively [12, 13, 16, 28, 29].

To the best knowledge of the authors, many researchers studied the optimization of flow rate in flat plate collectors, the optimization of flow rate entering the storage tank, the height of storage tank, the tank volume-to-collector area (e.g. [12, 16, 30]) etc. Nevertheless, only a few studies dealt with the combinations between thermal solar collectors in order to optimize the system.

Hence, the main objective of the present work is to investigate the influence of the variation in the combinations between solar collectors and the mass flow rate at the cold side of the heat exchanger in the solar thermal water heating systems performances. For both system, hydraulic connection between collectors is varied to investigate its effect on the average water temperature within the storage tank and on the annual solar fraction to select the maximum number of collectors that can be connected in series. In the SIHW, the mass flow rate at the cold side of the heat exchanger is varied to study its effect on the stratification and to select the optimal value by selecting the maximum average water temperature and the maximum annual solar fraction. Then, the mass flow rate selected is used as an input parameter and both demanded water temperature and storage volume are varied to maximize the system performance.

2. Solar Water Configuration System

The two chosen system configurations, SDHW (Figure 1.1) and SIHW (Figure 1.2) are composed of:
- An array of 60 identical flat plate collectors with total area of 120 m$^2$, and mass flow rate of 0.04 kg/s by collector according to the ASHRAE standard mass flow rate for testing conventional flat plate solar water collectors (0.02A$_c$, kg/s, [31]). Tunisia is characterized by a temperate and an abundant sunshine for the most periods of the year [32,33], and the optimum

![Figure 1.1. Direct Coupled System (SDHW). 1: Mixing device; 2: Auxiliary heater.](image-url)
slop angle of flat plate collectors is equal to the latitude [30]. The latitude, longitude, elevation above sea level and the annual average clearness index of Tunis City are respectively 36°50'N, 10°11'E, 0 m, and 0.53 [34].

- A 8 m³ vertical cylindrical storage tank having a ratio of height on diameter equal to 3, with an insulation made of polyurethane and a thickness equal to 50 mm.
- An auxiliary heater used for heating when the temperature of the water outgoing from the storage to the user is lower than the demand temperature required.
- A water-mixing device used to balance the temperature by adding the mains water temperature before consuming it directly by the user.
- For the indirect-system, a constant effectiveness counter current flow heat exchanger is installed between the collectors and the storage tank to transfer the heat from the hot source to the storage; Two pumps insure the fluid circulation between the heat exchanger and the collectors, and between the heat exchanger and the storage tank.
- For the direct-system, a circulator pump is installed between the collectors and the storage tank.
- An ON/OFF differential controller generates the ON/OFF signals for the pumps operation.

The pumps are controlled by a differential thermostat that turns when the water temperature at the top collector is higher than the water temperature at the bottom tank. A sufficient margin is then ensured to control the stability. The flow is ON if collectors outlet temperature exceeds the tank bottom temperature and OFF otherwise.

The flat plate collectors collect the solar energy in its absorbers and heat the circulating water that flows through it. In the series-parallel connections, there are many designs and the configurations chosen in this paper are those in which the array of total collectors composed of \( N_r \) rows connected in parallel, each row is in turn composed of the same number \( N_c \) of collectors mounted in series (Figure 2 (a)). Such a configuration of parallel arrangement can be selected with a gain of pipes conducting the fluid and a space saving in the terrace of implantation, provided that the system is optimized (e.g., Figure 2 (b)).

For both systems, the mass flow rate from collectors entering the storage tank or the heat exchanger is the sum of those outgoing from rows for series-parallel configuration and the sum of those outgoing from all collectors to the parallel arrangement. In the SIHW, mass flow rate on the cold side of the heat exchanger must be varied to select the optimum value.

3. Mathematical Model

A computer program was developed for the numerical calculations to determine the transient performance of solar water heating systems. Various input parameters are used in the simulation program, and principal ones are listed in Table 1. All simulations in this work are computed with a time step equal to 5 minutes.

3.1. Solar Radiation Calculation

As for the analysis of the solar thermal heating water, the monthly average hourly global irradiation on a tilted plane is calculated using the anisotropic solar radiation
diffuse model based on the Hay-Davies-Klucher-Reindl (HDKR) model [35]. It refers to the integration of Klucher, 1979; Hay and Davis, 1980; Reindl et al. [36-38] model. The model considers the beam radiation, all components of diffuse radiation and the ground reflection to find the total radiation on the tilted surface. Comparatively with other models, HDKR is one of the most popular and provide significantly more accurate prediction [39-42].

According to the HDKR model the total solar radiances on a tilted surface, whose tilt angle is $\beta$ degrees from the horizontal, can be evaluated from the following equation [35]:

$$G = \left( G_b + G_d.A \right)R_b + G_d \left( 1 - A \right) \frac{1 + \cos \beta}{2} \times \left[ 1 + f_m \sin \left( \beta / 2 \right) \right] + \left( G_b + G_d \right) \frac{1 - \cos \beta}{2}$$

where: $G_b$ is the monthly average hourly beam irradiation on a horizontal plane; $G_d$ is the monthly average hourly diffuse irradiation on a horizontal plane.

The modulating factor is

$$f_m = \sqrt{\left( G_b / (G_b + G_d) \right)}$$

$\rho_s$ is the surrounding diffuse reflectance (0.2 for non-snow surfaces). The geometric factor $R_b$ is the ratio of beam radiation on tilted surface to that on a horizontal surface at any time. It is defined as:

$$R_b = \cos (\theta) / \cos (\theta_z)$$

The incidence angle ($\theta$) of beam radiation on a surface is defined as the angle between the beam radiation and the normal to a surface with any orientation. For the horizontal surface, the incidence angle is the zenith angle $\theta_z$ of the sun and is defined as the angle between the vertical and the line to the sun. The value of the zenith angle must be between 0° and 90° when the sun is above the horizon, for this situation $\beta = 0$. The optimum azimuth angle for flat-plate-collectors is usually 0° in the northern hemisphere. The geometric factor obtained as [30]:

$$R_b = \frac{\cos (\beta) \cos \delta \cos \omega + \sin (\beta) \sin \delta}{\cos \delta \cos \omega + \sin \delta \sin \varphi}$$

where $\delta$ is the declination of the sun, $\phi$ is the latitude, $\beta$ is the tilt angle and $\omega$ is the hour angle.

$$\delta = 23.45 \sin \left( 360 \left( 284 + d_n \right) / 365 \right)$$

$$\omega = 15(12 - t)$$

$t$ is the time expressed in true solar time.

In the Equation (1), $A$, is defined as:

$$A = G_b \left[ 1 + 0.033 \cos \left( 360 \cdot d_n / 365 \right) \right] \times \left( \cos \delta \cos \phi \cos \omega + \sin \delta \sin \varphi \right)$$

where the solar constant $G_0$ is equal to 1367 W/m² according to the World Meteorological Organization, $d_n$ is the $d_{th}$ day of the year.

### 3.2. Flat Plate Collectors

Solar collectors receive solar radiant energy and transfer it to the flowing fluid. The useful energy gain of the collector determines the temperature rise of the flowing fluid in terms of design and operational variables. Equation (8) expresses the useful energy gain of a solar collector [31].

$$\dot{Q}_u = A F_G \left( G(\alpha) - U_L (T_{in} - T_a) \right)$$

where $F_G$ is the collector heat removal factor, $(\alpha)$ is the transmittance-absorbance product, $U_L$ is the overall loss coefficient, $A_c$ is the collector area, $G$ is the incident radiation. $T_{in}(K)$ and $T_a(K)$ are the fluid inlet and ambient temperatures respectively. According to the theoretical flat plate collector model type 73, solar energy gain of a row of $N_c$ identical collectors connected in series is defined as [43]:

$$\dot{Q}_u = \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} A_F \left[ G(\alpha) - U_L, \left( T_{in,j} - T_a \right) \right]$$

The overall loss coefficient $U_L,j$ can be obtained by an empirical equation following the basic procedure of Hottel and Woertz [44], and Klein [45], based on more measurements. $U_L,j$ defined as:

$$U_L,j = \frac{1}{N_c} \left[ \frac{A}{T_{in,j}, \left( N_c + f \right)} \frac{1}{h_v} \frac{1}{C} \left[ \left( T_{in,j} - T_{out} \right)^{2} \right] \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{h_v} \sigma \left( T_{in,j} + T_{out} \right) \left( T_{in,j}^2 + T_{out}^2 \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{h_v} [0.00591 \cdot N_c \cdot h_v + 2 \cdot N_c + f - 1 + 0.133 \cdot e_p - N_c]$$

$$+ U_{be}$$

where:

$$e = 0.43 (1 - 100 / T_p)$$

$$h_v = 5.7 + 3.8 \cdot V_{wind}$$

wind convective heat transfer coefficient (W/m² - K).

$$f = \left( 1 - 0.089 h_v \cdot 0.1166 h_v e_p \right) \left( 1 + 0.078 N_c \right)$$

$$C = 520 \left( 1 - 0.000051 \beta^2 \right) \left[ 0 < \beta < 70^\circ \right]$$

$$= 70^\circ \text{ if } \beta \geq 70^\circ$$
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An iterative method is used to calculate the first value of $U_L$ by assuming the initial mean plate temperature by $10{^\circ}C$. Then, the efficiency factor $F'$ can be calculated as follows:

$$F' = \frac{1}{W} \left[ \frac{1}{U_{L,j}} \left( \frac{1}{D + (W - D)F} \right) + \frac{1}{C_b} + \frac{1}{\pi D_h f_j} \right]$$

The fin efficiency factor is:

$$F = \frac{\eta \left( \frac{m(W - D)/2}{m(W - D)/2} \right)}{m(W - D)/2}$$

Mean plate temperature is calculated as:

$$T_{p,j} = T_{m,j} + \frac{\dot{Q}_{in,j}}{A_j U_{L,j} F_{R,j}} \left( 1 - F_{R,j} \right)$$

The outlet fluid temperature from a collector is used as the inlet to the next and is given as:

$$T_{o,j} = A_j F_{R,j} \left( G(t) \cdot \left( T_{in,j} - T_o \right) \right) + T_{m,j}$$

If an external heat exchanger is used, a modified heat removal factor $F'_{R}$ can be used to determine the useful energy $\dot{Q}_e$:

$$\dot{Q}_e = A_j F'_{R} \left( G(t) \cdot \left( T_{in,j} - T_o \right) \right)$$

with

$$\frac{F'_R}{F_R} = 1 + \left( \frac{A_j F_R U_L}{m C_p} \right) \left( \frac{\dot{m} C_p}{\dot{m} C_p} \right)_{\text{collector}} - 1$$

### 3.3. Heat Exchanger

The following expressions are used to determine, for the constant heat exchanger effectiveness (Figure 3), the maximum possible amount of heat transfer rate based on the minimum capacity rate fluid and the cold side and hot side fluid inlet temperatures at a given time step [46].

In this mode the effectiveness is inputted as a parameter. The capacity rate of fluid on cold side is:

$$C_c = \dot{m}_c C_{pc}$$

The capacity rate of fluid on hot side is described by:

$$C_h = \dot{m}_h C_{ph}$$

The maximum capacity rate is:

$$C_{max} = \max(C_c, C_h)$$

The minimum capacity rate is:

$$C_{min} = \min(C_c, C_h)$$

The maximum heat transfer rate across exchanger is:

$$\dot{Q}_{max} = C_{min} \cdot (T_{hi} - T_o)$$

Lastly, the heat exchanger outlet conditions are calculated for the two streams:

The hot side outlet temperature is:

$$T_{ho} = T_{hi} - \frac{\dot{Q}_e}{C_h}$$

The cold side outlet temperature is:

$$T_{co} = T_{ci} + \frac{\dot{Q}_e}{C_c}$$

### 3.4. Thermal Stratified Storage Tank

When water bodies with rather different temperatures are put together in a quiet container (in a lake, a tank, etc.), water masses of different temperatures mix and exhibit a little relation to each other according to their density: the coldest down and the hottest up. Thus water bodies forms water layers of different temperatures at different heights, and so the name of stratification. This phenomenon is used in hot water tanks to separate hot and cold water and to avoid mixtures that produce only lukewarm water.

Thermal stratification in storage tanks has a significant positive effect on the system efficiency. Storage tanks are...
designed to avoid mixing of water of different temperatures in order to maintain good thermal stratification. In literature, numerous models have been developed to describe these phenomena and among the most popular models, the one-dimensional model. Kleinbach et al. [8] studied three different 1-D models and concluded that the use of the multinode model is recommended. Papanicolaou and Belessiotis [18] found in their study that the multinode model provides good estimates of the temperature distribution in the tank, in the case when there is at least a moderate amount of mixing.

Pumping cold fluid from the bottom to the solar collectors decreases its heat losses, and pumping hot water from the top to the load means that the less auxiliary heating will be required. A hot storage tank temperature is desirable in order to meet the energy demand with acceptable delivery temperature.

The storage tank considered in the aim of this work is a vertical cylinder of diameter \( D \), and height \( H \), as shown in Figure 4. The water tank is divided into \( N \) fully mixed equal volume segments. \( V \) is the total tank volume, \( V/N \) is the volume of each segment and each segment has a uniform temperature \( T_i \), where \( i = 1, \ldots, N \). The top segment \((i = 1)\) has the highest temperature (lowest density) and the bottom segment \((i = N)\) has the lowest temperature (highest density). The degree of stratification is determined by the choice of \( N \). Higher values of \( N \) can be used to simulate a higher degree of stratification. The model assumes that the inlet hot water will flow to a section at the same temperature.

An energy balance equation for the \( i^{th} \) segment of water, taking into account of the axial heat conduction \( q_{\text{cond},i} \) between nodes, can be expressed as [8,30]:

\[
M_i C_p \frac{dT_i}{dt} = q_{\text{heat},i} + q_{\text{load},i} + q_{\text{cond},i} + q_{\text{loss},i} \tag{30}
\]

The left hand side of Equation (30) describes the change of internal energy in a node with the time.

\[
q_{\text{heat},i} = m_i C_p F_i^c (T_b - T_i) \tag{31}
\]

\( q_{\text{heat},i} \) represents the inflow of water returning from collectors into the segment of best matching temperature. Collectors control function \( F_c^i \) is used to determine which node receives collectors return water:

\[
F_c^i = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } T_j \leq T_b < T_{i+1} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \tag{32}
\]

The inflow of cold water from the main is given by:

\[
q_{\text{load},i} = \Gamma \cdot m_i C_p F_c^L (T_{\text{main}} - T_i) \tag{33}
\]

The liquid returning from the load controlled in a similar manner with control function \( F_c^L \) such as:

\[
F_c^L = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } T_{i+1} < T_L \leq T_i \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \tag{34}
\]

The liquid returning from the load controlled in a similar manner with control function \( F_c^L \) such as:

\[
F_c^L = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } T_L > T_i \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \tag{35}
\]

The resultant intermodal flow, \( q_{\text{flow},i} \), into segment \( i \) is coming from the higher segment \( i - 1 \) and the lower segment \( i + 1 \).

The net flow between nodes can be either up or down depending on the magnitudes of the collectors and the load flow rates and the values of the two control functions \( F_c^c \) and \( F_c^L \) at any particular instant. It is convenient to define a mixed flow rate that represents the net flow into node \( i \) from node, \( I - 1 \) excluding the effects of flow directly into the node from load.

\[
q_{\text{flow},i} = \gamma_i m_i C_p (T_{i-1} - T_i) + (1 - \gamma_i) m_i C_p (T_i - T_{i+1}) \tag{36}
\]

\[
m_i = m_i \sum_{j=1}^{N} F_c^c - m_i \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} F_c^L \tag{37}
\]

\[
\gamma_i = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } m_i > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \tag{38}
\]

The heat transfer due to conduction between the node above and below is described by:

\[
q_{\text{cond},i} = \frac{N \lambda S_i}{H_i} \left[ (T_{i-1} - T_i) - (T_i - T_{i+1}) \right] \tag{39}
\]

The term of heat losses to the surroundings is:

\[
q_{\text{loss},i} = -U_i S_i (T_i - T_a) \tag{40}
\]

\( T_b \) is the outlet water temperature from heat exchanger in the SHW or outlet water temperature from collectors in the SDHW, that lowers the heat losses along the ducts.

4. Results and Discussions

4.1. Influence of Collectors Configuration on Temperature within Storage Tank: Direct-System

The water average temperature variation within the stor-
age tank depends on the outlet water temperature and mass flow rates from collectors. Series-parallel arrangements are designed with the objective of obtaining higher temperatures than only parallel arrangements. The field described in Figure 2 is composed of \(N_c\) parallel rows; each row is in turn composed of the same number \(N_r\) of collectors mounted in series. The temperature profile in the storage tank is observed by varying the number \((N_c)\) of collectors by a row. The number of parallel rows is calculated as \(N_r = 60/N_c\), e.g. a field is composed of 60, 30, 20, 15, 12 or 10 parallel rows composed of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6 collectors in series by row, respectively. Mass flow rate entering within the storage tank is the sum of those outgoing from the rows. In the following paragraph, the maximum water temperature in the storage, of 8 m³ initially at 23°C, is calculated during the charging for different number \(N_c\) of series collectors at fixed mass flow rate \(\dot{m}_c = 0.04\,\text{kg/s}\) by collector.

Numerical results are given in Figure 5 showing the water average temperature within the storage in a typical day in July characterized by an ambient temperature \((T_a)\) of 34°C at 2 pm and maximum irradiance \((G)\) of 900 W/m² at 12 am. For all collectors connected in parallel \((N_c = 1)\), the total mass flow rate entering within the storage is the sum of those outgoing from collectors \(\dot{m}_c = \sum_{i=1}^{60} \dot{m}_c = 2.4\,\text{kg/s}\) and the maximum temperature is 57°C at 5 pm. For a row of 2 collectors in series \((N_c = 2)\), the number of parallel rows \((N_r)\) is 30 and the mass flow rate entering within the storage is \(\dot{m}_c = \sum_{i=1}^{30} \dot{m}_c = 1.2\,\text{kg/s}\). The curve shows that the average temperature increases from 57°C to 64°C \((\Delta T = 7°C)\) as the mass flow rate decreases from 2.4 to 1.2 kg/s. For the case of 3 collectors by row \((N_c = 3)\), the number of parallel rows is 20 and the total mass flow rate entering the storage is \(\dot{m}_c = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \dot{m}_c = 0.8\,\text{kg/s}\). The average water temperature is increased from 64°C to 67°C as the mass flow rate decreased from 1.2 to 0.8 kg/s. When using 4 collectors by row (15 parallel rows), the total mass flow rate entering the storage is \(\dot{m}_c = \sum_{i=1}^{15} \dot{m}_c = 0.6\,\text{kg/s}\) and the storage average water temperature increased to 69°C. The curves have shown that the temperature difference \(\Delta T\) decreases if a collector in series is added and that from the 5th collector the average water temperature in the storage is the same, which explains that no output produced from the 6th. In this case, 5 is the maximum number put in series.

4.2. Influence of Mass Flow Rate on Stratification: Indirect-System

High flow rate has an advantage on the system performance; it is recommended to maintain low collector temperatures and to maximize the internal heat transfer coefficient in the collector. However, the disadvantage of high flow rates is that the thermal stratification within the storage is disturbed even if a heat exchanger is used. Circulation pump mixes the water layers and a lower flow rate is needed if the stratification characteristics are to be preserved. Kim et al. [17] found in their study that the high flow rates cause greater mixing due to stronger convection resulting in a nearly uniform temperature in the storage tank. Moreover, they found that the uniform temperature is maintained throughout the day with a large temperature drop due to the high recirculation flow rate. Baek et al. [47] found in their study that the temperatures difference between top and bottom in the storage tank decreases if the mass flow rate increases and showed that higher thermal stratification in the storage tank can be achieved by using a smaller flow rate. Cristofari et al. [9] studied the influence of the flow rate and the tank stratification degree on the performances of a solar flat-plate collector; they found that the mixing is caused by enhancing the flow rate. In other hand, a previous study [9, 48] showed that 10 layers are sufficient to model accurately the stratification of a seasonal storage tank.

We consider a constant heat exchanger effectiveness \((\varepsilon = 0.8)\) with vertical cylindrical storage tank composed of 10 layers of equal volume. Charging storage tank (without draw off), by assuming that the water within the storage is initially held at a uniform temperature of 23°C. The flow rate of fluid in loop collectors-heat exchanger is fixed to 0.04 kg/s by collector and the flow rate in loop heat exchanger-storage tank was varied to observe its effect on stratification and on the average water temperature. Numerical results, Figures 6.1-6.3, showed that minimizing the flow rate has an effect to observe stratification.

In Figure 6.1, the mass flow rate used is 0.5 kg/s, stratification is observed and the temperature difference between layers exists. At 6 am, when solar radiation starts to increase, top layer of water temperature starts to increase and reach maximum at 14 h while the bottom layer temperature begins to increase from 10 am and reach the maximum at 5 pm. The maximum average water tempe-
Figure 6.1. Stratification within storage tank for a mass flow rate of 0.5 kg/s.

Figure 6.2. Stratification within storage tank for a mass flow rate of 1.5 kg/s.

Figure 6.3. Stratification within storage tank for a mass flow rate of 3 kg/s.

Figure 6.4. Hourly variation in average water temperature within storage tank for different mass flow rates.

Temperature is close to 66°C. For a constant mass flow rate of 1 kg/s, Figure 6.2, the temperature difference between layers is decreased comparatively with Figure 6.1 and maximum average water temperature is found to be at nearly 62°C. For a higher mass flow rate, Figure 6.3, there is a nearly uniform temperature and the maximum average water temperature is lowered to 55°C.

Figures have shown that the high flow rate causes greater mixing by stronger convection, which is in agreement with the results in literature and for instance those of [9, 17, 47, 49, 50]. The decrease of average water temperature obtained, is due to the low output from collectors caused by the high temperature near the bottom of the tank.

Reducing mass flow rate strengthens the water temperature. This reduction should be limited because a very low mass flow rate does not provide the hot water requirement. The tank is usually sized to the average daily load, and the storage fluid must be recirculated through the collectors loop three or more times in a day [51]. For a tank volume of 8 m³ and assuming that the system operates 8 hours/day (best time of radiation), the total volume passes through the heat source is 0.36 times the tank volume when using a mass flow rate of 0.1 kg/s and 1.8 times when using a mass flow rate of 0.5 kg/s. In Figure 6.4, maximum average water temperature within the storage is increased from 55 to 66°C as the mass flow rate enhanced from 0.1 to 0.5 kg/s and it remains constant for bigger mass flow rates. The average water temperature is fairly lower for the mass flow rates less than 0.3 kg/s, and this is due to low amount of water that passes through the hot source during the day (less than the storage volume).

Results of Figures 6.1-6.4 showed that the optimal mass flow rate that can be used is 0.5 kg/s (0.225 tank volume per hour) and this leads to get the maximum energy saving.

4.3. Optimization of the Two Systems: Influence of Collectors Configuration and Tank Mass Flow Rate

The effect of connection between collectors and mass flow rate entering the storage on system performance which was analyzed for a storage volume of 8 m³, demands hot water of 50°C and loads volume of 9 m³. For the indirect-system, the variation of annual solar fraction versus number of collectors connected in series in hot side of the heat exchanger and mass flow rate in cold side is displayed in Figure 7. Combinations between collectors varies the outgoing total mass flow rate and water temperature, which in turn varies the heat transferred to the side heat exchanger.
ger-storage tank. Variation in mass flow rate in cold side of heat exchanger influences the stratification, which in turn influences the solar fraction. The plots show that $F_s$ increases rapidly when the mass flow rate is increased from 0.1 to 0.5 kg/s and reaches the maximum of approximately 76% and 78% in the range of 0.5 - 1 kg/s for 1 and 2 collectors by row, respectively. Then $F_s$ starts to decrease with a further increase in mass flow rate. $F_s$ reaches approximately 79% in the range 0.5 - 0.8 kg/s for 3 of series collectors by row, and approximately 80% in the range 0.5 - 0.6 kg/s for 4 collectors by row. When 5 collectors by row are used, $F_s$ reaches the maximum (81%) for an accurate value of mass flow rate 0.5 kg/s. An addition of the 6th collector in series decreases the solar fraction which implies that the maximum number can be used in a row is 5.

On other hand, Figure 7 has shown that when reducing the number of series collectors, the higher value of solar fraction will be steady in a larger range of mass flow rate. The system performance is increased by 5% if increasing the number of collectors in a row from 1 to 5, and by 3% if the number is increased from 2 to 5; by cons, a larger range of optimal mass flow (0.5 - 1 kg/s) is obtained if maximum 2 collectors are connected in series. Figure 7 has shown that a very low mass flow rate on cold side leads to poorer performance in the storage, and has shown that using a mass flow on the cold side similar to those in the hot side provides the good system performances.

In literature, there is a disagreement as to whether there are optimum flow rates on both sides of the heat exchanger. Fanney and Klein [6] have concluded that there were no optimum flow rates for a system. Hollands and Brunger [52] argue that there is an optimum flow rate if overall conductance (UA) of the heat exchanger is held conceptually fixed, and they affirm that a skilled person in heat exchanger design can design a suitable heat exchanger of any specified overall conductance once the flow rates have been specified. For 5 collectors by row, maximum solar fraction is obtained at mass flow rate of 0.48 kg/s on hot side and 0.5 kg/s on cold side. Figure 7 has showed that the mass flow rates on both sides of the heat exchanger are approximately equals and results are in agreement with those of Kim et al. [17]. Results are, also, in agreement with the arguments of Hollands and Brunger [52] concerning the existence of an optimum mass flow rate on both sides of heat exchanger in case the overall conductance (UA) is held conceptually fixed, which is equivalent to the case of constant effectiveness.

For the direct system (SDHW), the variation of annual solar fraction versus number of collectors connected in series is displayed in Figure 8. The plot shows that annual solar fraction increases from 71% to 85% as the number of series collectors increases from 1 to 6 with a decrease of total mass flow rate entering the storage tank from 2.4 to 0.4 kg/s, respectively. For all collectors connected in parallel ($m_h=2.4$ kg/s), annual solar fraction is nearly 71% and it is increased by approximately 8% if a second collector is added in series ($m_h=1.2$ kg/s), then by 2% of over when adding the third ($m_h=0.8$ kg/s). $F_s$ reached maximum (85%) in the 5th collector with a gain of 14% compared to the parallel connection.

The curves (Figures 7 and 8) have shown that the solar fraction is influenced by mass flow rate for direct and indirect systems which is in agreement with the study of Dayan [51], saying that the maximum number of collectors can be used in a row is 5.

### 4.4. Effect of Tank Volume on Solar Fraction

The main disadvantages of the connection in series are the higher-pressure drop and the lower efficiency. Performance of a solar water heating system can be increased by using other parameters as the water demand temperature and volume consumption to load. Increasing the storage volume and lowering the required water demand temperature have a positive effect on the system performance. Flat plate collectors, under Tunisian climate, can satisfactorily cover the needs of about 100 - 300 liters per day of
hot water in the low temperature range of 40°C - 70°C [33].
Generally, during the summer the consumption volume is
higher than during winter and the temperature require-
ment for hot water is lower. Consequently, the total ther-
mal energy requirement is reasonably constant through-
out the year. The profile of a daily DHW consumption is
taken to be the same for every day in the year. In Figure
9, depicts the effects of storage volume and water de-
mand temperature on the solar fraction ($F_s$) for different
required water demand temperature ($T_d$) of 50°C, 60°C
and 70°C. Solar fraction increases when increases storage
volume. These increases are relatively high for low vol-
umes, moderates for high volumes and remain nearly con-
stant for bigger capacities.

The curves show that a decrease in water demand tem-
perature increases the solar fraction. For water demand
temperature of 50°C, 60°C and 70°C, the maximum solar fraction obtained is about 85%, 75% and 62%, respec-
tively. $F_s$ increases more with the storage volume for
lower demand temperature and remains nearly constant
from $V = 18$ m$^3$. The storage volume increased by 7% as
the water demand temperature $V$ increases from 8 to 18
m$^3$ for $T_d = 50$°C, and increased by 10% for 60°C and
70°C of water demand temperature. The solar fraction is
reduced by about 25% when the temperature of hot water
delivered to the load is increased from 50°C to 70°C. Re-
sults are approximated to those of Bojić et al. [15], Wang
and Qi [53], Shariah and Löf [54].

5. Conclusion

This paper illustrated the optimization of solar water heat-
ing systems through a numerical model. The influences
of connection between collectors and mass flow rate on
the performance of the system are analyzed. Results have
shown that the number of series collectors in solar ther-
mal systems must be limited, and that the number is the
same for both direct and indirect systems. Both the series
connection and optimized mass flow rate have a positive
effect on the system performance, but the range of opti-
mum mass flow rates decreases when a flat plate collector
is added in series. For the SIHW with external heat exchanger of constant effectiveness, optimal mass flow rates on both sides of heat exchanger exists which en-
ures the suggestion of Hollands [52]. The storage volume
and water demanded temperature have also a strong im-
portance on the performance of the system. Results indi-
cate that the systems with greater storage volume yield
higher solar fraction. In addition, when the storage volume
is greater, the solar fraction is seen to be less sensitive to
variation of the performance of the system.
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### Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_C$</td>
<td>Collector area, m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>Specific heat of the working fluid, J/kg·K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>Riser tube outside diameter, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_t$</td>
<td>Riser tube inside diameter, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_{ab}$</td>
<td>Absorber plate thickness, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_s$</td>
<td>Solar fraction, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_R$</td>
<td>Heat removal factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F'$</td>
<td>Collector efficiency factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_r$</td>
<td>Fin efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>Solar radiation on titled collector, W/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_t$</td>
<td>Height of storage tank, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{fi}$</td>
<td>Convective heat transfer coefficient inside tube, W/m$^2$·K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>Absorber thermal conductivity, W/m·K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_b$</td>
<td>Bond thermal conductivity, W/m·K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>Load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_i$</td>
<td>Mass of layer, kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{h}$</td>
<td>Mass flow rate entering/returning storage-hot source, kg/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{l}$</td>
<td>Mass flow rate entering/returning storage-load, kg/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>Number of layers in storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_c$</td>
<td>Number of series collectors by row</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_s$</td>
<td>Number of parallel rows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_g$</td>
<td>Number of glass covers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_e$</td>
<td>Useful energy gain of collector, W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_l$</td>
<td>Lateral area of layer in storage, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_a$</td>
<td>Air temperature, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_h$</td>
<td>Hot water inlet storage, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_l$</td>
<td>Layer temperature, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{iw}$</td>
<td>Intel water temperature, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_m$</td>
<td>Average water temperature, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{in}$</td>
<td>Cold water entering storage, °C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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$T_o$  Outlet water temperature, °C
$T_p$  Mean temperature of absorber, °C
$U_{be}$  Bottom and edges loss coefficient, W/m²·K
$U_L$  Overall heat loss coefficient, W/m²·K
$U_s$  Storage loss coefficient, W/m²·K
$V$  Volume of water in storage, m³
$V_{wind}$  Wind speed, m/s
$W$  Distance between riser tubes, m

Greek symbols
$\lambda$  Thermal conductivity, W/m·K
$\beta$  Collector tilt, deg
$(\tau\alpha)$  Transmittance-absorptance product
$\varepsilon$  Heat exchanger effectiveness
$\varepsilon_g$  Emittance of glass cover
$\varepsilon_p$  Emittance of absorber plate