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Abstract

Precipitation is the primary source of freshwater. The availability of water in an area is largely dependent on precipitation that is further distributed into various components such as aquifer recharge, evapotranspiration and surface runoff etc. Aquifer recharge is one of the significant sources of groundwater that is affected because of increasing the urban sealed areas. Urbanization brings numerous artificial changes by altering natural earth surfaces into impervious areas that result in reducing infiltration. The changes in the urban land covers modify the natural drainage system and affect the groundwater recharge systems. As precipitation is the major source of groundwater, it is important to evaluate its influence on the aquifer recharge. Thus, this study is conducted to explore the interaction between precipitation and groundwater level. A comparative analysis is performed between urban and suburban environments. The results show that both urban and suburban areas experience groundwater depletion but the rate of depletion is high in urban areas compared to its suburban. The results also demonstrate that during monsoon, the groundwater level changes in the urban periphery but the water level remains static in the urban areas. The urban areas include more sealed areas that prohibit direct recharge, therefore, in urban areas, no significant relationship is observed between precipitation and groundwater level. In contrary, the influence of precipitation on groundwater level is observed in the suburban area therefore, relatively a high correlation is observed.
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1. Introduction

Precipitation is a critical component of the global water and energy cycle, and only the primary source of freshwater (Hou et al., 2013) which is essential for all plants and living organisms (Barrett & Beaumont, 2009). It is primarily connected to water cycle that is released from the cloud in the form of rain or snow and supplies atmospheric water to the Earth (USGS, 2017). The availability of water in an area is largely dependent on precipitation that is further distributed into various components such as surface runoff, aquifer recharge, evapotranspiration, interflow, etc. (Kundu et al., 2017). Groundwater is one of the significant life-sustaining resources that is supplied to billions of people (Tom et al., 2012). However, rapid urbanization has resulted in tremendous pressure on this freshwater source. The over-extraction has resulted to drop the groundwater level at an increasing rate (Hoque et al., 2007; Islam et al., 2017).

The groundwater depletion is a grave concern in many parts of the world (Chaudhuri & Ale, 2014) and is a big challenge for the developing countries to mitigate water crisis. Urbanization has a profound impact on the water resources, and both the quantity and quality are affected by urban landuse/landcover changes (Khazaei et al., 2004; Arfanuzzaman & Atiq Rahman, 2017; Uddameri et al., 2014). The urban expansion brings numerous artificial changes by altering natural earth surfaces into impervious areas consequently several ecological and environmental problems arise from such changes (Grimm et al., 2008; Song et al., 2014). The natural drainage system is modified due to increase in the impervious area. It may also affect the groundwater level, base flow and water quality of the aquifers (Khazaei et al., 2004). Several factors including urban landuse/landcover and climate change affect water resources by changing the frequency and severity of drought. In these consequences, 3.5 billion urban populations may experience seasonal water crisis by 2050 (McDonald et al., 2011; Srinivasan et al., 2013). Climate change and human activities such as groundwater abstraction and reservoir construction also affect groundwater resulting in the depletion of water level, land subsidence and saltwater intrusion (Xue et al., 2014). The hydrological, physical and ecological environment is affected by urbanization in several ways (Chu et al., 2010) and changes the water balance (Alkhoury et al., 2011; Eshtawi et al., 2016).

The literature review suggests that urbanization induced human activities and climate change affect water resources. In previous, several studies (Castellazzi et al., 2016; Chaudhuri & Ale, 2014; Hayashi et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2001; Nolan et al., 2007; Sanjoy & Kamal, 2014; Uddameri et al., 2014; Xue et al., 2014; Locatelli et al., 2017; Hoque et al., 2007; Guo & Jiao, 2007; Gunawardhana & Kazama, 2012; Eshtawi et al., 2016; Akther et al., 2009; Ali et al., 2012; Arfanuzzaman & Atiq Rahman, 2017) have been conducted related to groundwater storage, recharge, and its depletion. For example, the spatiotemporal pattern of groundwater declination and aquifer dewatering of Dhaka, Bangladesh was assessed by Hoque et al. (2007). The study concluded that urbanization influences to deplete
groundwater level. The factors of groundwater recharge in the eastern United States were assessed by Nolan et al. (2007). The impacts of sea-level rise and urbanization on groundwater availability in semi-arid South Texas were studied by Uddameri et al. (2014). In the study of Khazaei et al. (2004), the effects of urbanization on groundwater quantity and quality were assessed. The impact of urban area expansion on groundwater recharge and surface runoff was also quantified (Eshtawi et al., 2016). Although several studies have been conducted on the groundwater environment, none assessed the interaction between precipitation and groundwater level. Monitoring urban expansion and evaluating their impact on water resources is vital for sustainable water resource management (Hu et al., 2015). Thus, the study aims to explore the effect of urbanization on groundwater level. For evaluation, a comparative analysis is performed between urban and suburban areas. The results of this study will help to identify the hot spots of groundwater depletion that can help the policy makers to take necessary actions to enhance aquifer recharge by the primary water, precipitation.

2. Data and Methods

This study uses time series precipitation and groundwater monitoring data. The in-situ groundwater monitoring data for eight observation wells are collected from Bangladesh Water Development Board. Figure 1 shows the location of the monitoring wells within the study site. For evaluation and comparative analysis, the four wells are selected from the urban core area and others from the urban periphery. In addition, Landsat images of 1989, 1996, 2006 and 2010 are used to present the spatiotemporal land cover changes around the groundwater monitoring wells.

Dhaka the capital city of Bangladesh is selected as a study area. The city is surrounded by three major rivers the Buriganga, Turag, and Balu, which flow to the south, west, and east, respectively. It is located at 23°43'0'' North latitude and 90°24'0'' East longitude. Dhaka is a major economic hub, that experiences the enormous pressure of urbanization and, it is expected to be the third largest city in the world by 2020 (Dewan & Yamaguchi, 2009). Due to rapid urbanization, the natural earth surfaces are being converted to impervious surfaces moreover, it seems that the study area is facing numerous ecological and environmental problems (Islam et al., 2017). The City is highly dependent on the sub-surface water resources where 87% of the supplied water come from the groundwater. Excessive abstraction of groundwater and least groundwater recharge are triggering to drop the subsurface water very rapidly. Presently, the groundwater water level of this city is dropped to more than 52 meters below mean sea level from 26.6 meters in 1996 (Arfanuzzaman & Atiq Rahman, 2017). The groundwater level of Dhaka city is continuously dropping at an average rate of 2 meter yearly (Akther et al., 2009).
This study aims to assess the factors of high groundwater depletion in a highly urbanized area; therefore, a comparative analysis is performed between the urban core and its periphery. First, the monthly average temporal precipitation and groundwater level are presented and evaluated based on the graphical analysis. Second, long-term hydrographs are produced for urban and suburban environments. Third, the coefficient of correlations is determined between observed monthly groundwater level and precipitation. Finally, a 2500-meter buffer zone is created around the monitoring wells and land cover classes are quantified through the visual interpretation of the Landsat false-color images. The land cover classes are used for evaluating the factors of variation in the groundwater level in urban and suburban areas.
3. Results and Discussion

Figure 2 presents the monthly precipitation during 1989-2010. The study area experiences the lowest precipitation during November to March. The amount of precipitation starts to increase in April and continue up to October. In an average, the highest precipitation (around 300 mm) occurs during June to October. The overall trend demonstrates the seasonal variation in the precipitation level.

In contrary, the temporal groundwater level as shown in Figure 3 demonstrates that both urban and suburban area experience groundwater depletion. However, the urban area experiences high depletion compared to the suburban area. In 1989, the observed lowest groundwater level was 10.8 meters in the urban area but a high depletion rate resulted in a maximum water level 65.8 meters in 2010 in the Mirpur observation site. In contrary, the suburban area shows that in 1989 the lowest water level was 1.5 meters in Narayanganj. In 2010, the highest water level (16.2 meter) was observed in the Savar_2 observation site. The temporal pattern of groundwater depletion exhibit a downward trend but the urban area is more vulnerable compared to its periphery.

![Figure 2. Monthly average precipitation in the study site.](image1)

![Figure 3. Average groundwater level (21 years perspective).](image2)
Figure 4 shows the long-term hydrographs in the urban core area. The graphical analysis shows no significant monthly variation in the groundwater level. However, a continuous drop in the groundwater level is observed in all of the observation sites. In contrary, as shown in Figure 5 the monthly variation is observed in the suburban monitoring wells. The observation site, Narayanganj, Figure 5(a) shows more obvious monthly variation compared to other locations. Figure 5(c) also shows monthly variation with the lowest groundwater level in 1989 and 1996. However, in 2006 and 2010, it showed no significant monthly variation moreover, showed a high level of depletion in the groundwater level.

Figure 6 presents the temporal overview of the interaction between precipitation and groundwater level in the urban area. Although, in monsoon, high precipitation occurs it shows no significant variation in the groundwater level. In contrary, Figure 7 demonstrates that with an increase in the precipitation the groundwater level changes in the suburban environment. However, the rate of changes is variable to different observation sites.

Table 1 shows the coefficient of correlations between monthly precipitation and groundwater level. As illustrated earlier although, the amount of precipitation varies monthly, the urban area shows no monthly variation in the groundwater level, therefore, the coefficient of correlations are nearly zero. In contrary, Table 2 shows the relatively high coefficient of correlation for suburban area compared to urban. Because monthly variation in the precipitation and groundwater level is observed in the suburban area. In conclusion, it is evident that precipitation influences the groundwater level but it is variable to the urban and suburban environment. Although, precipitation is the primary source of aquifer recharge its interaction to groundwater level in the urban area is very negligible. Moreover, the urban area shows static water level in all the months.

4. Conclusion

This study is conducted to investigate the interaction between precipitation and groundwater level in the highly urbanized area and its periphery. The in-situ groundwater monitoring data, precipitation and spatiotemporal Landsat images are used for evaluating the proposed approach. The hydrograph analysis suggests that the urban area experience a high drop in the groundwater level compared to the suburban area. Moreover, an increase in the precipitation reduces groundwater level in the suburban area but no significant influence is observed in the urban core area. The results are further evaluated by satellite images. The spatiotemporal land covers are identified based on the visual interpretation. The false-color images primarily distinguish the land cover classes as shown in Figure 8. The evaluation results show an increase in the impervious areas in the urban core during 1989-2010. In contrary, suburban areas occupy more vegetative surfaces. As the urban area occupies an extensive impervious area that prohibits direct aquifer recharge, therefore, precipitation does not influence monthly variation in the groundwater level. In contrary, the suburban area contains
Figure 4. Long-term hydrographs in the urban area. (a) Sutrapur; (b) Mohammadpur; (c) Mirpur; (d) Lalbagh.
Figure 5. Long-term hydrographs in the periphery of the urban area. (a) Narayanganj; (b) Savar; (c) Savar_2; (d) Keraniganj.
Figure 6. Temporal monthly average precipitation and groundwater level in the urban core: (a) 1989; (b) 1996; (c) 2006; (d) 2010. Note: S, Sutrapur; Mhd, Mohammadpur; M, Mirpur; L, Lalbagh and PP, Precipitation.
Figure 7. Temporal monthly average precipitation and groundwater level in the urban periphery: (a) 1989; (b) 1996; (c) 2006; (d) 2010. Note: K, Keraniganj; S2, Savar_2; S, Savar; N, Narayanganj and PP, Precipitation.
Figure 8. Spatiotemporal land cover classes: red, vegetation; dark blue and black, water; yellowish, bare land; sky blue, impervious areas. (a) In 1989; (b) In 1996; (c) In 2006; (d) In 2010.

Table 1. Coefficient of correlations between monthly precipitation and groundwater level in urban areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mirpur</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutrapur</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lalbagh</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammadpur</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Coefficient of correlations between monthly precipitation and groundwater level in suburban areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suburban Wells</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1989</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keraniganj</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narayanganj</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savar</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savar_2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

more recharge areas as vegetation and bare lands that help to infiltrate the precipitation. Therefore, the suburban area shows variation in the groundwater level with an increase in the precipitation. In conclusion, the study suggests that precipitation have an impact on the groundwater level but the surface coverings influence the infiltration rate. Therefore, the variations in the groundwater level are nonstationary in the urban and suburban environment.
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