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Abstract
Bisphosphonates are among the most frequently used antiresorptive drugs for the management of postmenopausal osteoporosis. We review here two of the commonly used bisphosphonates zoledronate and alendronate.
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1. Introduction
Osteoporosis is a systemic disease that affects bone leading to reduced bone mass and degeneration of bone microarchitecture with subsequent increased bone fragility and high liability to bone fracture [1]. It has been appraised that more than 8.9 million osteoporotic fractures occur annually worldwide [2] and that osteoporosis affected nearly 53.6 million [54%] geriatric patients in the United States in 2010 [3].

A valid classification of osteoporosis was established 25 years ago by Riggs & Melton, 1986. They classified osteoporosis into primary osteoporosis, which includes type I postmenopausal osteoporosis and type II age related osteoporosis, and secondary osteoporosis [4].

Primary type I, postmenopausal osteoporosis mainly affects women who are three times more liable to osteoporosis than men [5] [6]. This is attributed to the postmenopausal estrogen depletion [7]. Estrogen can normally regulate bone mass and geometry [8], through decreasing receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa beta ligand [RANKL] and increasing osteoprotegerin [OPG] expression in osteoblasts, thus reducing osteoclast differentiation [9]. Meanwhile, following
menopause and oophorectomy, bone turnover increases by 50% - 100% [10]
[11], as estrogen depletion can enhance osteoclast activation by upregulating
RANKL expression in addition to increasing pro-inflammatory cytokines as in-
terleukins 1 and 6 and tumor necrosis factor alpha [12]. Men on the other hand,
are not subjected to dramatic sex hormones depletion as women and therefore,
they are less predisposed to develop primary type I osteoporosis. Instead they are
more commonly affected by primary type II osteoporosis, and secondary osteo-
porosis [13]. Primary type II osteoporosis is age related and affects patients
above 70 years of both sexes, with progressive thinning of both cortical and
spongy bone [4].

Secondary osteoporosis, contrariwise, affects patients of younger age category
[14]. It is caused by several underlying diseases that may either disturb calcium
homeostasis or bone metabolism, as hypogonadism in men, Cushing’s syn-
drome, hypercalciuria, hyperthyroidism, hyperparathyroidism, celiac disease, or
other malabsorption syndromes, gastrointestinal surgeries or disorders, diabetes
mellitus type 1, alcoholism, hemochromatosis, hyperprolactinemia and multiple
myeloma [15]. It can also be a result of wide varieties of medication as glucocor-
ticoids, gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogues, androgen receptor block-
ers, neuroleptic dopamine antagonists, enzyme-inducing anticonvulsants,
thiazolidinediones, proton pump inhibitors, antineoplastics and antidepress-
sants [13].

2. Treatment of Osteoporosis

Pharmacological therapies of osteoporosis should be able to target trabecular as
well as cortical bones, which is reflected clinically as decreased risk of vertebral
and non-vertebral, appendicular skeleton fractures [16].

Pharmacological options for the management of osteoporosis can be broadly
classified according to the mechanism of action into anti-resorptive medica-
tions, which inhibits bone resorption, such as bisphosphonates, hormone re-
placement therapy, suppressors of RANK-L [denosumab], selective estrogen re-
ceptor modulators, calcitonin, anabolic agents, which promotes bone formation
as parathyroid hormone (parathyroid hormone 1-84, parathyroid hormone
1-34), strontium ranelate and combination therapy [17] [18]. In the current re-
view, the antiresorptive bisphosphonates zoledronate and alendronate will be re-
viewed.

3. Bisphosphonates [Bps]

Bps are the most commonly prescribed anti-resorptive medications for the
management of osteoporosis. They are characterized by chemical structure
containing 2 phosphate groups, hence their name. Where, they have a core of
phosphate-carbon-phosphate atoms [P-C-P bond] and two R side-chains [19]
[20].

Bps’ family includes non-nitrogen bisphosphonates, which are the earliest
synthesized Bps, and nitrogen Bps [N-Bps]. For osteoporosis treatment, N-Bps, containing N group in the R side chain, including alendronate, ibandronate, risendronate & zoledronate, are now more commonly used [21] [22].

Bps are successfully used for the management of several bone diseases owing to its ability to suppress bone remodeling, as Paget’s disease, myositis ossificans, progressive osteoporosis, drug-induced bone loss, heterotopic ossification, primary hyperparathyroidism, fibrous dysplasia & multiple myeloma [23]. Bps can also be used to reduce bone metastases associated skeletal complications, hypercalcemia of malignancy & for the treatment of osteogenesis imperfecta [24].

Pharmacology of bisphosphonates

Bps can be administered orally or through intra venous injection. However, about 1% - 3% of oral dosage is absorbed [25]. Oral bioavailability is influenced by presence of food, magnesium or calcium in stomach [26]. Other routes of administration as subcutaneous injection can lead to local toxic effects [27]. 60% of Bps within the circulation become attached to bone calcium-phosphorus surface, while the remaining 40% are excreted by the kidney [20] [28].

Bps can preferentially attach to the hydroxyl apatite [HA] exposed at sites of active bone resorption allowing a high selective binding to skeletal system, especially areas with active bone resorption via P-C-P structure of Bps, resulting in targeted attachment to areas with bone resorption [29]. Bps which become incorporated within the bone are released during subsequent bone remodeling, and it is fated to be internalized by the osteoclasts, reattached to the bone HA or it may pass to the blood stream where it may either become excreted by the kidney, or reattached to different skeletal site to be recycled [30] [31].

Therefore, skeletal elimination of Bps depends on bone remodeling and the affinity of the bisphosphonate to bind to bone surface. This can account for the slow release and elimination of Bps, its long life time, and subsequently, extended inter dosage durations. Bisphosphonate with the greatest affinity for bone surface is N-Bps as zoledronic acid [ZOL], which can be given once yearly, and Bps with the lowest affinity are non-nitrogen Bps as etidronate & clodronate [28] [32].

Mode of action of Bps: [Figure 1]

Bps affect bone remodeling by two separate mechanisms a physiochemical one, which is the earliest described mode of action, and a cellular one [23]. One of the earliest experiments, done to elucidate the mechanism of action of Bps was done by Russell, Muhlbauer, Bisaz, Williams, & Fleisch, 1970. They established that Bps can decrease the dissolution of HA in vitro, and therefore, the binding of Bps to HA crystals of the bone can directly decrease the bone resorption by reducing the crystals solubility [33].

Bps can also affect bone remodeling on cellular level. Where, their affinity to HA bring them in intimate contact with bone cells’ extracellular space [34]. Bone cells are exposed to the drug upon administration or upon the release of Bps from bone HA during osteoclastic bone resorption. As a result, Bps become internalized by bone cells, particularly osteoclast, and therefore they are able to
Figure 1. Mechanism of action of bisphosphonates.

exert their intracellular influence [35]. They can affect both osteoclast [36], and osteoblast cell action [37].

Once Bps become internalized intracellularly, their effect on osteoclast is dependent on the structure of the “R” side chains [36]. Non nitrogen containing Bps such as etidronate, can bind to intracellular adenosine triphosphate [ATP] molecules forming insoluble complexes, which decrease ATP intracellular availability, resulting in alteration of many cellular functions and activities that are dependent on this molecule. This eventually results in cytotoxic alteration in cell function and cell death [38]. Moreover, intracellular accumulation of Bps-ATP complexes results in direct cellular cytotoxicity and death [39].

N-Bps have a dissimilar intracellular mechanism of action. Where N-Bps cause disorganization of osteoclast cytoskeleton, affect osteoclast morphology, hinder the formation of ruffled border and prevents the formation of resorptive pits in vitro [40] and prevent intracellular transport of lysosomal enzymes to the basolateral surface of the cell, which is the normal site for their release against resorbing bone surface [41].

Where, N-Bps can interfere with the intracellular mevalonate pathway, through inhibition of enzymes as farnesyl diphosphate synthase. Consequently blocking the production of farnesyl diphosphate which impede the prenylation of guanosine tri phosphatases [GTpases] [42] [43]. Prenylated GTpases are important signaling molecules involved in cytoskeleton organization of osteoclast and subsequently, can modulate osteoclast functions such as formation of ruffled border, intracellular transport of vesicles as lysosomes and formation of actin ring [44]. The inhibition of prenylated GTpases by Bps was reviewed by Rogers et al., 2011 [28]. This effect is dose related [45].
The influence of Bps on bone homeostasis and bone remodelling doesn’t only rely on osteoclast inhibition, but it can also affect osteoblast homeostasis [37]. Bps can protect bone cells from apoptosis in vitro in osteoblastic and osteocytes murine cell line [46], in human osteoblasts cell line [47], and in vivo in mice [48]. However, it was deduced that the anti-resorptive effect of Bps is more potent as compared to the anti-apoptotic effect they have on osteoblast [48].

Bps can influence osteoblast differentiation and alkaline phosphatase activity in vitro as well [49] [50]. The effect of Bps on osteoblast in vitro is dose related, with inductive influences noticed at low dosages $10^{-9}$ M to $10^{-6}$ M, & inhibitory influences found at dosage higher than $10^{-5}$ M [37].

Moreover, Bps can diminish the instructive influence of osteoblast on osteoclastic cells differentiation. Osteoblasts subjected to Bps showed an inhibitory effect on differentiation of osteoclast precursor cells [51]. Where, Bps can down regulate osteoblast expression of RANKL and upregulate their expression of OPG [52] [53], which are key regulators of osteoclast differentiation [54]. Therefore, Bps inhibitory effect on bone resorption is augmented by its ability to induce osteoblast to interfere with the osteoclasts differentiation and survival [37].

**Bisphosphonates side effects:**

Bps are considered as a safe drugs with its benefits exceeding any possible risks [24]. Bps related osteonecrosis of the jaw is considered as one of the most serious adverse effects of Bps. As defined by the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research “Bps related osteonecrosis of the jaw is an area of exposed bone in the maxillofacial area that does not resolve within eight weeks after diagnosis, in a patient on Bps treatment, and with no history of radio therapy to the area” [55].

Bps related osteonecrosis of the jaw more commonly affect the mandible [65%] than the maxilla [28.4%] or other locations [0.1%], and more likely to affect sites with thin covering mucosa [56]. The severity and the clinical picture usually ranges from an extraction socket which fails to heal, to a large area of exposed necrotic bone in the jaw [57]. It may even be asymptomatic and undiagnosed until triggered by trauma to the jaw area which may be mechanical trauma, extensive dental procedure, as dental extraction, periodontal disease or infection to the jaw area [58].

The risk of developing Bps related osteonecrosis of the jaw is dose and time dependant with high risk in case of intra venous administration of high doses for a extended durations [59]. Oncological doses of Bps, used in case of malignant bone metastasis, are considered as high risk factor for developing Bps related osteonecrosis of the jaw [60]. Where, incidence of Bps related osteonecrosis of the jaw among oncology patients ranges from 0% - 0.186% [61], and the incidence is approximately 10% in patients with myeloma. However, the incidence of Bps related osteonecrosis in patients receiving therapeutic doses for osteoporosis treatment is relatively low. It ranges from 0% to 0.04% [55].
Pathogenesis of Bps related osteonecrosis of the jaw is related to the inhibitory effect of Bps on bone remodelling. Where, presence of local infection or even accumulation of micro cracks within the bone, coupled with the inactivated osteoclast and inadequate bone repairing mechanism can result in bone necrosis [62].

Bps have also been linked to atypical femur fracture [63]. Mashiba et al., 2001 observed that Bps associated inhibition of osteoclast activity and bone remodelling in beagle dogs, results in accumulation of micro-damage with reduction in bone toughness by about 20% [64]. Suppression of bone remodelling by Bps by 40% can lead to micro cracks accumulation with the bone [65]. Accumulation of micro damage with no adequate repairing mechanism may, eventually, result in fatigue fracture of areas of the bone with high tensional stresses such as proximal part of the femoral shaft [63].

Regardless, Miller and Watts, 2013, endorsed therapeutic use and benefits of Bps. They concluded that the gains of Bps therapy outweigh any possible treatment associated complications [23].

4. Alendronic Acid [Alendronate]

Alendronic acid is a N-Bps with a higher binding affinity to hydroxyapatite of bone as compared to ibandronate, risedronate or etidronate which accounts for the higher potency and skeletal retention of alendronate [66]. Chemical structure of alendronate is shown in Figure 2. Alendronate, for the treatment of osteoporosis is usually administered as a weekly oral dosage of 70 mg [67].

However, oral administration is associated with increased risk of inadequate absorption from the gastrointestinal tract, and increased risk of the tablet adhering to the oesophageal wall upon intake which mandates special precautions where, patient should be advised to take alendronate on an empty stomach with a large glass of water and wait for at least 30 minutes before any food intake to assure proper absorption of the drug. Moreover, patient should remain upright for half an hour to prevent the tablet from sticking in the oesophageal wall which might lead to oesophageal ulceration [68] [69].

![Chemical structure of alendronate.](image-url)
Efficacy of Alendronic acid:

In 1993, a randomized, double blinded, controlled clinical trial, the fracture intervention trial was laid out by Black et al., 1993 [70]. 6457 osteoporotic women were recruited and divided into two sub-studies according to the presence \( n = 2023 \) women or absence of previous vertebral fractures \( n = 4434 \). Women with previous vertebral fractures were allocated in the vertebral fracture sub-study and followed up for an average of 2.9 years [71], while women without vertebral fractures were allocated to the clinical fracture sub-study and were followed up for average of 4.2 years [72]. Women in both sub-studies were randomly allocated to receive alendronate at daily dosage of 5 mg/day for 2 years followed by 10 mg/day for the remaining study duration or placebo. Ensuing the follow up period, it was concluded that alendronate was effective in decreasing the risk of vertebral fractures in osteoporotic women with previous one or more vertebral fractures [71] or without prior vertebral fractures [72] as compared to the placebo control group. Further analysis of the data collected from 3658 osteoporotic patients, previously recruited in the fracture intervention trial demonstrated that in addition to reducing risk of vertebral fractures, alendronate was also effective in reducing non-vertebral fractures [73]. Moreover, bone mineral density [BMD] was measured for 2984 women recruited in the fracture intervention trial at baseline, one year and at 2 years. The lowest frequency of new vertebral fractures was observed in patients with 3% or more increases in BMD during the first 1 or 2 years of alendronate therapy [74].

In an extension to the fracture intervention trial, 1099 women previously recruited through the fracture intervention trial were randomly assigned to receive a dose of 5 mg or 10 mg alendronate or placebo daily for additional 5 years. It was recommended to discontinue alendronate administration after 5 years, except in women with increased risk of vertebral fractures [75].

10 years randomized, controlled, clinical trial was conducted enrolling 994 postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. Patients were randomly assigned to receive alendronate at daily dosage of 5 mg or 10 mg for 3 years, or 20 mg for 2 years followed by 5 mg for the remaining year or placebo. Patients were followed up for three years within the main trial, Alendronate was found to be safe, well tolerated [76], effective in increasing the bone density and reducing the liability to vertebral fractures [77]. This was followed by 2 years follow up in the first extension [78]. A second extension was held where alendronate was administered for additional 2 years [79]. Finally, this was followed by a third extension of the same study where alendronate was administered for extra 3 years which sums up 10 years of alendronate administration [80]. It was finally concluded that daily administration of alendronate in osteoporotic women, can restore bone mineral density, and decrease the risk of vertebral fracture and deformity. The authors were against the discontinuation of the drug, as cessation of its use, was associated with gradual loss of its effect.

A meta-analysis held out by Cranney et al., 2002 concluded that alendronate
treatment is associated with increased BMD and reduced the incidence of vertebral fracture in post-menopausal osteoporotic women. It was also associated with reducing non-vertebral fractures in patients without established fractures [81]. Similarly Papapoulos, Quandt, Liberman, Hochberg, & Thompson, 2005 deduced, through a meta-analysis, that alendronate is associated with decreased frequency of hip fracture [82].

**Side effects of Alendronic acid:**

Common side effects of alendronate include indigestion and epigastric pain which has been estimated to affect about 5 percent of patients upon alendronate intake. Moreover, improper administration of the drug might be associated with adherence of the tablet to the oesophageal wall which might be accompanied with oesophageal ulcerations [69].

Bps related osteonecrosis of the jaw is a rare occurrence, associated with alendronate administration, which has been estimated to affect less than 0.004 percent of patients on oral dosage of alendronate for the management of postmenopausal osteoporosis per year [83]. Atypical femur fractures are also a rare side effect of alendronic acid which affects about 5 cases per 10,000 patient on alendronate for the management of osteoporosis per year [84].

5. Zoledronic Acid [ZOL]

ZOL is a third generation, N-Bps. It has higher binding affinity to bone when compared to alendronate, ibandronate and etidronate and consequently have the highest skeletal retention [66]. The binding affinity of Bps to hydroxyl apatite of the bone directly reflects on its potency where, Bps with high affinity to hydroxyapatite, such as ZOL, are the most potent [85]. Chemical structure of zoledronic acid is demonstrated in **Figure 3**.

ZOL was found to be one of the most potent Bps with very strong ability to inhibit farnesyl diphosphate synthase enzyme and a strong ability to inhibit osteoclast function. Dunford et al., 2001 observed that ZOL & minodronate are the most potent inhibitors of the enzyme farnesyl diphosphate synthase, in cell-free enzyme extracts and in osteoclasts cell culture in vitro followed by risedronate, ibandronate, incadronate, alendronate and finally pamidronate [43]. The authors
correlated between the inhibitory effect of N-Bps on the enzyme farnesyl di-
phosphate synthase and its effectiveness on bone resorption inhibition \textit{in vivo}. ZOL was shown to be 10 times more effective in preventing bone loss in ova-
riectomised rats than alendronate [86].

ZOL is usually administered as a yearly intravenous injection of 5 mg [68]. Authors concur that the yearly dosage of ZOL is more convenient, and patients are more likely to adhere to it, as compared to the more frequent dosage of oral Bps [87] [88].

**Efficacy of ZOL:**

Black et al., 2007 conducted a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, recruiting 7765 postmenopausal women with diagnosed osteoporosis through the pi-

votal fracture trial, to study the effect of ZOL on fracture risk reduction [88]. The patients received a yearly intravenous injection of 5mg ZOL or placebo for 3 years. It was deduced that yearly intravenous injection of 5mg ZOL for a 3-year period can significantly reduce the fracture risk of vertebral, hip bone, and other sites as compared to placebo group. Furthermore, bone biopsies, were acquired from 152 patients from the pivotal fracture trial, at a time between 33 to 36 months. ZOL was able to decrease bone turnover by 63% and decrease active remodelling sites of bone and preserve bone micro-structure [89].

Bone turn over markers were also measured in samples from a subgroup of patients of the former mentioned study. It was observed that yearly intravenous injection of ZOL lead to significant reduction in bone turn over markers, indicating a decrease in bone remodelling. This can explain the decreased fracture risk associated with the ZOL treatment [90].

Aiming to determine the adequate duration of ZOL treatment required to re-

duce fracture risk associated with postmenopausal osteoporosis, a randomized controlled extension of the pivotal fracture trial, was conducted to study the long term effect of ZOL by Black et al., 2012 [91]. This study included 1233 postme-
opausal women, previously allocated to the ZOL group in the main study, who formerly received ZOL for three years. They were randomized to either ZOL group, to receive ZOL yearly for additional 3 years or to placebo group. Bone density and turn over markers of patients within the ZOL group, showed an in-
significant difference when compared to those of placebo group’s patients. This indicates a sustained effect of ZOL for 3 years after discontinuation of the treat-
ment. The authors suggest a 3 years off treatment following 3 years on treat-
ment. Similar recommendations were given by [92].

Second extension of the same study was carried out by Black et al., 2015. 190 women, who previously received ZOL for the duration of 6 years in the first ex-
tension, were randomly allotted to ZOL group, to receive intravenous injection yearly for additional 3 years [which sums up to a total of 9 years of treatment] or placebo group. They observed an insignificant difference in bone turn over markers, bone mineral density and fracture risk between placebo and ZOL groups. Also a non-significant increase in cardiac arrhythmia between the par-
participants was noticed. A discontinuation of the ZOL treatment for 3 years after 6 years of therapy was recommended [93].

The sustained effect of a single dosage of ZOL was studied by Grey et al., 2009 and Grey et al., 2010. They recruited 50 postmenopausal women with osteopenia through a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial to receive a single dose of 50 mg intravenous ZOL or placebo. Bone turnover markers and bone mineral density were measured at 12 months and 2 years and at 3 years. Both consecutive studies demonstrated that a single dose of ZOL has a sustained effect on reducing bone turn over, and therefore, maintaining bone mass for up to three years, as compared to the placebo group [87] [94].

Similar results were reported by Greenspan, Perera, Ferchak, Nace, & Resnick, 2015. They conducted a 2 years, randomized, controlled, double blinded study, including 181 postmenopausal osteoporotic women. BMD was measured at 12 months and 2 years. They concluded that a single dose of ZOL can prevent osteoporosis associated deterioration in BMD, for 2 years [95].

ZOL was also effective in decreasing the liability to fracture in men with osteoporosis [96], and increasing bone mineral density in patients with glucocorticoid induced osteoporosis [97]. Moreover, administration of 4 mg ZOL every 3 or 4 weeks, used in addition to antitumor therapy, can control hypercalcemia and skeletal complications associated with bone metastases secondary to malignant tumours as multiple myeloma or malignancies of breast or prostate [98]. ZOL has also been found to have antiangiogenic properties in vivo, which can further endorse its use alongside antitumor drugs [99]. Table 1 offers a summary for the efficacy and clinical usage of zoledronate and alendronate.

**Side effects of ZOL:**

ZOL is generally considered as a safe drug when used for osteoporosis treatment. Acute phase response, which are transient flu like symptoms, has been reported with ZOL in osteoporotic patients. Its incidence is highest at the first administration and decreases upon subsequent administrations [100].

However, Bps related osteonecrosis of the jaw has been documented in case of administration of high, oncological, dosage of ZOL [101]. Fortunately, the incidence of Bps related osteonecrosis of the jaw in ZOL treated osteoporotic patients is extremely low and is appraised to be less than 1 in 14,200 patient [102].

Cardiac arrhythmia has also been associated with ZOL usage. Atrial fibrillation has also been reported as a possible side effect of ZOL [88]. Moreover, Güzelant et al., 2016, noticed an increased risk of ventricular arrhythmia associated with ZOL administration [103].

Table 1. FDA approved clinical application of zoledronate and alendronate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vertebral fracture</th>
<th>Non vertebral fracture</th>
<th>Hip fracture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zoledronate</td>
<td>FDA approved</td>
<td>FDA approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alendronate</td>
<td>FDA approved</td>
<td>FDA approved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Conclusion

Bisphosphonates are considered safe drugs, with their benefits in management of postmenopausal osteoporosis outweighing any possible side effects.
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### List of Abbreviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa beta ligand</td>
<td>RANKL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa beta</td>
<td>RANK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoprotegerin</td>
<td>OPG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosine triphosphate</td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanosine triphosphatases</td>
<td>GTPases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyapatite</td>
<td>HA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisphosphonates</td>
<td>BPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen bisphosphonates</td>
<td>N-BPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoledronic acid</td>
<td>ZOL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone mineral density</td>
<td>BMD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>