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Abstract

Several studies have been done to isolate probiotic bacteria from different sources. In this present study, an attempt was made to isolate, screen and identify potential probiotic bacteria from cattle farm soil in Dibrugarh district, Assam, India. At the level of screening, the result showed the isolates designated as DUA4, DUD3 and DUE2 showed a percent survival rate of 75.36, 69.14 and 52.36 respectively at a pH of 2.5. Similarly survival rate of the same isolates in 0.5% bile salt condition was found to be 117.17%, 144.59% and 118.10% for the isolates DUA4, DUD3 and DUE2 respectively. Antimicrobial activity of the isolates towards the indicator organisms tested showed that DUA4 inhibited gram positive organisms while DUD3 showed activity against both gram positive and gram negative bacteria. All the three isolates showed activity against L. monocytogenes. Autoaggregation ability of the isolates DUA4, DUD3 and DUE2 was found to be 44.15%, 54.11% and 9.42% respectively. The adhesion ability of the isolates DUD3, DUA4 and DUE2 to xylene was 61.78%, 45.37% and 14.83% respectively. Antimicrobial susceptibility test of the isolates showed that the isolates are in general sensitive to antibiotics tested. Phenotypic and genotypic analysis based on the 16S rRNA gene sequence of the isolates DUA4, DUD3 and DUE2 resulted in the identification and designation of the isolate DUA4 as Bacillus spp., DUD3 as Enterococcus faecium and DUE2 as Enterobacter sp. In conclusion, the study has indicated the possibility of isolating potential probiotic bacterial strains from cattle farm soil.
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1. Introduction

Probiotics possess a number of unique phenotypic and genotypic characteristics, which are also exploited for the purpose of their isolation, screening, identification and characterization. Some of the main criteria for the selection of probiotics include the ability of the organisms to resist gastric acidity and pancreatic juice; their antagonistic property against food spoilage and pathogenic organisms and their stability via adherence to epithelial cells and food matrix [1]. Moreover, synthesis of essential metabolites, maintenance of homeostasis in the immune system and most importantly their safe nature for application are also characteristics that enable them to deliver health benefit [2].

Probiotic bacteria are widely distributed in nature and their most common habitats include fermented and unfermented food items (like milk and milk products, meat, cereals and vegetables), intestines of animals, soil, water bodies, manure and sewage and these are commonly used as sources for their isolation [3] [4]. Isolation of probiotics from fermented foods of different kinds was reported in many publications but there are scarce reports on the isolation of probiotics from soil specimens. Cattle farm soil could be one of the important sources for the recovery of probiotic organisms among the sources other than food.

In this study, soil sample from cattle farm area was selected as a source for obtaining probiotic bacteria with the idea of increasing the possibility of isolating probiotic organisms as these samples presumably contain probiotic organisms originating both from the soil and the gastrointestinal tract of cattle. The objective of this study was to isolate, screen and identify potential probiotic bacterial strains from soil samples collected from cattle farm areas in Dibrugarh district, Assam, India.

2. Methods

2.1. Sample Collection and Processing

In this study, a total of 60 cattle farm soil specimens were collected from sites located in six sample collection zones in Dibrugarh district designated as A-F. Approximately 100 gm of soil specimen was collected from each site from the soil 5cm below the surface. Equal weight (10 gm) of all the ten samples collected from the same zone was properly mixed and blended to obtain a homogenous soil samples representative of the respective zone. The stock soil samples so prepared was stored at −20°C and used for the isolation probiotic bacteria.

2.2. Isolation and Screening of Bacteria from Cattle Farm Soil

Isolation of soil microbes was done using spread plate technique on de Mann Rogossa Sharpe (MRS) agar following overnight enrichment on MRS broth and appropriate tenfold serial dilutions. The preparation was incubated at 36°C aerobically under static condition. All the isolates with apparently different morphotypes were sub-cultured into MRS agar and subjected for cellular and
cultural morphological studies.

### 2.2.1. Acid and Bile Tolerance Tests

Preliminary selection of the potential probiotic bacteria was done by testing the isolates for their ability to grow on MRS agar adjusted to pH 5.5. Bacterial isolates that were resistant to such growth conditions were considered for acid and bile tolerance tests. A modified version of the previously developed method was used to test the ability of the isolates to survive in acidic condition of pH 2.5 for the specified period of 0, 1 and 2 hours [5]. The rate of survival of the different isolates upon exposure to acidic condition was done using viability testing following application of appropriate tenfold serial dilutions. Percentage of the viable cells was calculated in reference to the cell count at 0 hours of incubation. In this assay, *L. casei* ATCC 393 was used included for the sake of comparison.

In this study, previously described method was applied to test the bile salts tolerance ability of the isolates [6]. Freshly grown isolates were harvested and then subjected to MRS broth containing 0.5 % bile salts (HiMedia) and incubated for the duration of 0, 2 and 4 hours. Survival rate of the isolates was calculated using the same approach as that of acid tolerance test.

### 2.2.2. Antimicrobial Activity of Isolates

Five isolates selected based on their acid and bile tolerance were tested for their antimicrobial activity. Standard organisms, namely *B. subtilis* (ATCC 6051), *E.coli* (ATCC 25922), *P.aeruginosa* (MTCC 4673), *S.epidermidis* (MTCC 6810), *L. monocytogenes* (ATCC BAA-751), *S. typhimurium* (ATCC 49416), *B. cereus* (ATCC 11778) and *S. aureus* (MTCC 9542) were used as indicator organisms. Cell Free Culture Supernatant (CFCS) of the isolates neutralized using NaOH was used for testing antimicrobial activity of the isolates using well diffusion method as has been previously described [7]. Moreover, the culture supernatant was heated at 80 °C for 10 minutes to inactivate any available enzymes.

### 2.2.3. Autoaggregation and Hydrophobicity

Both autoaggregation and Microbial Adhesion to Hydrocarbon (MATH) was applied to the isolates DUA4, DUD3 and DUE2 which were selected based on their tolerance to acid and bile salt and antimicrobial activities. The reference strain *L. casei* ATCC 393 was included in the analysis for comparison sake. Cell suspension for autoaggregation test was prepared by mixing 0.2 ml of the bacterial suspension in Phosphate Buffer Solution (PBS) equivalent to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard and 3.8 ml of PBS. Optical density reading at 600 nm was taken after incubation at room temperature for the specified time of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 hours of incubation. Autoaggregation ability of the organisms was obtained by application of the following formula [8]:

\[
1 - \left( \frac{A_t}{A_0} \right) \times 100
\]

where \(A_t\) represents the absorbance at time \(t = 1, 2, 3, 4\) or 5 hours and \(A_0\) is the absorbance at \(t = 0\).

Microbial Adhesion to Hydrocarbons (MATH) test was applied to assess the
hydrophobicity of the isolates. One ml of the cell suspension of the isolate in PBS equivalent to 1 McFarland turbidity standard was mixed with 3 ml of the hydrocarbon solvents namely Xylene, Chloroform and Ethyl acetate. Following proper mixing and incubation for 30 minutes, O.D. of the aqueous phase was measured at 600 nm and hydrophobicity of the isolates was calculated by applying the following formula [9]:

\[ A_0 - \frac{A_t}{A_0} \times 100 \]

where \( A_0 \) stands for the O.D. reading taken at the initial stage; \( A_t \), an O.D. reading taken following phase separation time.

2.2.4. Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Isolates
Antimicrobial susceptibility test of the selected isolates was done using disc diffusion method [10].

2.2.5. Phenotypic and Genotypic Study of Potential Probiotic Isolates
Phenotypic tests which include cellular, cultural, biochemical tests were performed using standard procedures for the identification of the bacterial isolates at different levels of the study. Molecular identification and phylogenetic analysis of the selected isolates was done based on the 16S rDNA gene sequence analysis. Genomic DNA isolation of the isolates was done by detergent lysis method [11] and Genomic DNA of the isolates were outsourced to Xcelris Labs Pvt. Ltd. (Ahmedabad, India) for 16S rDNA gene sequencing. Amplification of the isolated fragment of 16S rDNA gene was done by PCR using primers 8F and 1492R. Sequencing of the amplicon was carried out by automated genetic analyzer (BDT v3.1 Cycle sequencing kit on ABI 3730xl) using 704F and 907R primers. Sequence relatedness of the 16S rDNA gene with other organisms was done by the application of BLASTn alignment search tool on National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) genbank database. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted by using MEGA6 software and the evolutionary distances were computed using the Kimura 2-parameter method [12] [13] [14]. The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method [15].

3. Results

3.1. Primary Isolation of the Potential Probiotic Bacteria
During primary isolation, based on gram staining and morphological study, 26 of the isolates were found to be bacteria and the remaining 15 were detected as yeast cells. Among the bacterial isolates 21 were gram-positive while the remaining 5 were gram-negative. All bacterial isolates (n = 26) were then considered for further screening, identification and characterization for probiotic characteristics.

3.2. Acid and Bile Tolerance Tests
Result for acid tolerance test of the probiotic candidate isolates tested in this study is given in Table 1.
Table 1. Acid tolerance of potential probiotic isolates obtained from cattle farm soil in Di-brugarh district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates/Standard strain</th>
<th>Acid Tolerance Test (pH media 2.5)</th>
<th>Viable Bacterial Counts (log CFU/ml)</th>
<th>Survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 hour</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>2 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. casei</em> ATCC 393</td>
<td>7.45 ± 0.02</td>
<td>6.69 ± 0.06</td>
<td>4.96 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUA1</td>
<td>6.40 ± 0.06</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUA4</td>
<td>7.16 ± 0.06</td>
<td>6.11 ± 0.06</td>
<td>5.39 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUB4</td>
<td>6.22 ± 0.06</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUB6</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.06</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUC1</td>
<td>7.02 ± 0.04</td>
<td>5.23 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.28 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUC6</td>
<td>6.30 ± 0.04</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUD3</td>
<td>7.11 ± 0.06</td>
<td>6.89 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4.91 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUE2</td>
<td>7.05 ± 0.03</td>
<td>5.94 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.69 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUE7</td>
<td>6.56 ± 0.06</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUF1</td>
<td>6.12 ± 0.06</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUF3</td>
<td>7.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>5.67 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.87 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NG = No growth

The result shows that out of eleven organisms tested only five isolates were found to survive acidic condition of pH 2.5. The level of survival in acidic condition of these isolates namely DUA4, DUC1, DUD3, DUE2 and DUF3 expressed in terms of per cent was found to be 75.36%, 46.72%, 69.14%, 52.36% and 40.76% respectively. The reference strains *L. casei* ATCC 393 showed a survival rate of 66.64%.

Bile tolerance test was conducted only on isolates namely DUA4, DUD3, DUC1, DUE2 and DUF3 and the result is shown in Table 2. All the isolates tested with the exception of DUF3 showed an increase in their population size showing a survival rate of 117.17%, 116.72%, 144.59%, 118.10% and 94.98% for the isolates DUA4, DUC1, DUD3, DUE2 and DUF3 respectively when treated with 0.5% bile salts.

3.3. Antimicrobial Activity of Potential Isolates

Isolates with the characteristic feature of acid and bile tolerance were selected for antimicrobial activity test and the results are given in Table 3. Isolates DUA4, DUD3 and DUE2 showed inhibitory activities against the tested indicator organisms with different degrees of activities while no activity was observed with the isolates DUC1 and DUF3. In general DUA4 and DUD3 showed antimicrobial activity against most of the indicators organisms tested. However, difference was observed in the spectrum of activities of the isolates and as such DUD3 has shown broad spectrum of activity while DUA4 has shown activities against gram positive indicator organisms only.
### Table 2. Bile tolerance of potential probiotic isolates obtained from cattle farm soil in Dibrugarh district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates/Reference strain</th>
<th>Bile Salts Tolerance Test (0.5%)</th>
<th>Viable Bacterial Counts (log CFU/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. casei</em> ATCC 393</td>
<td>6.26 ± 0.06</td>
<td>6.69 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUA1</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUA4</td>
<td>6.17 ± 0.06</td>
<td>6.78 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUB4</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUB6</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUC1</td>
<td>6.13 ± 0.08</td>
<td>6.33 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUC6</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUD3</td>
<td>6.14 ± 0.05</td>
<td>6.56 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUE2</td>
<td>6.10 ± 0.05</td>
<td>6.65 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUE7</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUF1</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUF3</td>
<td>6.18 ± 0.03</td>
<td>5.24 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NG = No growth

### Table 3. Antimicrobial activity of potential probiotic isolates obtained from cattle farm soil in Dibrugarh district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators Organisms</th>
<th>Inhibition activity of isolates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DUA4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em> (ATCC 6051)</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em> (ATCC 25922)</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em> (MTCC 4673)</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. epidermidis</em> (MTCC 6810)</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. monocytogenes</em> (ATCC BAA-751)</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. typhimurium</em> (ATCC 49416)</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. cereus</em> (ATCC 11778)</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em> (MTCC 9542)</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: +++ = Greater than 19 mm, ++ = 14 to 19 mm, + = 11 to 14 mm, − = 11mm

### 3.4. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test of Potential Probiotic Isolates

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (AST) of the selected three isolates namely *E. faecium* DUD3, *Bacillus sp* DUA4 and *Enterobacter sp* DUE2 showed that the isolates were sensitive to the antimicrobial discs tested. However, *E. faecium* DUD3 showed intermediate level of sensitivity to ampicillin and tetracycline (Table 4).
Table 4. Antimicrobial susceptibility of the potential probiotic isolates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Ampicillin (10 µg)</th>
<th>Amikacin (30 µg)</th>
<th>Chloramphenicol (30 µg)</th>
<th>Ciprofloxacin (5 µg)</th>
<th>Gentamycin (10 µg)</th>
<th>Kanamycin (30 µg)</th>
<th>Tetracycline (30 µg)</th>
<th>Vancomycin (30 µg)</th>
<th>Erythromycin (15 µg)</th>
<th>Clindamycin (2 µg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DUA4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUD3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUE2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: NT = Not performed

3.5. Auto Aggregation and Microbial Adhesion to Hydrocarbon

Results for the autoaggregation test done in this study are given in Figure 1. The aggregation ability of the isolates tested after 5 hours of incubation was 44.15%, 54.11%, 33.55% and 9.42% for the isolates DUA4, DUD3, DUE2 and L. casei ATCC 393 respectively.

Result for the hydrophobicity assay of the isolates using the hydrocarbon compounds xylene, chloroform and ethyl acetate has shown contrasting values and the results are given in Table 5. Microbial adhesion to hydrocarbon using xylene showed adhesion values of 45.37%, 61.78%, 14.83% and 5.89% for the isolates DUA4, DUD3, DUE2 and L. casei ATCC 393 respectively. Results of Adhesion assay using chloroform showed percent adhesion values of 24.02%, 37.43%, 21.12% and 41.21% for the isolates DUA4, DUD3, DUE2 and L. casei ATCC 393 respectively. As for the adhesion assay using Ethyl acetate, no considerable adhesion ability was observed with all the four bacteria tested showing adhesion value of only 7.35%, 3.97%, 6.62% and 13.73% for the isolates DUA4, DUD3, DUE2 and L. casei ATCC 393 respectively.

3.6. Phenotypic and Genotypic Study of Isolates

Results of the selected isolates for the phenotypic analysis showed that DUA4 was gram positive spore forming bacillus giving positive results for oxidase, catalase and nitrate reductase tests. Moreover, results for sugar fermentation tests and other biochemical test show the relatedness of the isolate to Bacillus spp. (data not shown). The isolate DUD3 on the other side, was found to be gram positive cocci with negative results for catalase, oxidase and nitrate reductase tests and with the overall biochemical test results resembling E. faecium (data not shown). In a similar way application of the phenotypic tests applied on DUE2 also showed results that match with Enterobacter species.

Genotypic confirmation of the identification was done using 16S rDNA gene sequence analysis. Based on the maximum scores obtained by application of BLAST analysis on the 16S rDNA gene sequence of the isolates DUA4, DUD3
Figure 1. Autoaggregation of potential probiotic isolates obtained from cattle farm soil in Dibrugarh District.

Table 5. Microbial adhesion to Hydrocarbons of potential probiotic isolates obtained from cattle farm soil in Dibrugarh district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisms</th>
<th>Microbial adhesion to Hydrocarbons (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUA4</td>
<td>45.37 ± 1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUD3</td>
<td>61.78 ± 1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUE2</td>
<td>14.83 ± 2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. casei ATCC 393</td>
<td>5.89 ± 2.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and DUE2 using NCBI genbank database, the isolates were identified as Bacillus sp. DUA4 (maximum identification of 97% with B. cereus ATCC 14579 with query coverage of 94%), Enterococcus faecium DUD3 (maximum identification of 99% with E. faecium strain DSM 20477 with query coverage of 99%) and Enterobacter spp DUE2 (maximum identification of 97% with Enterobacter cancerogenus strain LMG with query coverage of 94%) respectively. The 16S rDNA gene sequence of the isolates was submitted to NCBI and accession number KT340076, KT340075 and KX097968 was obtained for the isolates Bacillus sp. DUA4, Enterococcus faecium DUD3 and Enterobacter spp DUE2 respectively. The finding was in agreement with the results obtained using phenotypic tests applied. Phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA6 program and the output for the two potential isolates namely Bacillus sp. DUA4 and Enterococcus faecium DUD3 is given in Figure 2. A and B respectively.

4. Discussion

A total of 41 organisms with apparently different morphotype were isolated out of which 15 were detected as yeast cells and the remaining were gram-positive (n = 11) and gram-negative (n = 16). Considerably high number of yeast cells were isolated during the primary isolation. The soil of Dibrugarh is acidic with documented pH value in the range of 4.6 to 5.57 [16]. Therefore, considering the fact that low pH favours the growth of yeasts, the isolation of yeasts in considerably...
Figure 2. (a) and (b). Molecular Phylogenetic analysis of the isolate DUA4 (a) and DUD3 (b) with *L. casei* ATCC 393 as an out group. Phylogenetic analysis of the selected probiotic isolates was done using MEGA 6 software. Inference of the evolutionary history was done using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Tamura et al., model [14]. The phylogenetic tree is drawn to scale with the length of the branch arm representing the number of base substitution and the values at the node indicating bootstrap values based on 1000 replications.

high number is justifiable [17].

In this study, acid tolerance of DUD3 (*Enterococcus faecium* DUD3) was found to be relatively lower than that of DUA4 (*Bacillus* sp. DUA4) and this was found to be in agreement with the findings from other studies [18]. *Bacillus* spp. has shown excellent level of resistance to acidic pH value of 2.5% and 0.5% bile and one such previously conducted study indicated that all the 13 *Bacillus* isolates studied showed resistance to both acid and bile salts [19][19]. In this study the isolate DUA4 has been found to form endospore which may increase the ability of tolerance to acid and bile salts. However, there is conflicting report about the role of spore formation and resistance to acid and bile. Most of the reports indicate that acid tolerance increases with the formation of spores while some others report that there is no difference in the susceptibility of the spores and vegetative forms to acid and bile salts [20][21].

In this study, higher resistance to acid and bile salts was in general shown by the isolates DUA4 (*Bacillus* sp. DUA4) and DUD3 (*Enterococcus faecium* DUD3).
Both acid and bile tolerance characteristics of probiotic bacteria are required for their viability in the food, for the passage through the stomach and survival in the small intestine [22] [23]. Though the level of acid resistance of probiotic cultures is expected to be in the pH range of 2.5 - 3.0, the combination of the gastric acid with the food materials in the stomach provides more protection increasing their ability to survive in the gut [24] [25] [26] [27]. Moreover, acid tolerance of probiotic bacteria is known to depend on the type of organisms tested, growth medium and the incubation conditions and thus meaningful comparison could be done in consideration to these variables [28]. Different concentration of bile salt has been used for the same assay and accordingly, concentration of 0.3 % was found to be discriminatory and is also recommended for the screening of probiotic isolates [29] [30] [31].

The result of antimicrobial activity test of CFCS of the Isolates Bacillus sp DUA4 (DUA4) and E. faecium DUD3 (DUD3) showed broad spectrum activity. The presence of strains of bacteriocinogenic enterococci that are capable of showing broad spectrum antimicrobial activity has also been reported in other studies [32]. Moreover, antimicrobial activity of bacteria could be attributed to a number of factors other than bacteriocin. In this study in order to rule out the antagonistic effect of acidity of the culture supernatant, neutralization of CFCS was done using NaOH. All the three isolates tested in this study showed activity against L. monocytogenes, food spoilage bacteria which is relevant in the food and dairy industries [33] [34].

In this study autoaggregation and MATH test was used to assess the adhesion capacity of the isolates. The ideal method for testing adhesion capacity of organisms is the application of in vitro adhesion assay using cell lines. Autoaggregation and cell hydrophobicity tests which were designed as alternative tests to adhesion assay were proven as reasonably reliable screening method when compared to the use of cell lines which is technically and economically demanding [8] [35] [36]. In this study, the aggregation ability of the isolates tested was found to be 44.15%, 54.11%, 9.42% and 33.55% for the isolates DUA4, DUD3, DUE2 and L. casei ATCC 393 respectively. In a similar study, an autoaggregation value of 40.2% was reported for potential probiotic Bacillus sp. Isolated from human faeces [37].

Result for the hydrophobicity assay of the isolates using the hydrocarbon compounds xylene, chloroform and ethyl acetate in the current study has shown contrasting values for the isolates tested. In performing hydrophobicity test either xylene or n-hexadecane has been used in different researches but no significant difference in result was observed with the two solvents [38]. The MATH like other probiotic traits is strain specific. Analysis of 8 strains of bacillus species for MATH has shown a variation of results with a hydrophobicity value ranging from 14.1% to 83.6% [39]. In the absence of standard guideline for the interpretation of hydrophobicity assay, hydrophobicity value of more than 40% is considered to be significant value for hydrophobic nature [40]. A hydrophobicity value of 58%, 82% and 12.4% was obtained for Bacillus subtilis JK-04 iso-
lated from human faeces using hydrocarbons xylene, ethyl acetate and chloroform respectively [37].

The antimicrobial susceptibility study of the isolates in this study showed that all the isolates showed sensitivity to the antibiotics tested except *E. faecium* DUD3 against ampicillin and tetracycline. However, it is also important to consider the transferability of the resistant trait to other microbes. Among the different mechanisms of gene exchange between bacteria, conjugation which involves the transfer of mobile genetic elements such as plasmids is the most common mechanism for the horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance gene [41]. Enterococci are also known to be very well receptive for conjugation [42], but are also successful donor organisms for the transfer of antibiotic resistance genes to unrelated enterococci, lactobacilli, other Gram-positives including *Bacillus subtilis* [43] [44] [45].

The resolution power of the application of the microscopic, growth characteristics and biochemical tests for the identification of the potential probiotic bacteria was not adequate. Therefore, molecular methods based on 16S rDNA sequence were used as a supplementary test for the identification of the potential probiotic isolates. Moreover, Consensus sequence of the 16S rDNA gene sequence was also used to construct phylogenetic tree of the isolates. Construction of phylogenetic tree based on 16S rDNA gene sequence has been found to be reasonably reliable in comparison to trees constructed using whole genome sequences [46].

**5. Conclusion**

Three bacterial isolates with different level of probiotic capacity were identified and *E. faecium* DUD3 was found to be the most potential of all. From this study, it can be concluded that there is a potential to isolate probiotic bacteria from cattle farm soil.
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