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ABSTRACT
Recent developments in mathematics have in a sense organized objects of study into categories, where properties of mathematical systems can be unified and simplified through presentation of diagrams with arrows. A category is an algebraic structure made up of a collection of objects linked together by morphisms. Category theory has been advanced as a more concrete foundation of mathematics as opposed to set-theoretic language. In this paper, we define a pseudo-category on the class of isotonic spaces on which the idempotent axiom of the Kuratowski closure operator is assumed.
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1. Introduction
Virtually every branch of modern mathematics can be unified in terms of categories in doing so revealing deep insights and similarities between seemingly different areas of mathematics. Categories were introduced by Eilenberg and Mac Lane in 1945. A category has two basic properties, the ability to compose the arrows associatively and the existence of an identity arrow for each object. A simple example is the category of sets whose objects are sets and whose arrows are functions. Generally, objects and arrows may be abstract entities of any kind and the notion of category provides a fundamental and abstract way to describe mathematical entities and their relationships. This is the central idea of category theory, a branch of mathematics which seeks to generalize all of mathematics in terms of objects and arrows independent of what the object and arrows represent.

2. Literature Review
2.1. Kuratowski Closure Operator
A closure operator is an arbitrary set-valued, set-function \( cl: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X) \), where \( \mathcal{P}(X) \) is the power set of a non-void set \( X \) that satisfies some closure axioms [1]. Consequently, various combinations of the following axioms have been used in the past in an attempt to define closure operators [2]. Let \( A, B \subset \mathcal{P}(X) \).

1) Grounded: \( cl(\emptyset) = \emptyset \)
2) Expansive: \( A \subset cl(A) \)
3) Sub-additive: \( cl(A \cup B) \subset cl(A) \cup cl(B) \) . This axiom implies the Isotony axiom: \( A \subset B \) implies \( cl(A) \subset cl(B) \)
4) Idempotent: \( cl(cl(A)) = cl(A) \)

The structure \( (X, cl) \), where \( cl \) satisfies the first three axioms is called a closure space [2].

2.2. Isotonic Space
A closure space \( (X, cl) \) satisfying only the grounded and the Isotony closure axioms is called an isotonic space.
This is the space of interest in this study and clearly, it is more general than a closure space.

In a dual formulation, a space \((X, cl)\) is isotonic if and only if the interior function \(\text{int}: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)\) satisfies;

1) \(\text{int}(X) = X\).
2) \(A \subseteq B \subseteq X\) implies \(\text{int}(A) \subseteq \text{int}(B)\).

### 2.3. Category

A category has objects \(A,B,C,\cdots\) and arrows \(f,g,h,\cdots\) such that \(f : A \rightarrow B\), \(\text{i.e.}\) \(\text{dom}(f) = A\) and \(\text{cod}(f) = B\). Two arrows \(f\) and \(g\) such that \(\text{dom}(f) = \text{cod}(g)\) are said to be composable [4].

#### Axioms of a Category

According to [5], the following are the axioms of a category;

1) If \(f\) and \(g\) are composable, then they must have a composite which is the arrow shown \(gof\) shown in the diagram below.

![Diagram of composable arrows](image)

The arrow \(gof\) goes from the \(\text{dom}(g)\) to the \(\text{cod}(f)\) such that \(\text{dom}(gof) = \text{dom}(f)\) and the \(\text{cod}(gof) = \text{cod}(g)\).

1) For every object \(A\) there exists the identity arrow \(I_A : A \rightarrow A\).
2) Composition is associative. This can be represented in as shown below;

![Diagram of composition](image)

\((fog)oh = fo(goh)\)

### 3. Main Results

#### 3.1. Quasi-Isotone Space

A closure space \((X, cl)\) with a closure operator \(cl : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)\) is called a quasi-isotone space if the closure operator satisfies the following three Kuratowski closure axioms

1) \(cl(\emptyset) = \emptyset\).
2) For \(A \subseteq B\) implies \(cl(A) \subseteq cl(B)\).
3) \(cl(cl(A)) = cl(A)\).

The third axiom is called the idempotent axiom. It will become very useful while defining the pseudo-category on the quasi-isotone space.

#### 3.2. Pseudo-Category

To define a pseudo-category on the class of quasi-isotone space, we firstly need to identify the objects and morphisms on this class of spaces. The objects are the closure operators \(cl_2, cl_3, cl_4, \cdots\) such that they obey the three Kuratowski axioms above.

Next is to define the morphisms on the category. The arrows linking the objects together are \(f, g, h, \cdots\) such that \(f : cl_i \rightarrow cl_j\). More explicitly, the arrow \(f\) may be represented diagrammatically by;

![Diagram of arrows](image)

\[ f : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X) \]
Therefore, the pseudo-category on quasi-isotone space has as objects the closure operators $cl_1, cl_2, cl_3, \cdots$ and $f, g, h, \cdots$ such that $f : cl_1 \rightarrow cl_2$ as the morphisms. Of course two arrows $f$ and $g$ such that $\text{dom}(f) = \text{cod}(g)$ are said to be composable.

**Axioms of the Pseudo-Category**

1) If $f$ and $g$ are composable, then they must have a composite which is the arrow $gof$ shown in the diagram below:

![Diagram of Pseudo-Category Axiom 1](image)

The arrow $gof$ goes from the $\text{dom}(g)$ to the $\text{cod}(f)$ such that $\text{dom}(gof) = \text{dom}(f)$ and the $\text{cod}(gof) = \text{cod}(g)$.

2) For every object $A$ there exists the identity arrow $1_A : cl_1 \rightarrow cl_1$. The existence of this identity arrow is guaranteed by the idempotent axiom defined on the quasi-isotone axiom. Indeed, the name pseudo-category for this structure is adopted since the idempotent axiom is not exactly an identity function.

3) Composition is associative. This can be represented as in the diagram below:

![Diagram of Pseudo-Category Axiom 3](image)

$(gof)oh = fo(goh)$

**4. Remark**

Other notions of a category may also be defined on the pseudo-category of quasi-isotone spaces. They include functors, natural transformations, adjunctions among others.

**5. Conclusion**

On a space defined by the Kuratowski closure axioms, it is possible to define a category-like structure in a very natural and straightforward way. This will enable some mathematical analysis to be extended onto closure spaces.
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