

Environmental Impacts of Rice Cultivation

Mariane Silva de Miranda, Marina Leite Fonseca, Alexandre Lima, Tatiane Faustino de Moraes, Flávio Aparecido Rodrigues

Laboratório de Materiais e Superfícies, Núcleo de Ciências Ambientais (NCA), Universidade de Mogi das Cruzes, Mogi das Cruzes, Brazil Email: flavioar@umc.br

Received 27 June 2015; accepted 22 August 2015; published 25 August 2015

Copyright © 2015 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Abstract

This paper describes the major environmental aspects related to the cultivation of rice. Rice is one of the most important agricultural products and it is cultivated in almost all countries in the world. Its production requires usually large flooded areas. Under these conditions, many greenhouse gases are generated, such as carbon dioxide, methane, nitrogen oxides and its derivatives. Cultivation of rice is responsible by the release of relevant amounts of these gases and contributes decisively to global warming. In this sense, the major points described here are general environmental aspects, the mechanisms of production of greenhouse gases, bioremediation, mitigation using other techniques and possible improvements of the cultivation by fertilizers and chemicals.

Keywords

Rice, Environmental Aspects, Global Warming, Greenhouse Gases

1. Introduction

It is well documented and recognized that many anthropogenic activities such as deforestation, energy production (especially fossil fuels consumption) and several industrial activities play an important role in global warming [1] [2]. In fact, most of world concerns seem to be associated to modern civilization aspects, such as utilization of vehicles and large-scale production. Probably, much less attention has been devoted to other "natural" sources of global heating. For instance, nowadays agricultural production is responsible for about 10% to 12% of global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions [3] [4]. A significant part of global warming is derived from the production of gases, collectively known as greenhouse gases (GHG). Probably the most relevant gases responsible by temperature rise are carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O). These gases, together with other components, such as ammonium (NH_3) and other nitrogen compounds, are usually generated in animal and agricultural activities. Here we will focus on the deleterious effects of gas production due to rice harvesting. For instance, considering anthropogenic production, about 47% of methane and 58% of N_2O are derived from agricultural practices [5].

Rice is one of the most important sources of food for the world population. Around 3 billion people or about 50% of human population uses rice as food and nutrients source. China is the major producer of rice in the world. In a recent study [6], the release of methane was estimated in this country. In the first place, **Table 1** presents comparison of methane production according to major activities in China. It can be seen that agricultural production of methane is almost the same of energy production.

On the other hand, considering only agricultural activity, as described in **Table 2**, rice cultivation is responsible for about 35.6% of methane generation. In other words, rice production in China renders about 5613.1 Gg of methane yearly.

The atmosphere concentration of methane has more than doubled from the pre-industrial era to nowadays values, varying from around 700 ppb to 1800 ppb [7]. It shows an effect on greenhouse 25 times superior to carbon dioxide [8].

On the other hand, nitrogen dioxides are also generated in rice fields, since nitrogen is an essential nutrient for plants. The use of fertilizer is, of course, a common practice. The effect of nitrogen oxide in global warming is also very important; it is estimated that this gas is 300 times more potent to cause greenhouse effect than carbon dioxide [9]. Furthermore, the emission of nitrogen oxide has risen up to 17% from 1990 to 2005 and this growth tends to be more dramatic due to use of fertilizers [10]. Also in 2005 about 60% of all nitrogen dioxide emissions were due to agricultural production.

2. The Formation of Greenhouse Gases

Greenhouse effect results in global warming and it is currently one of the main environmental concerns. The major gases involved in this phenomenon are carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) [11]. It is well known that these gases are extremely important to retain heat in the atmosphere so that the temperature remains within a range of values appropriate for the existence of life [12]. **Table 3** [13] summarizes the main anthropogenic sources and the lifetime in the atmosphere of the main trace gases involved in greenhouse effect.

Table 1. Activities contribution to CH ₄ emissions in China.		
Activity	%	
Agricultural	40.4	
Energy	41.9	
Waste management	17.7	

Table 2. Agricultural activity and its percentage on methane production.		
Agricultural activity	%	
Enteric fermentation	51.2	
Manure management	10.9	
Rice cultivation	35.6	
Field burning of agricultural residues	2.3	

Table 3. Atmospheric trace gases for the significant increase in the greenhouse effect.

	Methane (CH ₄)	Nitrous oxide (N ₂ O)
Main source anthropogenic	Flooded rice cultivation, livestock, fossil fuels, biomass burning.	Fertilizers, land use conversion.
Lifetime in the Atmosphere	10 years	150 years

Adapted from [14].

Among these gases, probably, the most relevant is methane because of the amount released and the activity performed in the absorption of radiation. The annual global emission of methane from rice fields represent 31 -112 Tg (Tg = 1012 g), which means approximately 5% - 19% of the overall methane emissions [13]. Some studies suggest the influence of factors such as solar radiation, organic fertilization, temperature, plant biomass, crop type, carbon substrate availability and soil types on the methane emissions in flooded rice fields [15] [16]. Recently [17] a study was conducted to assess the dynamics of methane emission in six different types of soil representing the irrigated rice cultivation in southern Brazil. It was suggested that the dynamics and the total quantities of CH_4 emitted are influenced by the type of soil. In a similar study [18] it was found that CH_4 emission is related to the amount of residues in the soil and how they were incorporated to them. Also it seems that anaerobic conditions [19] of the soil soaked stimulate the production and emissions of methane. The oxidation of CH_4 is performed by methanotrophic bacterias in the oxygen zones the ecosystem (water interface-soil and rhizosphere rice). There is evidence [20] that flooded soils are cultivated under conditions conducive to the methanogenesis, due to the high carbon content and low decomposition rate of the biomass in anaerobic conditions. This process is carried out by methanogenic bacteria which have ability to use carbon compounds of low molecular weight for the production of energy. Thus, these bacteria are dependent on other hydrolytic and fermentative bacteria which reduce the molecular weight of the plant compounds. Methane is absorbed by the roots of rice plants [21] with water or gaseous, without the need for water absorption, being emitted to the atmosphere primarily by diffusion through the aerenchyma rice plants and also by gas bubbles as described in Figure 1.

Some works [24] [25] describe between 40% and 60% of the total annual N_2O emissions in the ecosystems rice-based in China occurred in the winter season. The production of N_2O occurs when the floodplain soils suffers cycles of wetting and drying, in which the microorganisms actually perform the sequential processes of mineralization-nitrification-denitrification, as presented in **Figure 2**. It is important to note that the nitrification and denitrification processes are microbiological processes that occur in soils that contribute most to the emission of N_2O .

According to Gomes 2006 [26], the occurrence of nitrification and denitrification processes are determined by the soil conditions such as O_2 supply, water content, temperature, pH, organic matter, presence of vegetable residues and concentration of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- . In the nitrification process the chemoautotrophic bacteria oxidize NH_4^+ (ammonium) in the soil producing N₂O and NO. Nitrification is regulated by the presence of NH_4^+ ; NO₂ (nitrite), NO₃ (nitrate), PO_4^{3-} (phosphate), O₂, soil acidity, temperature and water potential. The availability of NH_4^+ is considered a limiting factor in nitrification, which is influenced by mineralization/ immobilization, the presence of plants, cation exchange and dissemination. The N₂O production by biological nitrification occurs



Figure 1. Flowchart adapted production and methane emission in rice fields [22].



(adapted from [23]).

when the bacteria to oxidize NH_4^+ in the absence of O_2 , NO_3 use as electron acceptor. Although N₂O production is possible by nitrification, high N₂O emissions have been generally associated with denitrification. In the denitrification process the NO^{2-} and NO_3^- ions are reduced to NO, N₂O or N₂. Cultivation operations include, among other practices, the addition of nitrogenous fertilizer and handling conditions that can cause alternation of oxidation/reduction conditions, which may favor changes in nitrification and denitrification processes, increasing the production and emission of N₂O. According to Dobbie and Smith [27], the use of nitrogen fertilizer, for example, may increase the mineral N content in the soil and as a result, the increase of N₂O emissions from soil. In a recent paper [28] it is described N₂O emissions from the application of two nitrogen fertilizers (ammonium sulfate and urea) at two different doses (100 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹). The authors observed higher soil N₂O emissions for both fertilizers when applying 300 kg·ha⁻¹. On the other hand, a recent study [29] reported that N₂O emissions of N₂O. The growing world population implies an increase in food production. However, the environmental aspects, such as impacts to the environment must be considered when choosing the type of food cultivation. In feed, rice plays an important role since it is the source of many important nutrients for human beings.

3. Mitigation of Methane from Paddy Wetland: Management Strategies

Rice crop present a different behavior if compared to other common plants; rice can even grow in soil without oxygen at root level. Organic matter is completely converted to CO_2 in high-fertility soil through aerobic pathway, whereas in low-fertility soil only 7% of CO_2 is produced by anaerobic pathways. Soil microorganisms require electron acceptor, usually oxygen, in their chemical reactions, especially aerobic respiration. However if oxygen is depleted other electron acceptors available are used in thermodynamically order (according to redox potential): nitrate (NO_3^-) , Mn (IV), Fe (III) and sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) [30] [31] also in a concentration cutoff value (Table 4).

Methane is produced strictly in anaerobic environment where the redox potential is lower than -200 mV [32] essential condition to methanogenic bacteria starting their activities. These bacteria are strictly anaerobic, such condition is achieved when inorganic nutrients are reduced and organic matter acetate is converted in CO₂ and CH₄; other bacteria group oxidizes H₂ by using CO₂ which is reduced to CH₄ [33].

Rice cultivation generally takes place in irrigated fields to maximize crop yields but constant water supply stimulates anaerobic soil environment formation which augments the CH_4 emissions [34] In fact, rice paddy is the primary anthropogenic source of methane, accounting 11% of the total CH_4 anthropogenic emissions [35].

Methane emission may be affected by different factors: physiological characteristic of rice cultivars (varieties),

Species	Cutoff value (µM)
O_2	0.5
NO_3^-	5
Mn (IV)	0.9
Fe (III)	8
SO_4^{2-}	1000

 Table 4. Concentration cutoff value of electron acceptors according to next most thermodynamically favorable electron accepting process.

application of both organic (manure) and inorganic fertilizers, water management, soil physicochemical conditions, soil and air temperature, compositions and activity of soil microorganisms.

Mitigation strategies to CH₄ emission from rice paddies must be farm and eco-friendly, cost-effective without depleting crop yields. At farm level, some approaches (strategies) may arise: management of water, inorganic inputs and selecting rice cultivars [36] [37].

Methane may be partially oxidized in the rhizosphere converted into CO_2 by aerobically oxygen released from plant roots or anaerobically by any electron acceptor available in soil. In this sense, methanogenesis in soil could be inhibited by presence of electron acceptors such as, nitrate, Mn (IV), Fe (III) and sulfate provided by inorganic fertilization or input. Nitrogen-based fertilizers are commonly applied in rice cultivation to enhance crop yields which increases carbon supply for methanogens [38] and provides larger aerenchymal pathway to methane transport from soil to the atmosphere [39] [40]. But nitrogen-based fertilizers also stimulates the growth and activity of methanotrophs (CH_4 oxidizing bacteria) inducing to a methane emission [41] [42]. The effect of nitrogen fertilizers may vary according to form and amount, mode and time of application, also the effects are not consistent (contrasting effects) they range from stimulation, neutral and inhibition.

Emission of methane during the first crop season CH_4 with NH_4^+ and NO_3^- ranged 1.2 - 2.6 and 8.3 - 8.8 g $CH_4 \text{ m}^{-2}$ respectively for both rice varieties. In second crop season it was observed intensification on CH_4 emission vales ranges were 34.3 - 36.7 and 36.6 - 58.6 g $CH_4 \text{ m}^{-2}$. Methane emission rates from the NO_3^- amended plots were 1.5 - 3.7-fold higher than NH_4^+ amended plots during the growth period. The authors explain a higher inhibition effect of CH_4 emission by ammonium sulfate rather than potassium nitrate due to easily leaching of nitrate from soil during the rainy season (period) in Chia-Yi County, Taiwan. In this study a non-fertilizer field was used as control, and then a comparison to reducing CH_4 emission effect was not possible.

In this study methane emission was monitored over 4 years in a paddy rice field with typical Chinese water management (midseason aeration for a few days instead of continuous flooding) with nitrogen addition rates of 0, 150 and 250 kg N ha⁻¹ (urea plus ammonium phosphate). The preliminary addition of 150 kg N ha⁻¹ presented a negative effect compared to no-nitrogen amendment. It was observed average emission decreased of 38% and 49% in 150 and 250 kg N ha⁻¹, respectively. Considering that addition rate of 250 kg N ha⁻¹ is already applied in some parts of China, the authors expect that this rate could be pronounce to others sites in China as an effective management of methane emission reduction and increasing of rice crop yields [43]. In a recent works [44] [45], it was not observed a substantially methane emission reduction when nitrogen input changed from 150 to 250 kg N ha⁻¹ or more.

On the other hand [46], the contrasting effects on CH_4 mitigation by N-fertilizers could be related to nitrogen rate input. At low levels of nitrogen, great part is uptake by plants and the remaining nitrogen in soil is insufficient to oxidizing CH_4 or to inhibit methanogens activity, so in this scenario methane emission is increased. On the other hand (In opposition), when higher level of N-fertilizer is applied (range 100 - 200 kg N ha⁻¹) the excess of nitrogen in soil may promote net effect in mitigation of methane emission

Although methane emission can be reduced by nitrogen-fertilizer management, this process is accompanied by a high NO₂ emission, which has a 296 times higher GWP (global warming potential) than CO₂ and 12 times larger than CH₄ [14]. However NO₂ emission is not the scope of this review. Ferric iron or Fe (III) is considered major soil characteristic regulating CH₄ emission from rice soils [47] Methane emission is suppressed by enhancing the activity of iron reducing bacteria and inhibiting the activity of methanogens for the common electron donor. According to Silva et al. while inorganic nutrients (electron acceptors) are available (attainable), like Fe (III), Mn (IV) and Mn (III), the organic matter using is limited which reduce methane emission.

In a labor scale [48] could observe a net reduction of methane emission of 43% and 84% by addition of 15 and 30 g of ferrihydrate /kg of soil over 143 days during growth and harvest period of rice in beakers. When this assay was applied in rice paddy field, [49] the 1.58 kg of ferrihydrate supply into 2×2 m plot could mitigate 50% of methane emission in comparison with a no-Fe (III) supplied area.

Ferric hydroxide and ferrihydrite were used as Fe (III) source in in the field treatment over the paddy rice-winter wheat rotation cycle. The Fe (III) fertilizer was applied at the rate of 4.0 and 8.0 t \cdot ha⁻¹, representing medium (Fe-M) and high (Fe-H) application levels in the rice based soils of Southeast China, respectively. Compared with the control, Fe (III) fertilization decreased CH₄ by 27% and 44% for the Fe-M and Fe-H plots, respectively. Besides mitigation CH4 emission from Fe (III) amended soil observed increased in rice crop yield, suggesting win-win management approach [50].

Industrial by-products with high concentration of active iron (Fe) was applied in rice paddy fields (China) in order to evaluate the mitigation potential of steel slag fertilizer in a range of 2 - 8 Mg per ha. In this study was observed an overall decrease CH_4 emission ranging from 26.6% to 49.3% [51].

The addition of sulfate-based fertilizers reduces methane emissions once sulfate reducing bacteria will compete with methane producing bacteria for same substrate. The methane emission from plots amended with 6.66 tons ha⁻¹ gypsum was reduced by 55% - 70% compared to non-amended plot [52]. Similar mitigation methane emission was also observed [53] but when phosphogypsum was applied CH4 emission reduced only 50% at a higher level of supplementation (10.0 t·ha⁻¹). Linquist and coworkers concluded that mitigation of CH₄ emission is a sulfate rate linear regression, *i.e.*, when there is an increase in sulfate rate is observed a decrease in methane emission.

4. Influence of Chemicals on Gas Production

Nitrification inhibitors (NI) are used to decrease emission of N_2O . The ammonia monooxygenase (AMO) is one enzyme involved in the oxidation of NH_4^+ to NO_3^- in soils [54]. Different nitrification inhibitors of nitrous oxide (N₂O) were studied in one experiment with four treatments: (a) pearled urea; (b) urea b dicyandiamide (DCD); (c) urea b Nimin; (d) b urea Karanjin. CH₄ emission was significantly higher with applications of DCD and Karanjin during the rainy and dry season, respectively. N₂O emission was inhibited with Nimin application more significantly during the rainy and dry seasons (69% and 85% respectively). Applying Nimin increases methanotrophic bacterial population in the soil, and this increase may be related to the low emission of CH₄. In this study it was concluded that, with Nimin and Karanjin, there was a decrease in soil denitrification [55].

Another experiment was conducted to study the effect of the joint application time of hydroquinone as urease inhibitor (HQ) and dicyandiamide as a nitrification inhibitor (DCD) in N_2O emissions in rice fields. These results indicate more efficient inhibition on N_2O emission registered to HQ and DCD applied with fertilizer at tillering stage [56].

The impact of the nitrification inhibitor in rice production, 2-chloro-6 (trichloromethyl) pyridine (CP), was studied using five treatments: CK (no N applied), N180 and N240 and their counterparts N180 + CP and N240 + CP (N use plus CP). The use of 180 kg·ha⁻¹ N with CP and the use of 240 kg·ha⁻¹ N without CP resulted in the same yield. Despite the increase in NH₃ volatilization with CP, and the consequent increase in indirect emissions of N₂O, it is estimated that CP has led to an overall decrease in global warming potential [57].

Use of two nitrification inhibitors was studied, viz., S-benzylisothiouronium butanoate (SBTbutanoate) and mometasone S-benzylisothiouronium (SBT-furoate) benzylisothiouronium furoate (SBT-furoate). The nitrification inhibitors used in the study increased yield and decreased global warming potential in relation to the treatment of urea [58].

A four-year field campaign was held in the Yangtze River Delta 2004-2007 to assess the effects of more $NH_4H_2PO_4$ urea application on CH_4 emissions in rice cultivation. For addition rate of 250 kg of N Ha⁻¹, CH_4 emissions have been significantly reduced [59].

Wastewater disposal of livestock in paddy fields is a practical treatment adopted by some producers. The influence of such waste water at planting and N₂O emissions was studied. Emissions of N₂O cumulative varied to N750, the N₂O emitted during the final draining, corresponded for 80% of cumulative emissions of N₂O [60].

Influence of ammonia on the application of N_2O emissions was also evaluated. The results revealed a trade-off between CH₄ and N₂O emissions influenced by the application of urea-based fertilizers, *i.e.*, the nitro-

gen fertilization reduced. Total CH₄ and N₂O, expressed in carbon dioxide equivalents, were affected by rate of addition of nitrogen, with minimal emissions occurring at 250 kg·ha⁻¹ [61].

A meta-analysis was performed to determine the effects of treatment medium management practices, both CH_4 and N_2O in rice cultivation. Low inorganic fertilizer N rates increased CH_4 emissions by 18% relative to when no N fertilizer was applied, while high N rates decreased CH_4 emissions by 15%. Replacing urea with ammonium sulfate at the same, N rate significantly reduced CH_4 emissions by 40%, but might increase N_2O emissions. Dicyandiamide led to lower emissions of both CH_4 and N_2O . When compared to inorganic N fertilizers, farmyard manure (FYM) increased CH_4 emissions and the green manure (GrM) Sesbania by 192% [62].

An assessment of the effects of different types of manure about CH_4 and N_2O was performed. The concentration of Zn and Cu in rice and the nitrate content in drainage water were evaluated. The experiment included the following treatments: (a) anaerobically digested sludge cattle (ADCS); (b) ADCS filtered to remove the coarse fraction of soil organic matter; (c) anaerobically digested sludge pig (ADPS); (d) chemical fertilizers (CF). The application rate was 30 mg NH_4 - N_2 . Different amounts of C were added to fertilization: C 725 m² on ADCS, 352 g·m⁻² in ADCS filtered, and 75 g·m⁻² in ADPS. This study suggests that ADPS, containing minor amounts of C than ADCS can be used as an organic fertilizer in paddy field showing environmental impacts similar to chemical fertilizers (CF) [63].

Another field experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of biochar at doses of 0, 10 and 40 t \cdot ha⁻¹ in rice productivity and CH₄ and N₂O with or without nitrogen fertilizer on a rice plantation. Soil CH₄ emissions total C were increased in soils treated with biochar to 40 t \cdot ha⁻¹ compared to treatments without biochar and with or without nitrogen fertilization, respectively. The results showed that biochar significantly increased rice production and reduction of N₂O emissions, but increased the total CH₄ emissions [64].

Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to Fundação de Apoio ao Ensino e Pesuisa (FAEP), Universidade de Mogi das Cruzes.

References

- [1] Heinke, J., Ostberg, S., Schaphoff, S., Frieler, K., Müller, C., Gerten, D., Meinshausen, M. and Lucht, M. (2013) A New Climate Dataset for Systematic Assessments of Climate Change Impacts as a Function of Global Warming. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 6, 1689-1703. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-1689-2013</u>
- Rodrigues, F.A. and Joekes, I. (2011) Cement and Industry: Sustainability, Challenges and Perspectives. *Environmen*tal Chemistry Letters, 9, 151-166. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10311-010-0302-2</u>
- [3] Mogensen, L., Kristensen, T., Nielsen, N.I., Spleth, P., Henriksson, M., Swensson, C., Hessle, A. and Vestergaard, M. (2015) Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Beef Production Systems in Denmark and Sweden. *Livestock Science*, 174, 126-143. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2015.01.021</u>
- [4] Mosleh, M.K., Hassan, Q.K. and Chowdhury, E.H. (2015) Application of Remote Sensors in Mapping Rice Area and Forecasting Its Production: A Review. Sensors, 15, 769-791. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/s150100769</u>
- [5] Sander, B.O., Samson, M. and Buresh, R.J. (2014) Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Flooded Rice Fields as Affected by Water and Straw Management between Rice Crops. *Geoderma*, 235-236, 355-362. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.07.020
- [6] Zhang, B. and Chen, G.Q. (2014) China's CH₄ and CO₂ Emissions: Bottom-Up Estimation and Comparative Analysis. *Ecological Indicators*, 47, 112-122. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.01.022</u>
- [7] Liu, G., Yu, H., Ma, J., Xu, H., Wu, Q., Yang, J. and Zhuang, Y. (2015) Effects of Straw Incorporation along with Microbial Inoculant on Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Rice Fields. *Science of the Total Environment*, 518-519, 209-216. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.02.028</u>
- [8] Pramanik, P., Haque, M. and Kim, P.J. (2013) Effect of Nodule Formation in Roots of Hairy Vetch (*Viciavillosa*) on Mthane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions during Succeeding Rice Cultivation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 178, 51-56. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.06.021</u>
- [9] Suna, H., Zhang, H., Powlsond, D., Mina, J. and Shi, W. (2015) Rice Production, Nitrous Oxide Emission and Ammonia Volatilization as Impacted by the Nitrification Inhibitor 2-Chloro-6-(trichloromethyl)-pyridine. *Field Crops Re*search, **173**, 1-7. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2014.12.012</u>
- [10] Suna, W. and Huang, Y. (2012) Synthetic Fertilizer Management for China's Cereal Crops Has Reduced N₂O Emissions Since the Early 2000s. *Environmental Pollution*, 160, 24-27. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.09.006</u>
- [11] IPCC (2007) Agriculture. In: Metz, B., Davidson, O.R. and Bosch, P.R., Eds., Climate Change 2007: Mitigation, Con-

tribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 499-532.

- [12] Zschornack, T., Bayer, C., Zanatta, J.A., Vieira, F.C.B. and Anghinoni, I. (2011) Mitigation of Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Flood-Irrigated Rice by No Incorporation of Winter Crop Residues into the Soil. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências do Solo*, **35**, 623-634. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-06832011000200031</u>
- [13] Smith, J.B., Suarez, A. and Yamin, F. (2007) Assessing Key Vulnerabilities and the Risk from Climate Change. In: Parry, M.L., Canzini, O.F., Palutikof, J.P., Van der Linden, P.J. and Hanson, C.E., Eds., *Climate Change* 2007: *Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*, Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [14] Lima, M.A. (2000) Emissão de gases de efeito estufa provenientes de sistemas agrícolas no Brasil. Biotecnologia Ciência e Desenvolvimento, 17, 38-43.
- [15] Kaewpradit, W., Toomsan, B., Vityakon, P., Limpinuntana, V., Saenjan, P., Jogloy, S. and Cadisch, G. (2008) Regulating Mineral N Release and Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Mixing Groundnut Residues and Rice Straw under Field Conditions. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 59, 640-652. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2008.01021.x</u>
- [16] Ahmad, S., Li, C., Dai, G., Zhan, M., Wang, J., Pan, S. and Cao, C. (2009) Greenhouse Gas Emission from Direct Seeding Paddy Field under Different Rice Tillage Systems in Central China. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 106, 54-61. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2009.09.005</u>
- [17] Silva, J.T., de Sousa, R.O., Scivittaro, W.B., Buss, G.L. and da Silva, J.B. (2015) Emissões de metano no período de cultivo do arroz irrigado sob diferentes sistemas de preparo do solo. *Embrapa Clima Temperado-Artigo em anais de congresso (ALICE)*. REUNIÃO SUL-BRASILEIRA DE CIÊNCIA DO SOLO, 10, 2014, Pelotas. Fatos e mitos em ciência do solo. Sociedade Brasileira de Ciência do Solo, Pelotas, UFPEL, 2014.
- [18] Naser, H.M., Nagata, O., Tamura, S. and Hatano, R. (2007) Methane Emissions from Five Paddy Fields with Different Amounts of Rice Straw Application in Central Hokkaido, Japan. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 53, 95-101. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-0765.2007.00105.x</u>
- [19] Dalal, R.C., Allen, D.E., Livesley, S.J. and Richards, G. (2008) Magnitude and Biophysical Regulators of Methane Emission and Consumption in the Australian Agricultural, Forest, and Submerged Landscapes: A Review. *Plant and Soil*, 309, 43-76. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-007-9446-7</u>
- [20] Agostinetto, D., Fleck, N.G., Rizzardi, M.A., Merotto Jr., A. and Vidal, R.A. (2001) Arroz vermelho: Ecofisiologia e estratégias de controle. *Ciência Rural*, 31, 341-349. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782001000200026</u>
- [21] Wassmann, R., Neue, H.U., Alberto, M.C.R., Lantin, R.S., Bueno, C., Llenaresas, D. and Rennenberg, H. (1996) Fluxes and Pools of Methane in Wetland Rice Soils with Varying Organic Inputs. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 42, 163-173. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00394048</u>
- [22] Kimura, M. and Minami, A. (1995) Dynamics of Methane in Rice Fields: Emissions to the Atmosphere in Japan and Thailand. In: Peng, S., Ingram, K.T., Neue, H.-U. and Ziska, L.H., Eds., *Climate Change and Rice*, International Rice Research Institute, Thomson Press, New Delhi, 30-45.
- [23] Figueiredo, N., Fareleira, P., Menino, R., Marques, P., Vargues, A. and Carranca, C. (2011) A produção de arroz em Portugal. *Livro das comemorações dos*, 75, 28-31.
- [24] Xing, G.X. (1998) N₂O Emission from Cropland in China. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 52, 249-254. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1009776008840
- [25] Liang, W., Shi, Y., Zhang, H., Yue, J. and Huang, G.H. (2007) Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Northeast China Rice Fields in Fallow Season. *Pedosphere*, 17, 630-638. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(07)60075-7</u>
- [26] Gomes, J. (2006) Emissão de gases do efeito estufa e mitigação do potencial de aquecimento global por sistemas conservacionistas de manejo do solo. Thesis, Federal do Rio Grande do Sul University, Porto Alegre.
- [27] Dobbie, K.E. and Smith, K.A. (2003) Impact of Different Forms of N Fertilizer on N₂O Emissions from Intensive Grassland. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 67, 37-46. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1025119512447</u>
- [28] Cai, Z.C., Xing, G.X., Yan, X.Y., Xu, H., Tsuruta, H., Yagi, K. and Minami, K. (1997) Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Rice Paddy Fields as Affected by Nitrogen Fertilisers and Water Management. *Plant and Soil*, 196, 7-14. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1004263405020</u>
- [29] Rochette, P., Tremblay, N., Fallon, E., Angers, D.A., Chantigny, M.H., MacDonald, J.D. and Parent, L.É. (2010) N₂O Emissions from an Irrigated and Non-Irrigated Organic Soil in Eastern Canada as Influenced by N Fertilizer Addition. *European Journal of Soil Science*, **61**, 186-196. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2009.01222.x</u>
- [30] Xu, S.P., Jaffé, P.R. and Mauzerall, D.L. (2007) A Process-Based Model for Methane Emission from Flooded Rice Paddy Systems. *Ecological Modelling*, 205, 475-491. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2007.03.014</u>
- [31] Mudge, F. and Adger, W.N. (1995) Methane Fluxes from Artificial Wetlands: A Global Appraisal. Environmental

Management, 19, 39-55. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02472002

- [32] Silva, L.S., Griebeler, G., Moterle, D.F., Bayer, C., Zschormark, T. and Pocojeski, E. (2011) Dinâmica da emissão de metano em solos sob cultivo de arroz irrigado no sul do Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências do Solo*, 35, 473-481. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-06832011000200016</u>
- [33] Gauci, V., Matthews, E., Dise, N., Walter, B., Koch, D., Granberg, G. and Vile, M. (2004) Sulfur Pollution Suppression of the Wetland Methane Source in the 20th and 21st Centuries. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101, 12583-12587. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0404412101</u>
- [34] Liu, S.Y., Zhang, Y.J., Lin, F., Zhang, L. and Zou, J.W. (2013) Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Direct-Seeded and Seedling-Transplanted Rice Paddies in Southeast China. *Plant and Soil*, 374, 285-297. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1878-7
- [35] Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z.C., Gwary, D., Janzen, H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogle, S., O'Mara, F., Rice, C., Scholes, B., Sirotenko, O., Howden, M., McAllister, T., Pan, G.X., Romanenkov, V., Scheider, U., Towprayoon, S., Wattenbach, M. and Smith, J. (2008) Greenhouse Gas Mitigation in Agriculture. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 363, 789-813. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2184
- [36] Epule, E.T., Peng, C. and Mafany, N.M. (2011) Methane Emissions from Paddy Rice Fields: Strategies towards Achieving a Win-Win Sustainability Scenario between Rice Production and Methane Emission Reduction. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 4, 188-196. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jsd.v4n6p188</u>
- [37] Liou, R.M., Huang, S.N. and Lin, C.W. (2003) Methane Emission from Fields with Differences in Nitrogen Fertilizers and Rice Varieties in Taiwan Paddy Soils. *Chemosphere*, **50**, 237-246. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00158-3
- [38] Lu, Y.H., Wassman, R., Neue, H. and Huang, C.Y. (2000) Dynamics of Dissolved Organic Carbon and Methane Emissions in a Flooded Rice Soil. Soil Science Society of American Journal, 64, 2011-2017. http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2000.6462011x
- [39] Dunfield, P. and Knowles, R. (1995) Kinetics of Inhibition of Methane Oxidation by Nitrate, Nitrite, and Ammonium in a Humisol. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 61, 3129-3135.
- [40] Gulledge, J. and Schimel, J.P. (1998) Low-Concentration Kinetics of Atmospheric CH₄ Oxidation in Soil and Mechanism of NH⁴₄ Inhibition. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 64, 4291-4298.
- [41] Bodelier, P.L., Roslev, P., Henckel, T. and Frenzel, P. (2000) Stimulation by Ammonium-Based Fertilizers of Methane Oxidation in Soil around Rice Roots. *Nature*, 403, 421-424. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35000193</u>
- [42] Bodelier, P.L. and Laanbroek, H.J. (2004) Nitrogen as a Regulatory Factor of Methane Oxidation in Soils and Sediments. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 47, 265-277. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(03)00304-0</u>
- [43] Dong, H.B., Yao, Z.S., Zheng, X.H., Mei, B.L., Xie, B.H., Wang, R., Deng, J., Cui, F. and Zhu, J.G. (2011) Effect of Ammonium-Based, Non-Sulfate Fertilizers on CH₄ Emissions from a Paddy Field with a Typical Chinese Water Management Regime. *Atmospheric Environment*, 45, 1095-1101. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.11.039</u>
- [44] Ma, J., Li, X.L., Xu, H., Han, Y., Cai, Z.C. and Yagi, K. (2007) Effects of Nitrogen Fertilizer and Wheat Straw Application on CH₄ and N₂O Emissions from a Paddy Rice Field. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 45, 359-367. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/SR07039</u>
- [45] Xie, B.H., Zheng, X.H., Zhou, Z.X., Zhu, B., Chen, X., Shi, Y., Wang, Y.Y., Zhao, Z.C., Liu, C.Y., Yao, Z.S. and Zhu, J.G. (2010) Effects of Nitrogen Fertilizer on CH₄ Emission from Rice Fields: Multi-Site Field Observations. *Plant and Soil*, **326**, 393-401. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-0020-3</u>
- [46] Linquist, B.A., Adviento-Borbe, M.A., Pittelkow, C.M., van Kessel, C. and van Groenigen, K.J. (2012) Fertilizer Management Practices and greenhouse gas emissions from rice systems: A quantitative review and analysis. *Field Crops Research*, 135, 10-21. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2012.06.007</u>
- [47] Cheng, W., Yagi, K., Akiyama, H., Nishimura, S., Sudo, S., Fumoto, T., Hasegawa, T., Hartley, A.E. and Megonigal, J.P. (2007) An Empirical Model of Soil Chemical Properties That Regulate Methane Production in Japanese Rice Paddy Soils. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, **36**, 1920-1925. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq2007.0201</u>
- [48] Jäckel, U. and Schnell, S. (2000) Suppression of Methane Emission from Rice Paddies by Ferric Iron Fertilization. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 32, 1811-1814. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00094-8</u>
- [49] Jäckel, U., Russo, S. and Schnell, S. (2005) Enhanced Iron Reduction by Iron Supplement: A Strategy to Reduce Methane Emission from Paddies. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **37**, 2150-2154. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.03.003</u>
- [50] Liu, S.W., Zhang, L., Liu, Q.H. and Zou, J.W. (2012) Fe(III) Fertilization Mitigating Net Global Warming Potential and Greenhouse Gas Intensity in Paddy Rice-Wheat Rotation Systems in China. *Environmental Pollution*, 164, 73-80. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.01.029

- [51] Wang, W., Lai, D.Y.F., Li, S., Kim, P.J., Zeng, C., Li, P. and Liang, Y. (2014) Steel Slag Amendment Reduces Methane Emission and Increases Rice Productivity in Subtropical Paddy Fields in China. Wetlands Ecology and Management, 22, 683-691. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11273-014-9364-4</u>
- [52] Denier van der Gon, H.A.C. and Neue, H.U. (1994) Impact of Gypsum Application on the Methane Emission from a Wetland Rice Field. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 8, 127-134. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/94GB00386</u>
- [53] Lindau, C.W., Wickersham, P., DeLaune, R.D., Collins, J.W., Bollick, P.K., Scott, L.M. and Lambremont, E.N. (1998) Methane and Nitrous Oxide Evolution and ¹⁵N and ²²⁶Ra Uptake as Affected by Application of Gypsum and Phosphogypsum to Louisiana Rice. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **68**, 165-173. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(97)00154-0
- [54] Ruser, R. and Schulz, R. (2015) The Effect of Nitrification Inhibitors on the Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) Release from Agricultural Soils—A Review. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **178**, 171-188. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201400251</u>
- [55] Datta, A. and Adhya, T. (2014) Effects of Organic Nitrification Inhibitors on Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emission from Tropical Rice Paddy. *Atmospheric Environment*, 92, 533-545. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.04.009</u>
- [56] Li, X.L., Zhang, G.B., Xu, H., Cai, Z.C. and Yagi, K. (2009) Effect of Timing of Joint Application of Hydroquinone and Dicyandiamide on Nitrous Oxide Emission from Irrigated Lowland Rice Paddy Field. *Chemosphere*, 75, 1417-1422. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.02.006</u>
- [57] Sun, H.J., Zhang, H.L., Powlson, D., Min, J. and Shi, W.M. (2015) Rice Production, Nitrous Oxide Emission and Ammonia Volatilization as Impacted by the Nitrification Inhibitor 2-Chloro-6-(Trichloromethyl)-Pyridine. *Field Crops Research*, **173**, 1-7. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2014.12.012</u>
- [58] Bhatia, A., Sasmal, S., Jain, N., Pathak, H., Kumar, R. and Singh, A. (2010) Mitigating Nitrous Oxide Emission from Soil under Conventional and No-Tillage in Wheat Using Nitrification Inhibitors. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Envi*ronment, 136, 247-253. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2010.01.004</u>
- [59] Dong, H.B., Yao, Z.S., Zheng, X., Mei, B.L. and Xie, B.H. (2011) Effect of Ammonium-Based, Non-Sulfate Fertilizers on CH₄ Emissions from a Paddy Field with a Typical Chinese Water Management Regime. *Atmospheric Environment*, 45, 1095-1101. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.11.039</u>
- [60] Riya, S., Zhou, S., Kobara, Y., Sagehashi, M., Terada, A. and Hosomi, M. (2015) Influence of Nitrogen Loading and Plant Nitrogen Assimilation on Nitrogen Leaching and N₂O Emission in Forage Rice Paddy Fields Fertilized with Liquid Cattle Waste. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22, 5762-5771. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3811-x
- [61] Yao, Z.S., Zheng, X.H., Dong, H.B., Wang, R., Mei, B.L. and Zhu, J.G. (2012) A 3-Year Record of N₂O and CH₄ Emissions from a Sandy Loam Paddy during Rice Seasons as Affected by Different Nitrogen Application Rates. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **152**, 1-9. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.02.004</u>
- [62] Linquist, B.A., Adviento-Borbe, M.A., Pittelkow, C.M., Kessel, C.V. and Groenigen, K.J. (2012) Fertilizer Management Practices and Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Rice Systems: A Quantitative Review and Analysis. *Field Crops Research*, **135**, 10-21. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2012.06.007</u>
- [63] Sasada, Y., Win, K.T., Nonaka, R., Win, A.T., Toyota, K., Motobayashi, T., et al. (2011) Methane and N₂O Emissions, Nitrate Concentrations of Drainage Water, and Zinc and Copper Uptake by Rice Fertilized with Anaerobically Digested Cattle or Pig Slurry. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 47, 949-956. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00374-011-0601-1</u>
- [64] Zhang, A.F., Cui, L.Q., Pan, G.X., Li, L.Q., Hussain, Q., Zhang, X., et al. (2010) Effect of Biochar Amendment on Yield and Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions from a Rice Paddy from Tai Lake Plain, China. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 139, 469-475. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2010.09.003</u>