Mechanical Pressure, Not Genes, Makes Ovulate Parts Leaf-Like in Cycas
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ABSTRACT

The so-called “megasporophylls” of Ginkgoales, Coniferales, and Gnetales have been proven metamorphosed shoots, making “megasporophyll” of Cycas the last one resembling a leaf. Why and how it is so in Cycas (the most ancient seed plant dated back to the Palaeozoic) become key questions because their answers are hinged with the fates of several hypotheses in botany. Here, we performed a controlled developmental experiment on the ovulate parts (megasporophylls) in a single strobilus of Cycas sexseminifera. By removing the neighboring ones, two of the ovulate parts were left isolated spatially from others, in contrast to others left intact. A half-year-long continuous observation indicates that the isolated ovulate parts change their ovule arrangement from initial pinnate into helical pattern, while the intact ones in the same strobilus remain pinnate as usual. Since all ovulate parts are in the same strobilus and controlled by the same genome in this case and the only difference is lack of pressure from neighbors for the isolated ones, the changes in ovule orientation and ovulate part morphology can only be attributed to the lack of mechanical pressure among the ovulate parts. Therefore, we conclude that mechanical pressure, not genes, controls the morphology of ovulate parts and contributes to the leaf-like ovulate part morphology in Cycas. This conclusion cautions previous superficial interpretations of plant morphology.
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1. Introduction

“Alles ist Blatt”, so said Goethe, the father of plant morphology, more than two hundred years ago [1,2]. This statement has been influencing botanists over centuries [2-4]. It is not surprising that female fertile organs in gymnosperms are termed as “megasporophylls”, meaning leaf-like organs bearing ovules, and carpels in angiosperms are accordingly interpreted as equivalents of megasporophylls. However, fertile organs of most extant gymnosperms, except Cycas, do not even appear like leaves [5-14]. Therefore, the validity of the term “megasporophyll” is hinged with whether ovulate parts (OP) of Cycas are true leaves in nature. Why do only Cycas’s ovulate parts look like leaves? Due to genes, mechanical pressure, or something else? To answer these questions, here we performed a developmental morphological experiment on ovulate parts borne in the same, single individual strobilus of Cycas sexseminifera. The difference in morphology of ovulate parts in this experiment indicates that the so-called normal pinnate ovule arrangement in Cycas is due to mechanical pressure. This outcome, agreeing with previous works [15-17], sheds a new light on the shoot nature of ovulate organs of seed plants and undermines several existing theories.
strobilus (operational sector) using a pair of scissors on May 30, 2012 while those in the remaining three quarters (control sector) of the strobilus were left intact. Then we continuously observed and recorded the development and morphology of all ovulate parts weekly until December 15, 2012. All ovulate parts were collected for observation. To examine the ovule arrangement on ovulate parts clearly, the trichomes on the surface were mechanically removed before photography. The general morphology of the ovulate parts was recorded with a Panasonic Lumix digital camera and a Samsung Vluu M110 camera, and the details were recorded with a Nikon SMZ1500 microscope with a digital camera. All images were organized together with Photoshop 7.0 for publication. The specimens were deposited in the Shenzhen Fairylake Botanical Garden, Guangdong, China.

3. Results

Before operation the strobilus of the plant appears just normal, with green ovulate parts helically arranged on the stem apex (Figure 2(a)). After scissoring some of the ovulate parts, two ovulate parts (OP 1 and OP 2, one above the other) are left isolated in the operational sector and have ample empty space around them, and the strobilus appears notched when viewed from the top (Figures 1(a), 2(c)). Unexceptionally, the removed ovulate parts of this stage are like the typical ones, namely, with their ovules of similar sizes arranged in two lateral ranks along the ovulate parts (Figures 1(b), 1(c), 2(b)). About two weeks later one ovule on each of the isolated ovulate parts becomes bigger than the others on the same ovulate part (Figures 1(f), 2(d)). Through the development the adjacent ovulate parts almost fill up the vacancy left by the removed ovulate parts and the strobilus recovers almost to its normal round configuration by December. The seeds of the plant mature and grow much bigger by December while the aborted ovules maintain their smaller size (Figures 1(d), 2(e)). All ovules or seeds from the control sector are uniformly arranged in two lateral ranks along the ovulate parts, just as expected, despite the variations in general ovulate part morphology and number of mature seeds (Figures 1(d), 2(e)). The two ovulate parts in the operational sector demonstrate unusual morphology (Figures 1(g)-(i)). The top one (OP 1) has lost two of its seeds and preserves others as aborted ovules (Figure 1(g)). The two fallen seeds have left two scars on the ovulate part (Figures 1(b), (i)). The arrangement of these two seed scars and one of the ovules suggests a helical pattern along the ovulate part (Figures 1(h), (i)).

4. Discussion

The etymology of the term “megasporophyll” implies that megasporophyll is essentially a leaf. Naturally, megasporophyll (ovulate part) is grouped with cataphyll and foliage leaf into a single category, “foliar organs” [18]. Ideally a leaf is a bilateral, dorsiventral organ, usually restricted to a single plane [19,20]. Anatomically the deployment of vascular bundle in a leaf is distinct from that in a branch. Therefore, whether the ovulate part is really a leaf can be tested at least using these two criteria.

Borne on the same individual strobilus, all of the ovulate parts studied here share the same genome. Under this condition the difference in ovulate part morphology cannot be attributed to genes. The only difference introduced in this experiment is whether there are space and mechanical pressure among ovulate parts. Since availability of space and lack of mechanical pressure are inseparable in this experiment, they will be taken as a single factor hereafter.

Our observation on OP 1 in the operational sector indicates that the ovules are not arranged on the laterals of the ovulate part as expected for a leaf. Obviously one of the seed scars has crossed the midline of the ovulate part (Figure 1(h)). There are two alternative interpretations for this phenomenon. The first one is that the original position of the seed scar is more or less on the adaxial surface of the ovulate part stalk. This would imply that the original ovule arrangement is initially not lateral but helical, refuting the leaf nature of ovulate part. The second one is that original position of the seed was lateral on the ovulate part stalk (just like the “normal” ovulate parts seen in Figures 1(b), 1(c), 2(b)), and the displaced position of the seed scar is due to external pressure or other factors in the late development. However, this “abnormal” ovulate part shares the same intrinsic genetics and developmental environment with all other normal ones. The only difference between this “abnormal” and other normal ovulate parts is that the former lacks external mechanical pressure from its peers. Therefore the mechanical pressure among the ovulate parts is the only culprit responsible for the pinnate leaf-like morphology of ovulate parts (megasporophylls), and its absence is responsible for the “abnormal” morphology. Lack of this pressure allows ovulate parts to freely fulfill their original, normal morphological potential that is controlled by intrinsic genetics. Therefore abnormal-appearing ovulate parts in this experiment actually reflect more inherent essence of ovulate parts in Cycas. Thus the ovules/ovules in Cycas were originally helically borne along a branch, their pinnate arrangement in ovulate parts is a superficial pseudomorphy due to the coercion of mechanical pressure among ovulate parts.

This conclusion is in agreement with other independent observations and studies. Non-pinnate arrangement of ovules in about half of ovulate parts has been observed in Cycas rumphii, which has more elongated, laxly arranged ovulate parts [21]. Three dimensional branching of vas-
Figure 1. A strobilus of *Cycas sexseminifera* and its ovulate parts in various stages. All bars are 1 cm long. Specimen number for (b)-(d) is 2012.11.LN.009, for F-I is 2012.11.LN.009a. (a) Top view of the strobilus after removing many ovulate parts in the operational sector (bottom). Plant number FLBG/12/csex/063. (b) Adaxial surface view of an immature ovulate part in late May, showing the typical two rank arrangement of ovules. (c) Side view of the same ovulate part as in (b), showing the typical two rank arrangement of ovules, with all ovules in the same plane. (d) A mature ovulate part in middle December, showing the typical two rank arrangement of ovules and one mature seed along the crooked ovulate part. (e) Side view of the strobilus in (a) showing only two ovulate parts (1, 2) left in the operational sector. (f) Megasporophyll 1 in (e) on June 11. Note the orientation and size of the developed seed (black arrow) in contrast to the aborted ovule (white arrow). The developed seed leaves a scar in (h) and (i). (g) Megasporophyll 1 in (e) on December 15. Note the developed seed has fallen off and only the aborted ovules are still attached to the ovulate part stalk. H. Adaxial surface view of the proximal of the ovulate part in (g), after removing the trichomes. The black line marks the midline of the ovulate part. Note the positions of a scar left by a missing ovule (1), an aborted ovule (2), and a seed scar (3) across the midline. The seed scar is left by the developed seed shown in (f). (i) Side view of the proximal of the ovulate part in (g). Note the positions of a scar left by a missing ovule (1), an aborted ovule (2), and a seed scar (3) that is left by a fallen seed.
Mechanical Pressure, Not Genes, Makes Ovulate Parts Leaf-Like in *Cycas*

5. Conclusion

The pinnate morphology of the ovulate parts in *Cycas* is a superficial pseudomorphy due to the mechanical pressure. It has little to do with the inherent essence of the organ. Therefore, the formerly assumed foliar nature of the megasporophyll of *Cycas* is purely a take-for-grant assumption that has no ground in botany. The non-existence of “megasporophyll” will leave many botanical theories groundless.
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