Thermal influence of saliva secretion *ex vivo* in the mouse submandibular gland
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ABSTRACT

The physiological and pharmacological responses of an *ex vivo* mouse submandibular gland were used to study fluid secretion and cell signaling in response to muscarinic stimulation at increasing temperatures. Saliva production at 37°C was 5.5-fold that at 25°C with pilocarpine stimulation and 9.8-fold that at 25°C with cevimeline stimulation. Both of these muscarinic agonists are used clinically. With the experimental agonist carbachol (CCh), saliva secretion was increased with an increase in temperature, but the CCh concentration producing the peak flow was the same in both dose-response curves, suggesting that the muscarinic receptor itself is not responsible for the temperature dependence. Purinergic agonists also induced temperature-dependent saliva production *ex vivo*. The calcium ionophore A23187 failed to have a significant effect on saliva production. The CCh-induced increase in intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ also upregulated the initial increase and sustained the plateau phase of saliva flow. Thus, muscarinic receptor stimulation of saliva production is temperature sensitive due to an increase in intracellular Ca$^{2+}$.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The salivary glands are a group of glands that include the parotid, submandibular, sublingual, and minor salivary glands. These glands secrete water, ions, and digestive enzymes into the oral cavity and function in disinfection, digestion, and taste. Salivary gland hypofunction can result in xerostomia, or dry mouth, which can greatly affect the quality of life. The detailed mechanism of this process is poorly understood, and although complex therapies are often used, there is no definitive treatment.

More than 95% of saliva is water, which is produced by the coordinated functions of channels and transporters distributed in the basolateral and apical membranes of salivary acinar and ductal cells [1,2]. Stimulation of muscarinic receptors M1-M4 triggers saliva secretion, and the M3 receptor plays a central role in this process [3]. Muscarinic agonists such as pilocarpine and cevimeline have been used clinically to activate saliva secretion in patients with Sjögren’s syndrome and in patients suffering from side effects of irradiation for head and neck cancers [4,5]. A number of factors which influence saliva secretion such as blood flow, central nervous effect [6] and dose of agonists have been reported [7]. We focus on the temperature as a factor which influence the muscarinic-induced salivation and try to find out whether the salivary gland itself has temperature sensitivity. Increased temperature enhances muscarinic-stimulated inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate production in the guinea pig cerebral cortex [8] and enhances the muscarinic response in endothelial cells [9]. Hyperthermia has been reported as an effective therapy for salivary gland hypofunction because the salivary glands are located just below the skin. Seasonal changes in temperature can also affect salivary flow, and the elevation of body temperature in rats was shown to increase saliva secretion [10]. Despite these studies, the muscarinic-induced responses of Salivary glands at different temperatures are poorly characterized. In this study, we used a mouse salivary gland perfusion technique to study fluid secretion and cell signaling in response to muscarinic stimulation at increasing temperatures.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Drugs

Collagenase L was obtained from Nitta Gelatin (Osaka, Japan). Pilocarpine was purchased from Nacalai Tesque (Kyoto, Japan). Cevimeline was a gift from Nippon Kayaku (Tokyo, Japan). Fura-2 AM was obtained from Dojindo (Kumamoto, Japan). Sodium chloride, potassium...
chloride, sodium bicarbonate, magnesium chloride, calcium chloride dihydrate, D-(+)-glucose, HEPES, bovine serum albumin, carbachol, 3’-O-(4-benzoylbenzoyl) adenosine 5’-triphosphoric acid (BzATP), propranolol, atropine, A23187, and all other chemicals were purchased from Sigma Japan (Tokyo, Japan).

2.2. Animals

All experiments were approved by the Animal Use Committee of Kyushu Dental College. C57BL/6J mice, purchased from Kyushu Animal Laboratory, were acclimated to a 12-h light/dark cycle and were fed ad libitum. Experiments were performed in mice at 8 - 10 weeks of age.

2.3. Ex Vivo Mouse Submandibular Gland Analysis

An ex vivo vascular perfusion technique was used to accurately control thermal conditions and eliminate neurological input for analysis of glandular fluid secretion. The surgical procedure has been previously described [12,13]. The submandibular glands were dissected, and the main artery was cannulated with a 31-gauge blunt-end cannula and perfused at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The perfusion solution consisted of 120 mM NaCl, 4.3 mM KCl, 25 mM NaHCO3, 1.0 mM MgCl2, 1.0 mM CaCl2, 5 mM glucose, and 10 mM HEPES equilibrated with 95% O2/5% CO2 and stored at room temperature. A custom-made water-jacket heating system was used to control the temperature of the solutions and glands. Fluid secretion was stimulated by the addition of a submaximal concentration of the muscarinic agonist carbachol (CCh, 0.3 μM). The secreted saliva was collected in a pre-calibrated glass capillary tube.

2.4. Intracellular Ca2+ Measurements

Intracellular Ca2+ ([Ca2+]i) was measured as previously described [13,14]. The submandibular glands were removed from anesthetized mice, placed in physiological saline (120 mM NaCl, 4.3 mM KCl, 25 mM NaHCO3, 1.0 mM MgCl2, 1.0 mM CaCl2, 5 mM glucose, and 10 mM HEPES supplemented with 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin), minced approximately 100 times with fine scissors, and digested with 520 U/ml collagenase L for 20 min with continuous shaking. Dissociated cells were incubated with 1 μM Fura-2 AM to measure [Ca2+]i. A custom-made water-jacket heating system was used to control the temperature of the cells and perfusion solutions. Fluorescence was detected under a microscope equipped with a fluorescence analysis system (Argus/Aquacosmos; Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan), with excitation at 340 and 380 nm and emission at 510 nm. The [Ca2+]i was calculated as the ratio of the emissions following excitation at 380 and 340 nm.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The data are shown as means ± standard error (S.E.). Student’s t-test was used to compare group means. To detect statistically significant differences in multiple comparisons, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test was performed using SPSS v.14.0J (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Results were deemed statistically significant at P ≤ 0.05.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Fluid Secretion in Response to Clinically and Experimentally Used Muscarinic Agonists

We compared fluid secretion in response to the clinically used muscarinic agonists pilocarpine and cevimeline, and the experimentally used agonist CCh. The flow rate data are summarized in Figures 1(a), (c) and (e) (Figure 1). At all temperatures tested, all three muscarinic agonists induced fluid secretion. At 25°C and 30°C, secretion was high for the first 30 s, decreased slightly over the next 30 s, and then remained constant. At 37°C and 42°C, there was no decrease at 30 - 60 s.

The total secretion over 10 min is shown in Figures 1(b), (d) and (f). Initially, 10 μM pilocarpine induced 175.1 ± 17.3 μL of saliva ex vivo during the 10-min stimulation at 37°C; this was 5.5-fold the amount of saliva produced at 25°C. The increase between 37°C and 42°C was not significant (Figure 1(b)). In contrast, 30 μM cevimeline produced 118.0 ± 4.3 μL of saliva at 37°C, which was 9.8-fold the amount of saliva produced at 25°C, and all cevimeline-induced increases were significant at all temperatures tested (Figure 1(d)). Treatment with 0.3 μM CCh induced the production of 148.4 ± 3.2 μL of saliva ex vivo during the 10-min stimulation at 37°C, which was 2.3-fold the amount of saliva produced at 25°C; the increase between 37°C and 42°C was not significant (Figure 1(f)).

3.2. The Dose Response to Carbachol at 25°C and 37°C

Only small amounts of saliva were collected in response to lower concentrations (~3 μM) of pilocarpine and cevimeline at 25°C, leading us to investigate the response to a series of CCh concentrations at 25°C and 37°C.

Although the dose-response curve was shifted upward at the higher temperature, the CCh concentration producing the peak flow was the same for both curves (Figure 2). These results suggest that muscarinic receptors are not responsible for the temperature dependence of the increased secretion ex vivo. We analyzed the ionic composition of the collected saliva and found no significant
Figure 1. Ex vivo mouse submandibular gland secretion in response to receptor-mediated Ca2+ mobilizing agents at 25, 30, 37, and 42°C. (a), (c) and (e): The salivary flow rate in response to 10 µm pilocarpine (PLC: 25°C, n = 6; 30°C, n = 11; 37°C, n = 7; 42°C, n = 7 ), 30 µm cevimeline (CVL: 25°C, n = 6; 30°C, n = 10; 37°C, n = 11; 42°C, n = 10), and 0.3 µM carbachol (CCh: 25°C, n = 8; 30°C, n = 12; 37°C, n = 6; 42°C, n = 8). The submandibular glands were cannulated and perfused with physiological saline for 10 min at each temperature before being stimulated with agonist for 10 min. (b), (d) and (f): Bar graphs depicted total secretion over 10 min. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 by ANOVA and Tukey’s post-hoc test.

changes among the tested conditions.

3.3. Fluid Secretion in Response to P2X7 Agonist and Ca2+ Ionophore

To identify the effect of the P2X7 receptor on the temperature-dependent increases, we tested the effect of the P2X7 agonist 3'-O-(4-benzoylbenzoyl) adenosine 5'-triphosphoric acid (BzATP) at 0.5 mM in the presence of both the muscarinic antagonist atropine (0.5 µM) and the β-antagonist propranolol (20 µM). The initial peak flow rate resulted in a 1.5-fold increase between 25°C and 37°C, but the difference in the total amount of saliva secretion was not statistically significant (Figure 3). We also tested A23187 (1 µM), a calcium ionophore, to bypass muscarinic-dependent signaling. A23187 produced almost identical amounts of saliva secretion at 25°C and 37°C (Figure 4), indicating that Ca2+ influx mechanisms are not involved in temperature-dependent fluid secretion ex vivo.

3.4. Intracellular Ca2+ Response during Carbachol Stimulation

The measurements of [Ca2+]i during carbachol stimulation revealed significant differences in the fluorescence ratios at the peak and the plateau level between 25°C and 37°C (Figure 5). This result, combined with the results in Figure 1(c), suggests that the muscarinic-stimulated increase in saliva secretion may be attributable to an intracellular Ca2+ handling mechanism.

4. DISCUSSION

Our findings suggest that muscarinic or purinergic receptor activation is temperature sensitive (Figures 1 and 3) and that the extent of the temperature-dependent increase differs among muscarinic agonists. Compared with CCh, pilocarpine and cevimeline stimulated weak responses at 25°C, but were more potent at higher temperatures; in particular, cevimeline was more potent at...
Ex vivo flow rate in response to the P2X7 agonist BzATP in the presence of the muscarinic inhibitor atropine (0.5 µM) and the β-blocker propranolol (20 µM), at both 25°C and 37°C. The initial peak flow rate resulted in a 1.5-fold increase, but the total amount of saliva secretion did not differ significantly between the two temperatures (n = 6 for both conditions).

Figure 4. Saliva secretion induced ex vivo by the Ca²⁺ ionophore A23187. Submandibular glands were perfused with physiological saline and stimulated with 1 µM A23187, at both 25°C and 37°C. There was no significant difference in secretion between the two temperatures (n = 6 for both conditions).

42°C than at 37°C. Pilocarpine and cevimeline are used clinically, but treatment is not always successful. The present results suggest that measuring the temperature around the salivary glands may be useful prior to administering pilocarpine and/or cevimeline to patients and that thermal therapy should be considered before increasing the dose.

The present results indicate that Ca²⁺ influx mechanisms (Figure 4) and Ca²⁺ depletion signals [data not shown] are not involved in temperature-dependent saliva secretion ex vivo. The absence of a shift in the response to 0.3 µM CCh between the two temperatures (Figure 2) suggests that the muscarinic receptor itself is also not involved, but that downstream signaling is responsible.

Unexpectedly, a temperature-dependent increase in saliva flow occurred with BzATP (Figure 3). Salivary cells express P2X4, P2X7, P2Y1, and P2Y2 purinergic receptors [13,14], and P2X7 is primarily involved in purinergic receptor-induced fluid secretion [15]. P2X7 is an ionotropic receptor, and we expected to see results similar to those with the Ca²⁺ ionophore A23187 (Figure 4). Since we used a relatively high concentration of BzATP, G protein-coupled signaling via P2Y receptor activation may be involved in this temperature response.
Our results correlate with previous findings in mouse endothelial cells, which have a temperature-sensitive response to muscarinic stimulation [9]. The Kd of Fura-2 AM differs between the two temperatures used in the present study, but the relative fluorescence emissions with 340- and 380-nm excitation were essentially identical at the two temperatures. In agreement with previous findings, the fluorescence ratio, which indicates [Ca2+], in response to 0.3 μM CCh differed between 25°C and 37°C (Figure 5), and the basal ratio before stimulation was slightly higher at 37°C. The binding of CCh to G protein-coupled muscarinic receptors activates phospholipase C to generate 1,4,5-inositol triphosphate, which could induce the initial increase in [Ca2+], and the consequent initial peak flow of saliva. Therefore, G protein-coupled Ca2+ efflux from the endoplasmic reticulum appears to be responsible for the increased salivary gland secretion at 37°C under Ca2+-stimulated conditions. Additionally, Ca2+ influx mechanisms were not sensitive to temperature (Figure 4), and a store-depletion signal had no effect (data not shown). We examined another Ca2+ pathway via the thermo-sensitive and osmo-sensitive cation channel TRPV4 [16-22]. We used the putative TRPV4 activator 4pDPDD [17,18] and the TRPV4 inhibitor RN1734 [19], but failed to see any change in fluid secretion under several different conditions (data not shown).

Our results clearly show the importance of temperature control in fluid secretion induced by intracellular signaling. Neither Ca2+ influx mechanisms nor store-depletion signaling are involved. Since rodents spread their saliva on the scrotum and fur in order to cool themselves by evaporation of the saliva at high temperature conditions, even though further study is needed to clarify whether the same result is observed in human, these results suggest that thermal control may have clinical relevance in treating dry mouth such as regional hyperthermia to human salivary glands.
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