The Application of Optical CDMA-Based Fiber Radio Networks in Wireless Sensor Networks
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Abstract

One fiber radio scheme using shifted prime codes for interference elimination is proposed for optical code-division multiple-access (OCDMA) network. By taking advantage of the cyclic property of the shifted prime codes in the same code groups, the proposed compact decoder is low cost and suitable to be used in the task manager node in the applications of wireless sensor networks. The performance comparison for several OCDMA-based fiber radio networks is also given to clarify the advantage of the proposed one.

Keywords: Optical Code-Division Multiple-Access (OCDMA), Passive Optical Network (PON), Arrayed Waveguide Grating (AWG), Prime Code

1. Introduction

In today’s mobile radio networks, the provision of broadband services between a large number of remote base stations (RBSs) is required. To develop simple and low-cost RBSs for high rate transmission, the combination of mobile radio and optical fiber network is one possible solution since the components for complicated operation of signal processing can be moved to control base station (CBS) [1]. In the case of wireless sensor networks (WSNs) nowadays, the condition may be similar [2]. The sensor nodes need to route the collected data back to the sinks, and the sinks may communicate with the task manager node via networks for long distance transmission. Therefore, fiber radio networks can also provide the communication between the sinks (e.g. RBS) and the task manager node (e.g. CBS) to simplify the design of the sinks.

Code division multiple access (CDMA) techniques were investigated for optical network applications during the last 20 to 25 years. These techniques allow many users to access the common channel asynchronously and securely, and, since dedicated time or wavelength slots do not have to be allocated, high statistical multiplexing gain can be offered even in bursty traffic. These characteristics distinguish CDMA from other multiplexing schemes such as time division multiple access (TDMA) and wavelength division multiple access (WDMA). Several OCDMA-based fiber radio schemes were proposed [3–6]. Among these, fiber radio schemes based on spectral-amplitude-coding (SAC) had the advantages of multiple access interference (MAI) elimination and the avoidance of sampling before the optical encoding process [4–6].

A code that can be used in the SAC scheme is denoted as \((N, w, \lambda)\), where \(N\) is code length, \(w\) is code weight, and \(\lambda\) is the in-phase cross correlation [6]. Originally unipolar \(m\)-sequences were used in the SAC-based networks [7], and, to enhance the network performance, code sequences with complementary code keying ability such as Hadamard codes [7] could be used. However, since these two code families had code weights equivalent to half of the corresponding codelengths, they induced serious phase-induced intensity noise (PIIN) in the photodiodes of the decoders. To suppress the phase-induced intensity noise in the photodiodes of the decoders, several codes with ideal \(\lambda\) (\(\lambda = 1\)) were proposed, such as Balanced Incomplete Block Design (BIBD) codes [8]. The former had fully cyclic property and \(N \times N\) arrayed waveguide grating (AWG) can be used as compact coding devices [9,10]. However, when the total number of...
users in the network increased, a large number of AWG ports were required and the effect of crosstalk was more serious. To enlarge the network capacity further, new coding schemes using codewords with small $\lambda$ and having the two-code keying ability can be developed. In [11], one coding scheme was proposed and code sequences with $\lambda=0$ or 1 can be used for two-code keying of information bits. By the use of the cyclic property for shifted prime (SP) codes, compact encoder structure based on cascaded AWGs and simplified decoder based on fiber Bragg grating (FBG) were also proposed for the application of passive optical networks (PONs). The optical line terminal (OLT) encoder based on cascaded AWGs and simplified decoder based on fiber Bragg grating (FBG) were also proposed for the application of SAC OCDMA-based fiber radio networks since the eavesdroppers need to eliminate any two distinct SP codewords with the same value of $e$ can be assigned to one specific RBS for further processing. Therefore, this coding scheme has the advantage of security enhancement in the SAC-based fiber radio networks. In the following, the property of SP codes is briefly reviewed: One SP code $X_{e,f}$’s with length $L = p^2$ used in this paper can be obtained from one modified stuffed shifted prime (MSSP) code with the same parameter $p$ in [12] by eliminating the extra codeword and the last $p+1$ chips of each remaining MSSP codewords. For example, Table 1 shows the SP codewords for $p = 3$, and , from [12], the cross-correlation between $X_{e,f}$ and $X_{e',f'}$ is

$$X_{e,f} \odot X_{e',f'} = \begin{cases} p, e = e', f = f', \\ 0, e = e', f \neq f', \\ 1, e \neq e', \end{cases}$$

and thus

$$X_{e,f} \odot X_{e',f'} \odot X_{e',f} = \begin{cases} p, e = e', f = f', \\ -p, e = e', f \neq f', \\ 0, \text{ otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

where $\odot$ is the dot-product of two vectors. Since the RBSs can use the code property in Equation (2) for MAI elimination, any two distinct SP codewords with the same value of $e$ can be assigned to one specific RBS for the encoding of radio signals, and the value of $M$ is $(p+1)(p-1)/2$. However, the two codewords used for decoding in conventional SAC schemes are always complement to each other. Therefore, this coding scheme has the advantage of security enhancement in the SAC-based fiber radio networks since the eavesdroppers need to guess two codewords used for encoding.

### 2. Fiber Radio Network Based on SP Code

The proposed SAC OCDMA-based fiber radio network consists of one CBS, the RBSs connected , and one $1\times M$ splitter connected to the CBS and RBSs by optical fibers, where $M$ is the total number of RBSs in the network. Each RBS is assigned two SP codewords for optical transmission. The RBSs receive the radio signals from the mobile terminals or wireless sensor networks, and, after simply down-conversion process, encode these radio signals with the assigned SP codewords. The resulting encoded optical signals are combined in the $1 \times M$ coupler and transmitted to the CBS through one fiber. Finally the decoders in the CBS receive the signals from the $1 \times M$ coupler and extract the radio signal of each RBS for further processing.

In the following, the property of SP codes is briefly reviewed: One SP code $X_{e,f}$’s with length $L = p^2$ used in this paper can be obtained from one modified stuffed shifted prime (MSSP) code with the same parameter $p$ in [12] by eliminating the extra codeword and the last $p+1$ chips of each remaining MSSP codewords. For example, Table 1 shows the SP codewords for $p = 3$, and , from [12], the cross-correlation between $X_{e,f}$ and $X_{e',f'}$ is

$$X_{e,f} \odot X_{e',f'} = \begin{cases} p, e = e', f = f', \\ 0, e = e', f \neq f', \\ 1, e \neq e', \end{cases}$$

and thus

$$X_{e,f} \odot X_{e',f'} \odot X_{e',f} = \begin{cases} p, e = e', f = f', \\ -p, e = e', f \neq f', \\ 0, \text{ otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

where $\odot$ is the dot-product of two vectors. Since the RBSs can use the code property in Equation (2) for MAI elimination, any two distinct SP codewords with the same value of $e$ can be assigned to one specific RBS for the encoding of radio signals, and the value of $M$ is $(p+1)(p-1)/2$. However, the two codewords used for decoding in conventional SAC schemes are always complement to each other. Therefore, this coding scheme has the advantage of security enhancement in the SAC-based fiber radio networks since the eavesdroppers need to guess two codewords used for encoding.

### 3. Implementation of Coder/Decoder

The RBSs of the proposed fiber radio network use the encoder shown in Figure 2, which is designed for the first SP codewords $X_{e,f} = [1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0]$ and the second SP $X_{e,f} = [0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0]$ of this RBS. Light from the broadband light source is incident to one FBG-based encoder cascading with another FBG-based encoder. Suppose that each wavelength chip has spectral width $\Delta \lambda$, the 3dB bandwidths of the FBGs in these two FBG-based encoders should also be $\Delta \lambda$. The center wavelengths of the FBGs in these two FBG-based encoders are $\{ \lambda_0, \lambda_3, \lambda_6 \}$ (corresponding to $X_{e,f}$) and $\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_4, \lambda_7 \}$ (corresponding to $X_{e,f}$), respectively. Therefore, the first (or second) codeword of this RBS is generated in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$e$</th>
<th>$f$</th>
<th>$C_{e,f}$</th>
<th>$X_{e,f}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>100 100 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
<td>010 010 010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 2 2</td>
<td>001 001 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td>100 010 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 2 0</td>
<td>010 001 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 0 1</td>
<td>001 100 010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 2 1</td>
<td>100 001 010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 0 2</td>
<td>010 100 001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 1 0</td>
<td>001 010 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$e$</th>
<th>$f$</th>
<th>$C_{e,f}$</th>
<th>$X_{e,f}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the input port of the upper (or lower) intensity modulator (IM) and is intensity-modulated by the signal \((1+d(t))/2\) (or \((1-d(t))/2\)). Here \(d(t)\) is the radio signal normalized from the radio signal \(d'(t)\) such that \(\max |d(t)| = 1\).

To make the receiver bandwidth \(B\) as small as possible, the frequency of the received radio signal from the antenna can be first converted to lower frequency before the signal manipulation process.

The decoder used to decode all the SP codewords from the RBSs with \(p = 3\) is shown in Figure 3, which is simi-
lar to the AWG-based encoder in [11]. Two kinds of AWGs are used in this encoder: One is coarse AWG with free spectral range $\text{FSR}_c = p^2 \Delta \lambda$, and the other is fine AWG with $\text{FSR}_f = p \Delta \lambda$, and channels bandwidth $\Delta \lambda$, and the other is fine AWG with $\text{FSR}_f = p \Delta \lambda$, and channels bandwidth $\Delta \lambda$. Note that the center wavelengths of the first output port at the coarse AWG are $\lambda = \text{FSR}_c \pm r \text{FSR}_f (r = 0, 1, \ldots)$, thus there is only one coarse AWG channel at each coarse AWG output port within the encoded spectrum. However, for the fine AWG, the center wavelengths of the AWG channels that route from the first input port to the first output port are $\lambda = \lambda_0 (p-1) \Delta \lambda / 2 \pm s \text{FSR}_f (s = 0, 1, \ldots)$, thus there are $p$ wavelength channels within the encoded spectrum that communicates between any input-output port pair.

The general principle to construct the decoder for one SP code family $X_{c,f}$’s with code length $L = p^2$ is described as follow: the single light source is connected to $1 \times (p + 1)$ splitter. The output port $\# e$ ($e = 0 \sim p - 1$) of the splitter is connected to one coarse AWG cascaded with one fine AWG and the output port $\# i$ of the coarse AWG is connected to the input port $\# C_{i,0}(i)$ of the fine AWG. Take the encoder ($p = 3$) in Figure 1(a) as example, light emitted from the filtered light source contains wavelength components $\lambda_0 \sim \lambda_6 (\Delta \lambda = \lambda_0 - \lambda_{i+1})$ and is split into four. At these four encoder branches, wavelength chips $\lambda_{0,10}$, $\lambda_{1,1p}$ and $\lambda_{2,1p}$ are demultiplexed and appear at the output port $\# b$ of the coarse AWG. Due to the connections between the coarse and fine AWG ports described above, $\lambda_{b,0}$, $\lambda_{p,0}$ and $\lambda_{2p,0}$ corresponding to $X_{0,0}$ appears at the fine AWG output port $\# f$ at encoder branch $\# 0$ and $\lambda_{b,1}$, $\lambda_{p,1}$ and $\lambda_{2p,1}$ corresponding to $X_{1,1}$ appears at the fine AWG output port $\# f$ at encoder branch $\# 1$ (mod $p$ addition). Let’s take the generation of $X_{1,0}$ as example. Wavelengths $\lambda_0$, $\lambda_3$ and $\lambda_6$ from the light source are demultiplexed by the coarse AWG at encoder branch $\# 1$ and they appear at the output port $\# 0$, $\# 1$ and $\# 2$, respectively. The output ports $\# 0$, $\# 1$ and $\# 2$ of this coarse AWG are connected to the input ports $\# 0$, $\# 1$ and $\# 2$ of one fine AWG according to $C_{1,0} (= [0 1 2])$, respectively, and thus $\lambda_0$, $\lambda_3$ and $\lambda_6$ appear at the input ports $\# 0$, $\# 1$ and $\# 2$ of this fine AWG, respectively. Since wavelength $\lambda_3$ from the input port $\# i$ appears at output port $\# (g \oplus (-i))$ for each fine AWG [9], $\lambda_0$, $\lambda_3$ and $\lambda_6$ all appears at output port $\# 0$ of this fine AWG which corresponds to $X_{1,0} = [100100100]$.

In this way, the first $p^2$ codewords can be obtained at the fine AWG output ports of the encoder. Since the wavelengths appeared at the coarse AWG output port $\# f$ of the last encoder branch correspond to $X_{1,5}$, the remaining $p$ additional codewords in group $\# 3$ are obtained. Balanced detection for each RBS’s signal can be accomplished with one balanced detector shown in Figure 3. The upper and lower photo-diodes of the balanced detector for one RBS are connected to the fine AWG output ports in the decoder according to the first and second codewords assigned to that RBS, respectively. Thus each balanced photo-detector can generate electrical current proportional to the value of $X_{c,f} \odot S - X_{c,f} \odot S$ and the MAI elimination scheme in Equation (2) is realized, where $S$ is the summation signals from all RBSs.

4. Performance Analysis

Assume the light source in the RBS is unpolarized and has flat spectrum for encoding with bandwidth $\Delta \lambda$, and magnitude $\text{Psr} / \Delta \lambda$, where $\text{Psr}$ is the effective power received by the photo-diodes in the decoder of the CBS. The average carrier power in the balanced detector is $P_c = R^2 \text{Psr}^2 / (2p^2)$, where $R$ is the responsivity of the photodiodes. For the fiber radio network adopting SP codes, the PSD of the upstream optical signal arrived at the input port of the decoder in the CBS can be written as [5,10]

$$s(v) = \frac{P_c}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{2} (1 + d_k(v)) \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} x_i^{(0)}(v,i) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - d_k(v)) \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} x_i^{(1)}(v,i).$$

(3)
Number of active RBSs is 100.

Figure 4. CNR vs. Psr.

Ps r=-20dBm, B=10MHz

Figure 5. CNR vs. K.
where

\[ \Pi(v, i) = \begin{cases} 1, & i \leq L \left( \frac{v-v_0}{\Delta v} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \leq i+1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]  

(4)

Here K is the number of active RBSs and \( d_k(t) \) is the normalized radio signal of \( k \)-th RBS. To simplify the notation, the \( i \)-th chip of the first and second codewords of \( k \)-th RBS are denoted as \( c_{k,i}^{(0)} \) and \( c_{k,i}^{(1)} \), respectively.

Assume the sensor nodes use modulation with constant envelope such as phase shift keying. If at this time only one sensor node transmit signal to the 0-th RBS, the radio signal is approximately a sine wave and the assumption of time average amplitude \( < d_k(t) > = 0 \) and time average power \( < d_k(t)^2 > = 0.5 \) can be used [5,6]. Thus the power of phase-induced intensity noise (PIIN) can be obtained [5,10]:

\[ < I_{\text{PIN}}^2 > = BR^2 \left[ \int_0^\infty G_0^2(v)dv + \int_0^\infty G_i^2(v)dv \right] \]

\[ = \frac{BR^2}{p^2\Delta v} P_{sr} \left[ \frac{3}{4} p + \frac{7}{2} \frac{K_{\text{eff}}}{p} + \frac{2}{p} K_{\text{eff}} (K_{\text{eff}} - 1) \right] \]

(5)

where B is noise-equivalent electrical bandwidth of the receiver. The effective number of interfering active RBS is [11]

\[ K_{\text{eff}} = K - 1 - \left[ \frac{K - 1}{p} \right] \]

(6)

For wireless sensor networks using the 915MHz industrial, scientific, and medical (ISM) band for radio frequency transmission, the data rate may be just about 10k Hz. [1] Therefore, the carrier frequency of the radio signals received by the RBSs can be converted to about 10MHz by the frequency down-conversion circuit in the Figure 2, and B is set to 10MHz here. Other parameters used in the performance analysis are \( R = 0.8A/W \), \( \Delta v = 7.5 \) THz, and \( v_0 = 193.1 \) THz and the power of thermal noise is \( < I_{\text{th}}^2 > = 16 \times 10^{-17} A^2 \).

The relationship between CNR and K are shown in Figure 4. Here the results for other three codes (e.g. balanced incomplete block design (BIBD) codes, modified Legendre sequences mentioned in [6] and cyclic ternary sequences with weight \( w = 256 \) in [6] are also shown for comparison and their code lengths are approximately the same. (Note that Hadamard codes in [5,7] obtain the same performance as modified Legendre sequences, and thus only the results for modified Legendre sequences are shown here.) When \( P_{sr} \) is low, the influence of PIIN is less and the CNR for each code increases with \( P_{sr} \). This increase gradually disappears due to the domination of PIIN. The CNR curves for modified Legendre sequences and cyclic ternary sequences are approximately the same and these two codes obtain better CNRs than BIBD and SP codes when \( P_{sr} \) is low. However, when \( P_{sr} \) is high, the situation is reversed. Though the BIBD code obtains slightly better CNRs than SP code with similar length when \( P_{sr} \) is larger than -15dBm, it requires very high transmitted power from the light sources in the RBSs when the insertion losses in the encoder/ decoder are taken into consideration. Since the insertion losses of FBG-based encoder in one RBS and the AWG-based decoder in the CBS are approximately 30dB [11], the CNR performance for \( P_{sr} \) approximately -20dBm seems more important when the transmitted power from the light source in the RBS is about 10dBm [1], and SP code with L=289 obtains better CNR at \( P_{sr} = -20dBm \) for \( K = 100 \).

The relationship between CNR and K for the codes in Figure 4 is shown in Figure 5, where \( P_{sr} \) is set at -20dBm. It is found that all four codes obtain lower CNR when K increases. When K is relatively small, modified Legendre sequences and cyclic ternary sequences obtain better CNRs than BIBD and SP codes. However, the situation is reversed when K is relatively large. Though SP codes obtain better CNRs than BIBD codes for \( P_{sr} = -20dBm \), the corresponding cardinality is about half of that for BIBD codes with similar code lengths. Since SP codes can use AWG routers with smaller sizes for decoder implementation in the CBS as compared to BIBD codes, it is necessary to consider the tradeoffs between these codes for better network design.

5. Conclusions

One fiber radio scheme for the application of wireless sensor networks is proposed for optical code-division multiple-access (OCDMA) network. By the use of this fiber radio scheme, the design of sinks in the wireless sensor networks can be simplified, which has advantages for temporary deployment of the sensor networks. In addition, the proposed decoder in the CBS is compact and these features make the proposed fiber radio scheme more attractive for further research.
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