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Abstract

PET (positron emission tomography) in vivo imaging of cerebral conformational diseases is essentially based on non-peptide small molecule ligands used to detect early alterations in peptide secondary structures and subsequent accumulation of aberrant oligomers and protein deposits involved in progressive neurodegeneration, cognitive and movement disorders. In this article, an overview is given about tracers currently available and lead structures of potential PET probes for detection of β-amyloid (Aβ), tau protein, α-synuclein, constitutive (PrPc) and infectious isoforms (PrPsc) of prions (proteinaceous infectious particles) as imaging targets. Whereas the styrylpyridine derivative florbetapir, approved for clinical applications, the stilbene derivative florbetaben and the benzoxazole derivative BF227 show high affinity binding to Aβ, preclinical investigations promise improved pharmacokinetics for benzimidazothiazoles, aryloxazoles and benzofuran derivatives. Tau protein imaging based clinically, presently, on the pyridine-pyridoindole T807 has got new incentives following identification of a series of pyrrolopyridine quinolines and pharmacokinetic improvements of fluoropropoxy quinolines including for instance THK-5351. The pyridine isoquinoline MK6240 is involved now in clinical trials. Most forward-looking efforts apply to small molecule ligands of α-synuclein, which are expected to permit a breakthrough in differential diagnostics of Parkinson-related dementia and Lewy body diseases. However, at the moment the proposed lead structures are in affinity and blood brain barrier delivery properties below the possibilities of Aβ and tau protein ligands. This is the case also for potential tracers of prion proteins.
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1. Introduction

The idea to collect diverse disorders associated with the deposition of aberrant proteins under the term conformational diseases is broadly supported by multiple lines of evidence [1]-[8].

Currently, there is no doubt aberrant secondary structures of proteins typically observable during the early stage of many neurodegenerative diseases can adopt at least each other protein [9]-[15]. Alone, forty—some kinds of misfolded proteins are regarded as potentially or definitively involved in the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases [4] [16] [17] [18] [19].

ß-amyloid (Aß), tau-protein, α-synuclein and the isoforms of prions (proteinaceous infectious particles) attracted central attention in efforts to develop therapeutics and also to synthesize and to label tracers suitable for diagnostic positron emission tomography (PET) imaging in diseases with severe cognitive and movement disturbances [17]-[23].

Pre-requisition for the occurrence of aberrant protein aggregates or amyloides [22] [24] [25] is the presence of amino acid sequences able to act as "steric zippers" [16] [19] [20]—self-complementary β-sheets, which serve as spine of fibrillar structures. These can enable the proteins to act as a seed forcing naïve proteins into the same conformation and to start a self-propagating chain, which supports occurrence of protein aggregates [14] [26] [27].

A cascade of events with release of oxidative species as well as activation of microglia and astrocytes [28], is suggested to result in progressive amplification of neuronal and synaptic injuries. Changes in the environment, destabilizing mutations, high concentration of proteins as well as malfunction of proteasomes can abet such transformations [22] [29]. Bioenergetic thresholds for the occurrence of misfolding have been described for short peptides [22] [30].

Clinically, cognitive degradation has been revealed as the common symptomatology of conformational diseases in the brain as well as parallelism of sporadic and familial mode of the disorders [3] [31] [32].

The role of β-sheet-induced functional alterations has been described primarily in serpins, especially, for the protein inhibitor α1-antitrypsin, a well accessible blood compound [6] [7] [13].

In Alzheimer’s disease (AD), a process of shedding of ecto-domains of amyloid precursor protein (APP) supplies the seeding peptides, which are prerequisite for the formation of aggregates and senile plaques [33].

Depletion of the constitutive isoform of prions (PrPc) could prevent an increase of its infectious isoform (PrPsc) in mice [34] [35].

However, an initial trigger common in all conformational diseases could not be identified to date. In fact, the spectrum of possible inductors of such diseases is broad. Protein aggregates and their pre-stages are ranging rather in the middle of different pathways.

Most of them gain a toxic function on the nervous system [36].

Already early, a viral or microbial pathogenesis of AD had been discussed based on observations by Braak et al. [37] [38] [39] on dynamics of cerebral
neurodegeneration [40] [41] [42] [43]. Currently, a reload of microbial-trigger hypothesis has been started by the group around Itzhaki with a resume of multiple evidence for microbial contribution in triggering conformational and neurodegenerative diseases [43] [44] [45].

Few physiological functions are presumed for APP [37] [38], Aß and PrP C. In pituitary, a role of Aß is suggested as a storage structure for peptide hormones [46]. Moreover, some bacteria have been shown to release amyloid into the extracellular room [40] [41] [47] [48].

Prion diseases are, undoubtedly, of infectious origin [12]. However, different strains result in different degrees of neurodegeneration and also the animal species affected decides on the vulnerability against the prion strains. PrP C is presumed to be associated with protection against divalent metal ions.

For tau protein a fundamental role in assembling of microtubuli is known [11]. α-Synuclein is called the chameleon among these proteins because of the multitude of potential functions identified, which include neurotoxic as well as neuroprotective actions [8].

Moreover, several proteins with high propensity to misfolding e.g. aberrant tau proteins, TAR DNA binding protein 43 (TDP-43) and superoxide dismutase have been identified even in only one neurodegenerative disease like amyotrophic lateral sclerosis [14]. Another phenomenon accompanying degenerative alterations is the development of gliosis or the presence of activated microglia in the neighborhood of protein aggregates [49]. Amyloid as well as viral or microbial species and mutant enzymes, typical in neurodegenerative diseases (e.g. superoxide dismutase in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis), have been presumed to induce pro-inflammatory microglial response mediated by toll-like receptors (TLR) with a special role of TLR 2 and 4 [50] [51]. Another approach supplementing the view on the pathogenesis of AD and similar degenerative disorders is the mitochondrial-cascade-hypothesis [52] [53]. According to this, inherited factors defining a baseline mitochondrial function show chronological alterations and provide pre-requisites for the induction of the amyloid cascade in late-onset AD.

A detrimental role of Aß is postulated also in the pathology of circulatory and metabolic diseases like atherosclerosis [54] [55] or diabetes. Such disease-overlapping influences are proposed also for other aberrant proteins i.e. the hyper-phosphorylated tau protein [56].

The view on the role of misfolded proteins in the CNS is changing. For example, the micro-tubulin-regulator tau protein was initially observed to be co-expressed intracellularly with extracellular amyloid in AD and for that reason regarded rather as a concomitant factor in this disorder. Meanwhile, it is broad agreement tau protein fibrils can occur also without amyloid in special kinds of dementia [12] and, in turn, α-synuclein is observed in mixture with amyloid or tau protein in different neurodegenerative diseases [57].

The toxicity of misfolded proteins typical for neurodegenerative diseases, currently, is ascribed to oligomeric pre-stages of aberrant protein aggregates [9].
These oligomers contain less than 10 to 50 monomers while fibrils are composed of thousands of polypeptide chains.

Several mechanisms of toxicity are postulated, which include chronic inflammation, proteasome failure, autophagic deregulation or transcriptional deregangement. Each of them could contribute also to amplification of neurotoxic actions in neurodegenerative diseases.

However, most favored toxicological mechanism arises from the biophysical concept of direct interactions between oligomeric aberrant proteins and cell membranes, which can influence membrane permeability, function of receptors, ion channels, adhesion molecules and integrins. As hypothesized by Cecchi & Stefani, best conditions for such interactions are provided by membranes poor of cholesterol.

Therapeutic approaches focusing on resolution of the final protein aggregates are increasingly replaced by other ideas, which envision structures upstream to occurrence of senile plaques as for instance secretases and related proteins. Recently, also vaccination as well as multi-targeting compounds promise therapeutic progress and potential success.

Presently, the tendency to separate therapeutic and diagnostic line of drug development is displaced by another trend summarized under the term theranostics. Small molecules with new therapeutic targets at several control points of the cascade model are connected with antibodies as tool for better targeting and diagnostics of neurodegenerative alterations.

The present study regards the current spectrum of small molecules available as potential ligands of marker proteins of neurodegenerative diseases and potentially suitable for brain imaging of protein plaques and their oligomeric pre-stages with focus on PET probe. Up to date, most intensively investigated ligands are targeting Aβ, tau protein, α-synuclein, PrPc and PrPsc.

2. PET-Tracers and Their Target Structures in Neurodegenerative Diseases

2.1. β-Amyloid

2.1.1. β-Amyloid Peptides, Oligomerization and Clinical Importance

Amyloid is regarded as the key biomarker of AD since almost 90 years. As AD counts for 50% - 70% of all patients with the diagnosis dementia, research on this disease is the bulk contribution also to amyloid research. The predominant marker protein is Aβ, a peptide of 40 or 42 amino acid residues (a.a.r.), which occurs after cleavage of transmembrane, receptor-like amyloid precursor protein (APP) by γ- and β-secretases. Constituents of these enzymes, like presenilins, nicastrin, presinilin enhancer 2 and anterior pharynx defective 1 are regarded also as potential therapeutic targets in prevention of formation of amyloid fibrils, currently. Malfunction of the secretases can result in preferred release of Aβ1 - 42. The 42 a.a.r. Aβ peptide is more hydrophobic than the 40 a.a.r. peptide and shows a higher tendency to assemble into oligomers. The general process of fibril formation with shedding of ecto-domains of Aβ...
preceding the assembly of oligomers and the final occurrence of extracellular amyloid aggregates is regarded recently as a cascade of degradation events (regulated intramembrane proteolysis; RIP) [31] [32] [33]. One of the first steps of this cascade is the increase of Aß (42) peptide levels, or of the Aß (42/Aß (40) ratio. Subsequent oligomerization of the Aß (42) peptides provokes disturbances of synaptic function and inflammatory response involving activation of microglia and astrocytes. Progression of synaptic and neuronal injury, disturbance of homeostasis as well as aberrant oligomerization and hyperphosphorylation of tau protein result in a further level of general neurological dysfunction and, finally, in cell death [32] [33]. Altogether, a slowly developing symptomatology has been described, which is passing several steps of amplification of neuronal injury [32] [73] [74]. Additionally, alterations in APO E genotype can enhance the probability of the development of a dominant variety of AD [74] and influences the distribution of amyloid plaques in the brain [19] [75].

The coexistence of Aß with α-synuclein or tau protein in some kinds of dementia places special demands if PET investigations shall answer questions of differential diagnostics [57]. Deposition of aberrant proteins can start to occur already in the age of 30 and is reported to be associated in 19.5% of people younger than 75 years with mild cognitive impairment. This percentage increases to 29% in people of 85 years and older [76]. However, most of these disturbances are proceeding without impairment in the daily life. In a small fraction of people (12%), an AD occurs within 6 years [76]. The early identification of such converters would be a task in which PET diagnostics can be useful for an early start of therapeutic interventions.

2.1.2. Aß Ligands Suitable for PET In Vivo Imaging

Congo red (CR) [Figure 1, (1)] due to its birefringence suitable for imaging of Aß amyloid microscopically with cross polarized light was one of the first dyes used in diagnostics of AD [77] [78] [79] [80].

CR, employed originally as dye in the textile industry was applied in continuously improved histological methods for in vitro labelling of Aß [80] [81] [82] but is known today as a rather unspecific ligand. As in vivo approach to amyloid diagnostics has been established also the determination of amyloid directly in the cerebrospinal fluid [82]. However, this method does not supply information on the spatial distribution of Aß in the brain.

CR was regarded as the standard label of amyloid in histological sections whereas the absolute arbiter for the presence of amyloid is electron microscopy [83] [84]. From the therapeutic point of view CR belongs to the small molecules expected to contribute to prevention of aggregate formation acting as chemical chaperons [18]. Detailed information on the docking sites of CR at amyloid was obtained only during the last years of amyloid research [85] [86]. Currently, there are different approaches to its binding mode. One of these is an action in detergent-like manner based on the ability of CR to create micelles if applied in concentrations > 5 µM [85] [86]. The authors postulate that the formation of
Figure 1. Aβ ligands: 1 Disodium -4-amino-3-[4-[4-(1-amino-4-sulfonato-naphthalen-2-yl)diazemylphenyl] phenyl] diazemyl-naphthalene-1-sulfonate; 2 (1E,6E)-1,7-bis(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)hepta-1,6-diene-3,5-dione; 3 2-(3,5-bis((E)-4-hydroxy-3-methoxystyryl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-N-((1-(2-(2-(2-fluoroethoxy) ethyl) ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)acetamide; 4 6-(1,1-disocyanoprop-1-en-2-yl)-N-(2-(fluoro-18F) ethyl)-N-methylthiophen-2-amine; 5 2-(4-(methylamino)cyclohexa-1,5-dien-1-yl) benzo[d] thiophen-6-ol; 6 2-(3-(fluoro-18F)-4-(methylamino)phenyl)benzo[d]thiophen-6-ol; 7 2-(6-((methyl-11C)amino) pyridin-3-yl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[d]thiophen-6-ol; 8 4-(benzo[d]thiophen-2-yl)-3-(2-fluoro-18F-ethoxy) aniline; 9 4-((E)-4-(methyl-11C)amino)styryl-11C)phenol; 10 (E)-4-(4-((methyl-11C)amino)styryl-11C)phenol; 11 (E)-4-(4-((methyl-11C)amino)styryl-11C)phenol; 12 (E)-4-(4-(2-(2-fluoro-18F)ethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy styryl)-N-methylaniline. ChemDraw (http://www.cambridgesoft.com/) was used for verification of IUPAC names.
micelles is also possible for many other small molecules acting as chemical chaperones, which can impair the formation of amyloid aggregates. Furthermore, the interaction between negatively charged CR molecules and Aβ peptides is suggested to become possible by folding a β-hairpin structure stabilized by electrostatic interactions in the monomeric Aβ peptide. This allows binding of sulfated dyes like CR between K16 and K28 of Aβ peptide [86].

Wu et al. [85] identified with molecular dynamics studies seven potential binding sites of CR at the β sheet structures of amyloid fibers. Early dyes used in histology have been reported to bind simultaneously to Aβ and to tau protein in AD. This is not of relevance in non-AD tauopathies like Pick disease, progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) or corticobasal degeneration (CBD).

Meanwhile, there is postulated deposits of tau proteins correlate better with cognitive alterations in AD than Aβ [82].

Reinke & Gestwicki [87] discussed a similar binding mode also for curcumin [Figure 1, (2)] and chrysamine G. Differences in the efficiency of diverse ligands in binding to various stages of the cascade from monomeric soluble peptides to insoluble aggregates have been suggested for all the time of investigations in this field [88]. A fluor-labelled derivative of curcumin [F4curcumin; Figure 1, (3)] was investigated in rodent models [89]. A low efficiency in transfer through the blood brain barrier (BBB) and the presence of two labelled metabolites have been reported as limitations of the use of this compound for in vivo imaging.

Ligands suitable for in vivo visualization of amyloid have been reviewed, recently, by Oukoloff et al. [18]. Many of these have been discovered as medicinal chaperones, which can potentially decrease or remove Aβ-deposits or delay formation of Aβ-oligomers or fibrils [18] [69] [90].

Suitable agents for in vivo imaging should be highly selective compounds interacting directly with protein aggregates or their pre-stages. Many of the compounds described previously show appropriate affinities to senile plaques [18] [69]. Additionally, the tissue content of protein deposits in late stage of the disease is relatively high [91]. However, Harrison et al. [90] claimed, similarly to Hostetler (for ligands of neuropeptide receptors) [92] [93] and Lipinski et al. [94] (for classical G-protein coupled receptors), properties for amyloid ligands with following thresholds: $K_d < 20 \text{ nM}$, logP < 3 or better < 2.5 and lack of P-gp susceptibility.

Among compounds directly interacting with amyloid fibrils, [18F] FDDNP [Figure 1, (4)] has been employed as the first structure in clinical trials with AD patients [95]-[100]. The intention was to identify pathological deposition of amyloid already during the pre-clinical stage as well as neuritic and diffuse amyloid. FDDNP has been reported to be weakly associated with neurofibrillar tangles in AD, with Aβ and tau [76] [101].

The amyloid ligand employed most frequently in PET studies is the thioflavin Pittsburgh compound B [PIB; Figure 1, (5)] [98] [102] [103]. The efficiency of [3H]PIB binding and of in vivo µPET [11C]PIB ([11C]6-OH-BTA-1) experiments revealed marked variations, with one PIB molecule bound per 1000 amyloid
molecules in double transgenic (tg)PS1/APP mouse models vs 500 binding sites per 1000 molecules in human cortical tissue [88]. $B_{\text{max}}$ values for human brain homogenates are described with 1407 pmoles/g wet weight and high affinity $K_d$ of 2.5 nM [102] [103]. However, PIB [Figure 1, (5)] bound in the different types of AD to regions affected by the disease but also in such which are unaffected [75]. On the other hand, PIB as well as the related compound BF227 [Figure 2, (14)] [104] have been described to bind tightly to senile plaques but not to tau in non-Alzheimer tauopathies [101].

PIB became the origin of a series of benzothiazole compounds as candidates for chemical/medicinal chaperones or diagnostic PET probes e.g. $^{18}$F]PIB ([Figure 1, (6)] = flutemetamol/GE-067; GE-Healthcare) [97] as well as FeO-BTA-compounds [Figure 1, 8, 9] [98] and AZD 2184 [Figure 1, (7)] [91]. Already early
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**Figure 2. Aβ ligands:** 13 2-(2-(fluoro-$^{18}$F)-6-(methylamino)pyridin-3-yl)benzofuran-5-ol; 14 (E)-5-(2-(6-(2-(fluoro-$^{18}$F)ethoxy)benzo[d]oxazol-2-yl)vinyl)N-methyl-N-(methyl-$^{11}$C) thiazol-2-amine; 15 (E)-6-(2-(fluoro-$^{18}$F)ethoxy)-2-(2-(2-morpholinothiazol-5-yl)vinyl)benzo[d]oxazole; 16 (E)-3-(fluoro-$^{18}$F)-2-((2-(2-morpholino-thiazol-5-yl)vinyl)benzo[d]oxazol-6-yl)oxy)propan-1-ol; 17 (E)-2-(2-(2-(dimethylamino) thiazol-5-yl) vinyl)benzo[d]oxazol-6-yl)oxy)-3-(fluoro-$^{18}$F)propan-1-ol; 18 4-(7-(2-fluoro-$^{18}$F-ethoxy)benzo[d] imidazo-[2,1-b]thiazol-2-yl)-N-methylaniline; 19 5-fluoro-$^{18}$F-2-(1-methyl-1H-pyrrolo [2,3-b] pyridin-5-yl)oxazolo[5,4-b] pyridine; 20 4-(5-(2-(2-(fluoro-$^{18}$F)ethoxy)ethoxy)benzofuran-2-yl)-N-methylaniline; 21 5-(5-(2-(2-(fluoro-$^{18}$F)ethoxy)ethoxy)benzo-furan-2-yl)-N-methyl-pyridin-2-amine.
comparison of the drug uptake (SUV, standard uptake values) in grey matter and in white matter of the brain; and on SUV data in general, rose questions on the diagnostic value of the respective PET scans [105]. For [11C] PIB was observed the non-specific retention of the tracer was higher in controls than in AD patients [115].

For [18F] flutemetamol ([18F]PIB) [Figure 1, (6)], variable uptake with SUVRs between 2.0 and 3.5 had been described in white matter. SUVRs between 1 and 3.0 were reported for cortex and striatum. A 100% sensitivity and specificity described Wong et al. [106] in a report on application of flutemetamol [Figure 1, (6)] in patients with normal-pressure hydrocephalus which can cause dementia. The authors compared bioptic material obtained in vivo, PET measurements and immune-histochemical identification of fibrillary amyloid (antibody 4 G8) with a composite SUVR including frontal cortex, anterior cingulate and posterior cingulate gyrus, precuneus, lateral temporal and parietal cortex. However, even for [11C] PIB has been suggested that different conformations of Aß deposits can render reduction or also absence of tracer binding [105].

Neumaier et al. investigated [98] fluorethoxy benzothiazole derivatives proposed originally by Berndt et al. [107] and Zheng et al. [108] [109] with optimized log P values. While two of the three fluorine-labelled derivatives showed log P_{oct} values close to PIB and FDDP at 2.1 and 2.4, only one structure, 6-FeOt-BTA-1 [Figure 1, (9)], showed with a Kᵢ of 7.2 nM also an affinity suitable for in vivo imaging. Kᵢ was determined with Aß (1 - 40) peptide monomers aggregated in PBS and using BTA-1 for displacement of the test compounds. Tracer accumulation was investigated in wild type of severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice [107].

The Astra Zeneca benzothiazole compound AZD 2184 [Figure 1, (7)] shows a slightly better log P than PIB [90] and, correspondingly, lower labelling of white matter; but [11C]AZD2184 achieves highest cerebral accumulation within 30 min in AD patients and standard uptake values between 1.19 and 2.57 [110].

Another approach was the advancement of the stilbene derivative SB-13 [Figure 1, (10)] [111] to the styrylpyridine [18F]florbetapir [Figure 1, (11); Eli Lilly] [112] and the stilbene derivative[18F]florbetaben [Figure 1, (12); BAY 94-9172].

Although florbetapir [Figure 1, (11)] has been approved 2012 by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [113] there are also fundamental pharmacokinetic points of questioning summarized 2013 by Kepe et al. [105]. These include e.g. the very fast metabolization of florbetapir observed in mice [105] as well as for instance the role of tau protein in AD [114].

On the other hand, Reiman et al. [115] and Fleisher et al. [116] published at the same time a cross sectional study with florbetapir. Patients in the age between 20 and 56 years carrying PSN1 (presenilin) E280 mutations were subjected to florbetapir PET and compared with non-carriers. Amyloid Aß deposits could be detected in individuals with the mutation 21 years before the predicted age of the onset of dementia [116].

Because all benzothiazole derivatives showed a large fraction bound to white
matter, Jureus et al. [117] proposed in 2010 the benzofuran derivative AZD 4694 [Figure 2, (13)] developed in cooperation with Astra Zeneca [117]. The authors submitted the new substance to comparative in vitro tests, to in vivo and to clinical tests including binding assays in different mixtures of Aß(1-40) fibrils and Aß(1-42) fibrils, autoradiographic analyses, in vivo testing in transgenic mice, in rats and in AD patients.

Ni et al. [91] described the distribution of low and high affinity binding sites in 23 patients with AD. The probes for in vitro imaging included [3H] PIB for autoradiographic comparison (GE healthcare), AV-45 (the commercially available florbetapir), AV-1 (M. Newington (GE healthcare).

The measurement shows in AD patients accumulation of Aß between 1000 and 2000 fmol/mg wet weight in the frontal cortex as well as between 100 and 900 fmol/mg in the hippocampus [91]. However, also differences in the distribution of Aß ligands depend on the time of onset of AD. Late-onset AD afflicts predominantly medial temporal lobe; early-onset AD typically results in accumulation of AV1451, for instance, in occipital, parietal and frontal regions [75] due to enhanced neurofibrillary tangles, probably. Moreover, carriers of the APOE e4 allele show medial, lateral and parietal binding of AV1451. High specificity of florbetaben has been demonstrated by Fodero-Tavoletti et al. [57] by comparison with immunostaining of amyloid, tau-protein and α-synuclein in postmortem sections of an AD patient [57]. Furthermore, appropriate pharmacokinetic properties with an uptake of 6% of the injected dose in the human brain have been observed and recommend the substance for clinical application [118] [119].

More recently, benzoxazole imaging probes have been proposed by Furumoto et al. (Tohoku University) [120]. The first of these compounds, [11C] BF227 [Figure 2, (14)], was described as a ligand with high sensitivity in patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) but with moderate specificity (71.4%). The compound was suggested as suitable to differentiate in MCI between converters and non-converters to AD [120]. [18F]BF227 and further benzoxazole derivatives (THK 525 [Figure 2, (15)], THK 702 [Figure 2, (16)], [18F] FACT [Figure 2, (17)], THK 727) were analyzed and, subsequently, a 2-ethenyl-benzoxazole was chosen for biological and potential clinical applications. In vitro binding assays revealed in Aß fibrils for [18F] FACT [Figure 2, (17)] Kd values of 9.4 nM and 263 nM. Also these series of potential ligands showed initially a fast increase in brain uptake followed by a rapid clearance and by a decrease of the retention of the tracers in grey matter below that of the white matter. In comparison to retention in blood, the tracers [120] showed only small differences.

SUVR for complete AD was 2.4 in lateral temporal cortex and 2.14 in posterior cingulate.

A competitor to florbetaben with improved pharmacokinetics was provided in 2013 by Yousefi et al. [121] with the benzoimidazothiazol FIBT [Figure 2 (18)]. The authors tested the substance in tg mice in comparison to PIB and florbetaben. Whereas Kd and lipophilicity were reported to resemble that of florbetaben, the compound showed lower retention in white matter and promising distribution in brain tissue of a tgAPP/PSN1 mouse model [121].
The authors described an improved ratio of specific to non-specific binding during the time course of $[^{18}F]$ FIBT accumulation in comparison to florbetaben [121], however, in pre-clinical experiments in tg animals.

Series of aryl oxazoles were synthesized by Harrison et al. [90] (Merck). The compound chosen among these for further investigation was MK 33 28 [Figure 2, (19)] [90] [92]. This compound and the benzoazole substances$[^{18}F]$FACT as well as $[^{18}F]$FIBT [120] fulfill the requirements set by Harrison et al. as threshold for affinities, log P and P-gp susceptibility.

Furthermore, Ono et al. [122] presented with $[^{18}F]$ FPBYBF -2 [Figure 2, (21)] a benzofuran compound with fluoro-polyethylene glycol side chain and a monomethylamino group as PET ligand with appropriate pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties (K, 3.84 nM and log P 2.41).

2.2. Tau Protein

2.2.1. Isoforms of Tau Protein, Functional Properties and Tauopathies

Tau protein has been extensively investigated by the first time in the 70ties of the last century (for review see [56]) and was isolated from porcine brain in 1975 [123]. It was regarded originally rather as a protein co-expressed with amyloid than as a predominant inductor of structural protein disturbance or cellular destruction. Meanwhile, 80 diseases causing missense intrinsic silent mutations of the gene coding for tau proteins have been described [56] [124]. Six tau isoforms are known. Tau protein is the largest among the peptides regarded as hallmark of dementia, and fibrillary deposits of its hyperphosphorylated version are targets of clinical PET with rising importance for differential diagnostics in dementia. In the brain, only small tau proteins are relevant (ca 410 a.a.r.) whereas big tau protein was observed in peripheral organs (695 a.a.r.) [10]. At least, tau protein is coded by one gene: MAPT (microtubule associated protein tau) on chromosome 17q21 [review 124]. Tau protein belongs functionally into the group of microtubule associated proteins (MAPS). The phosphorylated protein binds to the microtubules supporting its assembly. However, if hyperphosphorylated it can acquire the propensity to interact with a multitude of different proteins [10] [11] [70]. Hyperphosphorylated tau protein is the main compound of neurofibrillary tangles [124] and of insoluble Aß aggregates. Close to 40 abnormally hyperphosphorylated sites have been identified in tau proteins of AD patients.

Tauopathies include some variants of frontotemporal lobe dementia (FTLD), which have been reported to account for 10% to 20% of all cases of dementia [17] [70] [114]. Moreover, tau protein is associated with Down’s syndrome, Guam Parkinsonism dementia complex, frontotemporal dementia with PD linked to chromosome 17 and PSP [70].

Depending on the presence of three or four tandem repeats in the microtubule binding domain of tau proteins, different phenotypes of tau protein malfunction can occur [114].

2.2.2. Tau Protein Ligands for PET Imaging of the Brain

Several clinical trials with ligands of tau protein have been reported during the
last two decades [125] [126] [127]. Recent reviews on tau protein ligands suitable for cerebral PET imaging focus on three lead structures: quinoline derivatives, benzimidazole derivatives and benzoindole pyridine derivatives [70]. Most sensitive compounds have been found in the group of quinoline derivatives with THK 5105 [Figure 3, (22)], THK 523 [Figure 3, (23)], THK 5117 [Figure 3, (24)], THK 5118 [Figure 3, (25)], and THK 5119 [Figure 3, (26)].

**Figure 3. Tau protein ligands:** 22 2-((2-(4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)quinolin-6-yl)oxy)-1-fluor-18F-roethan-1-ol; 23 4-(6-(2-fluoro-18F-ethoxy) quinoline-2-yl)aniline; 24 1-fluoro-18F-2-((2-(4-(methylamino)phenyl)quinoline-6-yl)oxy)ethan-1-ol; 25 7-((6-fluoropyridin-3-yl)-5H-pyrido [4,3-b]indole; 26 2-(4-(2-fluoro-18F-ethyl)piperidin-1-yl) benzo[4,5] imidazo [1,2-a] pyridine; 27 2-((1E,3E)-4-(6-(11C-methylamino)pyridin-3-yl)buta-1,3-dien-1-yl)benzo[d]thiazol-6-ol; 28 6-fluoro-18F-3-(1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-1-yl)isoquinoline; 29 3-(1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-1-yl)isoquinoline-7-amine; 30 7-methoxy-3-(1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-1-yl)isoquinoline; 31 N-(fluoro-18F-methyl)-3-(1H-pyrrolo [2,3-c]pyridin-1-yl)isoquinoline-6-amine; 32 6-fluoro-18F-3-(1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-1-yl)isoquinoline-5-amine.
and \(K_d\) values of 1-5 nM, 1.67 nM and 5-11 nM as well as log P values between 2 and 3. Recently, further improvement in pharmacokinetics was obtained with the pyridine quinoline THK-5351 \[128\] with a log P of 1.49 and a \(K_d\) of 2.9 nM. The pyridine-pyridoindole derivative T807 [Figure 3, (25)] (AV-1451) showed a \(K_d\) of 14.6 nM and a log \(P_{calc}\) of 3.4 as well as a measured value of 1.67 [75]. Duykaerts demonstrated [129] the compound THK 523 [Figure 3, (23)] bound in a mouse model of AD to A\(\beta\), but not to NFT (neurofibrillary tangles). However, A\(\beta\) as well as NFT were labelled in human brain sections. Pyridindolpyridine quinoline derivatives and benzoimidazoindole derivatives showed best feasibility as PET tau protein markers [75] [82] [130].

The first PET tracer with appropriate selectivity for NFT was T807 [130]. Meanwhile, T807 [Figure 3, (25)] (AV-1451) is the most widely investigated NFT tracer [131]. Recently, Brier et al. [82] compared this PET tracer with florbetapir [Figure 1, (11)] as A\(\beta\) label in a clinical study including participants with presence or absence of cognitive impairment. Participants with normal cognitive performance showed low tracer accumulation in the brain, but not in basal ganglia, whereas cognitive impairment was associated with increased accumulation in temporal lobes as well as in the other parts of cerebral cortex [82]. In general, the topographies of tau protein and A\(\beta\) were confirmed to be distinct. However, a good correlation was reported if the regions with most intensive accumulation of the tracers were in the focus. These were for tau protein: medial temporal lobe, parietal cortex and precuneus.

The pyridoindole derivative T808 showed a good correlation between PET measurements and postmortem pathological analysis in a person, which died five month after PET scanning of paired helical filament tau (PHF) [70] [132] [133].

\[^{18}\text{F}]\text{THK 5105}\) has been tested by Okamura et al. in comparison to \[^{11}\text{C}]\text{PIB}\) in a clinical study with participants between 63 and 82 years of age [134]. In inferior temporal cortex, two hours p.i., a SUVR (standard uptake value ratio) of 1.4 reflected an enhancement in retention of the tracer in patients with AD compared to healthy subjects [134]. The butadienylbenzothiazol PBB3 [Figure 3, (27)] proposed by Maruyuma et al. [101] showed in a clinical study a fast wash-out and lower brain uptake than T807, but a 40-50 times higher binding at NFT than at senile plaques as well as low white-matter binding [17] [101].

A promising series of pyrrolopyridine isoquinolines [Figure 3, (28-32)] has been presented by Walji et al. [135], for which initial clinical trials are completed. The binding analysis of tau protein and A\(\beta\) shows best selectivity for tau protein compared to amyloid. A first isoquinoline compound among these structures investigated already with PET in monkey is MK-6240 [Figure 3, (32); Merck Sharp & Dohme]. A \(K_i\) of 0.36 nM was observed for MK-6240 in NFT rich brain homogenates using the selective, high affinity ligand \[^{3}\text{H}]\text{NFT-355}\) in the displacement study. Log D was 3.32 (log P 2.06) and P-gp (Permeability-glycoprotein) susceptibility ratio 1.3 showing the compound is not a substrate of Pgp [130] [135].

MK-6240 was, like other compounds of the isoquinoline series, deduced from
a pyrrolopyridine-methylpyridine as minimal pharmacophore [128]. Some of these structures show improved affinities at NFT and a Bmax in the range between 80 and 145 nM in NFT rich AD human membrane homogenate. However, MK-6240 achieved the highest binding potential as well as the highest score in a CNS specific multiparametric optimization tool developed by Pfizer [136] [137] and employed by the authors for the selection of the optimal lead structures. The PET studies in monkey showed very convincing autoradiographic results, especially for the comparative binding assays of tissue with normal phosphorylated tau and hyperphosphorylated tau protein [135].

The first PET images in monkey showed, however, again absence of differences between retention in white and in grey matter of the brain [135].

2.3. α-Synuclein

2.3.1. Properties, Distribution and Synucleopathies

The 140 a.a.r. protein α-synuclein is encoded by the genes SNCA and PARK1 on the chromosome 4q21 [138]. α-Synuclein is a presynaptic protein, unfolded in native state and soluble [20]. In solution, the protein adopts a coil conformation. Similar to the other proteins established as hallmarks of the diverse entities of dementia also α-synuclein occurs in the brain in various constitutions. In Parkinson disease (PD) there is a propensity to assemble to protein aggregates. Moreover, in the different kinds of synucleopathies; it can be found in different cells and in different subcellular compartments [20] [58] [139] [140]. Lewy bodies are hallmarks of PD within neurons, whereas the protein aggregates in patients suffering from multiple system atrophy (MSA) are observed also in oligodendrocytes [141] [142]. α-Synuclein is frequently co-localized with Aβ, tau protein but also with synphilin 1 (synuclein α-interacting protein) and parkin (part of the E3 ubiquitin ligase complex) [140].

The soluble α-synuclein can assemble to fibrils and finally to amyloid-like aggregates as compounds of Lewy bodies. These are the pathological hallmarks of different forms of PD-related dementia [8]-PD with dementia and, if dementia follows within one year after motoric symptoms have started, dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) [8] [141]. Spreading of α-synuclein in the brain is suggested to follow during prodromal stages and progression of the diseases in general the Braak stages similarly to AD [38] [39] [141]. The clinical stages are classified according to Hoehn and Yahr [142] and show already motoric deficits of the diseased individuals, but also more general symptoms like gastrointestinal dysfunction, or hyposmia. The initial lesion in PD has been identified in dopaminergic neurons of the A9 region of substantia nigra, pars compacta [143].

Desires for labelled ligands of α-synuclein have been revealed with increasing frequency during the last years [144] with the aim of better discrimination of PD and similar diseases like PSP, CBD or MSA [20] [144].

2.3.2. Small Molecule Ligands of α-Synuclein

A first radiolabeled α-synuclein ligand has been described by Bagchi et al. [20]. The phenothiazine SIL 23 [Figure 4, (33)] was primarily tested for competition...
with thioflavine T in synthetic synuclein fibrils where a $K_i$ of 60 nM was observed and a $K_d$ of 148 nM. A Scatchard plot showed a one-site binding mode of the tracer [20]. Comparison of synthetic Aβ (1-42) fibrils and recombinant tau fibrils resulted in a $K_d$ of 635 nM with a $B_{max}$ with 23.7 pmol/nmol at Aβ and for tau fibrils in a $K_d$ of 230 nM with a $B_{max}$ of 4.57 pmol/nmol. SIL 23 has been introduced as a SPECT (single-photon emission computed tomography) tracer and is shown in Figure 4 labelled with the non-pure PET isotope iodine-124. Structural modification of the phenothiazine compound led to the fluorine associated derivative SIL 26 [Figure 4, (35)] with slightly higher affinity to α-synuclein fibrils and moderate selectivity between synuclein, tau (7 fold lower) and Aβ 1-42 (6 fold lower affinity).

Binding at synuclein has been reported also for PIB and BF227 as PET tracers [140]. SIL 22, SIL 28 and SIL 3B [Figure 4; (34, 37, 38)] are further structures beyond the scope of phenothiazine derivatives revealed by Bagchi’s and Prusiner’s group [20] [145]. A hydroxyl-substituted version of SIL 26 [Figure 4, (36)]

**Figure 4. α-synuclein ligands:** 33 (E)-3-((3-iodo-$^{124}$I-allyl)oxy)-7-nitro-10H-phenothiazine; 34 (E)-3-((3-bromo-$^{76}$Br-allyl)oxy)-7-nitro-10H-phenothiazine; 35 3-(2-fluoro-$^{18}$F-ethoxy)-7-nitro-10H-phenothiazine; 36 3-(2-fluoro-$^{18}$F-2-hydroxyethoxy)-7-nitro-10H-phenothiazin-2-ol; 37 3-nitro-10H-phenothiazine; 38 3-bromo-$^{76}$Br-7-nitro-10H-phenothiazin; 39 3-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-5-(3-bromo-$^{76}$Br-phenyl)-1H-pyrazole.
shows with 2.61 an appropriate log P in contrast to the other compounds mentioned above. However, the pharmacodynamics properties of this compound have to be elucidated.

Some compounds developed originally as chemical chaperones or label of prions (see also chapter 2.4.) \cite{146} could suppress additionally, the formation of aggregates of α-synuclein in cellular models (reduction of pore formation in membrane and trend to smaller oligomers and monomers) and in different in vivo models (sub-acute MPTP mouse model, long-term transgenic mouse based on expression of human A30P α-synuclein). An example is the dioxol bromophenyl compound anle 138b \[ Figure 4, (39) \] \cite{147}. The apparent EC\textsubscript{50} for the inhibition of aggregate formation was 2.8 µM \cite{147}. The reduction in α-synuclein was restricted to oligomer formation, while the total level of synuclein remains unchanged. However, behavioral tests demonstrated also a reduction of fluctuations in motoric function to control levels. Additionally, the disease-free interval in these animals was enhanced by 10 weeks \cite{147}.

2.4. Prions

2.4.1. Constitutive and Infectious Isoforms of Prion Protein

Prions in the context of transmissible infections are known in humans (as Creutzfeld-Jacobs-disease; Gerstmann-Sträussler disease \cite{148}, kuru or fatal familial insomnia), in cattle (as bovine spongiform encephalopathy) \cite{148}, in cervids (as chronic wasting disease), in sheep (as scrapie) \cite{149}. PrP\textsuperscript{c} and PrP\textsuperscript{sc} have been characterized by different extent. Common models have been established in mice, hamsters; or diverse cellular models e.g. yeast.

PrP\textsuperscript{c} is located in the plasma membrane. It has been crystallized and described by NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance). It is the intracellular partner of the infectious PrP\textsuperscript{sc} which can use the PrP\textsuperscript{c} protein as substrate and template for the propagation of its own replication \cite{12} \cite{149} \cite{150}.

The structure of PrP\textsuperscript{sc} is less well known than that of PrP\textsuperscript{c}. One model of fibril formation by PrP\textsuperscript{sc} used successfully in solid state NMR is the filamentous fungus Podospora anseri \cite{149}. Several strains of the infectious protein have been identified. Common features of the prion diseases are neuronal loss, vacuolization and accumulation of amyloid in the CNS what result in humans in clinical symptoms like ataxia, dementia and further neurological abnormalities. The extent, velocity and, probably, also the motoric cerebral region predominantly affected depends from the strain of PrP\textsuperscript{sc} inoculated \cite{35} \cite{149}.

PrP\textsuperscript{c} is a 254 a.a.r. peptide \cite{12} which has an anchor region of 22 a.a.r. at the C-terminus and a secretory signal peptide at the N-terminus. The residues 23 - 124 for a sequence of octapeptide-repeats framed by two positively charged clusters of 5 a.a.r. and 23 a.a.r (111 - 134) connect this proximal stretched region with the globular COOH region. 45% of PrP\textsuperscript{c} are helical and only two short sequences of PrP\textsuperscript{c} are β sheets. PrP\textsuperscript{sc} shows only 30% helical domains and 45% β sheets \cite{12}.

A role of PrP\textsuperscript{c} in the protection of the tissue by binding of toxic concentrations of Cu\textsuperscript{2+}, Zn\textsuperscript{2+} or Mn\textsuperscript{2+} is regarded, currently, as a physiological function of the membrane protein. Observations on an increase of PrP\textsuperscript{c} in further neurode-
generative diseases like Parkinson and Alzheimer’s Disease and an increase in stress susceptibility in PrP^c-/- mice support the presumption of neuroprotective actions by PrP^c [149] [150]. However, there is a multitude of experimental observations, which suggest further physiological functions of the constitutive prion protein e.g. in neuritogenesis, maintenance of membrane homogeneity or activation of T-lymphocytes [150].

The diseases initiated by PrP^sc formation are regarded as gain-of-toxic function diseases.

PrP^c is soluble in mild detergent and can be digested by proteinase K, whereas PrP^sc forms insoluble aggregates and contains a C-terminal part insensitive to proteinase [150].

The report by Mallucci et al. 2003 [34] [35] demonstrating the prevention of pathological prion species PrP^sc in mice depleted of PrP^c was a milestone in the research for antiprion compounds and also for drugs decreasing amyloid aggregates.

2.4.2. Ligands of PrP^c and PrP^sc

Ghaemmaghami et al. [21] identified four groups of small-molecule scaffolds as potential sources of prion ligands with therapeutic or diagnostic impact. These were 2-aminothiazoles, quinazolines, hydroxyquinolines and bezoxazoles [21]. Ghaemmaghami et al. preferred as the main field of efforts the 2-aminothiazole and provided a series of compounds [Figure 5, (40 - 49)] four years later [149]. Among the 2-aminothiazoles were identified some structures with moderate affinities in lower nM range, most of them, however, with inappropriate log P values. Compound 26 [Figure 6, (52)], a morpholino-2-aminothiazole in Ghaemmaghami’s report achieves with 2.34 also a log P, which promised an acceptable distribution between grey and white matter and an appropriate kinetics via the bbb.

Many of the early small molecular chaperones, which inhibit propagation of prion formation in experimental systems [151], show cross affinities among the different amyloids containing Aβ, α-synuclein, tau protein or prions [152] [153]. Also in recent works this remains a problem in the search for specific in vivo imaging agents, even if the therapeutic impact can be promising. For instance, Wagner et al. [147] favored in a series of 3,5-diphenyl pyrazole derivatives the dioxol bromophenyl compound anle138b [Figure 4, (39)], highly efficient in inhibition of several prion strains, in ScN2a and SMB (scrapie mouse brain cells infected with the Rocky Mountain Laboratory (RML) scrapie strain, in diverse in vitro and in vivo models of prion conversion and expression of prion disease in mice. The drug bound not to the monomers of PrP^sc but modified assembly of oligomers. A marked reduction in PrP^sc formation could be observed also in vivo if the treatment started 80 days after inoculation of PrP^sc and continued until day 120 p.i.

The intention to develop small-molecule prion ligands starting from scaffolds, which are known to cross the bbb [154], drew the attention to psychoactive drugs and, finally, to tricyclics of acridine and phenothiazine type [155]. BiCap-
Figure 5. Prion ligands: 40 (E)-5-(4-(2-(pyridin-4-ylmethylene)hydrazinyl)phenyl)oxazole; 41 N,N’-(methylenebis (4,1-phenylene))bis(2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)acetamide); 42 N-(4-methylpyridin-2-yl)-4-(5-(pyridin-2-yl)thiophen-2-yl)thiazol-2-amine; 43 1-(5-(4-amino-7-methyl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)indolin-1-yl)-2-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ethan-1-one; 44 N-(isoquinolin-3-yl)-4-(pyridin-4-yl)thiazol-2-amine; 45 N-(5-methylpyridin-2-yl)-4-(3-phenoxyisoxazol-5-yl)thiazol-2-amine; 46 4-(3,4-dimethoxy-phenyl)-N-(isoquinoline-3-yl)thiazol-2-amine; 47 4-(benzofuran-2-yl)-N-(isoquinoline-3-yl)thiazol-2-amine; 48 4-([1,1’-biphenyl]-4-yl)-N-(6-methylpyridin-2-yl)thiazol-2-amine; 49 4-(benzofuran-2-yl)-N-(6-methylpyridin-2-yl)thiazol-2-amine.

Pa [Figure 6], a piperazine-linked bis-acridine, showed in SCN2 cells, a common model of prion infection [156], an ability to decrease markedly the PrPSc load (EC50 = 40 nM) and confirmed the presumption of enhanced efficacy in inhibition of PrPSc replication with bivalent compounds. Moreover, May et al. [156] could demonstrate that the efficacy of these and similar bivalent drugs depends from the length of the linker.
Figure 6. Prion ligands: 50 N-(6-methylpyridin-2-yl)-4-(4-(pyridin-3-yl)phenyl)thiazol-amine; 51 N-(4,6-dimethylpyridin-2-yl)-4-(4-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)thiazol-2-amine; 52 N-(6-methyl-pyridin-2-yl)-4-(4-morpholinophenyl)thiazol-2-amine; 53 N,N’-(piperazine-1,4-diylbis(propane-3,1-diyl)) bis(6-chloro-2-methoxyacridin-9-amine) 54 2,5-bis ((3-(6-chloro-2-methoxy-acridin-9-yl)amino)propyl)amino)cyclohexa-2,5-diene-1,4-dione; 55 (3Z,6Z)-3,6-bis(((3-chloroacridin-9-yl)amino)methylene)piperazine-2,5-dione; 56 N,N’-((ethane-1,2-diylbis (oxy)) bis(ethane-2,1-diyl))bis(6-chloro-2-methoxyacridin-9-amine); 57 2,2’-biquinoline.

A drawback of compounds previously investigated in biological models and confirmed to decrease PrP\textsuperscript{s\textsubscript{C}} replication, frequently, was the restriction of the inhibiting effect to the initial period of the infection.

On the other hand, May et al. [156] presumed the piperazine linker provides a steric hindrance for DNA complexation causing a decrease of cytotoxicity.

BiCappa became a starting point of the search for further bivalent antiprion molecules.

Bolognesi et al. [67] [157] introduced diketopiperazine-linked benzoquinone-based compounds which showed high lipophilicity (log ~8) [Figure 6, (54, 55; (BQ-3 and BQ-6)] but with good inhibitory action on PrP\textsuperscript{s\textsubscript{C}} formation in ScGT1
cellular systems. A further cellular system employed in antiprion experiments is the pheochromocytoma derived PC12 cells [158].

Several groups of structures with varying spacers to connect the acridine moieties have been proposed [67]. Further proposals by Staderini [67] and Bongarzone et al. [159] were ARC1 [Figure 6, (56)] and ARC2 with an N,N’-((ethane-1,2-diylbis(oxy))bis(ethane-2,1-diyl)) spacer as well as several new benzoquinoline derivatives.

3. Summary and Conclusions

Structural characterization of aberrant “steric zipper” proteins and descriptions of processes resulting in their assembly to oligomers and larger aggregates have proceeded during the last 20 years and provide today a basis of molecular dynamics studies. This knowledge supplied fundamentals and different approaches to understanding of misfolding as well as its consequences and allowed identification of medicinal chaperones for the inhibition of these processes. On the other hand, paradigms of therapeutic approaches to the cascade and of the time course of neurodegeneration changed [8] [58] [64] and envision today multiple mechanisms of action [17] [66].

Most promising development and release of new tracers with high selectivity can be observed at the moment for tau protein ligands with the focus on pyrroloindole quinolines [68] [128] [135]. [18F]MK-6240—one of the most promising among the scaffolds proposed—is now in phase 1 clinical trial [130].

The new series of isoquinolines as well as the widely investigated fluoro-pyridine T807 are regarded as a starting point to better understanding and monitoring of the mechanisms underlying deterioration of the cognitive function of the brain because tau protein correlates markedly better with decrease of mental abilities than deposits of Aβ-aggregates.

Partial volume effects, which can result in underestimation of tracer retention in regions of the brain during destruction, remain issues of methodical improvements [160]. A further problem which can hamper the choice of suitable molecules and pre-clinical investigations of respective new ligands is the different comparability of parameters of lipophilicity and permeability describing bbb delivery of the compounds [161]. Moreover, the diversity of animal models, per se frequently unable to reflect all important features of the pattern of a special conformational disease, remains a drawback for fast recognition of suitable compounds [72] [147].

Several promising proposals of Aβ binding molecules with enhanced selectivity have been released. Among these, the aryl oxazole MK 3328 [86]; the benzoxazole [18F]FACT [120] and the imidazothiazole [18F] FIBT [121] are also candidates for PET imaging in neurodegenerative diseases. The improvement in the kinetics of accumulation in grey matter and reduced uptake in white matter in comparison to florbetaben is a progress for Aβ imaging.

The most intense challenges, however, are ligands for α-synuclein which can provide access to components of Lewy bodies and potentially improved differen-
tial diagnosis of Parkinson’s and related diseases using PET. The proposals by Bagchi et al. [20] provide potential lead structures. However, neither pharmacodynamics nor pharmacokinetic prerequisites are sufficient at the moment for the usage as a tool in brain PET imaging. On the other hand, lead structures with lower molecular weight and dual specificity binding to prion protein as well as to \( \alpha \)-synuclein could open the gate not only for improved therapy but also for imaging in Parkinson disease and related disorders [147].

The general statement by Cummings [162] [163] that until today some candidates entered clinical trial, but since approval of memantine in 2003 no further candidate has completed a phase 3 trial, is attenuated for the diagnostic point of view by phase 3 studies presented for florbetaben and florbetapir [65] [113] [116] [163] [164].

Differentiation between patients severely threatened by late-onset AD and individuals with mild cognitive deficits, but without a later shift to severe dementia, would be a helpful diagnostic contribution by PET and would allow early support and potential therapies to patients at risk of inability to manage their daily life.
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Abbreviations

AD: Alzheimer’s Disease
Aß: Amyloid-ß
APP: Amyloid precursor protein
Bbb: Blood brain barrier
CBD: Corticobasal degeneration
FTLD: Fronto temporal lobe dementia
LBD: Lewy body disease
MAPS: Microtubule-associated proteins
MAPT: Microtubule associated tau protein
MCI: Mild cognitive impairment
MPTP: 1-Methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin
MSA: Multiple system atrophy
NFT: Neurofibrillary tangles
PC12Rat: pheochromocytoma-derived cell line
Prion: Proteinaceous infectious particle
PrP*: Constitutive isoform of prion protein
PrP\textsuperscript{sc}: Amyloidogenic isoform of prion protein
PSP: Progressive supranuclear palsy
RIP: Regulated intramembrane proteolysis
ScGT1: Mouse hypothalamic cell line, subline GT1
ScN2A: C-1300 mouse neuroblastoma cell line; neuro-2A subline (N2A)
SUV: Standard uptake value
TLR: Toll-like receptor
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