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Abstract
This short review article presents theories used in solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Main theories used in NMR include the average Hamiltonian theory, the Floquet theory and the developing theories are the Fer expansion or the Floquet-Magnus expansion. These approaches provide solutions to the time-dependent Schrodinger equation which is a central problem in quantum physics in general and solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance in particular. Methods of these expansion schemes used as numerical integrators for solving the time dependent Schrodinger equation are presented. The action of their propagator operators is also presented. We highlight potential future theoretical and numerical directions such as the time propagation calculated by Chebychev expansion of the time evolution operators and an interesting transformation called the Cayley method.
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1. Introduction
The Schrodinger equation is the fundamental equation of physics for describing quantum mechanical behavior. In classical physics, the Schrodinger equation predicts the future behavior of a dynamic system and plays an important role of Newton’s laws and conservation of energy [1]. In quantum mechanics, the Schrodinger equation is a partial differential time dependent equation that describes how the quantum state of a physical system changes. The acceptability of Schrodinger equation lies on its applicability in various fields of sciences such as

physics, chemistry, and materials science [2]-[6]. For instance in field such as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), much effort still needs to be done to explore several problems using the time-dependent Schrodinger equation. These problems include but are not limited to medical imaging, crystallography, ultra short strong laser pulses, biological systems, chemical structures and composition, spin dynamics of superconductors and semiconductors [7]-[26].

This short review presents some applications of major theories used in NMR spectroscopy such as the average Hamiltonian theory (AHT) and the Floquet theory (FLT), as well as the developing approaches including the Fe expansion (FE) and the Floquet-Magnus expansion (FME) [27]-[36]. We highlight potential future numerical and theoretical directions such as the time propagation operator calculated using Chebychev expansion and the transformation of Cayley [37]-[45]. The wealth of physical problems indicates the importance of having a general method for solving the time evolution of the density operator or the propagator operator in the case of NMR for instance. The density matrix $\rho(t)$ and its antecedent the propagator operator $U(t)$ have been extensively used for many-body systems, such as atoms, molecules and nuclei; polarization of light and angular correlation experiments; the theory of masers and maser-like devices; the mean field techniques, such as Hartree-Fock and Thomas-Fermi approximations; the description of atoms and molecules in strong electromagnetic fields; resonance fluorescence and resonance Raman in the presence of intense field. Vast applications are present in electron and nuclear magnetic resonances [6] [46]-[48]. The physical insights provided by the theories presented in this review are illustrated by their applications. The following schematic diagram (Figure 1) shows the flow chart of the evolution operators, theories, foundations, numerical simulations and applications in NMR [47].

Solid-state NMR is a powerful method to elucidate molecular structure and dynamics in systems not amenable to characterization by other methodologies and its importance stands in its ability to accurately determine intermolecular distances and molecular torsion angles [34] [49]-[52].

Methods developed over the past 3.5 years enabled us to obtain simplified calculations for the common form of Hamiltonian in solid-state NMR and multimode Hamiltonian in its generalized Fourier expansion Hamiltonian [34]. Based on these and other unpublished findings, we now believe that the FME provides a quick and efficient means to calculate higher order terms allowing the disentanglement of the stroboscopic observation and

---

**Figure 1.** Flow chart of the evolution operators, theories, foundations, numerical simulations and applications in NMR.
effective Hamiltonian that will be useful to describe spin dynamics processes in solid-state NMR and understand different synchronized or non-synchronized experiments [34] [52]-[55]. Furthermore, our first applications of FE approach to study interactions in solid-state NMR when irradiated with the magic-echo sequence support this goal [52]. The results of the first order \( F_1 \) obtained for chemical shift, dipolar, and quadrupolar interactions might lead to the average Hamiltonian, \( \overline{H} \), in the sense of Magnus expansion under the circumstances:

\[
\frac{F_1(t_c)}{t_c} = \overline{H}.
\]

A salient feature of the Fer and Magnus expansions stem from the fact that, when \( H(t) \) is an element in a given Lie algebra group, both approaches have the required structure and evolve in the desired group (Lie group). In addition, this is also true for their truncation to any order. We are thus poised to perform more work to ascertain the feasibility of Fer expansion in handling cases involving non-periodic and non-cyclic cases, and to use the expansion schemes of the Magnus (AHT) and the Fer expansions as numerical integrators for solving the time dependent Schrodinger equation which remains the central problem in quantum physics. Theoretical approaches in NMR are challenging, but the potential payoff is substantial, and could ultimately lead not only to a more accurate and efficient spin dynamics simulation, but also to the development of sophisticated RF pulse sequences, and understanding new experiments. Since the first demonstration of nuclear magnetic resonance in condensed matter in 1946 [56] [57], the field of solid-state NMR has adopted only two milestones theoretical approaches in its history, theories which control the dynamics of spin systems: the average Hamiltonian theory (1968) [27] and the Floquet theory (1982) [30] [31]. However, compared to other spectroscopic techniques, the technique of NMR is well-established and will remain much a vibrant field of research due to its theoretical components driven by mathematicians, chemical and quantum physicists.

The overall goals of this review article is to support theories in NMR in order to continue to a) apply the average Hamiltonian theory to problems including (but not limited to): a class of symmetrical radio-frequency pulse sequences in the NMR of rotating solids, the symmetry principles in the design of NMR multiple-pulse sequences, the composite pulses, and the problems still unsolved such as the AHT for 3 spins [58]-[68]; b) use the Floquet theory in the study of several magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR experiments on spin systems with a periodically time-dependent Hamiltonian such as the multiple-multimode Floquet-theory in NMR [69]; c) enhance the performance of the Floquet-Magnus expansion by considering fundamental questions that arise when dealing with this approach [34]. Using FME method, many interesting problems will be approached such as multi-mode Hamiltonian, rotational-resonance recoupling, continuous wave irradiation on a single species, DARR and MIRROR recoupling, C-type and R-type sequences, TPPM decoupling, etc. [54] [68] [69]; d) use the Fer expansion to solve similar problems such as those solved using the AHT [32]; e) explore potential future theoretical and numerical directions for the calculation of the time propagation and evolution operators using Chebychev expansion and Cayley transformation methods [37] [41]-[44]. It is noteworthy that unifying or combining two and more theories known in NMR will continue to provide a framework for treating time-dependent Hamiltonian in quantum physics and NMR in a more efficient way that can be easily extended to all types of modulations.

2. Average Hamiltonian Theory

Since its first application in NMR in 1968 by Evans, Haeberlen and Waugh, the average Hamiltonian theory has evolved as a powerful technique of analysis in the development of high resolution NMR spectroscopy [27] [70]. The Magnus expansion forms the basis of AHT and has been systematically used in NMR, in particular in solid-state NMR where via AHT the ME has been instrumental in the development of improved techniques in NMR spectroscopy [35] [36] [70]. The approach of AHT is the main tool to control the dynamics of spin systems and to treat theoretical problems in solid-state NMR which have been used sometimes abusively [71]. The basic understanding of AHT involves a time dependent Hamiltonian \( H(t) \) that governs the spin system evolution and describes the effective evolution by an average Hamiltonian \( \overline{H} \) within a periodic time \( t_c \). This is satisfied only if \( H(t) \) is periodic \( (t_c) \) and the observation is stroboscopic and synchronized with period \( (t_c) \). This technique set the stage for stroboscopic manipulations of spins and spin interactions by radio-frequency pulses and also explains how periodic pulses can be used to transform the symmetry of selected interactions in coupled, many-spin systems considering the average or effective Hamiltonian of the RF pulse train [27] [34] [35] [72]-[75]. Wilhelm Magnus recognizes in his seminal paper of 1954 that his work was stimulated by results on the theory of linear operators in quantum mechanics. This shows that at its early stage, the
Magnus expansion was strongly related to physics, and has been ever since then [72]. The central result of AHT is obtained by expressing the evolution propagator $U(t)$ by an average Hamiltonian $\overline{H}_0$ and using the Magnus expansion. The Magnus expansion provides a solution to the initial value problem

$$\frac{dU(t)}{dt} = -iH(t)U(t), \ U(t_0) = U_0, \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \ U(t) \in C^n, \ -iH(t) \in C^{n \times n},$$

(1)

in terms of exponentials of combinations of the coefficient matrix $-iH(t)$. The scalar case, $n = 1$ (still valid for $n > 1$ in some circumstances), has the general solution $U(t) = \exp\left(-\int_{t_0}^{t} iH(t') dt'\right) U_0$. If a term is added to the argument in the exponential such as $U(t) = \exp\left(-\int_{t_0}^{t} iH(t') dt'\right) + M(t,t_0)U_0$, then the Magnus expansion provides $M(t,t_0)$ as an infinite series. A salient feature of the Magnus expansion is the fact that, when $-iH(t)$ belong to a given Lie algebra, if we express $U(t) = U(t,t_0)U_0$, then $U(t,t_0)$ belong to the corresponding Lie group. By construction, the Magnus expansion lives in the Lie algebra. Furthermore, this is also true for their truncation to any order. In many applications this mathematical setting reflects important features of the problem. The method of AHT has been gradually applied to many theoretical problems in solid-state NMR such as pharmaceutical problemsolving and methods development, symmetry in the design of NMR multiple-pulse sequences, composite pulses sequences, quantum computing, Magnus expansion as numerical integrator, etc... [62] [65] [67] [72] [76]-[78]. Blanes and co-workers showed that the Magnus expansion can also be used as a numerical method for solving Equation (1), with a good perspective of the overall performance of the numerical integrator provided by the efficiency diagram [35]. The efficiency plot is obtained by carrying out the numerical integrator with different time steps, corresponding to different numbers of evaluations of $H(t)$. However, AHT is not applicable to Hamiltonians with multiple basic frequencies: MAS and radiofrequency irradiation must be synchronized or time-scale separated, multiple irradiations must be synchronized or time-scale separated [79] [80]. Our recent validation of the AHT method probed with quadrupolar nuclei showed that the AHT method becomes less efficient to predict the dynamics of the spin system as the quadrupolar spin nuclei dimension increase [75]. This is attributed to the Hilbert space becoming very large and leading to the contribution of non-negligible higher order terms in the Magnus expansion being truncated.

3. Floquet Theory

The FLT introduced to the NMR community in the early 1980’s simultaneously by Vega and Maricq is another illuminating and powerful approach that offers a way to describe the time evolution of the spin system at all times and is able to handle multiple incommensurate frequencies [30] [31] [81] [82]. This theory provides a more general approach to AHT and has been applied satisfactorily to study important NMR phenomena [79] [80] [83]. The theory delineates the finite-dimensional time-dependent Liouville space onto an infinite-dimensional but time-independent Floquet space. The general description of the FLT is equally applicable to any nuclear spin systems. However, spin systems with large quadrupolar couplings may violate the convergence conditions for the expansions employed to evaluate the Floquet matrices. An important question to rise is the level of extension the FLT can be used in NMR without losing its conceptual framework. In other words, probing the validity of FLT for quadrupolar nuclei including those with spin $I = 1$, 3/2, 5/2, and 7/2 by analyzing for example a simple pulse sequence can be beneficial to the NMR community [75]. While the FLT scheme provides a more universal approach for the description of the full time dependence of the response of a periodically time-dependent system, it is most of the time impractical. Analytical calculations are limited to small spin systems and it is difficult to get physical insight from matrix representation. The full Floquet Hamiltonian has an infinite dimension and it is often not very intuitive to understand its implications on the time evolution of the spin system. Matrices for multi-mode Floquet calculations can become intractable. Massive reduction in dimensionality by truncation of the Fourier dimensions can introduce artifacts. In the literature, problems with up to three frequencies have been treated, but the demand of experiments that require four frequencies for a full description is increasing [69] [79] [80]. For instance, non-cyclic multiple-pulse sequences like two-pulse phase-modulated decoupling experiment acquire four frequencies under double rotation and there are some other obvious problems with four frequencies like triple-resonance CW radio frequency irradiation under MAS. Recent articles by Leskes et al., and Scholz et
al. discussed extensively several MAS NMR experiments on spin systems with a periodically time-dependent Hamiltonian [69] [79] [80]. For many NMR experiments, understanding the spin dynamics requires a wise choice of the interaction frame in which the Hamiltonian is presented. Ramachandran and Griffin, and Schmidt and Vega introduced remarkable applications of Floquet theory in NMR [82] [83]. Indeed, bases employed in theoretical treatment of FLT and AHT do not extend to multiples spins or $I > 1/2$ systems, and fails to provide insights in to multiple-quantum NMR phenomena and polarization transfer experiments that involve relaxation. The multipole-multimode Floquet theory (MMFT) presented by Ramachandran and Griffin in its first application still remains a viable alternative for describing both coherent as well as incoherent effects observed in NMR experiments [83]. On one hand, Ramachandran and Griffin combined Shirley’s Floquet approach to the multi-pole theory proposed by Sanctuary in order to expand any periodic time-dependent spin Hamiltonian, density operator, and Liouville super-operator in a Fourier series \[83\]-\[85\]. Substituting the Fourier expansions of the density operator and the Liouville super-operator in the Liouville equation, the following new set of coupled differential equations spanning an infinite dimensional vector space, with time-independent coefficients were obtained

$$\frac{d\Phi^{(k)}(\alpha)}{dt} = \sum_{\mu\nu\kappa} \sum_{\eta\xi} \sum_{\eta\xi} \left( \text{Tr} \left[ T^{(k)} q (\alpha) T^{(k)} (\alpha) + (n \cdot \omega) \right] \Phi^{(k)}(\alpha) \right) \Phi^{(k)}(\alpha) \Phi^{(k)}(\alpha). \quad (2)$$

The notation $L(\alpha)^{(i)}_{\eta\xi\eta\xi}$ includes the interaction coefficients as well as the spin and Fourier operators. Subsequently, the Floquet density operator and the Hamiltonian operator are represented by

$$\rho_F(t) = \sum_{\eta\xi\eta\xi} \sum_{\eta\xi} \Phi^{(k)}_{\eta\xi\eta\xi} (\alpha) T^{(k)} (\alpha) F^{(k)}_{\eta\xi\eta\xi}, \quad (3)$$

$$H_F = \sum_{\eta\xi\eta\xi} \sum_{\eta\xi} T^{(k)} (\alpha) F^{(k)}_{\eta\xi\eta\xi} + \sum_{\eta\xi} \omega N^\eta. \quad (4)$$

The Floquet Hamiltonian $H_F$ is represented using an operator basis constructed by the direct product of operators defined both in the spin \( T^{(k)} \) as well as the Fourier dimensions \( F^{(k)} \), corresponding to \( m^\eta \) time modulation with the off-diagonality represented by the indices \( q \) and \( r_m \), respectively. This approach provides analytical insights in spite of the infinite dimensionality of the problem which can be validated by describing an analytical solution in the form of effective Hamiltonians obtained via contact or van Vleck transformation procedure [83]. On the other hand, Schmidt and Vega defined a set of Floquet operators that simplify the use of the Floquet theory for single spin system under MAS condition by considering the single spin system that exhibit a chemical shift MAS Hamiltonian defined in the spin state manifold \( \{\alpha, \beta\} \) and the diagonalization of the Floquet Hamiltonian to the diagonalization of the sub-matrix diagonal matrices \[82\]. The signal and the Floquet transition amplitudes was evaluated to: $S(t) = e^{i\omega t} \sum_n I_n e^{-i\omega t}$ with the $I_n$ coefficients of $e^{i(\omega t + \Delta n t)}$ expressed as $I_n = \sum_m d_{mnp}^d d_{mnp}^d$. Furthermore, both authors extended their investigation to the dipolar coupled $I = 1/2$ spin pairs by evaluating two uncoupled homonuclear spins under magic angle sample spinning conditions \( (i = 1, 2) \) with principal values of their chemical shift tensor \( \{\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22}, \sigma_{33}\} \) and Euler angles \( \{\alpha', \beta', \gamma'\} \) \[82\]. In this case, the Hamiltonian evaluated is represented by means of the operators $F_{pq}^{\eta\xi}$ which connect different Floquet states, namely \( /p, m > \), with \( /q, n + m > \) differing in the Fourier index \( n \) as well as the spin basis. Here, instead of calculating the correction terms, the method of contact transformation to calculate an effective Hamiltonian is used \[86\]. The contact transformation method is equivalent to the well-known Rayleigh-Schrodinger perturbation theory which provides corrections to zero order eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The unitary transformations are chosen in such a way that the off-diagonal operators due to interaction Hamiltonians are folded back to give diagonal contributions to the zero order Hamiltonian. As a result, a new Hamiltonian which is more effective, i.e., its eigenvalues are closer to the eigenvalues of the overall, untransformed Hamiltonian can be obtained. The transformation is done on the Hamiltonian so that by successive applications one obtains a Hamiltonian whose diagonal operators incorporate corrections from the interaction Hamiltonians \[86\]. The advantage of the method of contact transformation is that the correction is in the form of operators and
therefore permits to define effective Hamiltonians which can be employed gainfully in pulse dynamics of rotating solids. An effective Hamiltonian is a simplified solution to the problem of finding the eigenvalues of the Floquet Hamiltonian. This method can also be useful when treating systems in which many spins are coupled. For instance, numerical diagonalization becomes quite difficult due to large dimensions of matrices when dealing with many spin coupled systems. Hence, the method of contact transformation gives the corrections in terms of operators and permits to restrict the spin basis, thereby reducing the size of matrices to be diagonalized in such systems.

4. Fer Expansion

Analysis and numerical implementation of Magnus expansions is not a trivial task. Therefore, an alternative to the Magnus expansion which is called the Fer expansion can be useful for solving the time-dependent Schrödinger differential equation. This approach was formulated more than half a century ago by Fer and was recently introduced to the NMR community by Madhu and Kurur [32] [33]. This expansion is still in its infancy in NMR and can be considered to be complimentary to the Magnus expansion (AHT). Indeed, from the point of view of physical applications, the Magnus expansion has been extensively used in a variety of issues, while the Fer expansion has been either ignored or misquoted until recently [87]. While the efficiency of Fer expansion seems obvious, more effort is still required to allow the approach to overcome difficulties such as cases involving non-periodic and non-cyclic cases. More quantitative work need to be performed in order to bring out the salient features of the Fer expansion and explore its use in solid-state NMR and in many other theoretical areas. The Fer expansion approximates the solution to the initial value problem (Equation (1)) by a product of matrix exponentials. The expansion is generated by the recursive scheme, \[ U(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{iF_k(t)} = e^{iF_1(t)} e^{iF_2(t)} \ldots, \] and the iterative formula are \( F_n = -i \int_0^t dt'H_F^{(n-1)}(t') \) and \( H_F^{(n)} = -\frac{1}{2} [F_n, H_F^{(n-1)}] + \frac{1}{3} [F_n, [F_n, H_F^{(n-2)}]] + \ldots, \) where \( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).

The Fer expansion involves a series of nested commutators resulting in \( H_F^{(n)} \). The Fer expansion differs to the Magnus approach in the form of the correction terms. The iteration process can continue easily when the initial values of \( F_n(t) \) and \( H_F^{(n)} \) are found. One major advantage of the Fer expansion over the AHT is that only an evaluation of nested commutators is required in the calculation of \( H_F^{(n)} \). The Magnus expansion requires the calculation of nested commutators and their integrals to obtain the correction terms of a Hamiltonian. Blanes et al. had proved the convergence of the Fer expansion and showed that the convergence of Fer expansion is much faster than that of Magnus expansion [35] [36] [87]. Madhu and Kurar also highlighted the observations such that the calculation of a term like \( H_F^{(n)} \) will contain several of the important signatures of the various higher-order terms in Magnus expansion, where all terms need to be calculated independently [32]. In addition, they mentioned that, the calculation of the infinite number of commutators, although looking imposing, may turn out to be simpler to handle in most experimentally interesting cases due to the fast convergence and the negligible value of many of the commutators. Both approaches (Fer and AHT) may be complimentary and the aspects of the problem at hand might eventually dictate the approach to be chosen [32]. The Fer expansion has been recently applied to the calculations of Block-Siegert shift in NMR, the analysis of heteronuclear decoupling in solid-state NMR, and the study of various interactions in solid-state NMR when irradiated with magic echo pulse sequence [32] [52]. Blanes and co-workers used Fer expansion as numerical method for solving time-dependent Schrödinger equation. A good perspective of the overall performance of their given numerical integrator is provided by the efficiency diagram with the results better illustrated in a double logarithmic scale [35]. The Fer expansion has also been used to solve many physical situations such as classical time-dependent Hamiltonian systems [88] [89]. Furthermore, subtle aspects of FE including, the convergence issue, the degree of computational involvement, and the application to coupled networks of spins, with regard to NMR still need to be tackle [32] [88].

5. Floquet-Magnus Expansion

The Floquet Magnus expansion is a new theoretical tool for describing spin dynamics recently introduced in solid-state NMR and spin physics [34]-[36]. This unique approach (FME) is an extension of the popular Magnus expansion and average Hamiltonian theory and is useful to shed new lights on AHT and FLT [27] [28]. The
aims of the FME is to bridge the AHT to the Floquet Theorem but in a more concise and efficient formalism [34]. Calculations can then be performed in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space instead of an infinite dimensional space within the Floquet theory. We expected that the FME will provide means to more accurately and efficiently perform spin dynamics simulation and for devising new RF pulse sequence. We also expect the FME to explore physical implementations of quantum information processing (QIP) and introduce the basic background for understanding applications of NMR in QIP and explain their successes, limitations and potential. The FME provides a quick means to calculate higher order term allowing the disentanglement of the stroboscopic observation $\Lambda(t)$ and effective Hamiltonian $F$ that will be useful to describe spin dynamics at all times in solid-state NMR and understand different synchronized or non-synchronized experiments. The FME offers a simple way to handle multiple incommensurate frequencies and thus open perspectives to deal with multi-mode Hamiltonian in the Hilbert space. This approach can provide new aspects not present in AHT and FT such as recursive expansion scheme in Hilbert space that can facilitate the development of new or improvement of existing pulse sequence. This scheme controls the spin dynamic systems in solid state NMR and makes use of its unique solution that has the required structure and evolves in the desired Lie group. In the first order, all three theoretical approaches (AHT, FLT, and FME) are equivalent, which corresponds to the popular average Hamiltonian, $\Lambda(0)$, which we also refer to as the Zeeman interaction, $I_z$, and will be less useful [34]-[36]. This points out that it is only in the case $\Lambda(0) = 0$ that the FME gives the AHT as provided by the Magnus expansion, $\frac{\Omega(T)}{T} = F$. Therefore, the general approach of the AHT gives also the option of a more general representation of the FME with $\Lambda(0) \neq 0$. Furthermore, the function $\Lambda_n(t)$ is connected to the appearance of features like spinning sidebands in MAS. The general formulas for the contribution of the FME are given by:

$$\Lambda_n(t) = \Lambda_n(0) + \int_0^t G_n(x)dx - tF_n, \text{ with } F_n = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T G_n(x)dx$$

[34]. Symbolic calculation software can enable formal derivation of higher order terms. In the above equations, the $\Lambda_n(t)$ functions with $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ represents the $n^{th}$ order term of the argument of the operator that introduces the frame such that the spin system operator is varying under the time independent Hamiltonian $F$. The evaluation of the function $\Lambda_n(t)$ is useful in many different ways, for instance, in rotating experiment of NMR, this function can be useful to quantify the level of productivity of double quantum terms [54] [55]. The FME propagator is given by:

$$U(t) = P(t) \exp\{-itF\} P' (0)$$

Here the constraint of stroboscopic observation is removed. $P(t)$ is the operator that introduces the frame that varies under the time independent Hamiltonian $F$. The function $\Lambda(t)$ given explicitly above is the argument of the operator $P(t)$ such that: $P(t) = \exp\{-i\Lambda(t)\}$. Like the FLT, the FME describes the time evolution of the spin system at all times. For various interactions in NMR, we recently calculated the first order function $\Lambda_1(t)$ that provide an easy way for evaluating the spin system evolution [52]. The evaluation of $\Lambda_1(t)$ is useful especially for the analysis of the non-stroboscopic evolution. We also found that the second order $\Lambda_2(t)$ is small in comparison to the first order $\Lambda_1(t)$, and will be less useful in many cases [54] [55].

### 5.1. Common Form of Hamiltonian in Solid-State NMR

For the sake of simplicity, we considered the Hamiltonian: $H = \omega_h I_z + \sum_m (-1)^m R_{z,m} T_{z,m}$ which is a common form of Hamiltonian in solid-state NMR. $\omega_h I_z$ is the Zeeman interaction, $R_{z,m}$ are the lattice parts of the internal interaction which encode its orientational dependence with respect to the magnetic field, $T_{z,m}$ are...
second rank m-order spherical tensor describing the spin system as defined by \([I_\tau, T_2,m] = mT_2,m\). The static perturbation theory (SPT) in terms of the irreducible tensor operators gives the diagonal Hamiltonian,

\[ H_{\text{SPT}} = \omega_0 I_\tau + \lambda R_{2,0} T_{2,0} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2\omega_0} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_{2,m} R_{2,-m}}{m} T_{2,m, T_{2,-m}} \] \[ [71]. \] Discrepancies between AHT and FT appear in the interaction frame where the Hamiltonian becomes time-dependent

\[ H(t) = e^{i\lambda t} e^{-i\lambda t} = \lambda \sum_m (-1)^m R_{2,m} T_{2,m} e^{im\omega t}. \] The FME provides an expansion in the rotating frame which is in agreement with the static perturbation theory and Van Vleck transformations. This is not the case of the Magnus expansion. This agreement can be easily explained by the connection that exists between the SPT and FME propagators written as \( \text{SPT}^2 H(t) = \exp e^{i\lambda t} F(t) \) and \( \text{FME}^2 H(t) = \exp e^{i\lambda t} F(t) \). This means that under the criterion \( S = \Lambda(0) = e^{i\lambda t} \Lambda(t) e^{i\lambda t} \), both propagators describe the same evolution at any time [34].

5.2. Extension to Multimode Hamiltonian

Considering the generalized Fourier expansion of the Hamiltonian \( \omega = (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_N) \) represented by the frequency indices \( H(t) = \sum_\omega H_\omega \exp (-im \cdot \omega t) \), we obtain \( \Lambda_i(t) = \sum_{\omega, \omega' = 0} \frac{H_{\omega'}}{\omega \cdot \omega'} \) and \( F_i(t) = \sum_{\omega, \omega' = 0} H_{\omega'} \). Similarly, calculation of second order terms is straightforward [34]. These expressions highlight the fact that the multimode Hamiltonian case can be easily treated in Hilbert space with the FME.

5.3. BABA and C7

For example, applying the first contribution terms of FME to the dipolar Hamiltonian when irradiated with the BABA (Figure 2 and Figure 3) and sevenfold symmetric radiofrequency pulse sequences shown in Figure 3 of reference [54], we generated the plots \( \frac{\Lambda_i(t)}{b_i \tau_R} \) and \( \frac{\Lambda_j(t)}{b_j \tau_R} \) versus the dimensionless numbers \( \phi = \frac{t}{\tau_R} \) of the degree of recoupling magnetic dipolar between nuclear spins which is useful for preparing and detecting double quantum coherence [54] [55] [90]. Therefore, the study of the amplitude of DQ terms can be considered as a viable approach for controlling the complex spin dynamics of a spin system evolving under the dipolar interaction of BABA and C7 pulse sequences. The size of \( \frac{\Lambda_i(t)}{b_i \tau_R} \) determine the amplitude of the DQ coherence,
which indicates the degree of efficiency of the scheme. In reference [54], a closer look at Figure 4(c) and Figure 5(c) shows that the magnitude of $\text{BABA}_2(\phi)$ is small comparatively to the magnitude of $\text{BABA}_1(\phi)$, i.e. $\frac{\Lambda_2(t)}{b_y^2\tau_R^2} < \frac{\Lambda_1(t)}{b_y\tau_R}$ as expected. As a result, $\Lambda_2(t)$ function will be less useful in many cases. We can also observe that all curves are strictly monotonous. This tells us that, the strength of the DQ terms increase continously with time and no decoupling conditions occur in the BABA (with delta-pulse) and C7 pulse sequences.

5.4. BABA with Finite Pulse Width

Now, let us Consider, BABA pulse sequence with finite pulse width where the relation $\theta(t) = 1 - \theta(t)$ is valid during the interval where $\theta(t)$ acted (Figure 3) [55] [90]. We investigated the simplest case and considered only DQ terms in the function $\Lambda_1(t)$. We generated two types of plots: $\frac{\Lambda_1(t)}{b_y\tau_R}$ versus the dimensionless numbers $\psi = \frac{t}{\tau_R}$ and $\phi = \frac{2\tau_p}{\tau_R}$ as shown in Figure 3 (b) and Figure 4(b) in reference [55]. We studied the case, $0.1 \leq \phi \leq 0.606$, corresponds to the spinning frequencies $\frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} = 5 - 10 \text{ kHz}$, and to the recoupling RF fields $\frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} = 25 - 50 \text{ kHz}$. In reference [55], a closer look at Figure 3(b) (BABA with finite pulse widths) compared to BABA with delta-pulse width shows that the magnitude of the DQ terms of BABA with finite pulses is small compared to the magnitude of BABA with $\delta$-pulse sequences, i.e. $\left|\frac{\Lambda_1(t)}{b_y\tau_R}\right|_{\text{finite-pulse}} < \left|\frac{\Lambda_1(t)}{b_y\tau_R}\right|_{\delta\text{-pulse}}$, as expected [55]. In reference [55], Figure 4(b) shows the plot of the function $\frac{\Lambda_1(t)}{b_y\tau_R}$ for versus the dimensionless number $\psi$.

![Figure 3. BABA with finite pulse width.](image)
\[ \phi = \frac{2\tau_p}{\tau_R}, \]  
for the two cases: \[ t = 1 \text{ ms} \] and \[ t = 2 \text{ ms}. \] It can easily be seen that, when \[ \phi = \frac{2\tau_p}{\tau_R} \] increases, the magnitude of the double quantum terms decreases, as expected. When \[ \phi \to 0, \] the magnitude of the DQ term \[ \to \] maximum corresponding to the delta-pulse sequence. However, when \[ \phi = 0.5 \] corresponding to \[ \tau_p = \frac{\tau_R}{4}, \] we have \[ \frac{\Lambda_i(t)}{b_j} = 0. \] The strength of the DQ terms decreases, cancel and build up again. This dynamic predicts that a full decoupling is possible, which occurs at \[ \phi = 0.5. \] The plot of the magnitude of the double quantum term of \[ \Lambda_i(t) \] as a function of the pulse length gives a basic understanding of the experiment such as how to select robust finite pulse widths and how to select finite pulse widths that maximize or minimize double quantum terms. The study of this FME function could be helpful in predicting the conditions of decoupling.

### 5.5. Criteria to Average out Chemical Shift Anisotropy for BABA

Application of the first contribution terms of the Floquet-Magnus expansion to the chemical shift anisotropy when irradiated with the BABA pulse sequence lead to an important condition for the CSA to be averaged out in each rotor period \[ \tau_R \] \[53 \] \[91\]. Considering the CSA interaction in the following general form,

\[ H_{\text{CSA}}(t) = \sum_{\gamma} \delta_{\text{CSA}}(t) I_Z^\gamma, \]  
with \( \gamma = XX, YY, ZZ \) \[ \delta_{\text{CSA}}(t) = \sum_{k=2}^{c} f_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta, \sigma^{ii})} \exp \left(-i\omega t + \gamma\right) R_{\text{spin}}(t), \]  
we obtained the criterion for the CSA to be averaged out in each \[ \tau_R \] period:

\[ \frac{1}{\pi i} \left( f_{1}^{\alpha} - f_{1}^{\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{2} f_{0}^{\gamma} = 0 \] \[91\]. Similar criterion to average out CSA was obtained for BABA II (with \[ 2\tau_R \]), \[ \frac{1}{\pi i} \left( f_{2}^{\alpha} - f_{2}^{\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{2} f_{0}^{\gamma} = 0 \] \[91\]. The coefficients \[ f_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta, \sigma^{ii})} \] depend on the orientation of the molecule and on the CSA tensor elements. The first order of the argument of the propagator operator in FME approach was evaluated to

\[ \Lambda_i(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{c} f_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta, \sigma^{ii})} \left( e^{-i\omega t} - 1 \right) \left( I_X^i + I_Y^i \right) \]

+ \[ \frac{1}{\pi i} \sum_{k=2}^{c} \left( f_{k}^{\alpha} - f_{k}^{\beta} \right) e^{-i\omega t} + \frac{1}{2} f_{0}^{\gamma} \sum_{k=2}^{c} f_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta, \sigma^{ii})} \left( I_X^i + I_Y^i \right). \]  

A numerical analysis for a simple case consisting of one spin system with \[ m = 1, \gamma' = 0 \] evaluate instant neous values of the function \[ \Lambda_i(t) \] \[\tau_R\]. These values are the magnitude of the CSA in different orientation of the molecule and depend on the orientation of the molecule and on the CSA tensor elements. This complex function can also be platted versus the dimensionless number \[ \phi = \frac{t}{\tau_R} \] to get insight of the magnitude of the CSA in different orientation of the molecule.

### 6. Potential Approaches and Future Directions

Computing the exponential of a matrix is an important task in quantum mechanics and in nuclear magnetic resonance in particular where all theories used so far rely on exponential Hamiltonian operator propagators. The approximation of the matrix exponential is among the oldest and most extensive research topics in numerical mathematics \[35 \] \[39 \] \[92 \] \[93\]. Although many efficient algorithms have been developed, so far, the problem is still not having been solved in general. Approaches such as scaling and squaring with Pade approximation, Chebyshev approximation, Krylov space methods, or splitting methods, have been used to approach the exponential of a matrix problem \[39\]. The main difficulty encountered in spectrum simulation is the rapid increase of computational requirements with an increasing number of spins. Simulation of spin system dynamics requires the numerical solution of the Liouville von Neumann equation, or equivalently the numerical exponential of a
Liouville matrix [92] [93]. The Chebyshev approach has the potential to be extensively used in spin quantum-dynamics and in particular NMR in the capacity of numerical simulations of spin physics of systems encounters. Our motivation of presenting the Chebyshev approximation as a potential surrogate of the popular expansions in NMR for the task of numerical simulations in spin dynamics paradigm stem from its numerical stability, high accuracy and also because its theoretical advantages are still not entirely realized for currently feasible computations [94]. In addition of the Chebyshev approximation, we introduce another alternative transformation called Cayley method that could be considered in some circumstances.

7. Chebyshev Approach

Nearly three decades ago, Tal-Ezer and Kosloff introduced the Chebyshev method as a means of solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation in the field of molecular dynamics [37] [92] [94]. Tal-Ezer shown that the complex Chebyshev polynomials achieve the best approximation to expand the evolution operator. In the Chebyshev approach, the evolution operator $\exp(-i\tau \mathcal{H})$ is expended in a truncated series of Chebyshev polynomials. This procedure is applied by bounding the extreme eigenvalues $E_{\min}$ and $E_{\max}$ of $\mathcal{H}$. Then a truncated Chebyshev expansion of $\exp(-ix) \approx \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n P_n(x)$ on the interval $[\tau E_{\min}, \tau E_{\max}]$ is considered where

$$P_n(x) = T_n \left( \frac{2x - \tau E_{\max} - \tau E_{\min}}{\tau E - \tau E_{\max}} \right),$$

with well-chosen coefficients $c_n$ [35]. The Chebyshev method has two main advantages: first, it exploits the sparsity of the Liouvillian (Hamiltonian) by expressing the propagator in terms of a sequence of $L$ (Liouville superoperator) matrix multiples. Second, the Chebyshev expansion of the propagator is essentially exact. The series converges so rapidly that it is easily extended to the point where the truncation error is smaller than the usual round-off errors expected in any numerical computation [94] [95]. The method of Chebyshev approximation is frequently used in numerical quantum dynamics to compute $\exp(-i\tau \mathcal{H})\psi_0$ over very long times. However, there are existing drawbacks in the Chebushev method. The scheme is not unitary, and therefore the norm is not conserved, but the deviation from unitarity is very small due to the extreme accuracy of the approach. Another drawback is that because of the long time durations of propagation in the Chebyshev scheme, intermediate results are not obtained.

8. Cayley Method

The Cayley transform provides a useful alternative to the exponential mapping relating the Lie algebra to the Lie group. This fact is particularly important for numerical methods where the evaluation of the exponential matrix is the most computation-intensive part of the algorithm [35] [97]. Blanes and co-workers shown that the solution of Equation (1) can be written as $U(t) = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} C(t) \right)^{-1} \left( I + \frac{1}{2} C(t) \right) U_0$ with $C(t)$ satisfying the decayin equation, $\frac{dC}{dt} = A - \frac{1}{2} [C, A] - \frac{1}{4} CAC$, and $t \geq t_0$, $C(t_0) = 0$ [41]-[44]. $A$ is element of the Lie algebra such that if $B$ and $C$ are also elements of a Lie algebra which can be combined by the Lie bracket, which we represent by $[A, B] = C$, with the consideration of the orthogonal group, the Cayley transform is

$$A = (I - \alpha B)^{-1} \left( I + \alpha B \right).$$

Note that the choice of $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ is arbitrary but it ensures, a particular simple form of various expansion coefficients. Blanes and co-workers obtained the time-symmetric methods of order 4 and 6, based on the above Cayley transform where the efficiency of Cayley based methods can be built directly from Magnus based integrators [35]. But, unlike Magnus expansions, truncated Cayley expansions do not enjoy the benefits associated with time symmetry. As soon as integrals are replaced by appropriate quadrature formulas, Iserles proved that the time symmetry is gained [41]. The Cayley approach allows employing explicit schemes for solving the differential equation on the Lie algebra of the group and leads to semi-implicit methods where no iteration is required. The Cayley methods in the numerical solution of matrix differential systems on quadratic groups have been applied to many important problems such as the Penrose regression problem (PRP) where this approach has been employed in finding numerical solution of PRP, the calculation of Lyapunov exponents of Hamiltonian systems, the solution of Hamiltonian isospectral problems, etc.... [41]-[44] [96].
9. Conclusions

In this publication, we have thoroughly reviewed the abiding applications of average Hamiltonian theory, Floquet theory, and Floquet-Magnus expansion from very different perspectives in spin quantum physics of nuclear magnetic resonance. We also have presented some potential theories in NMR such as Fer expansion, Chebyshev approximation, and possibly Cayley method. The combinations of two or more of the theories therein described will provide a framework for treating time-dependent Hamiltonian in quantum physics and NMR in a way that can be easily extended to both synchronized and several non-synchronized modulations. We hope this publication will encourage the use of Floquet-Magnus and Fer expansions as numerical integrators as well as the use of Floquet-Magnus expansion as alternative approach in designing sophisticated pulse sequences and analyzing and understanding of different experiments. We also hope that this review will contribute to motivate spin dynamics experts in NMR to consider other perspectives and approaches beyond the scope of the current popular or used theories in the field of nuclear magnetic resonance. They are also many remarkable applications of the theory of NMR that we do not discuss in this review such as quantum information processing and computing. For example, the nuclear magnetic resonance quantum calculations of the Jones polynomial are interesting theoretical problems to tackle as well as theoretical treatment of problems with more than three frequencies analyzed using Floquet theory or Floquet-Magnus expansion approaches. In respect with the developments in the mathematical structure of AHT, FLT, FME, and FE, we expect that the realm of applications of the Floquet Magnus expansion and Fer expansion will also wide over the years. With new application in the field of NMR, we also expect the FME to generate new contributions like the generation of efficient numerical algorithm for geometric integrators.

The intention of writing this overview of theories and applications in nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy is to help bring the current and future prospective theoretical aspects of spin dynamics in NMR to the attention of the NMR community and lead new interactions between NMR experts and other specialists in mathematics, physics, chemistry, physical chemistry, and chemical physics. All these points strongly support the idea that the Floquet-Magnus expansion, the Fer expansion, the Chebyshev approach, and possibly the Cayley method can also be the very useful and powerful tools in quantum spin dynamics.
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