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Abstract

Background: There is a large assortment of modalities for the surgical treatment/management of distal radius fractures (DRFs), where the most widely used is the fixed-angle volar plating (VLP) system, which, sometimes, is referred to as the “surgical modality of choice”. While outcomes with each modality are usually good to excellent, each has its share of shortcomings and complications. Thus, there is scope for improvements to existing modalities and/or introduction of new ones. Study Purpose: We introduce a novel modality, namely, the prototype of an intramedullary injectable bioresorbable polymer-bioresorbable balloon osteosynthesis (IPBO) system, and investigated its plausibility. Experimental Procedures: The biomechanical performance of a construct comprising a synthetic distal radius (fourth-generation Sawbones®) on which a simulated fracture was created (4-mm wide osteotomy positioned 25 mm from the most distal end of the radius) and fixated with a placement of the IPBO system (SIPBO Construct) was compared to that when the fixation was with an approved Ti-6Al-4V alloy VLP system (SVLP Construct), under a clinically-relevant compressive loading protocol. Performance involved determination of quantitative parameters of the construct (initial longitudinal stiffness (ICLS), final longitudinal stiffness (FCLS), and load-to-failure (Pf)) and observation and recording of features of the construct at the fracture point. We also determined the quantitative parameters for the intact synthetic distal radius (control). Results: For each of the quantitative parameters, the range of values for SIPBO Construct was within that for SVLP Construct, suggesting that the IPBO System is a plausible modality. Also, for SIPBO Construct, failure occurred within the polymer zone, whereas, for SVLP Con-
struct, some failure features were fracture of the cortical wall and of the dorsal proximal fragments. **Conclusion:** The findings suggest that the IPBO system is plausible. As such, it merits further study; for example, determination of the influence of fracture gap fill ratio (defined as the proportion of the fracture gap that is filled by the expanding balloon as the polymer is injected into the balloon) on a large collection of quantitative biomechanical parameters.
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1. **Introduction**

Distal radius fractures (DRFs) are, undoubtedly, one of the most common fractures not only of the upper extremity but, also, of the whole skeletal system; for example, in the United States, they account for ~20% of cases presented at emergency medicine departments [1]. The incidence is the highest in the pediatric and osteoporotic elderly populations [2] [3]. Treatment/management modalities for DRFs may be divided into non-surgical (or conservative) and surgical types. Among the former, the most frequently used is cast immobilization, while, in the latter category, there are myriad options, such as percutaneous fixation via Kirschner wires, fixed-angle volar locking plate (VLP) system (comprising a plate and screws or pegs), fixed-angle dorsal locking plate system, intramedullary nailing, the photodynamic bone stabilization system, an expandable intramedullary cage with fragment-specific screw fixation, and a threaded pin device [3]-[9]. The most widely used modality is a VLP system [10] to the extent that some refer to it as the “treatment of choice” [11] [12]. There is a large volume of literature on clinical performance (functional scores and radiological outcomes) of many modalities, either on their own or in comparison with one or more of others [13]-[19]. This has led to identification and discussion of shortcomings and complications of many modalities [17]. For example, for a VLP system, a) its shortcomings include high possibility for prominence if applied distally and possibility for irritation of tendon(s) [14]; and b) among its complications are carpal tunnel syndrome, peripheral nerve palsy, extensor tenosynovitis, and rupture of tendon(s) [17] [19]. Thus, there is scope for modifications to current modalities and/or introduction of new ones. In this regard, we have a developed the prototype of a novel modality that utilizes an injectable bioresorbable polymer balloon and intramedullary placement; that is, a prototype of an intramedullary injectable bioresorbable polymer-bioresorbable balloon osteosynthesis (IPBO) system.

The purpose of the present study was to investigate the plausibility of the IPBO system. This was done by comparing the biomechanical performance of a construct comprising a synthetic distal radius on which a simulated fracture was created and then fixated using the IPBO system (SIPBO Construct) to that of a
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construct on which the simulated fracture was fixated using an approved Ti-6Al-4V alloy VLP system (SVLP Construct). For this purpose, quantitative parameters of the construct (initial stiffness (stiffness computed over the initial linear section of the load (P)-versus-displacement (Δ) curve) (ICLS)), final stiffness (stiffness computed from the final linear section of the P-Δ curve) (FCLS), and load-to-failure (Pf) were determined and features seen in the construct at its fracture point were observed and recorded. As control, ICLS, FCLS, and Pf of intact Sawbones were obtained. The constructs and intact Sawbones were each subjected to a clinically-relevant compressive loading protocol.

2. Materials and Experimental Procedures

2.1. Preparation of Intact Distal Radius

The tests were conducted using fourth-generation composite radius bone (Model 3407; Sawbones®; Pacific Research Laboratories, Vashon, WA) on which the radial aspect was cut off, thus yielding an effective length of 11 cm (Figure 1(a)).
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Figure 1. Photographs of potted SIPBO Construct (Green member: degradable balloon) (a) and as-prepared SVLP Constructs. (Green members: screws used to attach the plate to the Sawbones) (b).
2.2. Preparation of Intramedullary Injectable Bioresorbable Polymer System Construct

To mitigate the risk of polymer extravasation, a Latex balloon was used as a containment vessel. The angle of entry into the intramedullary (IM) canal of the Sawbones was evaluated using 14-mm-diameter additive-manufactured (via selective laser sintering (SLS)) poly (etheretherketone) (PEEK) tubes, with this diameter being the mean diameter of the shaft of the Sawbones. Through a parametric study involving varying the inner diameter of the tube and the entry angle, the final choice was IM canal diameter of 7 mm, 30° lateral entry portal in the anterior-posterior view, and a 15° portal in the lateral view.

The Sawbones constructs were prepared using 1.4-mm-diameter Kirschner wires to target the IM canal from the distal aspect of the Sawbones. A bandsaw attached to an SLS-manufactured PEEK fixture was used to create a 4-mm wide fracture gap centrally placed 25 mm from the distal aspect of the radius.

An SLS-manufactured PEEK fixture was used to secure the Sawbones during injection of the bioresorbable polymer. Then, the balloon was inserted from the distal entry portal through the proximal aspect of the canal, after which a self-sealing membrane was used to attach the balloon to a degassing injection valve. An SLS-manufactured PEEK tube was inserted through the valve into the bottom of the balloon, after which the balloon was connected to a syringe (Figure 2).

The polymer was mixed in a vacuum chamber at room temperature, poured into the syringe, from which it was slowly injected into the balloon. During the injection, one person held the syringe and ensured that balloon pressure was maintained, while another person tied off the balloon once the injection appeared to reach sufficient volume and pressure. This caused the polymer to ex-

![Figure 2. Photograph of the SIPBO Construct preparation platform.](image)
pand into the fracture gap. After that, the polymer was left to cure for a minimum of 24 h before the construct (Figure 1(a)) was removed from the preparation platform.

2.3. Preparation of Volar Locking Plate Construct
The approved Ti-6Al-4V alloy VLP system (D-RAD SmartPack; Smith & Nephew Orthopaedics, Memphis, TN, USA) was prepared by placing two screws in the head of the plate, at the radial and ulnar aspects of the distal row of the plate, two screws in the proximal aspect of the shaft of the plate, and drilling of the Sawbones. The simulated fracture was created in the Sawbones using the same method as was used in the preparation of the SIPBO constructs (see sub-section 2.2). The plate system was then attached to the Sawbones using the predrilled holes, thereby yielding the volar locking plate construct (Figure 1(b)).

2.4. Testing Method and Loading Protocol
The tests were carried out using a universal materials testing machine (MTS Systems Corp., Eden Prairie, MN, USA) (Figure 3). The construct was initially

Figure 3. Photograph of a construct in the materials testing machine ready for the compression test.
loaded, in axial compression, to a maximum load of 100 N, simulating the loading experienced at the distal radius during active gripping [20] [21] [22]. Each construct was then subjected to a quasi-static loading (between 50 N and 100 N, for 5000 cycles, at a rate of 2 Hz), which simulates the loading experienced at a surgically-treated fractured DRF during a 6-week healing period or is imposed during exercises carried out as part of a physical therapy regimen after surgery [20] [21] [23]. Finally, the construct was compressively loaded, at a displacement rate of 2.5 mm min\(^{-1}\), until either failure occurred or the upper limit of the load cell in the testing machine was reached (\(P_{\text{max}} = 2500\) N). Initial stiffness (slope of the initial linear portion of the load (P)-versus crosshead displacement (\(\Delta\)) plot) (ICLS) and final stiffness (slope of the final linear portion of the load (P)-versus-\(\Delta\) plot) (FCLS) were computed and load-to-failure (\(P_f\)) was recorded. If the construct fractured, it was photographed using a digital camera. For each of the study groups, three constructs were tested (n = 3).

### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

Quantitative results are presented as mean ± population standard deviation. Intergroup comparison of quantitative results was performed using the Kruskal-Wallis test and a commercially-available software package (SPSS, version 23; IBM Analytics, Armonk, NY, USA). Significance was denoted when \(p < 0.05\).

### 3. Results and Discussion

A typical load-versus-construct displacement plot is shown in Figure 4.

ICLS of SIPBO Construct was within the range of that of SVLP Construct, with the same trend found for FCLS and for \(P_f\) (Table 1). Each of the SIPBO constructs failed, with the location of failure being in the polymer, which is attributed to insufficient polymer expansion within the fracture gap (Figures 5(a)-(c)). Each of the SVLP constructs failed, with failure occurring in the proximal fragments of the radii and no failures occurring in the plates. SVLP Constructs #1 and 2 appeared to crack due to dorsal displacement of the radial head fragment, resulting in a fracture of the cortical wall as the bone contacting the surface of the plate exerted a high-magnitude force on the cortical wall (Figure 6(a) and Figure 6(b)). For SVLP Construct #3, there was a small frac-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Initial stiffness (N-mm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Final stiffness (N-mm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Load-to-failure (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intact synthetic distal radius (4th generation Sawbones®)</td>
<td>1372 ± 274</td>
<td>1925 ± 452</td>
<td>1860 ± 135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototype Intramedullary injectable bioresorbable polymer-bioresorbable balloon osteosynthesis construct (SIPBO Construct)</td>
<td>225 ± 191</td>
<td>171 ± 191</td>
<td>157 ± 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volar locking plate construct (SVLP Construct)</td>
<td>569 ± 542</td>
<td>469 ± 239</td>
<td>566 ± 371</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Compilation of the present results for initial compressive longitudinal stiffness, final compressive longitudinal stiffness, and load-to-failure for the 3 study cases.
Figure 4. Typical load-versus-displacement plot for SVLP Construct #1 (a), showing determination of Load-to-Failure (a) and initial stiffness (b).

Ture under the plate similar to that seen in the other plate constructs (Figure 6(c) and Figure 6(d)). Furthermore, for SVLP Construct #3, it appears that the proximal and distal cortices contacted, resulting in chipping of the dorsal distal fragment and fracture of the dorsal proximal fragment (Figure 6(c) and Figure 6(d)).

The quantitative biomechanical parameters determined for SVLP Construct are each comparable to results given in the literature for studies conducted using either fourth-generation Sawbones or cadaveric distal radii when differences between our studies and literature studies with regard to various features, notably, fracture type and loading protocol, are taken into account (Tables 2-4). In the case of intact distal radius, to the best of the present authors’ knowledge, only two reports on one of these parameters ($P_f$) have appeared in the experimental biomechanics literature. These are studies by Pistola et al. [34] and by Casagrande et al. [35], both on cadaveric distal radii. The present result for $P_f$ (1860 ± 135 N) is within the range reported by Pistola et al. [34] (1240 ± 460 N) and that
Figure 5. (a) Photograph of key features of fractured SIPBO Constructs: #1; (b). Photograph of key features of fractured SIPBO Construct #2.

Table 2. Summary of some features of relevant literature experimental biomechanical studies involving testing of constructs comprising a synthetic distal radius (fourth-generation Sawbones) with a simulated fracture and a fixed-angle volar locking plate and results for initial compressive longitudinal stiffness (ICLS), final compressive longitudinal stiffness (FCLS), and compressive load-to-failure (Pf) of the construct.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fracture type simulated</th>
<th>Method of creation of simulated fracture</th>
<th>Loading protocol</th>
<th>CLS (in N-mm−1) and Pf (in N)</th>
<th>Ref. #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal wedge fracture</td>
<td>10-mm dorsal wedge osteotomy centered 20 mm proximal to the volar articular margin of the lunate fossa</td>
<td>10 N pre-load, followed by compressive force, at 1 N-s−1, from 20 N to 100 N; then, load, at 1 mm-min−1, to failure</td>
<td>ICLS = 935 ± 172&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; FCLS = 755 ± 204&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; Pf = 430 − 550&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sokol et al. [24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal comminuted fracture</td>
<td>10-mm dorsal osteotomy at &quot;an identical distance&quot;, from the distal dorsal tip of the radius</td>
<td>10 N pre-load, then loaded, at 2 N-s−1, to 100 N (phase 1), or to 200 N (phase 2), or to 300 N (phase 3). After each phase, cyclically load (R&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; = 10; 2 Hz for 2,000 cycles for a total of 6,000 cycles. Then, loaded, at 2 N-s−1, to failure</td>
<td>ICLS = 140-470&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Dahl et al. [25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO type C2 fracture</td>
<td>10-mm dorsal wedge centered 20 mm from the articular margin of the distal radius</td>
<td>Pre-load of up to 100 N, then cyclically loaded, at 1 Hz, between 50 N and 100 N, for 2,000 cycles. Then, loaded to failure</td>
<td>ICLS = 129-994&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; FCLS = 33-143&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; Pf = 329-1517&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Drobetz et al. [26]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Results are presented for tests on constructs containing 1 volar plating system design.  
<sup>b</sup>Results are presented for tests on constructs containing 8 different volar plating system designs.  
<sup>c</sup>(Minimum load applied during the loading cycle)/(maximum load applied during the loading cycle).  
<sup>d</sup>Results are presented for tests on constructs containing 5 different volar plating system designs.
Figure 6. (a) (b) Photographs of key features of fractured SVLP Constructs: #1 (a); #2 (b); (c) (d). Photographs of key features of fractured SVLP Construct #3 (c ) and (d).

reported by Casagrande et al. [35] (1780 N - 5200 N for cadavers having cortical bone density in the range 480 - 845 Hounsfield Units. The aforementioned excellent comparisons give credibility to the present quantitative results obtained for the SVLP Construct and, since all the test conditions were the same for SVLP and SIPBO Constructs, the SIPBO Construct results are also credible.
Table 3. Summary of some features of relevant literature biomechanical studies involving testing of constructs comprising a fresh-frozen cadaveric distal radius with a simulated fracture and a Ti-6Al-4V alloy fixed-angle volar locking plate and results for compressive longitudinal stiffness (CLS) and load-to-failure (Pf) of the construct.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fracture type simulated</th>
<th>Method of creation of simulated fracture</th>
<th>Loading protocol</th>
<th>CLS (N-mm⁻¹); Pf</th>
<th>Ref. #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comminuted, extra-articular, dorsally-unstable fracture</td>
<td>Osteotomy created 20 mm proximal to the articular surface at the Lister tubercle; then, 6-mm-wide dorsally-based wedge removed</td>
<td>Axial compression, at 1 N-s⁻¹, to a maximum load of 90 N</td>
<td>430 ± 200 (load applied at center)⁴</td>
<td>Liporice et al. [20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsally-commminuted fracture</td>
<td>An incomplete 1-mm wide dorsal wedge osteotomy that started 20 mm from the articular surface</td>
<td>Cyclically loaded, at 100 N-s⁻¹, from preload of 100 N to a compression load of 250 N. Either 5,000 or 20,000 cycles at 1 Hz</td>
<td>400 ± 100</td>
<td>Blythe et al. [23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-articular, severe comminuted fracture</td>
<td>10-mm gap (10 mm volar height, 10 mm dorsal height, 10 mm radial height, 10 mm ulnar height), 20 mm from the distal articular surface</td>
<td>Loaded, at 2 mm-s⁻¹, to failure in axial compression</td>
<td>107 ± 32</td>
<td>Osada et al. [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colles-type extra-articular fracture</td>
<td>15-mm dorsally-based wedge osteotomy, centered 22.5 mm proximal to the articular margin at Lister’s tubercle</td>
<td>3 initial loading ramps to 300 N compression, at 1 N-s⁻¹</td>
<td>137 ± 51 (large plate)</td>
<td>Koh et al. [28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severely comminuted, fracture</td>
<td>Transverse osteotomy 20 mm unstable, extra-articular proximal to the articular surface; then, 15-mm fracture gap created making a second transverse osteotomy 15 mm proximal to the initial osteotomy</td>
<td>Axial compression, at 1 N-s⁻¹, to a maximum load of 50 N</td>
<td>460 ± 10</td>
<td>Strauss et al. [29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-articular AO-type A3 fracture</td>
<td>Excision of 10-mm wide bone segment, centered 20 mm proximal to the tip of the radial styloid</td>
<td>Static axial compression force of 250 N</td>
<td>83 ± 62 (4 locking screws in the distal row of the plate); Pf = 99 ± 60 N</td>
<td>Mehling et al. [30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-articular OTA-type 23-A3 fracture</td>
<td>Excision of 10-mm wide dorsal wedge, centered 20 mm from the articular margin of the distal radius</td>
<td>Static axial compression force of 130 N</td>
<td>188 ± 53 (4 locking screws in the distal row of the plate and 3 locking screws in the proximal row of the plate)</td>
<td>Mehling et al. [31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-articular OA-23 A3 fracture</td>
<td>A dorsal wedge osteotomy, completely separating the volar cortex (1 mm gap)</td>
<td>Static axial compression force, at 1 mm s⁻¹, until either a 20% drop in force or 3 mm displacement is reached</td>
<td>706 ± 103 (distal screw tips are flushed with or are just short of the distal cortex) (Group A); 660 ± 124 (target screw length = 75% of that in Group A construct)</td>
<td>Baumbach et al. [32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-part AO C3 fracture</td>
<td>With all soft tissues in place, a 15-mm wedge osteotomy created 20 mm proximal to the articular surface; volar cortex fractured manually; 2nd osteotomy created directly ulnar to the Lister tubercle in sagittal plane; 3rd osteotomy created coronally in the medial fragment; and, then, coronal cut made from fracture site to articular surface of the radius</td>
<td>Pre-load of 100 N; then, cyclic loading (20 N - 230 N), at 2 Hz, for 6000 cycles; finally, load to failure, at 2 mm-s⁻¹</td>
<td>379 ± 146</td>
<td>Marshall et al. [33]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴Position of load application; that is, position relative to the reference points on the plating system through which the load is applied.
Table 4. Fixed-angle volar locking plate construct: comparison of range of the present results for compressive longitudinal stiffness (CLS) and compressive load-to-failure (Pf) with relevant results from the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomechanical parameter</th>
<th>Present study</th>
<th>Literature results using fourth-generation Sawbones</th>
<th>Literature results fresh-frozen cadaveric distal radii</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLS (N∙mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>27 - 1111</td>
<td>33 - 1107</td>
<td>21 - 809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf (N)</td>
<td>195 - 937</td>
<td>140 - 1517</td>
<td>39 - 1414</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The VLP system used in our SVLP Construct is in clinical use all over the world. Thus, comments made in the immediate preceding two paragraphs suggest that the IPBO system is plausible and, as such, merits further evaluation so that it may be optimized before undergoing in vitro evaluation in a suitable animal model. Optimization studies could involve, for example, determining a) the influence of polymer mixing variables on the viscosity-versus-mixing time profile of the polymer; b) the influence of the aforementioned profile on the fracture gap fill ratio (FGFR) (herein defined as the proportion of the fracture gap that is filled with the expanding balloon as the polymer is being injected into it); and c) the influence of FGFR on the biomechanical parameters considered in the present study as well as others, such as the fatigue life of the construct.

In addition to the demonstration of the plausibility of the IPBO system as a novel surgical modality for treating DRFs, the present study has two other attractive features. First, values of biomechanical parameters for intact Sawbones were determined, which allowed us to suggest that, based on the results reported by Casagrande et al. [35], the present Pf result corresponds to that of a cadaver having cortical bone density in the range of 480 - 500 Hounsfield Units, which, in turn, corresponds to a person aged > 65 years [35], for whom the incidence of DRF is very high [2]. Second, descriptions of key features seen in fractured SIPBO Construct and SVLP Construct are included in the present contribution; in contrast, this type of information was not given in any of the above-referenced relevant literature reports [24]-[33]. Reports of fracture/breakage of volar locking plates in clinical series are very scarce, with the only one that we are aware of being by Imade et al. [36]. These workers reported one such case (breakage occurred 1 wk post-surgery in a 56-yr-old man who had sustained an AO/ASIF C2 fracture type) and attributed the cause of the breakage to an error in screw position [36]. Thus, since there was no breakage or any type of failure in any of the screws in any of the SVLP constructs, the suggestion is that the screws were positioned properly.

Two limitations of the study are recognized. First, in each of the study groups, the sample size was small (n = 3). Second, the biomechanical tests were conducted in ambient laboratory conditions rather than in a biosimulating medium (such as phosphate buffered saline solution, at 37°C).

Since the results of the present study show that the IPBO system is plausible, it is worthwhile to highlight potential advantages and shortcomings of this system when used in the clinical setting. Three potential advantages are noted. First,
placement would involve only a small incision and, hence, tissue resection (that is, it would be minimally invasive). This avoids the release of tendons associated with standard dorsal approaches, such as the extensor pollicis longus, brachioradialis, and extensor carpal radialis brevis, while reducing the risk of nerve palsy arising from complications that involve the radial nerve. Second, anti-rotation and stability may be achieved by the irregularities associated with the medullary canal geometries, thus obviating the need for additional fixation methods to stabilize fragments, which, in turn, reduces cost and reduces opportunities for error. Third, the system could facilitate superior DRF reduction in patients who have poor bone quality (for example, those who are osteoporotic) because it does not rely on purchase of fasteners in bone. One potential challenge of this system is that it may require increased use of intra-operative fluoroscopy to ensure that the canal is targeted appropriately.

4. Conclusions

We found that:

- Initial longitudinal stiffness, final longitudinal stiffness, and load-to-failure of a construct that comprised a clinically-relevant fracture created in a synthetic distal radius (fourth-generation Sawbones) that was fixated using the IPBO system (SIPBO Construct) were each within the range of that of a construct in which the fracture was fixated using an approved Ti-6Al-4V VLP system (SVLP Construct). This suggests that the IPBO system is plausible and, as such, merits further study.
- For SIPBO Construct, all of the fractures were located within the polymer zone, whereas, for SVLP Construct, the main failure features were fracture of the cortical wall and of the dorsal proximal fragments.
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