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Abstract

Objectives: The role of SYNTAX (SX) score in assessing the complexity of coronary artery disease (CAD) is well established. In this study we investigate the relationship between conventional risk factors of CAD with its complexity using SX score. Methods: The study consisted of 52 patients with CAD who were admitted to Cardiology Department—Menoufia University Hospitals for elective coronary angiography. The overall SX score was calculated prospectively using the SX score algorithm. Then comparison was done between populations with and without each risk factor. Results: There was a statistically significant correlation between age, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia and smoking with SX score results (p < 0.05) and an insignificant correlation regarding body mass index and gender (p > 0.05). In a multivariate regression analysis, including conventional risk factors of CAD as independent covariates, it revealed that aging, having diabetes mellitus and smoking were identified as significant independent risk factors for CAD complexity. Conclusion: Advanced age, having diabetes mellitus and cigarette smoking are considered to be independent risk factors for the complexity of CAD. Therefore, when these factors present, we expect that the SX score of the patient to be high indicating a complex CAD.
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1. Introduction

The SYNTAX score (SX score) is a comprehensive angiographic scoring system that is derived entirely from the coronary anatomy and lesion characteristics [1] [2] [3]. It was initially designed to quantify lesion complexity; however, it is also able to predict major adverse cardiac events (MACE) after percutaneous revascularization in patients with multivessel coronary artery disease [4] [5] [6] and/or left main disease [7].

Previous studies pointed to the predictive ability of SX score for periprocedural myocardial infarction (MI) in patients undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention [8]. In the past few years it was proven that treatment and modification of different conventional risk factors helps in prevention of CAD. The most important finding was that aggressive medical therapy will lead to reduction of the likelihood of recurrent MACE in patients with established CAD (secondary prevention). The American Heart Association (AHA) and the American College of Cardiology (ACC) have published joint recommendations for medical intervention in patients with CAD and other forms of atherosclerotic disease [9].

However, a large variability exists in risk status of patients without established CAD. This mandates a range of the intensity of interventions. Thus a comprehensive risk assessment is essential for patient categorization and proper selection of therapeutic intervention. The present statement was being published jointly by the AHA and ACC to outline current issues and approaches to global risk assessment for primary prevention. The approaches described in that statement can be used for guidance at several levels of primary prevention; however, the statement did not attempt to specifically link risk assessment to treatment guidelines for particular risk factors. Nonetheless, it provided critical background information that can be used in the development of new treatment guidelines [10].

The major and independent risk factors for CAD are cigarette smoking of any amount, systemic hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, and advancing age. The relationship between these risk factors and CAD has been investigated by the Framingham Heart Study and other studies. These studies showed that the major risk factors are additive in predictive power. Accordingly, the total risk of a person can be estimated by a summing of the risk imparted by each of the major risk factors [11].

2. Methods

2.1. Study Design

This is a retrospective cohort study that included consecutive adult patients > 18 years of age admitted to Cardiology Department—Menoufia University Hospitals in order to investigate risk factors for the complexity of coronary artery disease using SYNTAX scores.
2.2. Study Population

All patients > 18 years of age admitted with established CAD and not having any of the exclusion criteria were included in the study. Patients with history of cigarette smoking of any amount, elevated blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, and advancing age were included in the study. Patients with elevated serum total cholesterol, elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and low serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) in lipogram were also included in the study. While patients with acute MI or past history of previous percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass graft were excluded from the study.

All patients were subjected to informed consent, thorough history taking and clinical examination, with special concern on history of smoking, history of chronic diseases like diabetes and hypertension history of previous coronary angiography or previous PCI, and history of previous myocardial infarction. They were also subjected to elective coronary angiography. From the baseline diagnostic angiogram, each coronary lesion producing ≥50% diameter stenosis in vessels ≥ 1.5 mm will be scored separately and added together to provide the overall SX score, which was calculated prospectively using the SX score algorithm.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Data were collected, revised and edited into a master table using Microsoft Excel 2013. Data were then revised, coded and entered to the statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 22. Patient demographic characteristics were presented as mean and standard deviation for continuous variables with normal distribution, median and interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables with non-normal distributions and as proportions (percentages) for categorical variables. For normally distributed data, comparison between two independent populations was done using independent t-test. For abnormally distributed data, comparison between two independent populations was done using Mann Whitney U test. The distributions of quantitative variables were tested for normality using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, Shapiro-Wilk test and D’Agstino test, also Histogram and QQ plot were used for visual assessment. If it reveals normal data distribution, parametric tests (e.g. Pearson’s correlation) were applied. If the data were abnormally distributed, non-parametric (e.g. Kendall’s tau-b correlation) tests were used. Univariate and multivariate regression analyses were conducted between SX score as a dependent variable and each of the following as independent variables; age, gender, HTN, DM, smoking, dyslipidemia and BMI. The confidence interval was set to 95% and the margin of error accepted was set to 5%. So a p value lower than 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

The study population consisted of 52 consecutive patients with established CAD.
In all, 31 (59.6%) of the patients were male, and mean age of patients with its SD was 54.3 ± 9.61 years. In all, 31 (59.6%) patients were hypertensive, 18 (34.6%) patients were diabetic, 22 (42.3%) patients were dyslipidemic, and 20 (38.5%) patients were smokers. The patients BMI had a median value and IQR of 25 (24, 26) kg/m² with a maximal BMI of 39 kg/m² and a minimal BMI of 23 kg/m². Regarding SX score, the median value was 15 with an (IQR) of (10, 22), a minimum value of 10 and a maximum value of 33 (Table 1).

A Pearson’s correlation was run to assess the relationship between patient’s age and their SX score. There was a strong positive correlation between age and SX score, \( r(50) = 0.639, p < 0.001 \), with age explaining 40% of the variation in SX score (Figure 1). There was insignificant difference between male and female populations regarding SX score. The SX score showed a median value of 18 with an IQR of (12, 25) in male patients vs. 15 (10, 21) in female patients \( p = 0.065 \), (Table 2). The mean and SD of SX score was 20 ± 7.2 in hypertensive patients vs. a median result of 10 and IQR of (10, 15) in non-hypertensive patients \( p = 0.003 \). While the mean and SD of SX score was 24.4 ± 5.5 in diabetic patients vs. 12 (10, 18) as median and IQR in non-diabetic patients \( p < 0.001 \). As regard dyslipidemia, the mean and SD of SX score was 22.4 ± 6.1 in dyslipidemic patients vs. 11 (10, 15.8) as median and IQR in non-dyslipidemic patients \( p < 0.001 \). In relation to smoking, the mean and SD of SX score was 20.1 ± 7.3 in smokers vs. 15 (10, 21.5) as median and IQR in non-smokers \( p = 0.006 \) (Table 2). A Kendall’s tau-b correlation was run to assess the relationship between patients BMI and their SX scores. There was a weak positive correlation between BMI and SX score, \( r(50) = 0.182, p = 0.091 \) (Figure 2).

A univariate and multivariate regression analyses were conducted using SX score as a dependent variable and each of the following factors as independent variables; age, gender, HTN, DM, smoking, dyslipidemia and BMI. It revealed that aging, having diabetes mellitus and smoking were identified as significant independent risk factors (age: multiple regression coefficient 0.3, \( p < 0.001 \); diabetes mellitus: 6.7, \( p < 0.001 \); smoking: 5.8, \( p < 0.001 \)). However, being a male, hypertension, dyslipidemia and BMI were not statistically significant risk factors for CAD complexity. Among these independent factors, diabetes mellitus was the strongest risk factor (multiple regression coefficient: 6.7, \( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age [years]</td>
<td>54.3 ± 9.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender [No. (%)]</td>
<td>31 (59.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM [No. (%)]</td>
<td>18 (34.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN [No. (%)]</td>
<td>31 (59.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia [No. (%)]</td>
<td>22 (42.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking [No. (%)]</td>
<td>20 (38.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI [kg/m²]</td>
<td>25 (24, 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYNTAX score</td>
<td>15 (10, 22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HTN, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus; BMI, body mass index.
Table 2. SX score comparison between populations with and without each risk factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SX score in patients with each risk factor</th>
<th>SX score in patients without each risk factor</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>18 (12, 25) n = 31 (male)</td>
<td>15 (10, 21) n = 21 (female)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>20 ± 7.2 n = 31</td>
<td>10 (10, 15) n = 21</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>24.4 ± 5.5 n = 18</td>
<td>12 (10, 18) n = 34</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPL</td>
<td>22.4 ± 6.1 n = 22</td>
<td>11 (10, 15.8) n = 30</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>20.1 ± 7.3 n = 20</td>
<td>15 (10, 21.5) n = 32</td>
<td>&lt;0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HTN, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus; HPL, hyperlipidemia. Data are expressed as mean ± SD, median and IQR, or number (percentage).

Table 3. Univariate and multivariate regression analyses of risk factors for SYNTAX score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Univariate regression</th>
<th>Multivariate regression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient &amp; 95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.47 (0.31 to 0.63)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>−3.6 (−7.5 to 0.33)</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>6 (2.3 to 9.7)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>10.5 (7.6 to 13.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPL</td>
<td>8.3 (5 to 11.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>5.4 (1.6 to 9.2)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.5 (−0.2 to 1.2)</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HTN, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus; HPL, hyperlipidemia; BMI, body mass index.

Figure 1. A scatterplot of age against SYNTAX score among the study population.
4. Discussion

The SYNTAX trial is the origin from which the SX score was developed. It was used as an index for assessment of lesion complexity in patients with left main trunk lesion or three-vessel disease for whom CABG treatment is indicated [1] [5] [12] [13]. Conventional risk factors of CAD such as age, male gender, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, BMI, diabetes and smoking have been linked to more severe forms of CAD [11]. A higher patient’s risk status is expected to be accompanied by a more severe and advanced form of the disease and a higher SX score. The evidence regarding such statement is still not that sufficient [14].

The aim of this work was to study the correlation between SX score and pattern of risk factors in patients referred for coronary angiography in Cardiology Department—Menoufia University. The study enrolled 52 cardiac patients. All patients were subjected to history taking, full clinical examination, angiographic analysis and SX score. The data was collected and statistically analyzed.

In this study, aging, having diabetes mellitus and smoking were significant independent risk factors of the complexity of CAD and high SX score results. So, patients with these factors are expected to have a more complicated CAD than that in patients without these factors. In the SYNTAX trial, rates of MACE and cerebrovascular events were similar in patients with low or intermediate scores in both the CABG group as well as in the PCI group. However this wasn’t the case in patients with high scores, in which, patients in the PCI group had significantly increased event rate [5] [12] [13]. Based on this finding, it is recommended to avoid PCI in patients with high SX score as a result of expected high complexity of CAD in those patients, and this is also why CABG should be considered.

It is known that vascular endothelium function decreases and arterial sclerosis progresses with aging [15]. In our study, it was found that advanced age is an independent risk factor of the complexity of CAD.

In this study, being a male wasn’t a statistically significant risk factor for the
complexity of CAD. It is known from a previous study that estrogen shows antierosclerotic effects and that’s why women develop CAD after menopause [16]. It was previously thought that CAD in men is more complex and severe than in women. However, several studies reported that women with CAD have a smaller reference diameter of coronary artery, as well as more frequent diffuse stenosis not suitable for PCI, calcification and coronary artery dissection than men [17] [18] [19] [20]. Besides, it was also found in a previous study that except for female hormonal status, no risk factor has been recognized as acting on one gender and not on the other. This finding indicates that the pathogenesis of CAD is very similar for men and women [21].

It is now universally well established that cigarette smoke exposure is an important cause of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. This is because either active or passive exposure leads to vasomotor dysfunction, atherogenesis, and thrombosis in multiple vascular beds [22]. In our study smoking is found to be an independent risk factor of the complexity of CAD.

Diabetes mellitus is found to be associated with the complexity of CAD [23]. In our study, we found that diabetes mellitus is an independent risk factor for the complexity of CAD.

Lastly, in this study, the conventional risk factors of CAD were not totally concordant with those of CAD complexity. We found that factors, such as male gender, hypertension, increased BMI and hypercholesterolemia were not significant independent risk factors for the complexity of CAD.

5. Study Limitations

Although the number of patients enrolled in our study is relatively small, the results are quite comparable to larger studies. This study was observational and single-institutional in nature, which possibly restricted us from identifying and analyzing all potential confounding factors. There was some sort of selection bias because the choice between PCI and CABG as a treatment is dependent on the treating physician. Exclusion of acute MI because SX score is not yet valid in such condition, is considered one of the study limitations. Lastly, we didn’t demonstrate the effect of treating different modifiable risk factors on CAD lesion complexity.

6. Conclusion

Regarding conventional risk factors of CAD, being an advanced age, having diabetes mellitus and cigarette smoking are considered to be independent risk factors for the complexity of CAD. Therefore, presence of these risk factors will lead to the expectation of a highly complex CAD which in turn requires a careful management and a more tendency for CABG as a method of revascularization. Further studies in larger cohorts are needed for the validation of these findings to better define the role of conventional risk factors in clinical decision making in patients with CAD.
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