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Abstract

Introduction: Recent studies showed relation between mortality and bleeding in acute coronary syndromes. Objective: The objective is to analyze the prognosis of patients with acute coronary syndromes with or without in-hospital major and/or minor bleedings. Methods: This was a prospective data bank analysis study with 546 patients (39 with bleeding (group I) and 507 without bleeding (group II)) with acute coronary syndromes included between May 2010 and May 2013. Besides, Mehran bleeding risk score was calculated to all patients. The primary endpoint was all causes of in-hospital death and combined events. Comparison between groups was made by Anova and Q-square. Multivariate analysis was determined by logistic regression and was considered significant when $p < 0.05$. Long-term mortality and combined events were studied using Kaplan-meyer curves. Results: The median Mehran bleeding risk score was 18.78 and 15.11 in groups I and II, respectively. Major bleeding was observed in 4.4%. Significant difference was observed between groups I and II in deaths (20.5% vs 2.6%, $p = 0.005$) and combined events (35.9% vs 11.4%, $p < 0.001$). The same results were observed in multivariate and long-term analysis. Conclusions: Almost half of patients with acute coronary syndromes had higher risk of bleeding, and that with major or minor bleedings had greater deaths and combined events.
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1. Introduction

The treatment of acute coronary syndromes (ACS) has been focused in reducing ischemic events and restoration of adequate coronary blood flow by using dual antiplatelet therapy, anticoagulation and early revascularization [1] [2]. Combined antithrombotic therapy could improve significant reduction in cardiovascular events but it’s associated with higher incidence of bleeding, limiting its universal use in ACS [3] [4]. Bleeding is the most common non-ischemic complication in ACS [3] [4].

Recent studies have been showed direct relationship between bleeding and mortality in patients with ACS [5]-[10], something that improves the emergence of different scores identifies higher risk patients to that [11] [12]. There is an important association between bleeding and death/MACE. Major bleeding is associated with four times higher risk of death, five times higher risk of reinfarction and 3 times higher risk of stroke. The need of suspension of antiplatelet/anticoagulation medications increases the risk of ischemic events, mainly stent thrombosis [5]-[10].

The real impact of this complication is unknown and not established in Brazilian population.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the utilization of bleeding scores in Brazilian population with ACS and if the intrahospitalar bleeding was related with worse prognosis in a tertiary center.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Population

This was an observational retrospective data bank analysis study performed in a tertiary health center with 546 patients with ACS included between May 2010 and May 2013. All patients were diagnosed and treated according the AHA/ESC Task Force guidelines [13] [14].

The decision regarding the vascular access was made by hemodinamicist experience and according by patient characteristics. There were not exclusion criteria.

Patients were followed during the hospitalization and after the discharge. They were divided in two groups: with bleedings (group I: n = 39) and; without bleeding (group II: n = 507).

The primary outcome was all cause mortality. The secondary outcome was major adverse cardiac events (MACE) included all causes of death, non-fatal unstable angina or AMI/target vessel revascularization, Killip III/IV classification and stroke. The calculated bleeding score was associated with the real occurrence of bleedings.

The study was approved by the ethics and research committee and in all cases was obtained informed consent by patient or a family member.

2.2. Analytical Methods

The following data were obtained: age, sex, diabetes, systemic arterial hypertension, smoke, dyslipidemia, previous coronary artery disease (percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass graft), hemoglobin, creatinine, higher troponin, left ventricle ejection fraction (Simpson’s method) and medication used at hospital (first 24 hours) (Table 1).

Blood was sampled immediately after admission prior to administration of medications (baseline) and daily according by institution protocol. Cardiac markers like troponin-I was measured using standard clinical chemistry. Laboratory upper limits of normal were 0.04 ng/ml (99TH percentile) for troponin-I measured by Elecsys 2010 (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc., United States of America) 4th generation immunoassay.

Bleeding score was calculated in all patients using Mehran [11] score at admission. Major bleeding was defined using BARC [15] score types 3 and 5 and minor bleedings types 1 and 2. Post-operative bleedings were not considered. Bleedings were described in Figure 1.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive analysis of data collected included median, minimum and maximum values. Comparison between groups was made by Anova and Q-square. If Komolgorov-Smirnov tests confirmed normal distribution, continuous variables were summarized using mean ± standard deviation and were compared using the student t-test for independent samples. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare continuous variables if they were not
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with and without bleedings during in-hospital stay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bleeding+</th>
<th>Bleeding−</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>62.21 ± 12.68</td>
<td>62.78 ± 11.92</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>56.40%</td>
<td>60.40%</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus (%)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>39.40%</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>71.80%</td>
<td>81.30%</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke (%)</td>
<td>43.60%</td>
<td>41.40%</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FH of CAD (%)</td>
<td>12.80%</td>
<td>12.40%</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia (%)</td>
<td>35.90%</td>
<td>47.70%</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable angina (%)</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
<td>13.00%</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure (%)</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
<td>7.70%</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous AMI (%)</td>
<td>43.60%</td>
<td>37.70%</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous CABG (%)</td>
<td>10.30%</td>
<td>16.00%</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous PCI (%)</td>
<td>25.60%</td>
<td>25.40%</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>133.41 ± 30.94</td>
<td>132.74 ± 28.51</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/dL) (median)</td>
<td>13.1 ± 2.69</td>
<td>13.84 ± 1.88</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr (mg/dL) (median)</td>
<td>1.51 ± 1.57</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.83</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troponin (higher/median) (ng/dL)</td>
<td>5.46 ± 9.96</td>
<td>6.31 ± 34.95</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF (%) (median)</td>
<td>47.97 ± 18.74</td>
<td>39.52 ± 24.42</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAS (%)</td>
<td>94.90%</td>
<td>95.90%</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-blocker (%)</td>
<td>61.50%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoxaparin (%)</td>
<td>56.40%</td>
<td>71.60%</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPI IIb/IIIa (%)</td>
<td>17.90%</td>
<td>11.80%</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel (%)</td>
<td>82.10%</td>
<td>57.80%</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor (%)</td>
<td>38.50%</td>
<td>50.10%</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin (%)</td>
<td>69.20%</td>
<td>81.90%</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: FH = familial history; CAD = coronary artery disease; AMI = acute myocardial infarction; CABG = coronary artery bypass grafting; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; SAP = systolic arterial pressure; Hb = hemoglobin; Cr = creatinine; EF = ejection fraction; GPI = glycoprotein inhibitor; ACE = angiotensin-converting-enzyme.
normally distributed.

Multivariate analysis were determined by logistic regression and was considered significant when \( p < 0.05 \). The variables included all baseline characteristics as showed in Table 1.

Correlation between medium bleeding score and outcomes was determined by Error bar/student t-test.

Long-term analysis of mortality and MACE was made including 546 patients (75.3%) using Log Rank with a medium follow-up of 10.4 months (1 - 13 months). Data collection was made using telephonic contact and medical records.

All statistical procedures were performed using the Statistical software SPSS v10.0.

3. Results

The median age was 62 years old and about 59% were male patients. The most prevalent risk factor was hypertension (71% in group I and 81% in group II) followed by tabagism. Were observed significant differences in hemoglobin (13.1 vs 13.8 mg/dL, \( p = 0.02 \)), left ventricle ejection fraction (47.9% vs 39.5%, \( p = 0.04 \)), use of enoxaparin (56.4% vs 71.6%, \( p = 0.03 \)), use of clopidogrel (82.1% vs 57.8%, \( p = 0.003 \)) and use of statin (69.2% vs 81.9%, 0.04), respectively between groups I and II.

Ninety-seven (17.8%) were ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and 449 (82.2%) were non-ST elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI). The median Mehran score in group I and II were, respectively, 18.78 and 15.11 (\( p = 0.03 \)). 47.4% of patients were classified as higher risk of bleeding. Major bleedings were 4.4% and the most prevalent was intracranial hemorrhage. In 92% was obtained femoral vascular access and 8% radial access. Univariate analysis showed relationship between major bleedings and mortality (20.5% vs 2.6%, \( p = 0.005 \)) and MACE (35.9% vs 11.4%, \( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 2).

Multivariate analysis was showed in Table 3 and described a relationship between bleedings and mortality (OR = 7.87, \( p = 0.005 \)) and MACE (OR = 4.55, \( p = 0.03 \)).

Results of correlation between mortality/MACE according Mehran score were showed in Figure 2. There were relationship between median Mehran score and mortality (\( p < 0.001 \)) but not with MACE (\( p = 0.92 \)).

Long-term results were presented in Figure 3. There were differences in mortality (23.1% vs 7.1%, \( p < 0.0001 \)) and MACE (48.7% vs 38.1%, \( p = 0.009 \)) between patients with or without bleedings.

4. Discussion

Major finding of this study affirm data of worldwide literature, showing that intra-hospital bleedings in patients with ACS were related with higher mortality and MACE, even in the Brazilian population. About 61% of that was major bleedings, deducing seriousness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Results of univariate analysis of in-hospital outcomes.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bleeding+</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinfarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killip III/IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: MACE = major adverse cardiac events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Results of multivariate analysis of in-hospital outcomes comparing groups I and II.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killip III/IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: CI = confidence interval; MACE = major adverse cardiac events.
Figure 2. Results of univariate analysis of correlation between Mehran score and mortality/MACE.

Studied population showed the reality of a tertiary center. There were patients with multiple comorbidities and high prevalence of previous coronary artery disease. Groups showed significant differences in hemoglobin, left ventricle ejection fraction and statin use. Besides, group I demonstrated higher use of clopidogrel, whereas the group II used more enoxaparin, both situations associated with higher risk of bleeding [16]-[21]. Nevertheless, in multivariate analysis mortality rates were kept different.

Mechanism of MACE and bleedings in patients with ACS is not totally known. Probably, these patients have less use of adequate therapeutic [22], suspension of antiplatelet therapy, higher rates of blood transfusion [23] [24], anemia and secretion of erythropoietin [25] and activation of coagulation. It’s important to highlight that the increase mortality in this group are not restrict during the hospitalization, remaining for one-year too [26].

In this context, identification of patients with high risk of bleeding is essential to planning therapeutic and intervention in ACS. International guidelines recommend use of some bleeding score like Mehran or Crusade in all patients with ACS at admission [1] [2] [13] [14].

Although didn’t have studies comparing different strategies or treatment according to bleeding scores, somethings have been established like effective in diminishing incidence of bleeding. Fondaparinux has been indicated as the first choice in NSTEMI [27] [28], application of non-invasive strategies of stratification in low risk patients and correction of doses of anticoagulation and antiplatelet agents (mainly in elderly patients and whose with renal failure) [29]. Some authors suggest early invasive strategy in first four hours, with lowest exposure of
antithrombotic and antiplatelet drugs and without complete action of that at the time of procedure [30].

Subanalysis of PLATO trial showed in 18,624 patients major bleedings in 11.6 vs. 11.2% (p = 0.43), comparing ticagrelor and clopidogrel. Applying the CRUSADE bleeding model to the PLATO population of patients with non-ST-segment elevation, myocardial infarction revealed a trend towards increased non-surgical-related major bleeding in-hospital, at 30 days, and at 1 year with an increasing risk score. Patients with a very low risk score (≤20) experienced cumulative non-surgical-related major bleeding rates of 0.5%, 1.0%, and 2.7% in-hospital, at 30 days, and at 1 year, respectively, while those with very high risk scores (>50) had rates of 3.7%, 6.8%, and 9.7%, respectively. There were no significant CRUSADE risk score by treatment interactions [31].

Ariza-Solé et al. [32] included 1976 patients with mean age of 62.1 years in another study comparing bleeding risk scores. Almost all patients underwent angiography, 65% of them by the radial approach. The incidence of major bleeding was: CRUSADE bleeding 3.9%; Mehran bleeding 4.8%; ACTION bleeding 3.9% (78/1976); and BARC 3/5 bleeding 2.4% (48/1976). Ability for predicting major bleeding was especially significant for predicting BARC 3/5 bleeding (Mehran score 0.68, CRUSADE score 0.70 and ACTION score 0.70). The predictive ability of CRUSADE, ACTION and Mehran scores was similar for all the definitions analyzed [32].

Choice of vascular access showed to be determinant in bleeding risk. Radial access has been considered associated with lowest rates of hemorrhagic complications [33] [34]. Use of percutaneous vascular closure devices possible have been related with lowest bleedings [35]. In this study, higher prevalence of femoral access like institution routine probably was a factor that could contribute to number of bleedings described. However, the most prevalent bleeding was intracranial hemorrhage, not directly associated with the vascular access, being relate with vascular puncture in 31% of bleedings.

Bleedings related at last seven years are decreasing, even with the higher number of interventions and available antiplatelet/anticoagulation medications. This fact suggests that physicians are more preoccupied with scores and complications [5].

5. Limitations
This study showed some limitations like small number of patients in bleeding group. Besides, this is an observational study and therapeutic was not change according with the bleeding risk.

6. Conclusion
Risk scores showed correlation with bleedings in Brazilian population. Almost half of patients with acute coronary syndromes had higher risk of bleeding, and that with major or minor bleedings had greater deaths and combined events.
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