Involvement of TLR2 and TLR4, Chlamydia pneumoniae and Mycoplasma pneumoniae in adventitial inflammation of aortic atherosclerotic aneurysm
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ABSTRACT

Aortic atherosclerotic aneurysm (AAA) is associated with adventitial inflammation where infection is suggested to have a role. Co-infection with Chlamydia pneumoniae (Cp) and Mycoplasma pneumoniae (Mp) was linked with coronary plaque rupture, in association with vessel dilatation and adventitial inflammation. Pathogens are recognized by Toll-like receptors (TLRs) development of the inflammatory process. Objective: Here, we studied whether co-infection by Cp and Mp was involved in the increased inflammation present in AAA and if it could be associated with deficient expression of TLRs. We compared human samples of AAA with non-dilated human aortic atherosclerotic lesions, regarding the amount of Cp and Mp antigens, and expression of TLR2 and TLR4.

Methods: Two groups of aorta fragments were analyzed: G1 (n = 13) moderate atherosclerosis and G2 (n = 14) AAA samples, through immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization methods. Results: Mp and Cp antigens in intima/medial layer were greater in G2 than G1, with no difference in adventitia. TLR2 and TLR4 were higher in G2 than G1 adventitia fat. There was a correlation between Mp versus TLR2 and of TLR4 in intima/medial layer and in adventitia of G1, but there was a lack of correlation in G2. In Cp adventitia, the correlation in G1 was high with TLR2 but not with TLR4, and in G2 the correlation was positive for both TLRs. Conclusion: This study favors the concept that symbiotic co-infection by Cp and Mp participates in the pathogenesis of AAA. It also emphasizes that adventitial fat is the initial site for colonization of these bacteria that probably reach the tissue through vasa vasorum. An exacerbated immune reaction is not efficient to control the infection that reaches and proliferates in high levels at the medial and intimal layer, contributing to the development of vessel dilatation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Aortic atherosclerotic aneurysm is a complication of the atherosclerotic process, presenting an increased adventitial inflammation associated with metaloproteases in the media [1]. Inflammation participates in atherosclerosis at the onset of its development and is increased when the atheroma plaque ruptures, with thrombotic complications. The risk factors for atherosclerosis (e.g., a diet that is high in saturated fat, smoking, hypertension, hyperglycemia, obesity and insulin resistance) may trigger the expression of adhesion molecules in endothelial cells [2], but the chronic inflammation, mainly located at the outer aortic wall has been suggested to be linked to the presence of infectious agents [3]. Microbes might initiate the atherosclerotic process through the injury of vascular endothelium either directly by invasion of the vessel or indirectly through the release of lipopolysaccharides
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development of atherosclerosis [18]. proteins from Mp [17], and palmitic acid is linked to the [16]. TLR2 is also activated by dipalmitoylated lipoprotein—marker of early atherosclerosis in the presence of LDL [15]. TLR2 and TLR4 can promote the formation of foam cells, which can serve as a marker of early atherosclerosis in the presence of LDL [16]. TLR2 is also activated by dipalmitoylated lipoproteins from Mp [17], and palmitic acid is linked to the development of atherosclerosis [18].

Chlamydia pneumoniae (Cp) is the most widely studied microbe in atherosclerosis and can pass from the lung to the atheroma via circulating monocytes [5]. Cp DNA, but not Cp mRNA, has been found in aortic aneurysm samples [6]. Chlamydial heat shock protein 60 (CHSP-60) was found in human plaques in association with cytokines and metalloproteases [7], and intracellular oxidation of LDL [4] and was therefore considered a primary mediator of atherogenesis. The lack of success in antibiotic clinical trials has weakened the infectious theory of the development of atherosclerosis and its complications. The possibility that a co-infection between Cp and Mycoplasma pneumoniae (Mp) in progression for vulnerable plaques has been proposed, thus perhaps explaining the resistance to antibiotics [8]. The vulnerable plaques are associated with dilatation of the segment, which has high adventitial inflammation [9]. Also clinical studies have shown increased seropositivity to both Mp and Cp [10,11]. Inoculation of Cp and Mp in ApoE KO mice fed with cholesterol enriched diet caused aggravation of the size atherosclerotic plaques, inflammation or vessel remodeling [12]. In a recent work, we found higher amounts of both Cp and Mp in the adventitia of AAA than in severe aortic non-dilated atherosclerosis [13]. These data favor the concept that co-infection by Cp and Mp co-infection may aggravate aortic atherosclerosis leading to the development of AAA.

In the present work we have evaluated if the presence of AAA is related with different co-proliferation profiles of both bacteria (Cp and Mp) and the probability of lack in the expression of toll-like-receptors (TLRs). TLRs, a family of trans-membrane receptor proteins, can have the ability to activate antigen-presenting cells (APCs) as a sensor to eradicate pathogens through innate immunity [14]. TLRs can lead to the activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) and to the production of proinflammatory cytokines and the expression of co-stimulatory molecules, thus resulting in the induction of acquired immunity. Elevated expression of both TLR4, a receptor for gram-negative bacterial LPS, and TLR2 was observed in Cp-infected faecal macrophages in human atherosclerotic plaques [15]. TLR2 and TLR4 can promote the formation of foam cells, which can serve as a marker of early atherosclerosis in the presence of LDL [16]. TLR2 is also activated by dipalmitoylated lipoproteins from Mp [17], and palmitic acid is linked to the development of atherosclerosis [18].

In an attempt to clarify whether co-infection by Cp and Mp is involved in the increased inflammation present in AAA and if it could be associated with deficient expression of TLRs, we compare human samples of AAA with non-dilated human aortic atherosclerotic lesions, regarding the amount of Cp and Mp antigens, and expression of TLR2 and TLR4.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Casuistic

Two groups of Atherosclerotic tissue were obtained from patients submitted to surgery: Group 1 (G1): tissue from coronary artery bypass surgery: 13 fragments of ascending aortas with mild/ moderate atherosclerosis and Group 2 (G2): 14 fragments from atherosclerotic aneurysms of thoracic or abdominal aortas that were removed during surgery to repair the aneurysm. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee in Human Research of the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences of University of São Paulo (FCF/USP) and by the Scientific and Ethics Committee of InCor/FMUSP (Heart Institute of the Faculty of Medicine in the University of São Paulo).

2.2. Tissues and Biological Samples

After sampling, the fragments were placed into sterile tubes and divided into two equal halves. One portion was stored in a freezer at −70°C, and the other portion was fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Micrometer serial frozen and paraffin sections were obtained for immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization as described previously and briefly described below [8].

2.3. Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

The immunoperoxidase reaction used the following reagents: 1) a monoclonal anti-human antibody that was produced in mice against TLR2 (Abcam, Massachusetts, USA); Cp (Dako, California, USA) and Mp (Fitzgerald Industries International, Massachusetts, USA); 2) a polyclonal anti-human antibody that was produced in rabbits against TLR4 (Abcam, Massachusetts, USA). antigen retrieval was performed in P-sal (Dako, California, USA) with Tris-EDTA (pH 9.0) and Tween-20 (0.1%). The Envision System Labeled Polymer-HRP (Dako, California, USA) was used to stain the antigens and C pneumoniae and M. pneumoniae and Kit LSAB System-HRP (Dako, California, USA) for another antibody. Diaminobenzidine (DAKO, California, USA) was used for detection. Tonsil fragments were used as a positive control for the TLRs. Lung and aorta fragments were used as positive controls for M. pneumoniae and C. pneumoniae respectively. As a negative control, the primary antibody was omitted.
2.4. In Situ Hybridization (ISH)

The probes sequences were based in GenBank database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) for the respective genes TLR2 (NM_003264.3), TLR4 (NM_138554.2). The initial concentration of 200 ng/ml was used as a stock solution (Bioneer Corporation, Daejeon, South Korea). The slides were incubated with their respective probes at 60°C overnight. The Genpoint Kit (Dako, California, USA) was used for signal amplification, and the reaction was revealed with diaminobenzidine (DAKO, Califórnia, EUA). The mRNA positive control was a sequence antisense mRNA, whereas the sense mRNA sequence served as the negative control.

2.5. Analysis of IHC and ISH

The IHC and ISH immunostained sections had the images captured using the Scanscope CS System (Aperio Technologies, Inc., USA), in an objective with 20×/0.75. The positivity was identified as brown spots. Quantification of C. pneumoniae and M. pneumoniae antigens was made by the % area positive for each antigen, using the Aperio Image Scope Analysis System. TLR2 and TLR4 were quantified with the Aperio’s program for detection of positive nuclei/mm². As AAA samples do not have well defined intima and medial layers, the comparisons were made between two regions: intima/media versus adventitial layers.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

All of the data were expressed as the mean values and standard deviations. The variables within the groups were compared using Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test to determine possible differences between groups and Paired t-test or Wilcoxon Signed Rank test were used to compare between Mp, Cp, TLR2 and TLR4 in fat adventitia layer versus intima/media layer. Pearson correlation was used to verify between possible correlations between infectious agents versus TLRs.

The Statistical analysis was obtained using the Sigma Stat software, version 3.11 (Sigma Stat for Windows, Stat Software Inc, San Jose, CA). Differences were significant when the P values were < 0.05.

3. RESULTS

All 27 samples (G1 + G2) were positive for Cp and Mp antigens. The adventitial inflammation in aneurysm group was located mainly in the fat tissue. Then, this was the region analyzed at the adventitia. Comparison between G1 and G2 is demonstrated in Table 1.

The mean numbers of cells positive for TLR2 and TLR4/mm² and the percentage area positive for the infectious antigens Mp and Cp, in G1 (mild atherosclerosis) and G2 (aneurysm) at the intima/media layer and fat adventitia are shown in Table 1.

3.1. Comparing G1 vs G2

Mp and Cp values in intima/media layer were greater in G2 than G1 (respectively \( P = 0.007 \) and \( P = 0.012 \)) with no difference in adventitia. \( (P = 0.6 \) and \( P = 0.98 \)). There was no difference in intima/media layer between G1 and G2 regarding TLR2 and TLR4 values \( (P = 0.07 \) and \( P = 0.27 \) respectively), but TLR2 and TLR4 were higher in G2 than G1 in fat adventitia layer \( (P < 0.001 \) and 0.01, respectively) (see Figures 1 and 2).

### Table 1. Mean (SD) P values in the comparison of antigens and Intima/Medial and Adventitial fat by Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and in situ hybridization (ISH) and also between groups G1 vs G2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mp</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>12.3 (25.4)</td>
<td>12.4 (24.6)</td>
<td>20.1 (8.6)</td>
<td>26.4 (15.7)</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
<td>0.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Intima/medial vs Adventitial fat</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>0.16*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cp</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>11.6 (20.5)</td>
<td>21.8 (24.8)</td>
<td>26.4 (15.6)</td>
<td>15.9 (7.06)</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
<td>0.98*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Intima/medial vs Adventitial fat</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
<td>0.11*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLR4-IHC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>1117 (773)</td>
<td>1647 (883)</td>
<td>1513 (928)</td>
<td>4141 (2458)</td>
<td>0.27**</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLR4-ISH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>649 (360)</td>
<td>1089(1297)</td>
<td>846 (752)</td>
<td>2999(2291)</td>
<td>0.68*</td>
<td>0.07**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLR4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P IHC vs HIS</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLR2-IHC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>606 (510)</td>
<td>650 (406)</td>
<td>1352 (1074)</td>
<td>3007 (2116)</td>
<td>0.07*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLR2-ISH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>1030 (507)</td>
<td>2991 (1148)</td>
<td>971 (542)</td>
<td>3524 (1978)</td>
<td>0.78**</td>
<td>0.62**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLR2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P IHC vs HIS</td>
<td>0.12*</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.6*</td>
<td>0.4*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P Values of Paired t-test, ** Wilcoxon Signed Rank test, † Mann-Whitney rank sum test and †† t test, NR- unrealized.
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Figure 1. Mean values of % positive areas for Mp and Cp antigens, and mean numbers (/100/mm²) of TLR2 and TLR4 antigens and DNA positive cells in the intima + medial layers and adventitial fat in groups atherosclerosis (G1) and aneurysm (G2).

Figure 2. Shows some examples of the immunohistochemical reactions against infectious agent and TLR antigens. A) C. pneumoniae at the intima of G1 case; B) M. pneumoniae at the adventitia of G2 case; C) and D) showing a G2 case with increased numbers of TLR2 and TLR4 at the adventitia, respectively, (scale bar = 50 mm).

3.2. Comparing Adventitial with Intima/Medial Layer

The comparison between Mp in fat adventitia versus intima/medial layer showed higher values of Mp adventitial fat in G1 ($P = 0.002$), but not in G2 ($P = 0.16$). Also, there was a significant higher amount of Cp in fat adventitia than in intima/medial layers of G1 ($P = 0.003$) but not of G2 ($P = 0.11$) (Table 1).

3.3. Correlations between Infectious Agents versus TLRs

There was a positive correlation between Mp values versus mean numbers of TLR2 and of TLR4 in intima/medial layer and in adventitia of G1, but lack of correlation in G2. The correlation was also very high regarding Cp and TLR2 and TLR4 at intima/medial layer of G1 but not with TLR4 in G2. In Cp adventitia, the correlation in G1 was high with TLR2 but not with TLR4, and in G2 the correlation was positive for both TLRs. There was a positive correlation between Mp and Cp in G1, in all layers, and weaker correlation in G2 (Table 2). A strong correlation between ISH and IHC expression occurred with TLR4 mainly in adventitial layer of G2 group ($P < 0.001$) (Table 3).
Table 2. Comparison of antigens TLR2, TLR4, Cp and Mp in intima/medial and Adventitial fat layer and between groups (r = Correlation Coefficient, P = value) of Pearson Correlation test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigens</th>
<th>G1 Intima/medial layer</th>
<th>Adventitial fat</th>
<th>G2 Intima/medial layer</th>
<th>Adventitial fat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mp</td>
<td>Cp</td>
<td>Mp</td>
<td>Cp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR4</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mp</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison between TLRs values of ISH versus IHC techniques in intima/media and adventitial fat layers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TLRs</th>
<th>ISH vs IHC TLR2</th>
<th>ISH vs IHC TLR4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intima/medial layer in G1</td>
<td>r = -0.17; P = 0.61</td>
<td>r = 0.52; P = 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intima/medial layer in G2</td>
<td>r = 0.09; P = 0.77</td>
<td>r = 0.55; P = 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventitial fat G1</td>
<td>r = -0.01; P = 0.99</td>
<td>r = 0.89; P = 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventitial fat G2</td>
<td>r = 0.33; P = 0.30</td>
<td>r = 0.84; P &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. DISCUSSION

Aortic atherosclerotic aneurysm (AAA) is a life threatening condition associated with significant morbidity and mortality. The half-marks in the pathogenesis of aortic aneurysms are destruction of elastin and collagen combined with adventitial inflammation, however their etiology are complex and multifactorial [19]. Infections with bacteria such as *C. pneumoniae* are proposed to trigger the secretion of inflammatory cytokines that leads to atherogenesis with activation of TLRs- [20-22]. However, different techniques have yielded different rates of infection in atherosclerotic lesions. Atherosclerotic arteries were negative for Cp in PCR studies, and IHC positivity was interpreted by the authors as an artifact [23]. In aorta, Cp antigens were found in 100% in stenotic aortic valves [24], 33% - 100% in atherosclerotic aortas [25,26]. Cp DNA was detected in ascending atherosclerotic aortas in 30% and 50% of cases using real-time PCR and nested PCR, respectively [27]. However, in 148 thoracic and abdominal aortic dissections, real-time PCR (using the Taqmam system) was negative for Cp in all cases [28]. Cp and Mp antigens were detected in 100% of calcified aortic valves [29] and in mild atherosclerosis of aorta [30]. Higher amount of Cp and Mp was detected in adventitia of AAA [13].

The present study searched for antigens of Cp and Mp, in aortic atherosclerotic samples from two groups: G1-AAA and G2-mild/ moderate atherosclerosis, and the TLR2 and TLR4 expression, looking for different TLRs immune response that might explain abnormal growth of these pathogens in aorta of AAA.

We found Cp antigens in 100% of G1 and G2 samples, but increased amount of both antigens in intima/medial layers of G2 versus G1 (P = 0.007) with no difference in fat adventitia layer (P = 0.6). On the other hand, there was higher number of positive cells of TLR2 and TLR4 in the adventitial fat of G2, compared with G1 (P < 0.001 and 0.01, respectively). Correlations that were found in G1 using ISH in the adventitia layer suggest an activation of TLR4 by Cp. In G2, we found a correlation of TLR4 with Cp in the adventitial and intimal layers. Co-infection with Mp and Cp was described in atheroma plaques for the first time by Higuchi *et al.* [31]. This group also found higher numbers and a high correlation of Cp antigens and Mp DNA, and archaea-like microparticles in intimal association with Mp and Cp in vulnerable plaques, which might explain a local increased vessel inflammation and dilatation [32]. A similar atherosclerotic complication might be occurring in AAA lesions. It means that, the response was exacerbated in G2, in front of a same level of infectious antigens of G1 but possibly having other factors acting in G2 such as microparticles. This might be reflecting a more efficient immune response in the group G1 as there was a significant positive correlation between the amount of Cp and Mp versus expression of TLR 2 and TLR4 in this group, at intima/medial layer and also in advential fat unless the TLR4 against Cp in Adventitial fat. This efficient immune response also would explain the lower level of Cp and Mp in intima/medial layer of G1 compared with G2.

The expression in fat adventitia of TLR4 values by ISH versus IHC was highly correlated (Tables 1 and 3), in both G1 and G2, supporting the hypothesis that the
infectious load in the blood may reach the aorta by the vasa vasorum, explaining the increased inflammatory infiltrate at the adventitia layer. According to some authors, as lipoproteins constitute part of innate immune system response by binding and inactivating microorganisms and their toxic products through formation of circulating complexes, such aggregates may obstruct arterial vasa vasorum producing ischemia and cell death within the arterial wall leading to the creation of a vulnerable plaque [33,34].

Exacerbated immune response in adventitia fat in G2 is also re-enforced by the fact of increased numbers of TLRs in in adventitia of G2 than in G1, with lack of correlation between amount of Cp and Mp versus TLR2 and TLR4. A correlation between TLR4 antigen and RNA expressions in fat adventitia of G2 indicates an active production of such receptor in these AAA lesions, possibly against Cp antigens. However, this exacerbated immune response is apparently non-effective as those bacteria reach medial/intimal layer in much higher level in AAA group. In G2, the increased correlation between Cp and TLR2 and TLR4, and Cp versus Mp suggests that both bacteria are in symbiosis that creates more difficult in defense against co-infections in adventitia of atherosclerotic lesions.

Functionally, the TLRs alert the immune system to the presence of foreign microorganisms in the host organism. In conjunction with its ligand (which can be either endogenous or exogenous), TLRs lead to the production of inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and effector molecules (adapters), depending on the type of TLR that is activated [35,36]. In the present study, immune-staining identified the antigens of TLR2 and TLR4 in 100% of G1 and G2. There were high correlation between Mp and Cp each other and versus TLR2 antigen expression at intima/medial layer in G1, but not in G2.

TLRs modulate the innate immune system and activate the acquired immune system to generate a response to a pathogen [37-39]. In G2, many correlations between infectious agents, TLR2 and TLR4 indicate a cooperative action of these receptors as found by others. Laflamme et al. showed synergism between TLR2 and TLR4 in the mouse brain [40]. Whereas TLR4 is absolutely necessary to initiate the innate immune response, TLR2 participates in the regulation of the TNF-α and IL-12 genes and is therefore decisive regarding the change of the innate immune system to the adaptive system [38]. It was observed by some authors that lipoprotein triacylglycerol originated from MP activate NF-κB through TLR1 and TLR2 but not TLR6 [17,41]. However, the interruption of TLR2 signaling that was induced by MP infection by the release of IL-4 and IL-13 (allergic cytokines) decreased the clearance of MP from the lungs of mice, leaving the tissue more susceptible to bacterial diseases [42]. Using ISH, we observed correlations between Cp and TLR2 in the aneurysm group. In spite of CP is a gram-negative bacterium, we found hyperactivation of TLR2, which is a receptor for gram-positive bacteria. Other studies found similar results [43–46]. It was shown that human cells exposed to microbial products of Cp in the early stages of atherogenesis expressed TLR4 and TLR2 [47–49].

5. CONCLUSION

The present study favors the concept that symbiotic co-infection by Cp and Mp participates in the pathogenesis of AAA. Also this study emphasizes that adventitial fat is the initial site for colonization of these bacteria that probably reach the tissue through vasa vasorum. An exacerbated immune reaction is not efficient to control the infection that reaches and proliferates in high levels at the medial and intimal layer, contributing to the development of vessel dilatation.
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