Combined phosphodiesterase inhibitors in end-stage heart failure: A case presentation
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ABSTRACT

Heart failure (HF) is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality and is evolving to epidemic proportions. Despite pharmacologic advances and device interventions, HF remains a progressive disease. The phosphodiesterase inhibitors-3 (PDE3I), and more recently, the phosphodiesterase inhibitors-5 (PDE5I) have been used as part of the treatment in certain patients, however, such combination has not been studied or reported before.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Heart failure (HF) is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality. Although, much progress has been made in the pharmacotherapy, and in the development of mechanical devices for HF, it still portends a poor prognosis. The phosphodiesterase inhibitors-3 (PDE3I), mainly milrinone has been a mainstay in the therapy of HF. Recently the phosphodiesterase inhibitors-5 (PDE5I) have been reported as a potential treatment in certain patients, however the combination of the two drugs has not been studied or reported before.

2. CASE PRESENTATION

A 66-year-old man presented to our institution in NYHA heart failure class IV. He had a 10-year history of a non-ischemic cardiomyopathy with ejection fraction of 20%, severe functional mitral insufficiency, atrial fibrillation, diabetes mellitus, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and chronic kidney disease with a glomerular filtration rate of 23 ml/min. An implantable cardio-defibrillator was placed 2 years prior to admission. Maximal medical therapy improved his fluid overload, but marginally improved his functional status. His defibrillator was upgraded to biventricular pacing without evident clinical benefit.

After tailored therapy guided with an indwelling Swan-Ganz catheter, the hemodynamics were: mean right atrial pressure of 9 mmHg, pulmonary artery pressure 45/26 mmHg with a mean of 32 mmHg, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure of 19 mmHg, and a systemic blood pressure of 100/72 mmHg. The mixed venous oxygen saturation was 56.5%. The cardiac output and cardiac index were 2.4 lpm/m² and 1.2 lpm/m², respectively. After 30 minutes of milrinone infusion at 0.5 mcg/kg/min, the pulmonary capillary wedge pressure was 9 mmHg, the cardiac output and cardiac index were 3.6 lpm/m² and 1.7 lpm/m², respectively, and the mixed venous oxygen saturation was 62.5%. The milrinone infusion was continued as an outpatient. He was rejected for cardiac transplant at several centers in the country, but accepted for destination biventricular assist device. The patient refused the device, gave up on life, and returned home. He continued declining clinically, despite maximal medical therapy including milrinone infusion (INTERMAC profile 2 - 3).

Based on positive preliminary clinical evidence of PDE5 inhibition in congestive HF and the lack of alternatives, the decision was made to add tadalafil to his regimen. The drug was titrated from 5 mg to 20 mg daily over a period of 4 weeks. He noticed a clinical improvement within 2 weeks of treatment. After 3 months, he was able to walk more than two blocks. He stated that he was “feeling better than at any other time in the past 3 years”. His renal function improved to a glomerular filtration rate of 40 ml/min. Four months after starting the therapy, he started a new business, and became sexually active. Two months after this, his physicians witnessed a brisk climb of 2 flights of stairs during a birthday cele-
A PDE3 inhibitor, such as milrinone, acts by decreasing the rate of cyclic adenosine monophosphate degradation and enhancing calcium influx into the cell, which in turn increases contractility. The PDE5 inhibitors are widely used for erectile dysfunction, however the enzyme is found in the pulmonary vasculature and is up-regulated in patients with heart failure [1-4]. Preclinical studies suggest a favorable myocardial effect of PDE5 inhibitors by blocking adrenergic, hypertrophic and pro-apoptotic signaling, thereby supporting their use in HF [1,4]. The use of PDE5 inhibitors in HF is off-label. However, small studies have shown that they increase oxygen uptake, increase cardiac index, reduce pulmonary systemic vascular resistance, reduce aortic stiffness and improve exercise time [5]. There is preliminary evidence that suggests some benefit in systolic HF [2,6], especially in patients with concomitant secondary pulmonary hypertension and right ventricular failure [7-9].

The mechanism of the benefit of the PDE5 inhibitors in HF is not clear. The vasodilator effect, especially in the pulmonary vasculature, and the improvement of endothelial function due to an increase on nitric oxide, and in general the enhanced ventriculo-vascular coupling, may explain some of the benefits [2-4,7]. Another interesting hypothesis is that due to the poor expression of PDE5 in the heart, the PDE5 inhibitors will cross-react with PDE3 receptors promoting increased intracellular calcium and better myocardial contraction [1].

The concomitant use of PDE3 and PDE5 inhibitors has, to our knowledge, never been reported. The dramatic clinical improvement seen in our patient, suggest that PDE5 inhibition needs to be investigated as a treatment option, and may have an added effect when combined with PDE3 inhibition, in patients with severe symptomatic biventricular heart failure and group II pulmonary hypertension who do not respond to optimal standard therapy. However, this observation raises more questions than it answers, since this could be the result of multiple factors on this particular patient.
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