Involvement of the Genetic Diversity of HIV-1 in the Virological Treatment Failure of First Line Antiretroviral in Kinshasa
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Abstract

Background: Genetic diversity of human immunodeficiency virus affects the treatment and the emergence of resistance. Some subtypes would develop resistance more frequently than others. The aim of this study is to determine the rate of virological treatment failure and the involvement of genetic diversity and different mutations in this failure in Kinshasa. Methods: Of the 153 Antiretroviral-naive patients who were included in the cohort, 138 patients have been received for the appointment of the 6th month. Clinical parameters were recorded on individual patient charts. The determination of Viral Load (VL) was done at the Laboratory of Molecular Biology. Clinical and biological parameters of the 6th month were compared with those taken at baseline of the cohort to determine the evolution of patients under treatment. Results: At the consultation of the 6th month, 138 patients (90.2%) had returned out of the 153 included. Eighty-one (58.7%) patients were women and 57 (41.3%) men. The age of patients is between 18 and 65 with an average of 37 years. Ten deaths (6.5%) and 5 (3.3%) lost have been reported. One hundred twenty-five patients (90.5%) were in clinical stage 3 and 13 (9.5%) in clinical stage 4. The median CD4 T cells is 560 cells mm⁻³. The median VLs of patients was 0.90 log₁₀ RNA copies/ml. Of the 34 patients in virological failure, 8 (23.5%) are minimal failure, 23 (67.7%) in moderate failure and 3 (8.8%) in severe failure. According to the Pearson’s test, VLs at 6th months were highly correlated with that of inclusion, with V75 and K70 mutations for NRTIs, well as the virological failure of treatment. Conclusion: Our results confirmed the hypothesis that high Viral Load at the start of the treatment is a poor prognosis for the development of therapy.
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1. Backgrounds
The Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) has a genetic diversity that is equal to the complexity of its management [1]. The classification of types, groups, subgroups, sub-groups and different recombinant forms (CRFs-Circulating Recombinant Forms) or mutant allowed better understanding the virus, its geographical distribution and the evolution of the epidemic [1]-[7]. It also helped direct the management of patients infected by HIV [1]-[7]. Group M (Major) is the dominant group in Central Africa [1]-[7]. The distribution of this group in Africa and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), in particular, is very heterogeneous; it follows a complex and specific algorithm [1]-[7]. This distribution is very dynamic, progressive and unpredictable; it will continue to diversify as long as the virus circulates [1]-[7]. There is a very large genetic diversity of this group M in the DRC and particularly in Kinshasa [1]-[7]. The subtype B, which is the dominant one in Western Europe and North America has a prevalence <1% in Kinshasa [1].

The genetic diversity of HIV affects the treatment and the emergence of resistant strains [8]. Some subtypes would develop resistance more frequently than subtypes A and B [9]; this could cause some natural nucleotide polymorphisms on specific codons [10] [11].

Treatment failure includes a variety of situations, whether virological failure resulting from a persistent viral replication 6 months after starting treatment (Viral load > 200 RNA copies/ml or 2.30 log_{10} RNA copies/ml), an immunological failure with persistent immunodeficiency (CD4 count < 200 cells/mm³) or clinical failure that usually associated virological failure and immune deterioration [12]. In 2012, 16% of patients in the first line antiretroviral therapy (ART) were estimated in treatment failure in Kinshasa [13].

The aim of this study is to determine the rate of virological treatment failure and the involvement of genetic diversity and different mutations in this failure in Kinshasa.

2. Methodology
2.1. Study Population
At baseline, 153 patients naïve to Antiretroviral Therapy (ART) were selected for follow-up with different support centers in Kinshasa. The inclusion criteria for subjects in the cohort were: (i) being diagnosed HIV-1 positive according to national guidelines [14], (ii) being over the age of 18 years at the inclusion in the
cohort, (iii) being eligible for ART in the monitoring center and (iv) be naive to ART. Viral Loads (VL), the different HIV-1 strains and the different mutations associated with resistance to ART were determined for all patients at baseline [15] [16]. The 6th month of ART, only 138 patients (90.2%) of the cohort have been received on the 153 included in the first day.

2.2. Clinical and Biological Monitoring Parameters

Clinical parameters were collected on individual patient charts in their respective centers as well as the survey forms. The determination of the VL was done in the laboratory of Molecular Biology of the Faculty of Medicine University of Kinshasa (UNIKIN) using the same “in-house” assays used at the inclusion [16] [17] [18] [19]. The count of CD4+ lymphocytes was done by flow cytometry.

2.3. Comparison of Variables

In order to determine the evolution of patients under treatment, clinical and biological parameters of the 6th month were compared with those taken at baseline of the cohort [15] [16] [17] [18]. For compliance, clinical parameters are evaluated according to the classification of the World Health Organization (WHO); VLs were determined using the same “in-house” assays under the same conditions. Correlation tests were done between profiles at baseline and those at the 6th month, VLs at baseline and mortality, VLs at baseline and treatment failure, and the prevalence of acquired mutations and failures treatment.

2.4. Statistics

Pearson correlation test was used to analyze the data. The value of p < 0.06 is considered to accommodate the size of our sample.

2.5. Operational Definition

The virological failure is defined as a persistent VL higher than 200 RNA copies/ml (2.30 log_{10} RNA copies/ml) 6 months after the start of treatment [20]. Three definitions are presented for virological failure: the minimal failure (200 < VL < 5000 or 2.30 log_{10} < VL < 3.70 log_{10} RNA copies/ml), moderate failure (5000 < VL < 30 000 or 3.70 log_{10} < VL < 4.48 log_{10} RNA copies/ml) and severe failure (VL > 30 000 or VL > 4.48 log_{10} RNA copies/ml) [20] [21]. The patients lost are those who did not return for their appointment the 6th month and have not been found by the community relay service from the centers.

3. Results

3.1. Epidemiological Data

At the 6th month follow-up, 138 patients (90.2%) had returned out of the 153 patients included at baseline. Eighty-one (58.7%) patients were women and 57 (41.3%) male (Table 1); with a sex ratio M/F of 0.70 (p < 0.06). The age of patients ranges between 18 and 65 years with an average of 37 years (Table 1).
Table 1. Characteristics of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex (n = 138)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>57 (41.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>81 (58.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years) (n = 138)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range 18 - 65 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 37 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 25</td>
<td>30 (21.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 - 35</td>
<td>39 (28.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 - 45</td>
<td>39 (28.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 - 55</td>
<td>23 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 - 65</td>
<td>7 (5.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viral Load (log_{10} copies of RNA/ml) (n = 138)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range 0 - 4.82 log_{10}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median 0.90 log_{10}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CD4 cells count (Cells/ml) (n = 113)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range 98 - 1050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median 480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age intervals most represented are of 26 to 35 and 36 to 45 years with 39 patients (28.3%) each, followed by that of 18 to 25 years (21.7%), 46 to 55 years (16.7%) and 56 to 65 years (5.1%). Ten deaths (6.5%) were reported and 5 patients (3.3%) were lost to the services of community relays respective of the centers (Table 2).

At baseline, 153 patients naïve to Antiretroviral Therapy (ART) were selected for follow-up. Ninety-one (60.1%) patients were women and 62 (39.9%) men with a sex ratio M/F of 0.68 (p < 0.06). The age of patients is in the range 18 to 65 years with a mean of 37 years. The age group most represented at the beginning of the study was that of 26 to 35 years with 42 patients (27.45%); followed by 36 to 45 years (26.14%), 18 to 25 years (20.92%), 46 to 55 years (16.99%) and 56 to 65 years (8.50%) [16].

3.2. Clinical and Biological Data

At 6th months, 5 patients were lost from the relays service (2 women and 3 men) and 10 patients were reported dead (8 women and 2 men) (Table 2). One hundred twenty-five patients (90.5%) were in clinical stage 3 and 13 (9.5%) in clinical stage 4 according to the WHO classification. The rate of CD4 T cells, which were made for 113 patients (71%) were between 98 and 1050 cells/mm³ with 52 patients (46.02%) having CD4 counts greater than 500 cells/mm³ and a median value of 560 cells/mm³ (Table 1). The median VLs of patients was 0.90 log₁₀.
Table 2. Cases of death and patients lost.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Case of deaths (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2 (20.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8 (80.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Case of patients lost from Treatment Centers (n = 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3 (60.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2 (40.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RNA copies/ml. The minimum and maximum values were respectively 0 and $4.82 \log_{10}$ RNA copies/ml with 104 patients (75.4%) with a VL under 200 RNA copies/ml or $2.3 \log_{10}$ RNA copies/ml giving a rate of virological failure of 24.6% (Table 3). Of the 34 patients in virological failure, 8 (23.5%) are minimal failure ($2.30 \log_{10} < VL < 3.70 \log_{10}$ RNA copies/ml), 23 (67.7%) in moderate failure ($3.70 \log_{10} < VL < 4.48 \log_{10}$ RNA copies/ml) and 3 (8.8%) in severe failure ($VL > 4.48 \log_{10}$ RNA copies/ml) (Table 3).

At baseline, 140 patients (91.5%) were in clinical stage 3 and 13 (8.5%) in clinical stage 4 for HIV infection as classified by the World Health Organization (WHO) [16]. The rates of CD4 T cells were between 8 and 915 cells/mm$^3$ with 69 patients (86.8%) with CD4 counts below 500 cells/mm$^3$ [15] [16]. The median Viral Loads (VL) of the included patients was $5.68 \log_{10}$ RNA copies/ml [15] [16] [17] [18]. The minimum and maximum values were respectively $0.37 \log_{10}$ and $7.95 \log_{10}$ RNA copies/ml with 97 patients (63.4%) with a VL greater than 100,000 RNA copies/ml or $5.0 \log_{10}$ copies RNA copies/ml [15] [16] [17] [18]. Nearly 18% of patients had major mutations associated with Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NRTI), almost 10% for major mutations associated with Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NNRTI) and 2% for major mutations associated with Protease Inhibitors (PI) [15].
Table 3. Prevalence of virological failure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic Success ( CV &lt; 2, 30 \log_{10} )</td>
<td>104 (75.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic Failure ( CV &gt; 2, 30 \log_{10} )</td>
<td>34 (24.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Interval</th>
<th>(&lt; 2, 30 \log_{10})</th>
<th>(&gt; 2, 30 \log_{10})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 - 25</td>
<td>18 (17.3%)</td>
<td>12 (35.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 - 35</td>
<td>31 (29.8%)</td>
<td>8 (23.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 - 45</td>
<td>31 (29.8%)</td>
<td>8 (23.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 - 55</td>
<td>19 (18.3%)</td>
<td>4 (11.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 - 65</td>
<td>5 (4.8%)</td>
<td>2 (5.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Virological Failure (RNA copies/ml)</th>
<th>Frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimal Failure ( (2, 30 &lt; CV &lt; 3, 70 \log_{10} ) )</td>
<td>8 (23.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Failure ( (3, 70 &lt; CV &lt; 4, 48 \log_{10} ) )</td>
<td>23 (67.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Failure ( (CV &gt; 4, 48 \log_{10} ) )</td>
<td>3 (8.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Resistance and Treatment Failure

In a previous study, the types of mutations and various associated prevalence were described for this population [15]. According to the Pearson’s test, LVs at 6th month were highly correlated with that of baseline \( R^2 = 0.641, p < 0.000 \), with the K70 codon mutation for NRTI \( R^2 = 0.558, p < 0.000 \), with the V75 for NRTI \( R^2 = 0.448, p < 0.000 \), the V108 for NNRTI \( R^2 = 0.413, p < 0.000 \) and with the virological treatment failure \( R^2 = 0.947, p < 0.000 \). Some correlations in the onset of mutations in codons were denoted such as K70 NRTI and V75 NRTI \( R^2 = 0.512, p < 0.000 \), K70 NRTI and T215 NRTI \( R^2 = 0.453, p < 0.000 \), V75 NRTI and Y115 NRTI \( R^2 = 0.465, p < 0.000 \), A98 NNRTI and V106 NNRTI \( R^2 = 0.394, p < 0.000 \), V106 NNRTI and V108 NNRTI \( R^2 = 0.595, p < 0.000 \), Y115 NRTI and A98 NNRTI \( R^2 = 0.359, p < 0.01 \), and Y115 NRTI and L100 NNRTI \( R^2 = 0.593, p < 0.000 \).

4. Discussion

This study aimed to determine the rate of virological treatment failure and the involvement of genetic diversity and the different mutations in this failure in Kinshasa. It is the continuation of different studies published with the same population [15] [16] [17] [18].

After 6 months of ART, 10 cases (6.5%) deaths and 5 cases (3.3%) lost were recorded in the cohort of patients followed. For the case of death, the majority (8 patients) are women, while for the patients lost there are men (3 men). Of the 138 patients (90.2%) returned for their 6th month follow-up, 81 (58.7%) patients were women and 57 (41.3%) men; thus giving a sex ratio M/F of 0.70. Different other studies have also published M/F sex ratios that tend to feminize HIV infec-
tion among adults in Kinshasa and in DRC [13]-[18] [22] [23].

According to the recommendations of the World Health Organization (WHO), 125 patients (90.5%) were in clinical stage 3 and 13 (9.5%) in clinical stage 4 after 6 months of treatment. While at baseline of the cohort, 91.5% of patients were in clinical stage 3 and 8.5% in clinical stage 4 [16]. This difference is not significant. Clinically, not many signs of improvement in the condition of different patients during different visits have been recorded.

Count CD4 T cells was made for 113 patients (71%). The minimum and maximum values of CD4 T cells were 98 to 1050 cells/mm³, respectively. The median CD4 cell count was 560 cells/mm³ with 52 patients (46.02%) with CD4 counts above 500 cells/mm³. It was not possible to determine the immunological failure based on CD4 count due to the irregularity of this parameter in the patients. However, for all the patients who have the results at baseline and at the 6th month, none were in immunological failure because the CD4 values have increased for all. The median increment of the differences in CD4 count at month 6 compared to baseline was of 247.5 cells/mm³. This brings up the issue of the monitoring of People Living with HIV/AIDS (PLHIV) in our environment [23] [24].

The median VLs of the patients was 0.90 log₁₀ RNA copies/ml. The minimum and maximum values were respectively 0 and 4.82 log₁₀ RNA copies/ml with 104 patients (75.4%) with a VL under 200 RNA copies/ml or 2.3 log₁₀ RNA copies/ml giving a failure rate of virological 24.6%. Of the 34 patients in virological failure, 8 (23.5%) are minimal failure (2.30 log₁₀ < VL < 3.70 log₁₀ RNA copies/ml), 23 (67.7%) in moderate failure (3.70 log₁₀ < VL < 4.48 log₁₀ RNA copies/ml) and 3 (8.8%) in severe failure (VL > 4.48 log₁₀ RNA copies/ml). Most failed patients (67.7%) are moderate virological failure. In the past, virological failure was estimated at 14.6% in 2010 [25] and 16% in 2012 [13] for the city of Kinshasa, taking into account 3 clinics that were among the recommended centers for treatment at the time [24]. The difference in numbers is in the inclusion criteria of patients and selection centers, and the criteria for determining the processing failure. Indeed, the virological failure was redefined as a VL > 200 RNA copies/ml (2.3 log₁₀ RNA copies/ml) in 2013 [20] as opposed to a VL > 1000 copies of RNA copies/ml (3.0 log₁₀ RNA copies/ml) in previous years [21]. In this study, 2 centers that met the criteria according to WHO’s recommendations were randomly selected by district of Kinshasa [24]. Eight treatment centers participated in this study. Hence for Kinshasa, according to the updated criteria, the rate of virological failure is estimated at 24.6% for 2014.

According to the Pearson’s test, the VLs in the 6th month were highly correlated with that of baseline ($R^2 = 0.641$, $p < 0.000$), with the K70 codon mutation for NRTI ($R^2 = 0.558$, $p < 0.000$), with the V75 for NRTI ($R^2 = 0.448$, $p < 0.000$), with the V108 for NNRTI ($R^2 = 0.413$, $p < 0.000$), and with the virological treatment failure ($R^2 = 0.947; p < 0.000$). Various studies have shown that a high VL (CV > 5.00 log₁₀) before starting treatment is a bad prognosis for treatment, where the patient is doomed to failure [26] [27]. Some studies have implicated
the K103N and Y181C mutations for resistance and failure to treatment [28] [29]. But in our case, the mutated codons that are responsible for treatment failure are: K70, V75 for NRTI and V108 for NNRTI. This presents a profile of different resistance mutations to Kinshasa specific to the different variants; this corresponds to what has been published in Africa for non-B subtypes [30].

On the other hand, some correlations in the emergence of codons mutations were noted such as K70 NRTI and V75 NRTI ($R^2 = 0.512, p < 0.000$), K70 NRTI and T215 NRTI ($R^2 = 0.453, p < 0.000$), V75 NRTI and Y115 NRTI ($R^2 = 0.465, p < 0.000$), V106 NNRTI and V108 NNRTI ($R^2 = 0.595, p < 0.000$) as well as Y115 NRTI and L100 NNRTI ($R^2 = 0.593; p < 0.000$).

**Limitation of Study**

Due to different constraints, the determination of mutations 6th month after the beginning of treatment was not done. However, this does not remove the pertinence of the results. They related to the involvement of transmitted mutations in the treatment failure and responded to the prerogatives and actual questions on mutations.

**5. Conclusion**

Our results confirmed the hypothesis that high Viral Load at start of treatment is a poor prognosis for the therapeutic development of the patient. Correlations between virological failure, acquired mutations and viral load at baseline reinforce the importance of the usefulness of genotyping tests and viral load in early treatment to improve treatment and for adequate therapy.
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3TC: Lamivudine; ABC: Abacavir; AIDS: Acquired Immuno Deficiency Syndrome; ART: Antiretroviral Treatment; ARV: Antiretroviral; CD4: Cluster for Differentiation T4; CHU-ULg: Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Université de Liège; CRF: Circulating Recombinant Forms; d4T: Stavudine; ddl: Didanosine; EFV: Efavirenz; HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; LPV/r: Lopinavir boosted with Ritonavir; NNRTI: Non Nucleotide Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor; NVP: Nevirapine; NRTI: Nucleotide Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor; PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction; PI: Protease Inhibitor; RNA: Ribonucleic Acid; RT: Reverse Transcriptase; UNIKIN: University of Kinshasa; VL: Viral Load; ZDV: Zidovudine.
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